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#### Abstract

The Limbu is one of the dominant languages of the Tibeto-Burman language family. Though the native speakers of the Limbu designate themselves as the Yakthungba, and their language Yakthungba Pan, they are referred to as the ethnonym 'Limbu'. The Limbu natives belong to the Kirant confederation. Their ancestral and original stronghold spans from the Arun River in Nepal to the kingdom of Sikkim in the east. The Limbu have their own script called Sirijanga. There are many books written in this language. Their faith is enshrined in the ever green grass (dubo) whose botanical name is cynodondactylon and the rock (dhungo). The population of the Limbu according to the Census ( 2011 AD ) is 367,300 and the number of the native speakers is 343,603 which are $1.46 \%$ and $1.29 \%$ respectively of the national total population $(26,394,504)$.

The religious scripture of the Limbu natives is called the Mundhum. There is a considerable difference between the language variety used in the ordinary speech and the language variety employed in the Mundhum. So, the apparent problem that lies in the Mundhum is why this Mundhum variety is commonly thought to be a very obscure and distinctly different from the ordinary speech variety. Likewise, the next problem is not being accessible to the average native speaker. This Mundhum variety is extremely limited to the handful of people especially the Limbu priests. This obviously indicates the danger of extinction in the foreseeable future. Now, the challenge is how this language variety can be preserved.

In order to address and deal with the aforementioned problems, some specific objectives have been duly set. The main general objective is to analyze the linguistic features of the Mundhum language. To be more specific, the Mundhum language is necessary to be analyzed from its different linguistic levels i.e. phonological, morphological, semantic, syntactic, sociolinguistic and stylistic or even the pragmatic level through ethnographies of communication. These all levels are important to have a reasonable knowledge of certain language variety however the pragmatic level is more important to derive the contextual meaning of text or discourse. So in order to deal with this aspect better, the theory- ethnographies of communication has been considered and discussed.

Keeping the set objectives in the mind, first of all, the popular and important Mundhum text (Yapmi Pongma Mundhum 'The Origin of Man') was recorded through 'Audacity' computer software. After the completion of edition, the edited material was transported to 'Elan' software. This software is for annotation in different needed versions like phonemic transcription, English translation and Nepali transliteration as well as translation. In this way, the 'Audacity Sound Record' was annotated in two different 'Tiers'. Thereafter, the annotated version was transported to the 'Tool Box' software. In this software, the interlinearized process was held.


Every morpheme was separately interlinearied with the text. The text has been presented in five different 'Tiers'. Basically, I used this software for minute analysis of the morphemic break. In the process of interlinearizing the text, two different functions were held simultaneously that was, morphemic break and glossary.

The first chapter gives a brief sketch of problematic area of the study, the objectives of the study, the significance of the study, delimitations, literature review, research methodology applied and outline of the study. Likewise, the second chapter deals with the Limbu language, the native people, number of native speakers and the dialectal variations. The third unit discusses socio-cultural aspects in which it focuses the Mundhum, its types, the diverse roles of the Mundhum, in Limbu community, its present relevance and so forth. It also discusses about special contexts through the ethnographic perspectives and above all, it deals with the attitude of the Limbu natives towards the Mundhum.

The fourth chapter is devoted to the phonology of the Limbu in which it discusses on the sound system and its application in the Mundhum. Likewise, the fifth chapter deals with morphological make-up both in ordinary communicative form and the Mundhum language. As a matter of fact, the Limbu language is complex pronominalized agglutinating language. In this regard, the verb conjugation presents a tough challenge to the linguists. So, it has been dealt with much care in the process of analysis. After that, the Mundhum morphology is another aspect of complexity especially created by the massive use of archaic, obsolete diction and distinctly different affixation.

The sixth chapter precisely discusses the syntactic structure of Limbu Mundhm. The seventh chapter is primarily about looking the Mundhum from the literary perspective. In it, what literary features are found in the Mundhum language is the main concern here. Likewise, the discussion of the Mundhum has been done in terms of stylistic as well as archetypal point of view. The final unit is the eighth one which deals with the summary and conclusion of the study.
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## CHAPTER ONE

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

Nepal is the nation of ethnic, cultural, religious as well as linguistic diversity. Among the ethnic groups, as Grierson (1909, p. 283) states "the Limbus are one of the principal nationalities of eastern Nepal." They inhabit in the hilly region popularly known as Pallo Kirant or Far Kirant. But "they designate themselves by the name Yak-thung-ba and their language by the name Yak-thung-ba Pa:n" (Das, 1896). According to van Driem (1987, p. 50) the term "Limbu' is Nepali ethnonym and the homeland in eastern Nepal is known in Nepali 'Limbuwan". He expresses his suspect over Campbell's (1840, p. 595) reference that the term Limbu to be Gurkha corruption of the autonym 'Ekthoomba'. According to Weidert \& Subba (1985, p. 1) "Limbu must be considered the dominant and most important language of the Kiranti group of the Tibeto-Burman languages in terms of numbers of speakers and in terms of the vastness of geographical distributions." Regarding the Limbu language, Ebert (1997, p. 11) states "it has a considerable number of speakers and a writing system of its own. The script is known as 'Sirijanga' and it has a number of books on various disciplines."

The Limbus original speaking area, according to Kainla (2059 VS, p. 9) "spreads from the Arun River in the west to the Tista River in the east" that includes the districts Sangkhuwasabha, Terhathum, Dhankuta, Sunsari and Morang in Koshi zone and Taplejung, Panchthar, Ilam and Jhapa districts in Mechi zone and some adjoining states of India like Sikkim, West Bengal, Assam and Meghalaya. Tumbahang (2007) holds the view that in course of time, the Limbu have migrated from their original to different places. Now they are found in Kathmandu, Lalitpur and Bhaktapur districts of mid-Nepal. Pokhrel (2050 VS) states that Limbu language is basically spoken in the area between Koshi and Mechi zones of eastern Nepal. The NPC Report (2011) refers to the total population of the Limbu is 387,300 which is $1.46 \%$ out of total population of the country. Of that number of Limbu, 343,603 are the native speakers that are $1.29 \%$ out of total nation's population.

### 1.2 Statement of the problem

Mundhum is found in the form of verse that exploits every possible means of linguistic form. As being poetic in nature, various linguistic forms are markedly distinct from the ordinary speech. The Mundhum language is confined to very limited persons viz. Sambas, Yebas, Mangbas, Tummyang Sabas and so on. It has long been existed in the form of oral recitation. Majority of the Limbu native speakers are supposed to be unaware of the linguistic version of Mundhum. For this reason, Mundhum language is about to disappear soon. Unless the concrete step is taken immediately, there would be nothing left for the Limbu native speakers except the bitter repentance. Most of these authentic persons for the Mundhum like Sambas and Yebas are illiterate and they are reluctant to share the ideas among the interested native people. So the urgent need is that the Mundhum language should be studied and documented as soon as possible. Only then it would be brought to light to all the native speakers as well as the other interested persons. So the problem is how to analyze the linguistic corpus of the Limbu Mundhum. The Mundhum, which is regarded as the religious scripture by the Kiranti people, has exploited obscure and archaic dictions posing difficulty to the majority of the Limbu native speakers. The language of the Mundhum is classical mostly allegorical full of rhetoric. As there is no work done regarding the linguistic analysis of the Limbu Mundhum, it entails primarily the following questions or problems which are listed as follows:
a. What is the Mundhum and what socio-cultural values does the Limbu Mundhum have in the Limbu cummunity?
b. What phonological features does the Mundhum have?
c. What is the morphological make up in the Mundhum language?
d. Does it have the similar grammatical forms (syntactic features) with that of ordinary forms of speech?
e. What literary as well as stylistic features does the Mundhum possess?

### 1.3 Objectives of the study

The general objective of the study is to analyze the linguistic corpus of the Limbu Mundhum, more especially, it aims:
a. To introduce the Limbu language and the Mundhum language along with sociocultural values of it.
b. To elucidate the phonological features of the Limbu language in relation to the Mundhum language,
c. To provide the morphological aspects of the Limbu and the Mundhum language as well,
d. To present the syntactic structures of the Mundhum, and
e. To discuss about the literariness as well as stylistic features of the Mundhum.

### 1.4 Literature review

This section presents a brief glance at the contributions made by the both native and non-native including foreign scholars to the linguistic development of the Limbu language. The historical development shows that the native scholars involved from the tenth century and the foreign linguists are found to have involved from only nineteenth century onwards. The scholars making the substantial contribution are briefly discussed in a chronological order as follows:

Sirijanga (882-925 AD) was very powerful king and spiritual leader. He was the son of Galijang Hang of 'Ma' Pangphe, Imiri Yangthangwa of Tambarkhola. During his time, the situation was quite critical because the Limbu leaders of different provinces were fighting each other for their boundaries. That continuous fight created a great upheaval in the Limbuwan. Despite the social unrest, Sirijanga could put the situation under control. He realized that such prevailing grumble was supposed to be the result of ignorance and illiteracy of the people. He was the true believer of the supreme power, which according to him, was the source of knowledge and wisdom. He revived Yuma Religion propagated by his predecessors. After the consolidation of his kingdom, he was interested in educating his subjects who were untouched by the rays of education and civic sense. According to Subba (2004, p. 2), "He was a great visionary who wanted to educate all his subjects and bring about literary awakening."

Being an inquisitive to knowledge, king Sirijanga visited to Lhasa in Tibet. During his stay at Lhasa he had discourses with the learned men about the way of promoting literary awareness in the Limbuwan. He had also had an opportunity to talk with the great literary figures and scholars who were there at that time from the famous

Buddhist University Nalanda. "Those scholars were Shanta Rakshit and Bimal Mitra," Subba (2004, p. 2). After returning to Limbuwan he devised and developed the Limbu script on the line of Tibetan script (ibid, 2004). For this reason, the Limbu script is named after him and he is credited for this invention. Regarding the invention of Limbu script many linguists agree that there are many characteristics which are similar to the Tibetan script. But for this invention, Kirant Mundhum entails a different fiction in which Sirijanga was led to the mountain called Phaktanglung in Nepali 'Kumbhakarna' by the goddess of learning, Saraswati and was inspired to learn the script that was inscribed on the flat stone slate.

According to Subba, (1998) the script devised by Sirijanga fulfils the requirement in terms of words, sounds and accent. He was successful to codify a totally different script which could integrate all the grammatical and literary aspects of Limbu language. The script was believed to have been involved the long sounding letters similar to Tibetan alphabets like 'ka' 'kha' 'ta', 'pa' etc., In order to make these letters short sounded, one had to add a vowel symbol. This feature indicates that the script had its origin in Tibet or was influenced by the Tibetan script (ibid, 1998). The Sirijanga script consisted of only 21 big letters and 11 small letters (half sounding letters) then (Subba, 2004). But, the unfortunate matter is that we do not have any manuscript or record of original document so that we can observe or compare Sirijanga Hang's script with that of Teyongshi Sirijanga's (1704-1741 AD) script.

Sirijanga Hang is referred to have written many books. Of many books 'Kirant Samblo Sapla' (Kirant Songs) is the famous one. He also ordered the scholars to study and research the ancient literature of his predecessors especially Ubahang and Mabohang. He started himself teaching inherent faith of Yuma Religion, which was similar to 'PON' Religion of Tibet (Charles Bell, 1928). Sirijanga Hang is, thus a matchless figure towering high above the other writers and contributors in the development of the Limbu language and literature. While considering his divine counsels and religious preaching they appear to be universal and timeless.

Teyongshi Sirijanga Singthebe (1704-1741 AD) holds the highest position just after king Sirijanga Hang (882-925). He was born in 1704 AD on the full moon day or Mangshir Purnima at Yangrup Thum-Sinam (Limbuwan). When he was young, he
said "I am the incarnation of king Sirijanga and I have come to discover the disappeared Limbu script of Sirijanga Hang and propagate Yuma Religion Mundhums to make it popular amongst the Limbu community." His family members especially parents thought that he was the incarnation of King Sirijanga Hang of the $9^{\text {th }}$ century. This was the reason he was called Teyongshi Sirijanga the term 'Teyongshi' means incarnation in Limbu. There is no mention of his actual name rather we know his father's name as Isiri Hang Singthebe.

It was a great mystery between the periods of the first Sirijanga of $9^{\text {th }}$ century to the Teyongshi Sirijanga of $17^{\text {th }}$ century because there is no trace of any kind of literary activity in this enormous temporal gap of about nine hundred years. When the first Sirijanga passed away after thirty five years' reign, there was no immediate successor to retain the same literary and linguistic spirit that nine centuries long period fully remained dark and vacuum period for the Limbu language development. There were Limbu people who were fighting for their petty interests and a kind of individualistic feelings gripped their thinking. Nobody was ready to revive and preserve their predecessors' visions and achievements. In this way the Limbu language and literature was buried under a big heap of ashes of ignorance. Teyongshi was the man to clear up the ashes of ignorance and to find out the tiny burning coal under such ashes. He devoted himself to revive the Limbu script and religious matters which were at the verge of extinction.

As Teyongshi Sirijanga was determined to propagate the Limbu script and literacy through his heart and soul, he started teaching the pupils to learn reading and writing. He did not spend even a single moment in other matters than propagating Limbu language and literature. In the process of teaching he had eight other assistants to help him his mission. At around 1740 AD he went to Sikkim with his eight disciples especially for spreading the importance of the script and Yuma Mundhum. As his team got there, they were shocked at the knowledge that the Limbu people were indifferent to their religion, language and literature rather they were lost in learning Buddhist religion and Tibetan script. So they strove hard to convince the Limbu people to learn reading and writing the Limbus language and script. Gradually, the Limbu people were convinced with the saying by the team of preachers. In the one hand, Limbu people thought that they had got the true leadership to lead them to their
own language and religion on the other hand; the Lhamas (Buddhist monks) were infuriated with the activity of Sirijanga's team because they had prevented the Limbu people from going to Monasteries and to learn Buddhism. When the Lhamas did not see any way round to make them stop from propagating the Limbu language and religion they finally decided to finish off. According to their plot, they captured the stoic and tied to a tree trunk then hit him with poisonous arrows across his body. That was the end of the Limbu stoic Sirijanga Teyongshi.

Teyongshi Sirijanga not only risked his life but also cost it solely for the development of Limbu language and religion. Besides the development of the script, he had written text containing 'Kirant Mundhum'. The manuscript collected by B.H. Hodgson was said to have been written in different volumes by Sirijanga and his followers, which have been kept in the Indian office library, London. Obviously, this proves that Teyongshi Sirijanga has made invaluable contribution to the language and literature of the Limbus.

Kirkpatrick (1811 Reprinted 1964) had arrived in Nepal in such period when the Limbu people of Nepal, India and Sikkim (then Sikkim was independent state) had given up studying Limbu script. He stayed in Nepal for about two months, so it was obviously a short period to conduct research. In his brief stay in Nepal, he collected information of different subjects like land policy, revenue, military issues, religious customs, and the life style of the native people; their arts, architecture, trade and commerce and so on. The information he had received of diverse matters, he could publish a book entitled 'An account of Kingdom of Nepal' (1811). In his book, he mentioned that Limbu as one of the important languages. He has presented a word list of three different languages i.e. Nepali, Magar and Limbu in a parallel way. In that word list, the Limbu words are only 63 and they all belong to noun group. His work is important not because he has given word list of Limbu but because he was the first foreign writer to mention about Limbu language. His book is note worthy because he has introduced the Limbu language to the international forum. This description has definitely drawn the attention of the foreign scholars who were interested in the bravery of the Gurkhas and their languages.

Hamilton (1819 Rerinted 1986) published a volume entitled "An Account of the Kingdom of the Nepal and Surrounding Territories Annexed". In this book, he has presented Nepal's cultural, geographical, religious and linguistic scenario of the contemporary period. He has briefly referred to some of the tribes of Nepal like 'Khash, Newar, Muggur, Lapcha, Limbu and so on. His writing reflects that he has also followed the same track as Kirkpatrick (1811). Unlike Kirkpatrick, he is the first foreign writer to mention the matter that there was a kind of script in the valley of the Persia which was supposed to be the tribe called as 'Saumar' (Kirant) around four millennia BC.

Hodgson (1847) arrived in Kathmandu in 1820 as an Assistant Resident. After his arrival, he keenly searched the languages spoken in Nepal and he published that there were thirteen different languages in Nepal. He referred to that fact in the book titled 'Asiatic Researches’ Vol. XVI P. 409. His writing hints at the problem of not having the common language to exchange the idea among different linguistic groups. His book indicates that only three linguistic groups viz. Newari, Limbu and Lepcha possessed their own scripts and some books written in the respective scripts. After his retirement he stayed in Darjeeling for about fifteen years. During that period, he fully devoted to study and research about the Limbu language and collected fourteen different volumes of Limbu Mundhum probably written by Sirijanga Tyeongsi and his team. Later on, he handed over those books to Indian Office Library of London.

Hodgson has written much about Limbu in his articles published in the Journal Asiatic Society of Bengal. He collected 713 words and showed that there were 19 different consonants and only one vowel. He was the first foreign writer to coin the words non-pronominalized and pronominalized languages in terms of the use of pronouns and their range of inclusive and exclusiveness. His effort to collect the data of different languages was solely to prove whether the languages spoken in China, India, Burma, Thailand and so on had a common root or not.

Campbell (1840-55) was professionally security personnel (superintendent of police, Darjeeling) but he was keenly interested in the study of ethnic language. He wrote especially three articles related to the Limbu language in the volumes of the Journal entitled 'Asiatic Society of Bengal'. Those three articles were 'Note on the Limboos
and Other Hill Tribes Hitherto Undescribed' (1840 AD Part I, Vol. IX P. 595-615), On the Literature and Origin of Certain Hill Tribes in Sikkim (1842 part I, Vol. XI) and Notes on the Limboo Alphabet of the Sikkim Himalaya (1855, part I, Vol. XXII). In the process of writing, he had received a lot of help from lieutenant Mainwaring. It is also said that Mainwaring was the person who had found out the nineteen consonants and one vowel. But the script recorded by Campbell was the oldest one available so far. His first article published in the journal in 1840 had collected 326 Limbu words with Nepali meaning in the Roman script. Even though his translation and representation of sounds are faulty, his contribution to the development of Limbu language cannot be belittled.

Senior (1908 Reprinted 1977) is considered to be the first foreign lexicographer. His book with the title 'A Vocabulary of the Limbu Language of Eastern Nepal' consists of 3427 words. The Limbu words have been transliterated in Roman script and equivalent English meaning has been provided. He has presented a list of some useful English sentences with their meaning in Limbu through the Roman script. Mainly he presents two forms for each verb i.e. verbal noun form e.g. <- ma> as in 'pek'-ma='to go' and imperative form <-e> as in 'sere', 'thukte' 'pege', etc. Major Senior's Dictionary (1908) is, however, not free from some weaknesses. The covert error that has crept into the Dictionary is faulty translation of the Limbu words. In the same way, they are inappropriate transcriptions of the words which surely lead to the confusion to other linguists. To sum up, Senior has certainly made a considerable contribution to the development of the Limbu language.

Grierson (1909) is generally regarded a leading linguist of his time. He has referred to the Limbu language in the book "Linguistic Survey of India (Vol.III part I P. 283304). In this short writing, he has presented a traditional type of grammar which is the milestone for the Limbu language. It is thought to be the pioneering task and the first grammar ever written on Limbu. The basis of the grammar is the Phedappe dialect however it is equally considerable all over the other dialects of Limbu because there is similarity in the basic thing in all dialects. In this precise grammar, he goes on referring to pronunciation, articles, nouns-gender, number, case adjective, numerals, pronouns, verb, voice, subject and object, verb substantive, finite verb present tense, past time, imperative, verbal noun and participle, negative verb interrogative particle
and compound verbs. If one goes through his grammar, $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ finds three lapses in it. The one is inaccurate translation. The Limbu term 'a-hipne-chi' has been translated as 'I strike me and thee' but its actual sense is 'we, two, beat each other'. The next is inaccurate presentation of middle voice e.g. he has stated 'hip-a-sing' for the sense 'I beat myself' but its accurate form is 'hip-sing-a'. The third notion he has mistaken is that the prefix <ku-> has been presented as though it has its independent meaning, but it is never so, because there should be noun or pronoun preceded by the prefix <ku->. Even being such minor lapses, Grierson's contribution is of no fewer steps for the linguistic development of this ignored and uncared minority language.

Vansittart (1906) is another outstanding scholar to conduct research on the ethnic groups/tribes of Nepal. His book 'Gurkhas' consists of thirteen different chapters. Out of the thirteen chapters, he has discussed about the Limbu in two chapters i.e. chapter 9 and 10 . The $9^{\text {th }}$ chapter is about the History of Limbu or he mentions this chapter as "A Translation of Limbu History". In this chapter he discusses about the Limbu deities, their native names and functions. Likewise he says about the origin of Limbu tribe and discusses about their position after the war against the Gurkha king Prithvi Narayan Shah (1831 VS)

The next chapter $\left(10^{\text {th }}\right)$ is about the Limbu culture and religion. Firstly this chapter refers to the ten divisions of Limbu tribes. Then after he proceeds on telling about religion, classes of priests marriage customs, marriage ceremony among Limbus, funeral ceremony, disposal of the dead and food. He concludes his chapter presenting a detailed table of original homes of Limbu tribes and their abodes. Although the writer does not mention any reference about the language and literature, this writing has played a crucial role to focus on the minor ethnic tribe, Limbu before and across the foreign scholars who are eager to know and study on Limbu. For this reason, the writer is of great value in making ethnic (Limbu) introduction.

Sendang (1873) after the gap of about two centuries period of the Limbu native writer like Teongsi Sirijanga, there appeared an energetic Limbu native scholar Lalshor Sendang who was born in 1840 AD in Limbuwan Aathrai, presently in Tehrathum district. From his childhood, he was inclined to religion so he was eager to be educated himself. He would secretly read books especially hidden by his father,

Hangbir Sendang. Reading books in Limbu was strictly prohibited in the Limbuwan area. He , then stealthily taught to read and write to other people in the surrounding villages. But, slowly his activity would not remain secret for a long time. As he came to realize that there was no possibility to stay safely in the villages one day, he fled away to Sikkim, Darap. He did not remain in one place for a long time. He kept on visiting different villages of Sikkim. Wherever he went, he would propagate Limbu language and script, especially his propagation was about Sirijanga's life-story and his divine counsels. Though he was old enough of eighty years, there was no trace of despair, and indifference towards the propagation Limbu language and script.

Sendang was essentially a self-educated man; he wrote 'Siwa Khahun' and from this writing anyone could notice that he was deeply impressed by the religious sect of Kirant called Josamani. The writing Siwa khahun mainly consists of instructions about the Limbu life style and the religious codes and conducts. Undoubtedly he could play a significant role in the Limbu language development.

Phalgu Nanda Lingden was born in 1942 VS (1885AD) in Ilam district, Chukchinamba village. His real name was Nara Dhoj. Also he was nick-named as Phalam Sing by the village women because he had worn iron bangles on his arms and ankles during his childhood. When he got young, he joined in the Indian army. But he did not remain there for a long time because his nature would not allow him to be there so far. Then he quitted away from the army and on his way home he happened to meet the sage of 'Joshmani sect' and stayed there for some time. As he was departing from the sage (hermit), he also received new name as 'Phalgunanda'. When he came back from India, he started teaching the Limbu fellows about the script and manner of life. His preaching especially about the Limbu script and Mundhum could not go unhindered because the rulers were firmed about one nation, one language policy. Once he was arrested and taken to Kathmandu on the charge of preaching the Limbu people about their language and religion.

Lingden is basically known as a social reformer. He had a bitter experience about the life and custom the Limbu people were leading. The Limbu community was, in his sense, sinking down in the vale of evil practice and culture. The majority people were illiterate and living the underdog life because of their wrong manners. The utter
poverty had engulfed the whole community. So the sage saw no practical route out of such degraded life standard except the immediate steps of reformation. He delivered speech on the way of reformation in different places. He sang Mundhum which would tell about the right path the Limbu people were supposed to follow. One of the reformations he had set was to avoid the excessive use of alcoholic drinks and meat in every Limbu ritual. He thought that such practice was the root cause of all evils that prevailed in the community. In order to decide right and wrong one has to possess the knowledge. Unless and until a man has knowledge, s/he would never be able to find out right and wrong.

Lingden established mang-him (temples) with the sole aim of preserving language, culture and religion of the Limbus. Books especially related to Limbu rituals were written along with the establishment of the mang-him (temples). Lingden and his disciples wrote about half dozen books on such rituals.

Kandangwa ( 2010 VS ) is one of the noteworthy names to the development of the Limbu language and literature. He published four books on the Limbu language and he wrote many articles and published them in different papers, magazines and journals. He published the book 'Kiranti Kheda in 2010 VS and also he is regarded as the first Limbu story writer which was published in 2018 VS. His first story entitled Karobar 'transaction' is considered as the original writing in the native language which is successful to represent the typical cultural issue of the Limbu community. Undoubtedly he possessed multi dimensional personality since he was basically known as politician and held many positions i.e. assistant minister, chief of the zonal administration, president of District Panchayat Committee, etc. But most Limbu people know him as a great contributor to the development of Limbu language and literature.

Rana Dhoj Nembang was born on $4^{\text {th }}$ Mangsir 1974 VS in Imbung, Panchthar. He had keen interest in reading that is why he was able to receive education in both Limbu and Nepali without joining formal classes. As he gained the basic knowledge of Nepali and Limbu languages, he devoted his whole life in the service of the Limbu language and literature. Later on, he proved to be a versatile writer as he wrote books of diverse subjects e.g. grammar, history, astronomy, poems and so on. Unfortunately
his writing manuscripts fell on others hands, for that reason, his real worth has not been calculated well in the field of literature. It is Rana Dhoj Nembang who is named immediately after Sirijanga Teyongsi only because his numerous writings were scattered at different countries like Nepal, Bhutan, Sikkim, Burma and Britain. His available books are ten in number. Most of his books are concerned with Limbu Mundhum for instance Sammang Chyang, Mundhum Khaik, Choit Mundhum, Chasok Sewang Pokma, etc.

Sprigg (1959) is the initiator of the study of language from the linguistic point of view. He published a book entitled 'Limbu Books' in Kiranti script in 1959. Similarly his thesis on 'Phonological Formulae for the Verb in Limbu as a Contribution to Tibeto-Burman Comparison' was published under the compilation of C.E. Daneal in 1966. His thesis states that a linguist, who involves in the task of comparison of different phonological property, surely faces difficulties due to the difference in the phonetic forms of the particular lexical items in accordance with difference in junction and verb root present in the Tibetan and the Limbu. The purpose of the thesis is to show the proof from Limbu that prosodic analysis can establish a single formula, a lexical item, surmount the difficulties arising out of multiplicity of phonemic forms for that item reflecting phonetic diversity from one context to another, or difference of root, or both and thus simplifies the task of a comparist in the Tibeto-Burman field. "This is the first meticulous attempt at classifying the Limbu verbs on the basis of morphological properties" (Weidert \& Subba, 1985, p. 12).

Khadga Bahadur Nembang was born in Pauwa, Panchthar in 1914. He published lyrical epic-fragment entitled 'Kirant Mikhan Samlo' in 1955. His main focus rests on the critical condition of the Limbu community especially caused by the prevailing illiteracy and malpractices in the Limbu culture and customs. He devoted his life raising the awareness in Limbu community by means of lyrical composition.

Chemjong (1904-1976 AD) is one of the greatest scholars in the field of Limbu language and literature. The fact is that the Limbu language would not have developed in this form, in the absence of his contribution. Every Limbu native must owe to him for the unprecedented contribution Chemjong has made. The compilation work of Limbu Mundhum has got its own special significance in the history of Limbu
language development. 'Kirant Mundhum' alternatively known as 'Kirant ko Beda' ( 1961 AD ) is, undoubtedly the first book comprising the most essential aspects of the Limbu Mundhum. This book (Kirant Mundhum) consists of three different parts. The first part involves the mythological references which are concerned with the origin of universe, human being, sin, anger, jealousy, etc. The second part has ethical values, codes, mores, instructions, and ways of performing different rites and rituals. Similarly, the third and last part deals with philosophical preaching and the problems entailed and faced during the propagation of the Limbu Mundhum. About this 'Kirant Mundhum' the author writes in the preface as "This Mundhum has not been written by listening others incantations or self-imagination rather it has been transliterated into Devanagari script and translated into Nepali language directly from the thirty-six hand long (approximately fifty feet) rolled paper written in the Sirijanga script."

Apart from the book 'Kirant Mundhum', he wrote different eight books on various subject matters. These all books are of great worth in the Limbu language and literature and these books have been successful to lift him high towering above than other contemporary and later writers. His rest books viz. Kirant itihans, Kirant history of literature, Kiranti dantya katha, Kirant Mundhum Khahun, History and culture of Kirant people (English publication), The summary of Kirant philosophy, Kirant byakaran and Short history of Bijayapur during Kiranti Regime are all equally important in their own aspect. They all are really successful to reveal the depth of the subject matter. They hint at the clear-cut path and impart the insights into the respective disciplines.

Chemjong was devoted to the upliftment and propagate the language, literature and the Mundhum of the Limbu Kirant. He was a true devotee of the Mundhum in terms of speech, mind as well as action. He was not merely a versatile writer but also an energetic activist of his time who mobilized the Limbu community for the development of the Limbu language and literature feeling the responsibility of being a member of the Yakthung Chumlung in 1925 AD in Kalimpong India. In that organization, Chemjong was nominated as the general secretary and it was a milestone in the history of Limbu language and literary development. Mainly, the book Kirant-Mundhum provides a basis for the Kiranti Limbu natives about the knowledge of the Mundhum and also a ground for Linguistic study of the Limbu Mundhum.

Shafer (1966) is widely referred name in terms of the Tibeto-Burman language study. He is regarded as the initiator of the typological study of different languages. He is also credited much for the comparative study of all Tibeto-Burman languages. His contribution to typological study of Tibeto-Burman languages after the establishment of linguistic survey of India acquainted students of these languages with their common features.

Kainla (1973) is the pen name of Til Bikram Nembang, who is a successful writer in both Nepali and Limbu language literature. He is known only from the year 1973 as a writer of the Limbu language especially the compilation of Limbu-Nepali-shabda sangraha (1973) which contains 1500 words but it is still unpublished. Limbu-NepaliEnglish Dictionary edited by him and published by Royal Nepal Academy has twelve thousand head words along with derivatives and it has forty thousand words altogether. This Dictionary is the largest one ever written in the Limbu language. The entry words are first written in Sirijanga script then transliterated in Nepali and English transcription. The meaning or the explanation has been given both in Nepali and English. The Dictionary also introduces the historical background and linguistic features based on different linguists' studies before the real entry begins. This introductory portion has significance for the researchers who are really keen interested in the study of Limbu language. After all, the dictionary stands upon the foundation of Iman Sing Chemjong's ‘Limbu-English Dictionary’ which was published in 1961.

Besides the issue of lexicography, Bairagi Kainla is also seen as a successful writer in the field of Limbu grammar. He has written the Limbu grammar following the theories of modern linguistics. In this unpublished grammar, he recounts the history of Limbu alphabets and deals with Limbu phonology by contrasting in the minimal pair in the identical phonetic contexts and complementary distribution. He presents distinctive features of both vowels and consonants. He classifies the vowel sounds on the basis of lips positions, height of the tongue, and movement of the tongue and the length of the time in the process of utterance. In the same way, he classifies the consonant sounds in terms of place of articulation, manner of articulation, aspiration, voicing and breathy, murmur and voiced sound. He attempts the distribution of both
vowels and consonants in initial, middle and final positions. Consonant cluster vowel sequences and diphthongs are listed in the grammar. The concept of close and open syllables is listed along with the syllabic structures like mono, di-, tri- and multi syllabic words in Limbu.

His article, entitled 'Limbu bhasha ra kosh nirman' introduces the geographical areas of Limbu settlement, the size of population, culture and population. The article highlights the language variety, dialects, the tradition of writing in the Limbu script, the history of writing dictionary. Finally, the article mentions the names of different individuals who have contributed to the development of Limbu language and literature (Journal of Limbu Culture and Literature 1998).

The obvious matter is that Bairagi Kainla is the person who has compiled and edited a large scale of the Mundhum. His contribution to the Limbu language began in the year 2030 VS with the compilation of Limbu-Nepali Shabda Sangraha' containing 1500 words but still unpublished. Then he translated Subba Rana Dhoj Nembang's lyrical poem entitled Kirant mikhan samlo 'Kirant Awakening Song' in 2038 VS. In the years 2047 VS he compiled 'The hymns of satyahangma sect and instructions of Mahaguru Phalgunanda' (mahaguru phalgu nanda ka upadesh-haru tatha satyahangma panth-ka bhajanmala). The following year, 2048 VS he compiled a book called Limbu jatima kokha puja (The worshipping of the womb). The myth of jealousy and anger (ershya ra ankhi dahi ko aakhyan) appeared in 2051 VS and in the same year he published the other book 'pretatma ko aakhyan ra anusthan (the legend of dead spirit and its ritualistic performance). The next year 2052 VS he published a book with the title 'mocha marne aakhyan ra anusthan (The mundhum of killing evil spirit 'moch' and its ritualistic performance). The other book ' Tangsing takma mundhum aakhyan ra anusthan (The Mundhum of unifying kinship, legend and its ritualistic performance) appeared in the same year 2052 VS and chait mundhum ( the description of the creation ) in 2060 VS.

Kainla, in this way appears to be a versatile writer. He has penned near about a dozen books of different issues on Limbu Mundhum. Especially the last five publications are entirely devoted to the Limbu Mundhum. Each volume consists of three different aspects i.e. introduction, main text and glossary. The introductory part deals with the
background of the subject matter, meaning, purpose, methods or ways of ritualistic performance etc. The next part is about the main part or the presentation of main text. The main text has been presented in the Sirijanga script (Kirant Lipi) then its transliteration in Devnagari and finally the translated version of the Limbu into Nepali. For this reason, the main text is readable even for the non-Limbu native speakers. The last part of book consists of appendix which is for clarification of the main text. In this part, the glossary is the important thing because it includes hundreds of cultural terms that are especially used in the Mundhum recitation.
(Birahi) Kainla (1975) in the context of Limbu language and literature is the writer often heard but much confusing with the other writer 'Bairagi Kainla'. These both pen names (names only used in literary or other writings) have similarities in terms of sound, meaning, order of birth and the 'clan' too. They are of the same Limbu clan i.e. 'Nembang' and both are Kainla, one is 'Bairagi' and the other is 'Birahi' and both are successful writers. The difference lies between them is that Bairagi is Nepali whereas Birahi belongs to Nepali speaking Indian.

Birahi Kainla is another vigorous writer worthy to be remembered. He has penned in different four languages i.e. Nepali (four books), Hindi (one book) English (one book) and Limbu (three books). Of the four books in Nepali language, 'Limbu bhasha ra sahitya ko samchhipta parichaya (A brief introduction to Limbu language and literature) is one of the important books. It really gives the insight into the progress, the Limbu language and literature has made upto this time. Out of three books written in the Limbu (Sirijanga Lipi) script, kesami namsami (an epic fragment) is important creation on the part of the writer.

Allen (1978) is undoubtedly a noteworthy name in connection with the stylistic interpretation of the Kiranti ritual language. His article entitled 'sewala puja bintila puja: notes on Thulung ritual language' is most probably the first scientific study the Kirant ritual language. His paper mainly highlights on the structure of the ritual language of Thulung Rai. He primarily points out the liturgical language of Thulung which is marked by the characteristic of paired expressions. He terms such paired expressions as binomials and each part of binominal 'limb'. His research paper is essentially a pioneering work on the Kirant ritual language ever written from the
scientific point of view. It can provide helpful guidelines for the objective analysis of the ritual text of the Limbu Mundhum too. Basically he is noted for anthropologist yet he has written three articles related to linguistics especially on Thulung language. From the year 1992 to 2000, he has written twenty different articles and books. Out of the twenty, one book Sketch of Thulung grammar, with three texts and glossary (1975), three articles like 'Byansi kinship terminilogy: a study in symmetry (1975), Sherpa kinship terminology in diachronic perspective (1976) and Sewala puja bintila puja: notes on Thulung ritual language' (1978) are related to linguistic study. His recently published book Miyapma: traditional narratives of the Thulung Rai (2012) is mostly written on anthropological perspective.

Of all the writings, the article 'Sewala puja bintila puja: notes on Thulung ritual language' (1978) is of the great focus and importance for this writing. The structure 'Sewala puja bintila puja' is very much analogical to the Mundhum structure. This title is thus hinting at the ritualistic expression because the word 'sewala' is functioning as apposed/modifying word of the headword 'bintila' and like the Limbu Mundhum, the word 'puja' is identical verb.

Subba and Subba (1980) both belong to the same Limbu clan Muringla are the successful writers of the Limbu language and literature. Though these two writers do not belong to the same generation because of the vast difference of the age, i.e. P.S. Subba (Muringla) was born in 1923 AD where as B.B. Subba (Muringla) was born in 1947 AD, they appear jointly in most of the publications. This does not necessarily mean that they have not written separately. P.S. Subba has earned his name as a novelist in Limbu literature whereas B.B. Subba is identified as a text book writer. The later is also a famous singer.

Weidert (1983) is a recurring name in the study of Limbu language and literature. He is referred to in the linguistic aspect rather than literary field. His article entitled 'Verb class morphology of Limbu re-constructability problems in evolutionary morphology' mainly deals with two aspects. The first aspect is about the internal reconstruction of Limbu verb class morphology with systematic grouping of verb stem, verb paradigm sample list for verb classes, internal construction and reconstructed proto-Limbu verb morphology. The next is about reconstructability aspects of Limbu verb class suffixes.

Weidert and Subba (1985) published a book jointly with the title 'Concise Limbu grammar and dictionary' in 1985. This book consists of four parts. The first part customarily includes introduction, orthographical and typographical conventions, phonetics and phonology, morphology, the syntactico-semantic analysis of Limbu verbs, the morphology and syntax of modal pronominal adjectives and adverb and ergativity and transitivity. The second part consists of nominal and verbal paradigms. The third part is about Concise Limbu-English dictionary and the fourth part deals with the glossary or the word list of Limbu.

The first part-introductory portion describes that the Limbu language is one of the dominant and most important language of the Kiranti group of Tibeto-Burman languages in terms of number of speakers and the vastness of geographical distribution and is considered it to be different in many respects from all other Kiranti languages in terms of linguistic structures i.e. the existence of the phonemic glottal stops, fully developed noun and verb declension system. The morphological division into two different classes which are termed active and middle, the use of three numbers and the difference between exclusive and inclusive forms with in the first person dual and plural among both the personal pronouns and finite verb forms, the presence of transitive agreement and so forth. The writers have managed the four dialectal variations in the Limbu language. The dialectal variants are Panchthare (comprising Yanggrokke Limbu) Phedappe Limbu, Chhathare Limbu and Taplejungge or Mewakhole Limbu. They have mentioned that the Panchthare dialect is approaching Lingua franka and the Chhathare is markedly different from other dialects because no other speakers except Chhathare can understand and speak the Chhathare dialect. For this reason, Tumbahang (2007) also regards the Chhathare as a different language in his doctoral dissertation. They have clearly indicated different lexical items on the basis of gender (sex) with in the Panchthare dialect. They have shown their contrastive opinions against the writings of Limbu's language by Grierson Vol. II part I first edition 1909 pp . 283-304 and also they have pointed out the weaknesses of 'A vocabulary of the Limbu language of eastern Nepal' by H.W.R. Senior. But they have appreciated R.K. Sprigg's book "Phonological formulae for the verb in Limbu as a contribution to Tibeto Burman comparison" saying that it is a
meticulous attempt at classifying the Limbu verb on the basis of morphological properties.

Part two contains nominal and verb paradigms. Nominal paradigm consists of five simple cases, a syncretised form for the functions of ergative, instrumental and genitive cases and absolutive, vocative unspecified comitative, deponent genitive locative, deponent genitive ablative, independent genitive comparative instrumental, independent genitive comparative absolutive, and so on. The declensions of three nouns, pronouns, denominal adjectives and infinitives are presented in the nominal paradigm. The verb paradigm presents active, passive causative, transitive, intransitive, imperative, interrogative, possessive, etc.

The third part consists of concise Limbu-Nepali-English Dictionary which has presented the entry words of Limbu in International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA), grammatical description in italics, its meaning in English and the varieties of lexical words with pronunciation in IPA transcription. Some of the words are given in Nepali so as to make the meaning clear. The fourth and last part has glossary that includes entry words in IPA transcription.

Michailovsky (1985) is worth-mentioning name especially on two counts. The first thing is his article on Tibeto-Burman dental suffixes: Evidence from Limbu. His article states that $/-\mathrm{t} /$ and $/-\mathrm{s} /$ are the productive suffixes of the verb roots of the TibetoBurman language family. According to his article, the Limbu verb roots are formed on the basis of two verb stems and phonologically, their syllable may be open, may end in glottal stop, or may have one of the clause finals/ $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y} /$

The next creditable thing he has done is that he carried out field research especially on Maiwa khole dialect of Limbu. During his field study he collected different types of words and compiled a dictionary (2002). His dictionary 'Limbu-English Dictionary of Mewa Khole Dialect' particularly covers the colloquial spoken language and it has two aspects, first, it provides data on the peculiarities of Maiwa Khola dialect of Limbu; secondly it illustrates relatively strong or restrictive approach to Limbu phonology, which tries to define precisely the contexts in which various traits such as voicing, gemination, vowel length and glottalization need to be taken into account.

From the structural point of view, the dictionary consists of three parts. In this the introductory part deals with transcription of Limbu words in specified letters, and discusses the features of both vowel and consonant sounds of Limbu. The second part is about the main text that is the Limbu-English Dictionary. Similarly, the third section consists of the index.
van Driem (1987) is another name constantly referred to the most of writing related to the Tibeto-Burman languages, especially the Limbu language after the latter decades of twentieth century. He wrote a comprehensive grammar of Limbu (1987) and a number of articles related to the Limbu language before and after the year of Grammar's publication. So, it has been undeniable fact that his contribution to the development of Limbu language is very great. To mention about his work 'A grammar of Limbu (1987)' it has divided into three sections. The first section generally introduces to the Limbu language, its native speakers, population geographical areas, etc. In the introduction he states that the Limbus designated themselves by the name Yakthumba and their language by the name Yakthumba pan or Yakthungpan yet he could not find any native speaker to be able to tell about the origin of the word 'Yakthungba'. He is found in the same opinion as Weidert and Subba (1985) in the case of dialectal variants of the Limbu language. The overall reading of his grammar's introductory portion reveals that his grammar is based on the Phedappe dialect.

The second part of his grammar is the main text which includes ten different chapters full of analytical overview of the related issues. The first chapter deals with sounds system of the Limbu language that is phonetics and phonology. He also divides the sounds into two groups as native and loan phonemes. The full inventory of the Limbu phonemes comprises 18 native consonant phonemes and 11 loan consonant phonemes. Similarly there are 13 vowel phonemes. The Limbu word as a phonological unit is described by two features such as Hiatus and syllable structure type. The second chapter discusses about nominal morphology that includes adjectives, pronouns and nouns. It also states case such obsolutive, ergative, instrumental, genitive, vocative, comitative meditative, elative, allative, intrative and the comparative and superlative markers.

The third chapter is about seven verbs in Limbu, which cover different senses of English 'to be'. They are 'wama' existential 'to be' 'hopma' negative existential 'to be', 'yakma' locational 'to be', patma adhesive to be, chokma attributive to be, pongma inchoative 'to be' and identity operation <-e> 'am'. The fourth chapter gives the morphemic analysis of simplicia in which fourteen affixal slots for the affixation markers encoding agreement with actant are analysed. In the same way the fifth chapter presents aspects and aspectivisers. Under aspects perfective and imperfective aspects and imperious future are discussed. Likewise, the aspectiviser comprises terminative, dimittive, cadent or dejective, relinquitive, resultative, impendent, sustained action, dative, ponentmechrithnatous, probative, totalizing and inceptive preservative and miscellaneous aspectivizers.

Chapter six is about mode which deals with optative, conditional, and irrealis modi as well as interrogative mode. Chapter seven consists of gerunds and periphrastic tenses. The three kinds of gerunds are present, perfect and negative perfect which occur adverbially and along with the auxiliaries to form periphrastic tenses. Chapter eight reveals a number of indicative constructions such as hortative, imperative nominalizer suffix <-pa>, active participle and its negative, the passive participle, supine, the passivizer <-tetma>, the impersonal inclusive and the polite inclusive. The ninth chapter is for subordination, narration and certain causal modifiers. Finally, chapter ten deals with causative and ergative.

The third part of the text is related to appendices that includes a corpus of text, verbal paradigm, a Limbu-English glossary and the Kiranti script. The texts are concerned with culinary, daily life, myths and legends, fables and parables and anecdotes and riddles. The verb paradigm involves regular and irregular verbs, regular apophony of intransitive verb and irregular apophony of intransitive verbs. Limbu-English glossary has been prepared basing on the phedappe dialect which has followed the rules of modern linguistic theory. It contains 2300 words transcribed according to IPA chart along with their meaning in English. Various stem forms have been recorded and words have been used in sentences to make the meaning clear. Word-order has been maintained according to the IPA. The ending of the third part entails the presentation of Kiranti script with its brief history beginning from Sirijanga through Iman Sing Chemjong to the time of writing this book. '

Limbu ( 2049 VS) is really a note-worthy person in the sense that he being a retired pensioner of British Army and man with no formal education, ventured to write books on the Limbu language and literature. For this, he deserves a deep appreciation. His books are Nepali-Angreji shabdakosh (Nepali-English Dictionary) and susumetle kubokma kusamma (The origin of evil spirit). His dictionary resumes with the introduction of kirant alphabet. He has revealed only eight vowels including two diphthongs. He presents thirty five consonant letters imitating Nepali orthography. It seems that allophones have also been presented as phonemes. The latter book 'susumetle kubokma kusamma' includes mythical form of origin of the devil called 'moch' and ways to subdue it. It also plainly expresses the ritualistic approaches of dead body, funeral marches, etc.

Subba (1995) earned popularity when he wrote 'The culture and religion of Limbus' in 1995. This book was outcome of field research under the project "A study on the culture and religion of Limbu' supported and financed by the Niwano Peace Foundation Japan. This book contains fifteen chapters. The first chapter deals with ethnographic area, purpose and methodology of the study. The second chapter is concerned with the historical background of Limbu on their cultural context and focuses on their present situation. The third chapter throws light on the literary status and script of the Limbu language. The fourth chapter is about the customs, tradition and festivals of Limbu. This chapter further discusses the practices and norms that govern the social relationship about which the Mundhums are rare and vague.

The fifth chapter considers the aesthetic aspect of the Limbu folk-lore especially focusing on the various perspectives of folklore including music, song, musical instruments, dance, drama, arts, folk-tales and so on. This chapter tries to present precisely about the extensive hidden treasure of folklore. The sixth chapter is devoted to the roles, cultural significance, and functional importance of traditional religious practitioners, healers, and misfortune preventers locally known as Phedangba, Samba, Yeba, Yema, Onshi, Mangba and Khedumang Mundhum. The seventh chapter is about the ceremonies preceding the birth with background and justification. The eighth chapter deals with the ritual of purification of birth and naming of the infant. The ninth chapter is to describe the Mangena ritual which is performed by all Limbu for
their safety, well-being and progress by recollecting the history of their ancestors and paying homage to them.

The tenth chapter focuses on the rituals of the marriage ceremony, myth behind the marriage, customs and tradition of marriage and recent practices. The eleventh chapter deals with the rituals of Nahangma to be performed by household chief for the well being and prosperity of his family including himself. The twelfth chapter is about 'Tongsing' ritual, the most important ritual of the Limbu which has the Mundhums of Cosmology, eschatology and various aspects of human life along with several myths, legends and analects held during the period of three nights and almost five days. The thirteenth chapter is to discuss about the various rites and rituals related to death ceremonies with the background of Mundhum and interpretation of post life situation based on it. The fourteenth chapter presents the supernatural powers and spirituality that are supposed to be believed by the Limbu. It states various types of spiritualities or supernatural ranging from almighty gods, divinities, ancestral spirits to human souls based on the concepts of Mundhums and current practices. Here, religious concepts and philosophical speculations have also been discussed. The final chapter or the fifteenth chapter sheds light on the Limbu heritage, the issue of conservation and retrogation factor of cultural mutation, ethnic awareness, social distance and integration and responses to the cultural issues.

The fifteenth chapter is only the final portion of the main text but the book entails appendices and as other authors Subba has also presented different things under the appendices. For instance, appendix I consists of Kirant alphabets, II has the name of Yebas and their origin and III has sawa yehang (the ancestor). The final portion of this part (appendix) is about index including phonetic symbols and word list with respective page numbers to be found in the book.

Pokhrel (1999) introduces Limbu language as agglutinative, suffix prominent, complex pronominalized language with distinct active and middle contrast unlike Nepali language in his article 'Middle voice and grammaticalization of reflexive morpheme in Limbu'. His remark about Limbu is that all the Limbu intransitive verbs are middle and most of the transitive verbs are active. He points out that <-a> is a
third person singular intransitive suffix and $\langle-u>$ is a third person singular transitive suffix. $\langle-a\rangle$ is identified as middle and $\langle-u\rangle$ is an active.

It is also better to mention Pokharel's one of earlier articles entitled "Nepal ko bhasha ma Limbu bhasha" presented in the seminar "Limbu Language and Literature" organized by Royal Nepal Academy (1993). In this article, he mentions the salient features of Limbu language which differentiate it from other languages in one hand and bring closer with other language family in the other hand.

Gaenszle (2000) has devoted a considerable amount of his time in the study of ritualistic language particularly one of the Rai's clans-Mewahang. He has written a book with the title 'Origins and migration, kinship, mythology and ethnic identity among Mewahang Rai of east Nepal’ (2000). In his book, he has presented a detailed study on the Mewahang Rai in which he has the settlement area, history, culture, language religion, custom, mythology, etc. In other words, his book reflects the entire life situation of the Mewahang Rai. His two articles viz. 'Ancestral voices: oral ritual texts and their social contexts among the Mewahang Rai in the east Nepal (2002) and worshiping the king god: A preliminary analysis of ritual language in the invocation of Raj Deu (2005)' are based on pragmatic interpretation of the Kiranti ritual language. His articles are really promising and extensive work in terms of the treatment of the ritual language. The former article tries to reveal that the Mewahang Rai employ the distinct code while performing certain ritual. The language used in the ritualistic performance is essentially different from that of the everyday communication. Only the priest is supposed to have the authority to invoke and convoke the ancestral spirits and he is only the person to understand the ancestral voices. Likewise the later article is about how the Chhintang Rai priest converses with the Raj Deu, the king god. This article surfaces various aspects the ritual performance of the Raj Deu like the narrative tradition, way of worshipping, the technique of invocation and the ritual language used during the invocation.

Subba (Pandhak) (2001) has gained his identity as voluminous writer. By profession and education he is basically specialist in agricultural science yet his most of the books are related to the Limbu language and literature. Surprisingly, he is inclined to the abstract notion i.e. the Limbu religion. In his two-decade long writing career, he
wrote nearly one and half dozen of books. Out of these, one dozen of books deal with Limbu language and religion. It would be injustice to him to mention the date '2001' as if he had started writing only, onward that date because he had written quite earlier than that. His book concerning with the Limbu language and religion was written in 1998 with the title 'The philosophy and teachings of Yuma samyo' At least six books are directly related to the Limbu Mundhum. Yakthung re tendham mekhim Mundhum (1998), Yakthung re phungsok timma Mundhum (1998), Sumsemba yagrangsing tongsing took Mundhum (2001), Mujoklung khajoklung Mundhum (2003), Semering Mundhum part II (2004), and Concept of life after life and funeral practices in Yuma samya tradition (2004). The above referred Mundhums are of different aspects of ceremonial events and rituals. The Mundhum and its aspect differ from one particular ritual to another. For example, the first mentioned Mundhum "Yakthung re tendham mekkhim Mundhum (1998) is related to the ceremonial proceedings during the marriage ceremony. Marriage is considered to be one of the important events of life, so it has been held in accordance with certain rituals. The rest five Mundhums are for different ritualistic proceedings.

He has also come up with other books especially taking the subject of philosophy and Limbu language. His book, for example, "The philosophy and teaching of yuma samyo (1998) is basically dealing with philosophical notion associated to the Kirant (according to him 'Yuma samyo') religion. He argues that any religion is fairly and firmly grounded on certain philosophical bases and Kirant religion has definitely possessed these philosophical criteria. His other book 'History and Ddevelopment of Limboo language' (2002) is essentially devoted to the Limbu language. By observing the books he has written, it is fair to say that he has made a great deal of contribution to the Limbu language, literature and religion as well.

Idingo's (2001) M.A. thesis entitled 'Pragmatic study of Limbu Mundhum-A referential system in Limbu oral text' is perhaps the first attempt to derive the meaning through semantic as well as pragmatic approaches. In his thesis, he analyses Limbu oral literature or text from the linguistic point of view along with referential system within pragmatic framework and describes how oral tradition of Limbu literature has been textualized. He puts forward three different criteria for analyzing such as a language pronominalization, context-dependent language and specific politeness
principle. The same term 'adangbe' he states, functions discharging various levels of honorification depending on the nature and contextual appropriateness. His thesis embodies five different chapters centering upon the pragmatics for getting across the intended meaning of the Limbu Mundhum. The thesis writer has used Mundhum as a domain of analysis applying the tools given in the third chapter and finds out that oral Mundhum texts have been highly developed, complex and pragmatically, context depended system of textuality with dynamic addressees who may include both persons and supernatural beings. His another concluding statement is that Mundhum lacks interactive attitudes of seemingly producers and the receivers and every aspect of narration and ritual practices of Mundhum is dictated by a set tradition.

Meyangbo (2005) appeared with a book entitled 'Yakthung Mundhum' in 2005 AD. His book is mainly a collection of Limbu Mundhum of two different major events. That is why; he has divided the book into two cantos. The first canto consists of the title Tingding nahen Mundhum 'The myth of envy and jealousy'. Under this canto, Meyangbo tells about the necessary articles for performing the ritual. Then he goes on telling how to call upon 'gurus' both mortal and supernatural beings. After invoking the gurus to help the Phedangba, he starts reciting about the origins of different things like earth, year, knowledge, creation gods, divinities, deities, mountain, hill, sky, stars, plants, animals, insects, etc. The Mundhum refers to the origin of envy and jealousy, the life and other major events of life and finally the canto sums up with remedy of getting rid of evil spirits or misfortune.

The second canto is about the ways of consolidating the relational ties among the kinship, of awakening the life vital force within us, of warding off the evils; of washing off the curses, of making the spirit (soul) entered into the life and the way of blocking the path of death. In other words, this canto can be interpreted as the sincere attempt to maintain peace, progress and prosperity through the solemn relationship with man to man and man to supernatural being. Though the latter attempts to write Mundhums do not seem to be newer ones because most of these versions have been referred to in Iman Sing Chemjong's compilation 'Kirant Mundhum' (Kirant ko Beda), the writers have presented them in detailed manner and also a newer perspective. Meyangbo cannot be the exception in this regard.

Meyangbo has presented this Mundhum into transliterated version in Devnagari script. After completing the two cantos of Mundhum, he has also presented the translation into Nepali. This translated version has really its worth as it makes the non-Limbu native speakers understand easily. To sum up, it can be said that it has got its own originality since it minutely refers to the ways of performing particular rituals which are not found in Imang Sing Chemjong's book. For example Kirant Mundhum by Chemjong does not specify the necessary items for the performance of certain rituals nor does it refer to the process of summoning gurus and so forth.

Tumbahang's (2007) doctoral dissertation entitled 'A descriptive grammar of the Chhathare Limbu' (2007) was submitted to the faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences in fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of the Doctor of Philosophy in Linguistics, Central Department Kirtipur, Kathmandu. His dissertation is the first to deal with especially the Chhathare Limbu ever written before. His research work is successful to reveal the grammatical aspects in the light of modern linguistic theory. The dissertation encapsulates the basic levels of language i.e. phonology, morphology, syntax and even the discourse level.

The initial portion or the introductory part describes about the Limbu language, the number of speakers, the size of population, geographical area the dialectal variants, etc. Then the next part deals with the main issue that the researcher intends to put forward. Though he mentions the four types of Limbu dialects as other linguists like George van Driem and Alfons Weidert he seems to be drawing a clear demarcation line to set off Chhathare dialect as separate language from other dialects as Phedappe, Taplejungge and Panchthare. In order to justify his opinion or even claim, he has illustrated examples from the sound level to syntax level which clearly mark the difference between the Chhathare from the rest dialects. He notes "In these dialects there is a vowel contrast, which is not there in the Chhathare dialect. Similarly, half open unrounded front vowel /E/ occurs only as an allophone of the half-close unrounded front vowel /e/ in the dialect as opposed to its phonemic status in other dialects. He further argues that voiceless aspirated alveolar /tsh/ and voiceless aspirated fricative $/ \mathrm{s} /$ are different phonemes in the Chhathare dialect because the contrast in the initial position whereas in other dialects they are treated as allophones. Likewise the phonemes $/ 1 /$ and $/ \mathrm{r} /$ contrast in the initial position the Chhathare but do
not contrast in other dialects. $/ \mathrm{y} /$ never occurs in the initial position in the Chhathare dialect but for other it occurs as / y a/ - 'fish'.

In the course of drawing the line of contrast, the dissertation states that there are differences in terms of sound sequences as /-pt-/ and /-kt-/ occur in other dialects but instead of these Chhathare has sequence like /-pp-/ and /-kk-/. The thesis puts down the contrastive features in terms of morphological, conjugational, lexical and syntactic levels with sufficient illustrations. The final part is about the findings and appendices which are larded with some discoursal text at the index. Thus the thesis is rounded off. At the first glance of the dissertation title and his attempt to prove the Chhathare as a separate language seems quite ordinary or rather uncared but it could be a giant leap or an ambitious historical step, if he is able to prove his hypothesis with the reasonable and convincing justifications in the days to come. It will set the mile stone in the field of Chhathare Limbu language.

Subba Yalmo's, (2008) book 'Limbu bhasha ka swarup-vikas" is to present a brief sketch of the Limbu language and its historical glimpse. She has divided the book into three different parts viz. the first part, the second and the third. The first part introduces the terms 'Kirant' 'Mundhum' with their origin and meaning. This part is wholly devoted to the description of different aspects of Kirant Mundhum. She says that Mundhum has a long tradition of recitation. It was orally transmitted from generation to generation until the writing system was invented. When the writing was invented by the king, Sirijunga, Mundhum was written in 'Kirant script' (Sirijunga Lipi) Then she talks about the dialectal forms of Limbu language. She states the kind of language used in Mundhum, its meter and melody. This part is summed up with the reference of the subject matter dealt in Mundhum and the written history of it.

The second part consists of purely grammatical aspect of the Limbu language. At first, she presents the numerical digits of Limbu. Then she moves on to introducing Limbu sound system, their alphabets, pronunciation, punctuation marks and Limbu morphemes. She states the pronominal system of Limbu, sentence structure (syntax) and finally refers to the proverbs found in Limbu language. Similarly, the part is to deal with the development of Limbu language. In this part she states that the Kirant script has come down three different stages i.e. the script found in the eighteenth
century, the first modern script (1914-1975) and the present script (1975 till today). She mentions the organizations which were/are supposed to be playing a vital role for the upliftment and development of Limbu language, literature and religion. Finally, the book refers to the list of books/ publications and the authors in a chronological order. Similarly the book consists of the list of journals, newspapers, articles, etc, in the temporal sequence.

Serma, Limbu (2009) appears with two books in the same year. The books with the titles 'Kirant ka karma samskar bidhi' and Kirant ka gatha, katha ra lok kathaharu" are to discuss on the subject Mundhum. And within this Mundhum, it has prescribed customs, codes and conducts, manners, way of life, beliefs etc. As has already been said that the latter writers mere representing the notions set in 'Kirant ko Beda' by I.S. Chemjong. What actually the newer writers have done is that they have presented the same items in a newer light, outlook and perspective. So Serma has also taken up the Mundhum of various aspects related to particular rituals.

His book Kirant ka karma, samskar bidhi has got thirteen chapters. This book mainly deals with the right actions (deeds) rituals that are supposed to be followed by the Kirant Limbu. He goes on telling about the rituals from pregnancy through marriage to death. Surprisingly, this book does not end with death rites, but it also describes some others festivals and rites like 'raising the head up' (mangena). From the readers point of view it seems to be lacking coherence of the text. The next book, "Kirant ka gatha, katha ra lok kathaharu (2009)" retells the Mundhum of how something first came in to existence. Coincident is that it too has thirteen chapters as the earlier mentioned book. Basically, it deals with the mythical aspect of Mundhum which is concerned with origin of things, events, notions etc. It feels the books are designed to the non-Limbu native since the entire discourse, except some special Limbu terms, is in Nepali language. If this trend flourishes in this way, the Sirijanga script is bound to die out. Anyway, the books really add some bricks to the monumental height that is being under constructed.

Meyanbo and Mabuhang (2009) jointly wrote and published a book with the title 'Sivak yami Mundhum' in 2009. The title itself suggests that this book is dedicated to the description of Limbu Mundhum. While going through the book, one can easily
notice that there are three parts. As the book was prepared with the partial financial assistance of the organization (Academy of Upliftment and Development of Nationalities) it has been set on the format of research. The first part consists of the parts or elements of a research design i.e. introduction, statement of problem, objectives and so forth. The second part states the main text which introduces to the 'Mundhum' Yebas, their origin, their robes and garments, etc. The text has very detailed presentation as this is clear by the number of headings it has. There are twenty eight different headings. This part concerns with the origin of the Yeba-Yema and their status in the Limbu society and their respective responsibilities or duties.

Although, the book does not explicitly show the part three, it has given without any divisional mark. It is implied through the presentation of content list. This part basically deals with the item needed to perform the particular rituals by the Yeba, one of the authentic sources of Mundhum thus a Limbu priest. Moreover, this chapter also tells about the ways of preparing the items needed to the ritualistic performance. This part ends with the presentation of historically important photographs of the Limbu Mundhum. The book has been presented in the Nepali except the main text from page 18 to 70. This main text is in Limbu with the Devnagari script and its translated version is given after the main text.

Limbu (2010) in his M. Phil. Thesis entitled 'Performance in Limbu Mundhum: A Cultural Representation' tries to focus on the ritualistic performances of the Limbu Mundhum. He seems to hold the belief that the Mundhum's existence is based on its performances. He further adds that through the performances the Mundhum unveils the everyday life activities, constitutive Limbu culture, history and religion. From the performance, he says, emphasizes on the roles of the performers, participants and audience that they play for enlivening the cultural, historical and mythical properties. The thesis maintains the view that the performance opens up the fact to say how the Mundhum performance has become common aspiration and symbolic representation; how it has become the viewpoint to have a glance over the various cultural representation, psycho-behavioral natures as well as manifestation of gender power in the Kirant Limbu people and thereby the community.

The thesis obviously focuses on the performance aspect of the Limbu Mundhum. In fact, the rituals do have two aspects viz. verbal and action. Here the emphasis is laid on the action that is to say the performance but not on the verbal or the linguistic aspect. However, the thesis can be the interest of both ethno-linguists as well as the anthropologists. It is helpful from the point of view of ethnographies of communication simply because linguistic exponents cannot be interpreted well out of context. The thesis, therefore offers the ground for the pragmatic interpretation.

Angdembe's book (2012) entitled 'The Classical Limbu Language: A Grammar and Dictionary of a Kirat Mundhum' is devoted to the Mundhum language. This book is perhaps the first attempt on the part of native writer ever written focusing on the linguistic aspect of the Limbu Mundhum. For this reason, it really deserves its value especially for the interested persons with the desire of observing its linguistic makeup. This book consists of four chapters which begin with the introduction to the Limbu Mundhum, kind and characteristic features of language, prosodic feature and figures of speech used in it. The second chapter discusses about the grammatical aspect of the Mundhum which includes phonology, morphology and then the ritual syntax. Likewise, the third chapter deals with linguistic archaeology of the ritual language. And the last (fourth) chapter presents the dictionary of the Limbu Mundhum terms. The terms have been presented in two ways: one is in the alphabetical order of appositive word and the next is in the alphabetical order of the headwords.

The book has come out with the linguistic matters which are believed to address a long felt desire for the idea of the Mundhum. However, the book has raised some issues which are not logical and convincing as well. The book claims that many terms used in the Mundhum language are devoid of meaning. I deny that most of the Mundhum terms (lexicons) are meaningless and absurd. The comment of being meaninglessness results from the lack of in-depth knowledge and keen insight into the language. No absurd terms are employed in anywhere. Presumably the contexts, objects or phenomena must have been vastly changed over the millennia. So some terms can be encountered with no special reference and context. In this way, somebody may pass on loose and light comment over such obsolete or archaic dictions. The objects and contexts for which certain terms were used in the remotest
past can no more be used and exist in the particular time period. The reality is that when the term loses its context and referent, it definitely feels to be the meaningless and absurd. Hence the collocation of certain terms should not be interpreted merely from the decorative purpose but there must be the pragmatic value. Likewise, the next thing the book raises is that the word preceding the headword has been termed as appositive. But such words occurring before the headword are by no means the appositive; rather they are modifiers or apposed words. In fact the appositive words are those which can replace the other word without hurting the sense of the sentence. But this situation is not found there.

### 1.5 Justification of the study

No substantial work has been done on the language of Limbu Mundhum so far. Therefore, this work will be the first attempt in looking the Mundhum language from the aspect of analytical point of view. It will acquaint the native speakers with the different linguistic level viz. phonology, morphology, syntax and stylistics. It would help document an undescribed feature of the Mundhum language before it has been lost. It would be equally as an authentic record for the future purpose and would provide some insights to the researchers. This research work is expected to provide the glimpse of prehistoric cultural pattern along with the contemporary social structure, topology and geographical facts through the ritual texts. It helps to understand the Limbu narrative discourse and with this to decipher documented Mundhum. It could prove to be an immense source for the preparation of Limbu Grammar and dictionary with the help of the vast treasure of Limbu vocabulary. This can readily offer the means to be familiarized with the most classical, formal and ornate form of the Limbu language. It would be equally helpful for the syllabus, course book, teaching materials especially for designing the curriculum of local level mother tongue education.

### 1.6 Research methodology

First of all, I visited different dialects speaking areas like Chhathar, Panchthar, Phedap and Taplejung in order to have the idea of the Limbu Mundhum. I also wanted to know whether the language of Mundhum is influenced by the dialectal variations or not. When I had the Sambas (Limbu priests) tell the particular Mundhum text I could
not notice the obvious difference in the Mundhum language and I recorded its corpus from the Chhathare dialect speaking areas on Saturday $20^{\text {th }}$ Falgun 2068 VS. The unedited text was of one hour four minutes eighteen seconds length. Unnecessary and nonlinguistic items were excluded from the text through edition. In this way, the present text contains 53 mts . and 42 sec . length record. The Audacity Software was then transported to the Elan Software for annotation. The annotation consists of two different tiers i.e. phonemic transcription of the recorded Mundhum and its translated form in English. After completion of the annotation, the annotated record was transported to the 'Tool Box' Software'. The Tool Box Software is for analyzing the text in a very minute way especially for interlinearizing process which can perform two different functions simultaneously in that, morphemic break as well as the glossing. It obviously consists of six different tiers but in the appendix I, there are only five tiers because the utterance (ut) tier has been excluded thinking that it is well reflected in the phonemic transcription as well as in the Nepali Romanized version. Having used the three above mentioned 'Soft wares' the text has been analyzed at different levels as phonological level, morphological level, and syntactic level and also the semantic level. The technique being very recent in terms of our context, it really has its reliability. Before starting the main thesis, I conducted two seminars both in local level and in the Central Department of Linguistics.

The linguistic study of the Mundhum is essentially related to the various branches of linguistics. First of all, the Mundhum was to be analyzed through the sociolinguistic perspective because this sort of analysis would provide the idea to see the relation between the Mundhum language and the Limbu community. Sociolinguistic study was also necessary to discern the Mundhum language variety and its role in that community. The idea of sociolinguistics enabled the researcher to have the knowledge of linguistic identity of the Limbu society, its attitude towards the language, standard and nonstandard form, social varieties and level of the Mundhum language in the Limbu community. In order to have the theoretical knowledge about sociolinguistics, I studied the related books on sociolinguistics like Gumperz (1972), Crystal (1997), Hudson (1999), Wardhaugh (2000), and Holmes (2008).

The Mundhum and its contextual meaning is very important aspect. As a matter of fact, the meaning of every linguistic structure depends on the context. It is only the
context that determines the meaning. So, in order to dig out the cultural context dependant meaning from the classical text like the Mundhum, I studied Gumperz and Hymes (1972), Giglioli (1972), Argyle (1973), Hymes (1974), Crystal (1997) and Holmes (2008). These writers provided me with the theoretical insights into the Mundhum language to analyze the awareness of culture-bound assumptions. Then I applied Hymes (1974) classical sociolinguistic approach which is termed as 'ethnographies of speaking or communication' to look into the Mundhum's interpretation level in relation to the cultural context.

The Mundhum mostly deals with the various myths relating to the primordial concepts. These primordial concepts could not have been brought on the surface without looking it through myth criticism. The myth criticism has two different approaches-anthropological linguistic (structural) and psychoanalytical (archetypal). In order to have the anthropological linguistic approach, I went through the works of Frye (1957), Levi-Strauss (1964), Ruthven (1976) Duerin et al. (2004) and Carry and El-Shamy (2005). Similarly, I consulted Jung (1968), Jefferson and Robey (1984), Cuddon (1998), Tyson (2006) and Seldon et al. (2007) for the idea of psychoanalysis especially for the archetypal criticism.

The Mundhum is obviously the matter of literature especially the poetic expression. Structural as well as stylistic analysis was necessary to find out the stylistic devices used in the Mundhum discourse. In order to handle this particular issue, the books by the writers Traugott and Pratt (1980), Jefferson and Robey (1984), Guerin et al. (1999), Tyson (2006), Seldon et al. (2007), Pope (2010) and Simson (2011) were consulted.

Finally for the theoretical background about the sound system, prosody, morphology and morphosyntactic feature in the Limbu Mundhum, I studied relevant books by Pike (1947), Nida (1970), Weidert and Subba (1985), van Driem (1987), Katamba (1993), Ebert (1994), Crystal (1997) and Watters (2002). I followed the style sheet format of 'American Psychological Association’ for the purpose of work citation and reference.

### 1.7 Limitations of the study

This study is mainly based on the linguistic study of the Limbu Mundhum but not the day to day communicative feature of the Limbu language. The study deals with the certain aspects like socio-cultural, literariness, deviant from the ordinary version of speech, grammar and its textual analysis. It is obvious that the Mundhum is too vast to encompass in a dissertation. So, this study aims at presenting some selective structures from among the important events referred to in the Mundhum like birth, marriage, death, rituals or more specifically the Mundhum myth 'The Origin of Man', Yapmi Pongma Mundhum, that comes under the most popular and important ritual of the Limbu community locally called as Tongsing Takma Mundhum. The population of this study is limited to the Kirant Limbu because the term Kirant refers to four different clans as the Rais, Limbus, Yakhas and Sunuwars. The other Kiranti clans also use the same term Mundhum to refer to the language used in the different rites and rituals. This study thus confines to only the Limbu Mundhum. It has also its constraints regarding the temporal frame as well as the financial range. The informants are specified as Sambas, Mundhum Sabas, Tummyang and Yebas.

Though the needed Mundhum text from of the four dialectal variants was collected for it is available in the writing form, finally the text was recorded in the Chhathare dialect speaking informant. It is obvious that the Mundhum version is said to have the same sort of linguistic form despite the dialectal variations. This study is limited to only the analysis of sound, lexical form and literary feature. The text on which the study is based has been given in the appendix which is the outcome of three different processes of the computer soft ware.

### 1.8 Organization of the study

The study involves the introductory remarks in the first chapter which has the subheadings like background, statements of problems, research methodology, literature review and the limitations of the study. The second chapter deals with the Limbu language and its dialectal variations. The third chapter highlights the issues related to the Mundhum, its values and socio-cultural aspects. In this chapter, there are main topics like the Limbu Mundhum language and its introduction, types of the

Mundhum, ethnographic interpretation and other related topics. Likewise, the fourth chapter discusses about the sound system and the sonic features in the Limbu Mundhum. The fifth chapter deals with the morphological aspects of both the Limbu language and the Mundhum. Similarly, the sixth chapter is about the syntactic structure of the Limbu Mundhum and briefly presents the discourse analysis of the certain chunks of the Mundhum myth Yapmi Pongma Mundhum ‘The Origin of Man. 'The seventh chapter focuses on the literary features found in the Mundhum language. The last chapter is about the findings and conclusions of the study.

## CHAPTER TWO

## THE LIMBU LANGUAGE

### 2.0 Outline

This chapter aims to deal with the introduction of the Limbu language, its historical development and dialectal variation.

### 2.1 Introduction to the Limbu language

Nepal is the country of the linguistic, ethnic, cultural and religious diversities. Giving the statistical data of indigenous people, Tamang (2006, p. 6) states "there are altogether 93 languages spoken in Nepal". According to this, four major language groups as Tibeto-Burman 55 languages (including 19 Rai- Kiranti languages), 18 Indo-European languages, 2 languages of Dravidian family, 2 languages from AstroAsiatic and 16 other foreign languages respectively. According to Grierson (1909, p. 283) "Limbu are one of the principal nationalities of eastern Nepal". They inhabit in the hilly region popularly known as Pallo Kirant or Far Kirant, Limbuwan. They speak the Limbu language but they designate themselves by the name Yak-thung-ba and their language by the name Yak-thung-ba Pa:n. The Limbu language is one of the dominant and most important languages of the Kiranti group of the Tibeto-Burman languages family with considerable number of its native speakers. Most of the languages of Tibeto-Burman family do not possess their own writing script, except Newari, Tamang, Lepcha and Limbu. The Limbu script is known as Sirijanga which is said to have evolved by king Sirijanga hence Sirijanga Lipi. Apart from its rich oral literature, there are number of books on various disciplines.

The Limbu language is best characterized as the complex pronominalized language. This means the pronominal subject of the intransitive verb is partially or fully attached to its verb. Likewise the transitive verb attaches its pronominal subject as well as its object. So the Limbu morphological make-up is also found in its own distinct pattern. From the morphological point of view Limbu is the agglutinative language like other Tibeto-Burman languages. The agglutinative feature refers to the distinctive meanings carried by each affixation attached to the root (base word). The

Limbu language has typical linguistic features in its own. Pointing to its linguistic typicality, Pokhrel ( 2050 VS) states that the Limbu language has some distinctive characteristics like mono syllabic root of the verb, placing of the verb at end of the sentences, close syllables or nasal or plosive consonants at the end, glottal stops, absence of third person singular number, three number system i.e. singular, dual and plural, inclusive and exclusive distinction in dual and plural numbers in first person, vowel length in closed syllables, absence of gender, prominence of the sound $/ \mathrm{y} /$ and so forth.

The Limbu original speaking area according to Kainla (2059 VS, p. 9) "spreads from the Arun river in the west to the Tista river in the east" that includes the districts Sangkhuwa Sabha, Terhathum, Dhankuta, Sunsari and Morang in Koshi zone and Taplejung, Panchthar, Ilam and Jhapa districts in Mechi zone and some adjoining states of India like Sikkim and West Bengal. Tumbahang (2007)) holds the view that in course of time the Limbu have migrated from their original abodes to different places. Now they are found in Kathmandu, Lalitpur and Bhaktapur districts of midNepal. Pokhrel ( 2050 VS) states that Limbu language is basically spoken in the area between Koshi and Mechi in Nepal. The CBS Report (2012) states that the total population of the Limbu is 387,300 which is $1.46 \%$ out of total population $(26,494,504)$ of the country. Of that number of Limbu, 343,603 are the native speakers that are $1.29 \%$ out of total nation's population.

Regarding the Limbu origin Vansittart (1906, p. 111) states that "Limbu were originally divided into thirteen types but the three were lost and the present nation of Limbu springs from ten clans’ das Limbuwan". He further says that this may be accounted for by the ten brothers and three attendant priests (i.e. Phejiri Phedangma, Sambahang Eblyhang Samba and Sam-mundhum Yap-mundhum) from whom their own history (vide) claims they are descended. He also provides the the divisional sketch of Limbuwan into ten original homes viz. Panchthar, Chhathar, Athrai, Yangrok, Choubise, Mewakhola, Charkhola, Miawakhola, Phedap and Tambar Khola. Of these ten places, Panchthar, Chhathar, Athrai and Chooubise are the names of the places inhabiting there respective number of tribes or clans. The rest are the areas named after the rivers (i.e. Tambar Khola, Mewa Khola) or rocky cave (i.e. Phedap). According to Vansittart (1906, p. 111) "seventy-one different Limbu tribes
reside over the ten different original places". But in this regard, Subba (1995, p. 26) is of the opinion that "there are over two hundred and seventy different clans (including sub-clans) of the Limbu and still some are not identified". He also views that the clan is the name of the particular ancestor.

### 2.2 Development of the Limbu language: A historical glimpse

When we scroll down the annals of the developmental history of the Limbu language, it reveals millennia long continuous human efforts lay behind it. The position it has attained to this date is by no means the result of a short span or a small group's endeavor. In order to have a clear picture of its historical development, it is better to consider mainly three aspects. In the first phase, the sight rests on own native Limbu people who devoted their considerable amount of life-time in the propagation and spreading the awareness about the Limbu language and literature among the native speakers. Among many others, king Sirijanga (880-915) is regarded as historically prominent figure that is credited to have evolved the Limbu script hence Sirijanga script. He, undoubtedly set the milestone in the history of Limbu language However, sadly, there were not any immediate successors after king Sirijanga. Many centuries passed, and the script was about to die out then 'Sirijanga Sing Thebe (1704-1743) of Sinam village, Taplejung endeavored to revive the script. For this reason, he is called as the second Sirijanga just because he searched the old documents then restored the dying script. Limbu people honored him as the first martyr since he was shot dead with the poisoned arrows by the Lhamas in Sikkim, Darap on the charge of teaching Limbu script and Mundhum to his disciples. Then onward, there was not a horrible gap as it was in the period between the first Sirijanga to the second Sirijanga. A noteworthy name after him was Lalsor Sendang (1840-1926). As this man was devoted to teach Limbu script, language and religion secretly it, could not remain secret for long before the eyes of the administration. He had to leave country if he wanted to continue his activity. He fled to India and taught Limbu language throughout his life nomad like visiting different Limbu living areas like Sikkim, Darjeeling and Assam. By now, we have considerable number of (native) scholars and linguists striving to uplift the standard of language and literature. The outstanding personalities who have made a great deal of contribution are Phalgu Nanda Lingden, Iman Sing Chemjong, Prem bahadur Mabohang, Kajiman Kangdangwa, Khadga
bahadur Nembang, Krishna Bahadur Laoti, B. B.Chemjong, Rana Dhoj Nembang and some others tried hard to achieve that level maintained by the aforesaid personalities. We cannot underestimate the important role played by the organizations like Kirant Yakthung Chumlung and Society for the development of Limbu language and culture in the local as well as central level for the betterment of the language and literature.

The Nepali speaking Indians (the Limbu) have made very great contribution for the development of Limbu language and literature. If we, the native Limbu of Nepal make a sound judgment on the contribution to the language development, we may find the Limbu outside Nepal have done more than us. Prescription of the Limbu texts up to the Bachelor level as an optional subject can be evidence of genuine effort for the development. To name the persons who are actively involved in raising the standard of Limbu language are P.S. Muringla, B.B. Muringla, Harka Bahadur Tamling, Mani Raj Hukpa, Mohan Phurombo, P.M. Subba, Harka Bahadur Khamdhak, Mohan Ijam, Sat Kumar Singdhaba, Lalit Muringla, B.B.Pakkhim, Man Bahadur Tamling, Deu Man Yakthung, Sanchaman Limbu and S.R. Khajum.

So far as the linguists and scholars from India and abroad they have made a great contribution to the development of the Limbu language. Such scholars and linguists from abroad are W. Kirkpatrick (1811), F. B. Hamilton (1819), G. B. Mainwaring, A. C. Campbell (1840, 1842, 1855), B. H. Hodgson (voluminous contribution during his stay in Nepal and India in the period between 1844-1864), E. Vansittart (1906, H. R. Senior (1908), G. A. Grierson (1909), R. K. Sprigg (1959, 1966, 1984), A. Weidert (1982, 1983, 1984), and Boyd Michailousky (1979, 1988, 2002). Likewise, the prominent writers from India regarding to the Limbu language are S. K. Chatterji and S. C. Das. The Limbu language, as it is now, therefore, is the result of the collective effort of the Limbu stoic and sage as well as the foreign scholars and linguists.

### 2.2 Dialectal variants of the Limbu language

Regarding the dialectal variation of the Limbu language, the foreign linguists mainly Weidert and Subba (1985) and Driem (1987) have confirmed that there are chiefly four dialectal variants in Limbu language. However, a close study about the dialectal disparity may reflect essentially different results but this study can require a long
standing practice and in-depth linguistic insight. Within a dialect, there are explicit variations in terms of lexis, syntax, accent and intonation. If these are demarcating lines for the dialectal categorization, they must be existing even within a dialect in the considerable amount. But up to now, the Limbu experts also seem to accept the above mentioned foreigners' research conclusion. The four dialectal divisions are given below:

### 2.3.1 Chhathare dialect

'Chhathar' literally denotes the six clans of 'Khewa Limbu'. According to chemjong (2003) the six clans of Khewa Limbu are namely Maden, Tumba, Tigela, Chongbang, Anlabang and Mangyak. The Chhathar area covers north-eastern part of Dhankuta district and nine VDCs of Tehrathum district or they are the adjoining VDCs' of Dhankuta district. These Chhathre Dialect speaking VDCs of Tehrathum district is in the Chhathar area. In this area, there are more than a dozen of other Limbu clans along with the above mentioned six clans. The major VDCs of Chhathare dialect that is spoken in Dhankuta district are Teliya, Tangkhuwa, Parewadin, Hattikharka, Murtidhunga and Marek-Katahare. There are still some fourteen other VDCs in Dhankuta district where the Limbu are residing but their number is below the three percent out of the total population. Again, the next thing is that there is no guarantee whether these minorities speak Chhathare Limbu or other dialects because they are immigrants from other areas for business purpose, job or employment or marital case. The Chhathare dialect speaking area spreads over some nine VDCs of adjoining parts of Tehrathum district. They are Hamarjung, Angdim, Panchakanya Pokhari, Phakchamara, Okkhre, Sudap, Dangapa, Phulek and Basantapur.

Chhathare dialect is markedly distinct from the rest three dialects viz. Phedappe, Panchthare and Teplejungge (Mewa Khole). For this reason, it is now being interpreted as different independent Limbu language. This issue is forcefully raised by Tumbahang, an inhabitant of Tangkhuwa VDC. Dhankuta, in his doctoral dissertation entitled The Grammar of Chhathare Limbu (2007). Some other experts also hold the same opinion as Tumbahang. Not others but the speakers of other dialects also claim that, the three dialects excluding the Chhathare are mutually understandable each other and closely related. But Chhathare is markedly dissimilar from these three dialects. In this regard, the foreign linguist like Hansson (1991) also holds the similar
opinion like Tumbahang. He claims that the Chhathere is a free independent language not a dialect.

One prominent feature that this dialect inherits is the relic of ancient speech form and it has retained to date. The English 'term' 'house/home' is equivalent to 'pang' in Chhathare dialect. Other three dialects term this 'pang' (home) of Chhathare as 'him'. Similarly Chhathare dialect considers the phonemes / $\mathrm{t}^{\text {sh/ }}$ and $/ \mathrm{s} /$ as distinct variants but the Panchthare dialect doesnot use them as distinct variations. The historical linguistics has proved that the sounds $/ \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{t}^{\text {sh}} /$ are earlier sounds $/ \mathrm{h} /$ and $/ \mathrm{s} /$ sounds are later development. In Taplejung district, there is a place named as Hang Pang (king's house). This also indicates that long ago the people of that area used to call 'pang but not him.

The linguistic scenario has shown that ancient forms of speech have lost their vigor and power of adaptation in the changing concepts. So they have been limited to as the ceremonial ritual language as Sanskrit and Latin. But quite contrary to the position of Sanskrit and Latin Chhathare is not language of ceremonial rituals or liturgy. In other words, this is not the language of Mundhum. However, this dialect is living with the considerable number of native speakers.

The Chhathare dialect has relatively a smaller number of speakers in comparison with other dialects- Panchthare, Tapljungge and Phedappe. In Dhankuta district, only there are six VDCs (e.g. Teliya 71.05\%, Tangkhuwa 59.15\%, Parewadin 32.91\%, Hattikharka $32.12 \%$, Murti Dhungga $12.46 \%$ and Marek Katahare 3.82\%). The percentage shown within the parentheses indicate the Chhathare Limbu speakers in the descending order that is from $71.05 \%$ in Teliya VDC to $3.82 \%$ in Marek Katahare. As has been mentioned that there are nine VDCs in Tehrathum district in which the Chhathare dialect is spoken. The percentage of the Chhathare Limbu native speakers in the descending order is as Dangapa $63.8 \%$, Phakchamara $51.79 \%$, Pancha Kanya Pokhari $46.53 \%$, Sudap 46.4\%, Hamarjung 45.49\%, Okkhre $24.47 \%$, Basantapur $22.01 \%$, Angdim $17.86 \%$ and Phulek $0.84 \%$, CBS (2001). Dhankuta and Tehrathum are the districts which have two different dialects speakers in each. The Tamor River divides Dhankuta district into two parts flowing across it. The west side of the Tamor River is called the Chhathar area with the Chhathare dialect whereas the east part of the Tamor Rive is called as Choubise area with the Panchthare dialect. Likewise,

Tehrathum district has also been divided into two dialect speaking areas. The southwest side of the district demarcated by the Lambu khola belongs to the Chathar area hence the Chhathare dialect is profusely employed. The north-east part is the native land of the Phedappe dialect.

### 2.3.2 Phedappe dialect

'Phedap' is the base word with the literal meaning 'cave' (Vansittart, 1906, p. 111). But this term 'Phedap' is quite differently interpreted by Tumbahangphe and Tumbahangphe (2063 VS, p. 15). They state that 'Phedap' is a changing form of 'Pheyatap' which refers to the arms and ammunitions. They say that the 'Phedap' land was obtained by dint of arms and ammunitions. Hence Phesum Pheyatap refers to the place where armors, swords, knives, and guns were kept. They also refer to another saying about the origin of that place. According to their reference that area was coverd by thick jungle. The early people cleared the jungle with the help of 'Khukuri'. Thus Phe 'Khukuri' (knife) and Dap 'cleared or cultivated'. These three references regarding the origin of 'Phedap' seem to be hypothetical and still further research is necessary.

The Phedappe dialect is spoken in Tehrathum and Shankhuwasabha districts. Tehrathum district consists of thirty two VDCs altogether. Out of them, nine VDCs which lie in the adjoining parts of Dhankuta district and these VDCs make use the Chhathare dialect. And the rest twenty three VDCs which lie to the north-east side of Lambu/Nubu Khola employ the Phedappe dialet. There are six VDCs where the Limbu population is above the fifty percentages. They are Sabla (82.04\%), Dangapa (63.8\% but there are Chhathare dialect speakers). Pounthak (58.15\%), Khamlalung (55.35\%), Shrijung (53.88\%) and Phakchamara ( $51.79 \%$ this, too, uses Chhathare dialect). (CBS 2001).

There are nine VDCs in which the percentage ranges in between fifty to forty. They are Samdu (48.38\%), Panchakanya Pokhari (46.53\%), Sudap (46.4\%), Hamarjung ( $45.49 \%$ ), Tamphula ( $44.74 \%$ ), Simle ( $44.06 \%$ ), Isibu ( $43.59 \%$ ), Sungnam ( $42.59 \%$ ) and Jaljale $(42.22 \%$ ). Out of these nine VDCs, the three VDCs Panchakanya Pokhari, Sudap and Hamarjung belong to the Chhathar area and for this, the native Limbu use the Chhathare dialect in these VDCs. Again there are seven VDCs where the Limbu speaking people percentage fall in between thirty to thirty
nine. They are Ambung (38.4\%), Jirikhimti (37.3\%), Chuhan Danda (34.06\%), Morahang (30.83\%), Thoklung (30.2\%), Oyakjung (30.11\%) and Solma (30.08\%). The rest ten VDCs percentage is found in the descending order of $26.62 \%$ to $0.84 \%$ (Chhate Dhunga- Phulek). The four VDCs viz. Okkhre (24.47\%), Basantapur ( $22.01 \%$ ), Angdim ( $17.86 \%$ ) and Phulek ( $0.84 \%$ ) are the speakers of the Chhathare dialect. As a whole district, the total population of Tehrathum is $1,163,54$ where the Limbu population is 43,459 or 37.3 in the percentage.

The Phedappe dialect has spread its territory over Sangkhuwa Sabha district too, although some people argue the speech variety used in this area is markedly different from the Phedappe variety. This district consists of 33 VDCs and one municipality. The total population of this district is 154,426 . Out of this, the Limbu population is 7,477 which is 4.84 in the percentage. No VDC crosses the fifty percentages as a single largest group of the Limbu native people. However in the four VDCs like Sabhapokhari (41.99\%), Mawadin (38.4\%), Nun Dhaki (37.83\%) and Siddha Pokhari ( $21.73 \%$ ) come in the first position against other castes like Rais, Tamangs, Kshetris, Brahmins and others. Then Bahrabise VDC (19.57\%) falls in the second position in terms of the size of the population. The percentage of the Limbu population drastically drops down from Syabun VDC (9.65\%), Siddha Kali (7.61\%), Jaljala ( $6.36 \%$ ), Dhupu ( $3.19 \%$ ), Chainpur ( $3.0 \%$ ) and Wana ( $1.27 \%$ ). There are fourteen VDCs where the number of the Limbu people is below one percent. They are Madi Mulkharka, Sitalpati, Num, Matsyapokhari, Tamaphok, Aankhibhuin, Mamling, Baneshwar, Khandbari Municipality, Kharang, Madi Rambeni, Diding, Siwakhola and Yaphu. In the remaining nine VDCs- Kimathanka, Chepuwa, Mangtewa, Tamku, Bala, Pawakhola, Hatiya, Pathibhara and Makalu there is no Limbu reported to be residing over there.

### 2.3.3 Taplejungge ( Mewa/Maiwa Khole) dialect

Generally the language variety spoken in the overall area of Taplejung district is known as Taplejungge dialect. It is also alternatively termed as Mewa and Maiwa Khola dialect because the kind of speech employed in this district is properly characterized by speech variety of Mewa/Maiwa Khola. Mewa or Maiwa Khola falls across the Tamor Khola valley which is in its southern part known as Tamor river;
one of the tributaries of Sapta Koshi river. The same Taplejungge dialect is frequently known or called Tamor Khole dialect.

Taplejung district consists of fifty VDCs. Out of fifty; there are nineteen VDCs where the Limbu population is above fifty percentages. They are Tapethok (83.69\%), Ikhabu (77.24\%), Mamangkhe (74.84\%), Lingkhim (72.16\%), Thinglabu (71.18\%), Sãba (70.435), Lingtep (64.89\%), Sikaicha (63.08\%), Phakumba (58.06\%), Khamlung (56.55\%), Sanwadin (55.87\%), Khejenim (55.37\%), Tiringe (53.77\%), Thukima (53.5\%), Chãge (52.51\%), Limbudin (52.00\%), Phurumbu (50.75\%), Nalbo (50.21\%) and Dhungge Sãghu (50.14\%). There are eighteen VDCs in which the Limbu population does not exceed fifty yet it does have single largest population than other castes like Kshetri, Bahun Sherpa etc. They are as Libang (49.89\%), Pedang ( $49.86 \%$ ), Sablakhu ( $49.58 \%$ ), Santhakra ( $49.46 \%$ ), Chaksibote ( $48.27 \%$ ), Sinam (47.19\%), Hangpang (45.57\%), Tellok (42.38\%), Lelep (42.28\%), Thechambu (40.48\%), Sanghu (37.66\%), Khebang (37.41\%), Dumbrise (36.78\%), Phungling (28.85\%), Thumbedin (27.14\%), Hangdewa (24.02\%), Niguradin (21.96\%) and Surumkhim (18.89\%). In eight VDCs Phawakhola (40.08\%), Sadewa (34.44\%), Mehele (26.1\%), Ambekudin (25.81\%), Khokling (25.26\%), Dokhu (25.01\%), Phulbari (23.14\%) and Nangkholyang (19.425) the Limbu population is in the second position. Next three VDC-Papung, Angkhop and Kalikhola have the Limbu in third position. Yangphudin is the VDC with fourth position of Limbu people. In Taplejung district Olangchung Gola is such a VDC where the Limbu population is nil. The speakers of Taplejungge dialect feel proud of being the originator of the Limbu Mundhum since Mewa and Maiwa Khola are considered to be the origin places for the most of the Limbu Mundhum. Taplejung district comprising fifty VDCs has the population of $1,34,204$ out of which the Limbu population is 56,156 or 41.83 in the percentage.

### 2.3.4 Panchthare dialect (comprising Choubise and Yanggrokke)

The term 'Panch Thara' denotes the five clans. These five clans (Panch thara) according to Chemjong (2003) are Mabohang, Papohang, Thegimhang Nembanghang and Makhimhang. The name of Panchthar district or this Limbu dialect takes name from this collective form of the five Limbu clans. But like Chhathar, Athrai and Choubise there are many more Limbu clans inhabiting in Panchthar. The origin
inhabitants might have begun with the respective number of Limbu clans in the respective places however; there are hundreds of other clans found residing over these places. This has happened to be a good incident or say the coincidence for the Panchthare dialect to occupy the position of Limbu vernacular language leaving all the rest three dialects far behind no matter how much they are historically significant. Panchthare dialect has earned this prestigious position not because it had contributed a great deal to the Mundhum literature or it does possess the ancient relics of speech form like Chhathare but because it fortunately comprises the greater number of speakers of this variety. It has accumulated/covered the population of three districts namely Panchthar, Ilam and Dhankuta Choubise.

Panchthar district consists of forty one VDCs. Out of them thirteen VDCs have fifty percent above Limbu population ranging the descending order from $84.45 \%$ to $50.35 \%$ of which the VDCs are Phatep, Yangnam, Chilingden, Kurumba, Angsarang, Chokmagu, Mangjabung, Imbung, Nagi, Phalaincha, Nawami Danda, Siwa and Ranigaon respectively. The sixteen VDCs have the single largest population of Limbu ranging the descending order from $49.5 \%$ to $33.79 \%$. These VDCs are Tharpu, Oyam, Luwaphu, Memeng, Sarang Danda, Yasok, Arubote, Lungrupa, Subhang, Panchami, Pouwa Sartap, Durdimba, Nagin, Bharapa, Ektin and Angna. The nine VDCs (i.e. Olane, Sidin, Hanggum, Syabrumba, Mouwa, Chyangthapu, Amarpur, Phidim and Ranitar) have the second position and only two VDCs (i.e. Prangbung and Limba) have third position. And Rabi is only one such VDC which is in the sixth position. The total population of Panchthar district is $2,00,620$. Out of this population, the Limbu population is 80,464 which is 40.41 in the percentage.

The Limbu residing in Ilam district also employ the Panchthare dialect. Ilam is also one of the largest districts comprising forty eight VDCs and one municipality. There is no VDC where the Limbu population exceeds fifty percentages but it is also equally true that there is no VDC where the Limbu population is nil. The Limbu population is in the first position in the nine VDCs like Soyak, Gagurmukhi, Dhuseni, Mahamai, Pyang, Ektappa, Sangrumba, Chulachuli and Jitpur ranging the percentages in descending order as from 42.36 to 25.48 . The next nine VDCs hold the second position; they are Evang, Banjho, Jamuna, Danabari, Soyang, Phuyetappa, Lumde, Barbote and Namsaling. The third position holding VDCs are Amchok, Mabu, Sulubung, Phakphok and Samalbung. Similarly four VDCs are in the fourth position;
three VDCs in fifth position; four VDCs in sixth position and the rest fourteen VDCs hold seventh, eighth, ninth, tenth and eleventh positions containing three VDCs, four VDCs, three VDCs, three VDCs and one VDC respectively.

The total population of Ilam district is $2,83,434$ out of which the Limbu population is 40,475 or $14.28 \%$ in the total population. In this district, the three VDCs as Mahamai, Chulachuli and Danabari are said to be holding the first two VDCs the second position and the next one in the third position but the field survey shows that the Limbu population is found densely populated there.

The Tamor River flows across Dhankuta district dividing it to the two sides that is, Chhathar area to the west and the Choubise area to the east of it. The Choubise area covers fourteen different VDCs viz. Phaksip (89.42\%), Mounabudhuk (66.31\%), Budhimorang (65.79\%), Raja-Rani (62.79\%), Basantatar (47.1\%), Khuwaphok (45.88\%), Mudhebas (42.5\%), Bhedetar (33.25\%), Danda-Bazaar (32.89\%), KuruleTenupa (15.8\%), Bodhe (10.97\%), Mahabhara (2.1\%), Chha-Number-Budhabare ( $1.33 \%$ ) and Aahale ( $0.65 \%$ ). These Limbu people residing in this Choubise area are also the speakers of the Panchthare dialect.

The Limbu people are now found in the Terain districts like Jhapa, Morang and Sunsari in the considerable number but it is very difficult to divide the area on the basis of dialectal variation. The difficulty arises because they are migrated people from the hilly districts. They are living in a mixed up manner posing the distinction too much intricate. The same case is with the Limbu people dwelling in Kathmandu valley.

### 2.4 Linguistic variation in dialects

Though there are differences in speech from one to another dialect. If this situation were not threre, it would not be the dialectal variation. Regarding the Limbu dialects, the analysis reflects that the Chhathare variety seems markedly distinct from the rest three dialects. Excluding the Chhathare, the three dialects are found to share a lot common linguistic features. Let us consider few examples from sound level to grammatical level underneath:

The verb stem final sounds $/ \mathrm{k} /$ and $/ \mathrm{p} /$ get geminated in Chhathare while suffixing third person singular marker <-u> but in the other dialects, these two sounds $/ \mathrm{k} /$ and $/ \mathrm{p} /$ are rather followed by dental stop sound $/ \mathrm{t} /$. e. g.

Chhathare $h a p+u=$ happ $u$ (gets stuck) $n a k+u=n a k k u$ (asks/begs)

Other three dialects hap $+u=$ haptu (gets stuck); $s \varepsilon: k+u=s \varepsilon: k t u$ (pinches)
This example is related in the sound sequence while in the process of verb conjugation through affixation. The next example regarding the sounds is $/ \mathrm{s} /$ and $/ \mathrm{tsh}^{\mathrm{sh}} /$. These two sounds are distinctly different (contrastive) in the Chhathare dialect for example: $s a$ 'meat' $t^{\text {sh }} a$ 'offspring' but in other three dialects these two sounds are only the allophonic variations. e.g. sema? $/ t^{\text {shemema }}$ 'urinate' The Chhathare has distinct meanings in these terms like sema? 'spill/spread' and $t^{\text {shemap }}$ 'urinate'

Regarding the lexical difference The Chhathare and Panchthare are found to be different from the rest two dialects. In this sense, Phadappe and Taplejungge seem to be very close interms of the lexical use. Let us consider the example below:

Chhathare pa:y ‘house'; pa:ŋb ${ }^{h} e$ 'village'; $t^{s} 3 m b e ~ ‘ s o y a b e a n ’ ; ~ k o t s u ~ ' d o g ' ; ~ n a ~ ' f i s h ' ~$ Panchthare him 'house'; pa:yb he 'village'; imriy 'soyabean' $k^{h} i j a$ 'dog' na 'fish' Phedappe him 'house'; pa:yb'e 'village'; tsmbi 'soyabean’ kotso 'dog' na 'fish’ Taplejungge him 'house'; pa:ŋbhe 'village’; tsembi ‘soyabean’ kotso 'dog' ŋa 'fish' In the grammatical reference, there are differences in many respects but here only two examples are cited, they are past marker <-a/e> and genitive case marker <-re/le> as below:

Dialect past marker genitive case marker
Chhathare $p^{h} \varepsilon r+a=p^{h} \varepsilon r a$ 'came' ( $-a$ PT marker) kasa $+\eta a=\quad$ kasaya 'brass's

Panchthare $p^{h} \varepsilon r+a / \varepsilon=p^{h} \varepsilon r a / \varepsilon$ 'came' ( $-a / \varepsilon$ PT marker) kasa $+l a=$ kasala 'brass’s
Phedappe $p^{h} \varepsilon r+\varepsilon=p^{h} \varepsilon r \varepsilon$ 'came' ( $-\varepsilon$ PT marker) $\quad$ kasa + re/le $=$ kasare 'brass's
Taplejungge $p^{h} \varepsilon r+\varepsilon=p^{h} \varepsilon r \varepsilon$ 'came' ( $-\varepsilon$ PT marker) kasa + re/le $=$ kasare 'brass's

Now let us consider the syntactic variations:

Chhathare ka-ba:y ho r?? 'Where is your houe?'

Panchthare $k \varepsilon$-him atam be? Where is your house?
Phedappe $\quad k \varepsilon$-him ati be? Where is your house?

Taplejungge ke-him ati be? Where is your house?

### 2.5 Summary

The Limbu language is one of the dominant languages of the Tibeto-Burman language family. There are a considerable number of speakers who are residing in the eastern Nepal traditionally known as Pallo Kirat 'Far Kirant' Limbuwan and the language Yakthumba pa:n. Much contribution has been made to its development by both native and foreign writers. It possesses its own script known as 'Sirijanga Lipi' and rich literature only second to Newar indigene of Kathmandu valley. The Limbu language has four geographical dialects viz. Chhathare, Panchthare, Phedappe and Taplejungge.

## CHAPTER THREE

## THE LIMBU MUNDHUM AND SOCIO-CULTURAL INTRODUCTION

### 3.0 Outline

This chapter tries to introduce the Limbu Mundhum and discusses about its significance, types and dialectal impact on it. The chapter also deals the kind of language used in it, ethnographic perspective and socio-cultural aspect as how the Limbu natives perceive it.

### 3.1 The Limbu Mundhum and its introduction

The Limbu are one of the followers of the Kirant religion which is believed to be one of the most ancient religions of the world. The other ethnic groups following the Kirant religion are the Rais, Yakhas and Sunuwars. The Limbu including other ethnic groups have a long tradition of the Mundhum recitation. In this regard, Subba (1998) maintains the view that the Mundhum is the source of inspiration, information and enlightenment for Limbus and the way of life, customs and rites-de-passage are guided by it. He further states that it also provides the insight into the inner complexity of the Limbu. The Mundhum, according to Chemjong (2003) is the power of great strength and the Kirant people take it to be true, holy and power of scripture. In order to clarify the Mundhum through its etymological interpretation, Limbu (2008) states "in Kirat Limbu' language mun 'move' is a verb root. Similarly, thum refers to 'energetic or strong'. The dynamic power is therefore supernatural or divine force. Here, thuk 'climb' is the verb root. When the divine force mounts on the priest (phedangba) he recites the hymn as he receives in the form of electric current and in a greater agility. This hymnal form made out of words is the Mundhum. The reasons of being bestowed upon them by the divinity or spirituality, the Kiranti people regard the Mundhum as their religious scripture.

The Mundhum is basically a verse form transmitted orally from generation to generation and thus is referred to as oral Mundhum (Thuthur Beda: perhaps derived from the Nepali term 'thutuno<mouth). It is a folk epic in verse recited by the learned Samba poet. The Kirant Limbu priests are called as Sambas where Sam means 'song' and ' $b a^{\prime}$ ' means 'the one who does (knows) the song'. It is narrated or recited while
performing certain rituals and observing ceremonies and sacrificial in their respective styles and manners. In a sense, Subba (1995) regards Mundhum as 'the collective form of legends, folklores, prehistoric accounts, sermons, shamans, moral or philosophical exhortations etc, in the poetic language' Weidert and Subba (1985) hold the opinion that the Mundhum is the Limbu religion and Limbu religious literature. According to them, the Mundhum has represented both the religion and literature. But this statement seems to be much confusing because the Mundhum cannot be both the religion and the literature. Obviously every Limbu assures that 'Kirant' is the name of religion and the Mundhum is its scripture just as the Hindu is the name of the religion and the Vedas are its scriptures. In fact, Limbu religious scripture can be regarded as literature when we just think it as story or poem for a moment. Since every religious scripture has, after all, the narrative story or poem.

Subba (1998) has considered the Mundhum as the admixture of various issues within it. By his consideration, the Mundhum seems to be a complex matrix or the tight universe of diverse disciplines as legend, myth, history, tale, fable, parable, folk-tale, fairy tale, saga, song, hymn, psalm and so forth. In this regard, Gaenszle (2002, pp. 31-33) opines that "the Mundhum is oral tradition, ancestral knowledge and more specifically traditional way of life referring to the moral order established in primordial times". Likewise Jones \& Jones (1974), Kainla (1994), and Toba (1998) have coincidently held the similar view referring to it as 'collection of Limbu myths'. Unlike other, Michalovsky (1994) maintains a distinct view about the Mundhum. To him, the Mundhum means 'tradition,' 'ritual,'etc.

To sum up, the Mundhum is a wide range of cultural reflection of the Limbu encompassing the issues from illness healing to the spiritual dealing of the supernatural phenomena. For the Limbu people, it is an immense museum in the form of language which provides them with the matters of antiquity. This is the only means to consolidate and unite the whole Limbu people in one, no matter what places they live and which dialect they speak. Linguistically, the Mundhum is a super-ordinate term and only after this, the other dialectal variances come under it.

In fact, the Mundhum is a vast discipline encompassing a wide variety of notions and issues. One researcher can pick up its small portion for his/her concerned purpose
what I have also done here in this research. I have taken one Mundhum myth with the title Yapmi Pongma Mundhun ‘The Origin of Man’ which is considered to be one of the important ritualistic forms in the Limbu community. This myth falls under the main ritual form called 'Tongsing Takma Mundhum'. It is referred to in three different events of life i.e. birth, marriage and the death ritual. The abridged version of this recorded text consists six hundred fifty four verse lines. The unedited record length is one hour four minutes eighteen seconds and its edited form is of fifty three minutes forty two seconds. Due to the technical reason, the annotated version consists of five hundred eighty eight lines which are attached to the appendix.

This Mundhum text that is being analysed is recorded from the informant of Chhathare dialect speaker. Though I consulted the Mundhum Sambas of other dialects speakers, I happened to choose the Chhathare dialect speaker. There are certain reasons behind that choice. The first reason is that the Mundhum language has uniformity across the Limbu community so it would not make any fundamental difference by which dialect the informant belongs to. The next reason is that the chosen informant is by no means inefficient in comparison to other so-called veteran Limbu Sambas. The third reason is that the informant is easily available whenever he is needed. The fourth reason is that the informant is well educated holding bachelor degree. Keeping these reasons in mind, the Chhathare informant was selected for this purpose. It was conceived that necessary discussion on linguistic issues could be undertaken which would not have been possible with other Sambas because most of them are poorly educated and do not have the idea about the linguistic make up of the Mundhum.

The informant is Mr. Bharat Kumar Subba, the resident of Tankhuwa VDC ward no. 3 of Dhankuta district, Koshi Zone Eastern Region, Nepal. He is forty two years old presently residing in Dharan municipality ward no. 16 Sunsari district. He works at the office of Drinking Water Corporation in Dharan. He is popular for the Mundhum recitation thus has in depth knowledge over it. He is occasionally invited to different places and functions for the ritualistic performances.

### 3.2 The Mundhum text and diverse forms

Now, it is better to have a cursory glance over various forms of the same text. Thanks to the development in writing, the Mundhum writing trend is also increasing. So the Limbu Mundhum is available written by different writers of the different dialect speakers. I have collected the Mundhum of the same myth Yapmi pongma Mundhum 'The Origin of Man’ written by four different writers. Imansing Chemjong is a pioneering person to initiate the writing tradition of the Mundhum. His book entitled Kirat Mundhum (Kirat ko Beda) was published in 1961. This is a collection of different types of Mundhum along with the myth Yapmi pongma Mundhum. This text hardly covers five pages with Nepali translation. The Mundhum is in sermonized version not in the recital form. The content is also different from the content being analyzed here.

The next text is written by Til Bikram Nembang alias Bairagi Kainla with the title Chai:t Mundhum ‘Shristi Barnan’. This book was published in 2003. The text covers twenty eight pages in which there are three different portions in a page that is, the Mundhum in Siriganga script (Limbu script), transliteration version in Dev Nagari script and its translation. This also contains some of the issues distinctly different from other writers' texts. Kainla's text belongs to the Panchthare variety.

The third text is George van Driem (1987) which is included in the appendix of a comprehensive grammar entitled 'A Grammar of Limbu'. This grammar is based on the Phedappe variety. His presented text (Yapmi pongma Mundhum 'The Origin of Man') is also different from other in terms of content he has presented there. This is a very brief sketch of the myth which he has presented in the process of morphemic analysis of the mythical text. He has not given it in the verse form but is a mere summarized form.

The fourth text on that particular myth is the book written by Laxman Myangbo belonging to Taplejungge variety. His book entitled 'Yakthung Mundhum' was published in 2005. This book contains other Mundhum topics including the text 'Menchhamgen Yapmi Pokma. He has divided the myth under different sub-headings which marks distinctly different from other Mundhum writers.

Various forms of the same text simply raise a question as to why these varieties for the same content and matter. When we go thoroughly in these above mentioned texts by various writers or compilers, the fundamental difference lies in the issue of creating the human especially the number of persons, who the first person and what name of the first created/born person, had. For instance, Chemjong's (1961) version refers to that in the beginning there was only one person (male) created. But when it seemed to be harassed of not having its human company, then the deity created next female. The deity blessed them to be young and to fulfill their carnal desire, and then they had a male baby named Susungge Lalangge. His birth has been referred to have been the natural one. But the fifth text which is being analyzed, says that the first successful creation of human being was Muzingnama Kheyongnama (female) and she was conceived through the wind. Eventually Susuwengba Lalawengba was born asexually. In this way, the same myth has been interpreted differently by the different writers/compilers.

### 3.3 The dialectal impact on the Mundhum narration

The native people of all dialects seem to agree that the Mundhum language has uniform regardless of dialectal variation. It has been unaffected by the regional variety. Despite such claim, practically we find some traces of dialectal impact on the Mundhum narration. For instance, the Chhathare speakers use mo for indicating the locative point 'below' but other dialects use for that locative marker yo. Likewise, Chhathare use tamdukhe for 'sweeper or broom stick' but other dialect speakers use tamsiri. The Panchthare speakers use the term khiya/khyaba for denoting the dog but other dialects use the term kocho 'dog'. What the Limbu people accept is that Taplejung is the origin place for the Mundhum because there are places, streams, caves, hills, etc. which are referred to in the Mundhum. And even some people claim the Taplejugge dialect is the Mundhum dialect. But this claim does not have a strong ground to support this sort of claim because the Mundhum language is something distinctly different from any dialect. No dialect employs the archaic or obsolete dictions in their daily communicative purpose. But the undeniable fact is that there is more or less dialectal impact felt or found in the Mundhum narration on the basis of which dialect speaker is narrating the Mundhum. The revelation of dialectal characteristic in narration is so much the matter of unconscious notion which is not
resulted from deliberation. This is the very reason that this text also contains some dialectal effect in the narration. Instead of using the standard form for locative marker yo (below) the informant unconsciously happens to use mo which is the example of the dialectal effect. Let us consider the example:
1.a mo kehoben go lahadoynaren
mo $k \varepsilon-h \supset-b \varepsilon \quad-n \quad$ go lahadoyna $-r \varepsilon-n$
under AP- drop -AP -ABS TOP Lahadangna -GEN -ABS
Siblings dropped down would be Lahadongna's 571

The use of locative marker $m o$ is the instance of dialectal effect since in the Chhathare dialect $m o$ is used to indicate the location 'below' whereas it is $y o$ in other dialects or in the Mundhum language.

```
1.b je.. ssa: juru ro jolam juru ro
    je эsa: jur -u ro jo-lam jur -u ro
    VOC quickly bring down-3P ASS below-MED bring down -3P ASS
    Brought down.
    4 2 3
```

The example 1.b, is another example of dialectical impact on the Mundhum because the verse is not giving clear sense. The locative adverb $j o$ and the verb juru are quite contrastive. The three dialects except the Chhathare, the locative jo denotes 'below' or 'down' but in the Chhathare, it (jo) denotes 'up there' in the equal level. Here verb juru denotes to bring something from up $\left(t^{h} o\right)$. According standard dialect (the Panchthare dialect is said to be approaching the position of the Limbu vernacular), there should have been $t^{h} o$-lam juru (bring down from above) and jo-lam thaktu (bring up from below). In this way, the dialectal reflections are noticed in sound as well as at lexical level.

### 3.4 Significance of the Mundhum in the Limbu community

Since the time immemorial, the Limbu people are the followers of the Mundhum, the religious scripture. Their whole life is guided by the principles and practices as referred to in the Mundhum. The history refers to the time of the first Kirant king

Yalambar Hang and his regime in Kathmandu valley. According to the historical reference, king Ashok of India visited Kathmandu valley during the time when the fourteenth Kirant king Stungko was ruling over. The date of Ashok's visit is mentioned to be 269-265 BC, (Chemjong 2003, p. 11). He further says that the Kirant king was not ready to adopt the Buddhism at the request of his royal guest yet he allowed the foreigners to preach new faiths in his territory and treated all faiths equally. Many centuries later especially in the eighteenth century, the Limbu land was conquared by the Gorkha King (1774 AD). In this regard Subba (1995) gives the reference of Northey \& Moris (1927) and mentions that Limbu fought with Gurkhas and finally the Gurkha ruler granted a commission with certain ruling power to chief of each district or Thums and tax privileges for his community members which led to the agreement for ceasing war for ever. Since then naturally the Limbu leaders along with their fellow members began to follow some of Hindu creeds. But this does not mean that they totally gave up their own religious faith and adopted the newer one. Rather they took up both religious creeds side by side as an exquisite example of religious tolerance. The cultural assimilation is never the case of one way process in this, the Aryans of the Eastern Nepal seem to be observing and worshipping the Kirant festivals and deities respectively.

The significance of the Mundhum in the Limbu community is beyond the explanation. They regard the Mundhum not merely a simple form and sense but also consider it as comprising the whole matters and spirits of life and the universe. To put it in the other words, the Mundhum is everything for them. It encompasses simply illness healings to supernatural dealings. It talks about hell to heaven; vice to virtue; shaman to sermon; ghost to god; life to death; fortune to failure; defy to devotion and what not others. The implication of the Mundhum over the Limbus can be precisely stated as follows:

- Mundhum as a source of knowledge - it provides the knowledge of matter and soul; mundane and spiritual world and practical as well as shamanic realms.
- Mundhum as a source of power - it is an undeniable fact that knowledge generates power. Those who have a sound knowledge of the Mundhum deserve the power of certain kind.
- Mundhum as a source of pleasure - real pleasure emerges out from the knowledge. When a person is well equipped with knowledge of life and the world, s/he feels a real pleasure.
- Mundhum as a source of blocking evil spirits, events and happenings - it is a multi dimensional in the sense that it deals with various aspects related to the life's problems and overcoming them (exorcizing the evil spirits).
- Mundhum as a source of ethics, etiquettes, mores, civic senses, codes, conducts and so forth - it guides and regulates for the decent civilized life of the Limbu people.
- Mundhum as a means of linking the mundane world to that of spiritual world it describe life as a unique fusion of matter and the soul. So only talking about the materialistic aspect of life is not complete and justifiable itself.
- Mundhum as a source of ritualistic practices -it has rightly prescribed the rites and rituals that the Limbu people are supposed to follow in their life. The rites and rituals begin just before one's birth and continue even after death.
- Mundhum as a source of cultural convention - it offers a distinct pattern of the culture and tradition of the Limbu people. This is the reason why the Limbu have their own unique culture. They have their own dress, dance, ways of greeting and respect, games, feast and festivals.
- Mundhum leads life towards peace, progress and prosperity - when the Mundhum is to provide man with knowledge and wisdom, the life really proceeds to the realms of heavenly state.
- Mundhum as a source of prehistoric accounts - it relates to the phenomena of the remotest past. Through it, one can have knowledge of prehistoric life style since Mundhum is the collective form myths and legends.
- Mundhum as a powerful means of communicating with the supernatural beings/figures like deities, ghosts, dead spirits, ancestral figures. Also it bridges ancestral past to the present.
- Mundhum as a source of a rich, formal, high standard and diglossic form of the Limbu language. It provides us with the abundance treasure of archaic dictions as well as other vocabularies (linguistic archaeology of the various ritual forms).
- Mundhum has after all, promoted the unity among the Limbu people by bringing different dialectal communities into a single Mundhum code. Therefore, it is regarded as symbol of unity and the ethnic pride.
- Mundhum is very much useful in the practical life too. Many Limbu people have earned their livelihood, name and fame by dealing with the Mundhum.
- Mundhum has offered many good opportunities for sitting, meeting and eating together on the occasions of feasts and festivals; rites and rituals and meetings and conferences.
- Mundhum has functioned as lubricating oil in the machineries of the Limbu social arganization allowing it run smoothly.
- Mundhum is also considered as philosophy which readily guides towards the ideal life of 'simple living but high thinking.'


### 3.5 The Mundhum and forms

The Mundhum is a folk epic which comprises the verses of religious rituals, rites, the myth of creation of the universe, creatures, and so on. The Kirant Limbu have a strong belief that the Mundhum is an eternal truth, holy and the most powerful scripture. It has been divided mainly in to two major types (Chemjong, 2003).They are (I) Thung Sap Mundhum and (II) Peysap Mundhum. The first 'Thung Sap' is the original form that has been transmitted orally from generation to generation since the time immemorial. Later on, when the writing system was developed, the Mundhum was also available in the book form. This is the same book form which the Samba' or the Limbu priests usually recite in certain ceremonies and occasions. Thus, the Sambas are regarded as the authentic sources of the Mundhum. The next type of the Mundhum is Peysap Mundhum. It is the written book about Kirant religion. It has further four divisions.
a. The Soksok Mundhum
b. The Yehang Mundhum
c. The Sapji Mundhum
d. The Sap Mundhum

These four divisions of Peysap Mundhum are briefly described underneath:

### 3.5.1 Soksok Mundhum

It is concerned with the creation of different things. It mainly consists of the creation of the universe; the origin of human being; origin of the sin, its reasons and effects; the origin of anger, jealousy, contempt; the reason of death in the infancy period (infancy death) and its measures of prevention and so forth.

### 3.5.2 Yehang Mundhum

Yehang is the ancient religious preacher of Kirant religion. His sermons have been referred to in the first chapter's seventh part in the Kirant Mundhum (Beda). In his preaching, there are flashes of spiritual knowledge and ethical values and their importance in human life. Human beings are differentiated from animals on the basis of religious knowledge and ethics they acquire. His sermons hint at enhancing the spiritual as well as ethical values in the human life. In order to become sane, civilized, cultured and rational being one needs to cultivate the knowledge of spiritualism, ethics, code and conducts.

### 3.5.3 Sapji Mundhum

Since the time immemorial, the Limbu are the worshippers of nature or they are 'animists'. They believe that the Supreme Being exists in the light and fire. So, they believe soul that is believed to be assimilated into the fire and light. The soul or spirit has again two kinds- good and evil. The good spirit is the supreme soul of the admixture of knowledge and intellect to which the Mundhum addresses it as the 'Ningwa Phuma' and its meaning the flood of light and knowledge. On the other, the evil spirit is believed to be led by the 'Tamphung sammang' (forest deity) and with this there are other evil spirits which always trouble human beings. Illness, epidemic diseases like cholera, small pox, measles, typhoid etc, are said to be the results of the evil spirits.

### 3.5.4 Sap Mundhum

Besides good and evil spirits, there is another third kind of spirit which is called the inspiring spirit. God inspires human beings for the progress and for the well being of the society. The inspiring spirits foretell through the male or female's speeches that inspire human beings to do good deeds and to achieve the expected future goals.

Above all, the Mundhum is the guideline of the Kirant Limbu. It prescribes to the community about the manners and ways of living they have to come across. Its usefulness and importance begins right from the pre-birth stage of an infant. Before a baby is born, a certain ritual is performed wishing the safety and well-being of the 'would be' baby. This ritual is called Sappok chomen or 'Kokha puja'. The rituals begin from the period of pregnancy and go on to the period even after death. The main rituals performed during the life period are from the naming of the newly born baby, Yangdang phongma or baptizing; the purification of the child-bearing mother, marital ritual Mekhim chokma thim and death rituals along with purification rituals Khauma after death.

### 3.6 The Mundhum common across the Limbuwan

Traditionally the Limbu language was divided into six dialectal varieties on the basis of geographical differences. Those dialectal variants were Panchthare, Phedappe, Tamarkhole, Mewakhole, Yangrokke and Chhathare. But this distinction was, later on, considered inaccurate and impractical because it was not specific categorization in terms of linguistic variety. Weidert and Subba (1985) specified that the varieties were only four types which have already been mentioned under dialectal variants. Driem (1987) whose grammar entirely based on the Phedappe dialect also holds the similar view on the dialectual variation as Weidert and Subba.

Despite the dialectal variants of the Limbu language, the Mundhum language has no variety across the Limbu native speakers. Angdembe (2012) also holds the similar view and writes as the Limbu ritual language remains the same in all Limbu dialects (see also Subba 2000: 16). In fact, the Mundhum language is special for the rituals and ceremonies. It is employed to serve the specific purpose that is associated with
religious functions and ritualistic performances. So it has remained unaffected from constantly changing contexts of language like ordinary speech variety or the day-today communicative speech. This means the ritual language (Mundhum language) in used in every moment like communicative speech. In this regard, there is no question of constant change and dialectal effect on the Mundhum version. Also ritualistic performances require the exact verbal expression so the Mundhum's linguistic feature entirely differs from the ordinary speech variety. It serves as a linguistic super ordinate position that holds the different dialectal variations into one. This type of language is used for especial purposes/occasions that is why it is called as the language of liturgy. In other languages also there are special linguistic versions which are used in the special occasions. For example, Sanskrit is the sacred ritualistic language for Nepali and Hindi languages and so are the Greek and Latin for English. The Mundhum language also serves as the same purpose in the Limbu language as Sanskrit and Greek or Latin serve in the respective languages.

### 3.7 The language of the Limbu Mundhum

The Mundhum language seems apparently distinct from the language variety used in the daily communication. This is only used in the special occasions, ceremonies and religious functions. The Limbu community uses two different language varieties depending on the context and the situation. The language variety which is used in special purposes is in fact a High language variety in a diglossic situation (Hudson, 1999).The specific features of the Limbu Mundhum are briefly discussed as follows:

### 3.7.1 The Mundhum as liturgical language

Liturgical language refers to the special kind of speech situation which embeds other forms of language events. It is performed in both spoken or sung and produced individually or in unison and as monologue or dialogue. Basically it consists of the further speech acts like invocations, petitions, doxologies, intercessions, thanksgivings, rosaries, litanies, chants, hymns, psalms and canticles (Crystal, 1997).

### 3.7.2 The Mundhum as language of preaching

In Limbu community, the act of preaching is usually through reciting the Mundhum verse lines. There is no provision of preaching through formal written texts since the Mundhum has come down through oral tradition.

### 3.7.3 The Mundhum as ritual language

Rites and rituals are directly related to the cultural or social practices. This speech situation comprises the speech events like baptisms, weddings, funerals, cleansing rites, confessions, meal times, remembrance services, initiation ceremonies, invocations, meditations, oaths, vows, exorcisms, or blessing of people, objects or places.

### 3.7.4 The Mundhum as doctrinal statement

This speech situation includes the events as sutras, mantras, tantric creed, articles of faith, instructions and catechisms. In this the Samba 'the priest' pronounces the statements and his disciples rightly follow him.

### 3.7.5 The Mundhum as a language of private affirmations

This speech situation further leads to the speech events like the expression of the mystical power, expression of identity and conversion, ecstatic prayers, prophesying, oracles, spirit possession and testimony giving.

### 3.7.6 The Mundhum as a special register

In sociolinguistics, the term refers to a variety of language defined according to its use in social situations, e.g. register of scientific, religious, formal speech (Crystal, 1997).Within the Limbu speaking community, Mundhum reflects a distinctly different variety of speech. In this regard, Crystal (1997) views that the religious language should closely adhere to tradition and be special set apart from the everyday language. Similarly Angdembe (2012, p. 11) quotes Gaenszle et al. that "the ritual language is a
special register of speech used in speaking with divinities and ancestral forces in priestly chants and special people in ceremonial dialogue." The language employed in this situation seems to be extremely formal, matured, lofty and pedantic.

### 3.7.7 The Mundhum as a language of High Variety (diglossic)

This situation occurs in the Limbu community because they use explicitly different varieties in certain occasions. Charles Ferguson (1959) was the first man to introduce this concept in English language literature observing the situation found in Greece. In this context, Hudson (1999) refers to it that in some societies there are two obvious varieties sufficiently distinct for lay people to call them separate languages of which one is used only on formal and public occasions while the other is used by everybody under normal everyday circumstances. The Limbu community applying two varieties of the same language on the basis of context or situation meets the criteria forwarded by Holmes (2008). He maintains these bases as follows:
a. Two distinct varieties of same language are used in the community with one regarded as the High (or H) variety and the other a Low (or L) variety.
b. Each variety is used for quite distinct functions; H and L complement each other.
c. No one uses the H variety in everyday conversation.

Like the above views, Yule (1995, p. 195) holds the opinion about the diaglossia as "to describe a situation in which two very different varieties of language co-exist in a speech community each with a distinct range of social function". Hence, from the foregoing statements, it is safe to say that the Mundhum is holding the position of diaglossia.

### 3.8 Ethnographies of communication

Regarding the ethnographies of communication or speaking Hymes (1974) defines as it is intended to indicate the necessary scope and to encourage the doing, of studying ethnographic in basis and kind of patterned complexity with which they deal. Differentiating it with the traditional concept of linguistics, he further argues that it is rather not linguistics but ethnography, not language but communication which must provide the frame of reference within which the place of language in culture and society is to be assessed. The similar view has been forwarded by Holmes (2008)
about the ethnographies of communication or speaking. He defines it as an approach to analyzing the language which has been designed to heightened awareness of culture-bound assumptions. He means that the traditional approach to describing communication system is inadequate because the traditional approach only describes the rules and grammatical patterns. The grammatical patterns cannot help to deduct the contextual meaning. The same sentence can have various meanings depending on the contexts and situations. Grammatical rule alone is not determinant factor for deriving the appropriate meaning.

Ethnography of communication is a technical term which is related to the ethnolinguistics. About this ethnolinguistics, Crystal (2003, p. 166) provides its definition as "a branch of linguistics which studies language in relation to the investigation of ethnic types and behavior." This definition implies that ethnic type and behavior cannot be discerned or analyzed just by applying the general norms of traditional linguistics. In order to clarify this concept Hymes (1974) refers to Bloomfield's examples as there is quite different between the linguistically same structure uttered by a beggar, 'I'm hungry' (to obtain food) and a child 'I'm hungry' (to avoid going to bed). Again the ethnography is the language type employed by the certain ethnic group which is said to have a common ancestral link. The socio-cultural patterns differentiate the linguistic behavior from one ethnic group to the other. In this sense, Crystal (1997, p. 34) holds the opinion that "religious practices, long standing institutions and traditional customs are all important in this respect. Having observed these ethnic phenomena Gumperz (1972, p. 206) states "the studies of ethnographic categorization processes provide a method of relating verbal behavior to social process, adding an important dimension to the linguist's grammatical analysis." He means ethnography of communication offers the methods and units of analysis of ethnographic research into communication.

Dell Hymes's ethnography of communication (1962) has evolved as a new distinctive sub-discipline with the fusion of two disciplines as anthropology and linguistics respectively. This novel theory has revolutionized the study of interpenetration of language and culture. This revolutionary method can aptly be applied for the thorough analysis of the Limbu Mundhum too. It is the most suitable because Erickson (2009, p. 287) holds opinion on ethnography of communication that "it tends to focus on the
culturally stylized speech rather than on the more causal speaking activities." He further claims that in the study of interaction, this idea leads to an interest in the regularity of cultural patterning, in fairly formal ritualized situations of communication. Likewise, Saville-Troike's (2009, p. 353) conclusion on ethnography of communication is that a central goal is thus discovering and formulating rules for appropriate language use in specific contexts. She further explains about the rules as "they are tied to the share values of the speech community and typically reflect an ideal cultural perception".

The Limbu Mundhum is the accumulated form of speech situations. So within the Mundhum one can readily discover many situations associated with speech. "Such contexts of situation are often naturally described as ceremonies, fights, hunts, meals, lovemaking and the like," Hymes (1974, p. 51). The Mudhum thus comprises varieties of speech situations within it. Speech situation according to the sociolinguists is a broader term which embodies many speech events. For example, Tongsing Tokma 'a ritual form' serves as the speech situation. Likewise one situation embeds a number of speech events. "The term of speech event will be restricted to activities or aspects of activities that are directly governed by rules or norms for the use of speech" Hymes (1974, p. 5). Sometimes it happens that an event may consist of a single speech act but it comprises several. One considerable thing is that the same type of speech act may recur in various kinds of speech events, and the same type of speech event in different contexts and situations. For example, the Mundhum myth 'the Origin of Man' can occur in different ritualistic contexts like Tongsing Tokma, Mekhim Khama, and Khauma 'promoting the good relationship, wedding rite and cleansing rite' respectively. When turning towards the speech act it is simply considered as a minimal term of the set just discussed, as the remarks on speech events have indicated. It, as Hymes (1974, p. 52) opines, "represents a level distinct from the sentence, and not identifiable with any single portion of neither other levels of grammar nor segments of any particular size defined in terms of other levels of grammar".

### 3.9 The Mundhum and its socio-cultural significance

The terms 'society' and 'culture' are not independent entities but they are rather interrelated each other. Every society has its own way of life style or behavioral structure. The same life style or behavioral way is the wholesale meaning of culture. In this regard, Limbu is one of the ethnic groups comprising its own specific cultural pattern. "Ethnicity, as stated by Holmes (2008, p. 184) is a linguistic feature associated with the ethnic identity". According to him the ethnicity is reflected during the interaction of social network. Hence the society, culture and language are three different vital factors of a system. If one element from the system collapses it affects the whole system. Therefore, "the systematic study of the relationship among these elements is concerned with investigating the relationship between language and society with the goal being a better understanding of structure of language and how language functions in communication" (Wardhaugh, 2000, p. 12). The culture cannot be imagined out of society. In this context, Hudson (1999, p. 71) refers to the culture as "socially acquired knowledge". He further quotes Goodenough's (1957) view about the relationship among those three aspects as "a society's language is an aspect of its culture.... The relationship of language to culture is that part of whole." In order to justify the relationship and significance, Holmes holds the view that "language provides a means of encoding a community's knowledge beliefs and values i.e. its culture" (2008, p. 341). He enlists three essential points as evidences to show how these elements are inter-related each other. They are as follows:

- There is undisputed evidence that the physical and cultural environment in which it develops influences the vocabulary and grammar of a language.
- When cultural and social change occur the linguistic system generally adapts.
- The language and discourse pattern associated to a particular culture may not only reflect existing social relationship they may also influence the way one group interacts with other.
(2008, p. 350)


### 3.10 The Limbu Mundhum in ethnographic perspective

(The myth yapmi pongma Mundhum 'The Origin of Man')

Obviously the question may be raised why the Mundhum is to be set against the ethnographic perspective and what the term ethnography refers to and the like. To respond the above questions, one must be fully aware of what the Mundhum is. The Mundhum as has been mentioned earlier is a whole corpus with various types of speech situations. These speech situations, according to Crystal (1997, p. 389) are namely as follows:
a. Liturgical forms
b. Ritual forms
c. Doctrinal forms
d. Private affirmations and
e. Preaching

Again each form involves further sub-divisions depending upon their nature and characteristics. This is evident that the Mundhum embodies wide range of ground and spaces for the ethnographic situations. From the linguistic point of view, the Mundhum is merely a discourse that is the socio-linguists define "discourse is generally used to refer to stretches of spoken or written language which extends beyond an utterance or a sentence" (Holmes, 2008, p. 356). Socio-linguists and anthropologists consider all kinds of verbal materials as texts containing discourse within. To achieve the fuller meaning of text, only verbal analysis is not enough. So, especially John Gumperz and Dell Hymes (1972) played a crucial role to introduce a new framework for the discoursal analysis. Hymes (1974) proposed an ethnographic framework which takes into account the various factors that are involved in speaking. He further specifies as "ethnography of communicative event is a description of all factors that are relevant in understanding how that particular communicative event achieves its objectives". Obviously this technique is fairly a context depended or pragmatic study of a text. Emphasizing the context, Holmes (2008, p. 357) focuses that "context is clearly crucial in interpreting what is meant and pragmatics extends the analysis of meaning beyond grammar and word meaning to the relationship between the participants and background knowledge they bring to a situation." Hymes (1974) formulated the acronym SPEAKING for the various factors related to the ethnographic description. The following is the consideration of each factor of the
acronym 'SPEAKING' with specific portion of the Limbu Mundhum myth 'The Origin of Man' as an example.

### 3.10.1 The setting and scene ( S )

The setting refers to the time and place whereas scene refers to the abstract psychological situation where the speech takes place. These two elements are very important to analyze and to achieve the meaning of the discourse. The 'Creation or Origin of Man' is one of the important myths of the Limbu Mundhum. This is to be recited in different occasions of the ritualistic performances. Mainly, this mythical version is referred to during the cultural rite called as 'Tongsing Tokma' which Subba (1998, p. 156) defines it as "the most important ceremony of the Limbus". He further clarifies the Tongsing Tokma Mundhum as an act of cooperation, coming together, coming in an agreement or consolidation or becoming correct or fitting. But technically, the term 'Tongsing' is the name of a small bamboo basket (Nep. Daalo) full of earth/soil on which small sticks are planted pointing upward in the middle representing dead spirits and alive ones. This basket is placed at the bottom of the twin bamboo poles hosted in the center of the house yard. Kainla (2051 VS) also holds the similar view as Subba about the meaning of the 'Tongsing Tokma'. He defines it as a ritual of winning cooperation of ancestors and divinities through incantation, invocation, dramatic performances and using symbolic paraphernalia. It is, after all, ancestral worship to win their favor so as to bring about peace, progress and prosperity for the succeeding generations. The twin bamboo poles of about twelve feet height hosted in the center of the yard, contain four items of objects at different heights. At the bottom of the poles, there is a small bamboo basket (Nep. Dalo) filled it with soil and short sticks along with Mukto Sing (Nep. Ghungring) planted on top. At about four feet above the ground, a drum (Niyara Hangusing- Nep. Dhol Baza) is hung down the poles. In the third step, there is a middle sized bamboo basket ( Ya $r / l u m b h o$ Nep. Thunse) is hung nearly four feet above the drum ( Ke ). Finally, there is an altar on top of the poles containing jars of liquor and the head of the sacrificial pig.

Ground seat is prepared by laying straw mat and woolen mat on the top in such a way that the Samba (Limbu priest or the authentic person of the Limbu Mundhum) can face towards the altar. The Samba is assisted by a person locally known as
'Yagapsiba' who helps the Samba (priest) to light oil lamp, incense, beat metal plate and follow the incantation which the Samba utters. The Yagapsiba closely follows and carries out the instructions and demands made by the Samba throughout performances. There are family members along with other senior kinsmen of neighbors as to watch and listen to the possible forecast be made by the Samba although no conversation takes place during the ritual performance. The time of the ritual performance is preferably the night resuming from the late evening. Most of the ritualistic performances are held at the night time in the belief that the divinities, spirits and other supernatural forces prefer visiting and revealing during the night while the mortal beings fall asleep. The fire is also lit in one corner of the yard because the rituals are mostly held in the winter seasons as people have leisure at that time. The winter nights are extremely cold so the fire is a most to warm up and to supply the burning coals to light dried leaves incense (Nep. Dhup) or the gum obtained from pine tree. Before the ritualistic performance begins, the Samba and his assistance (Yagapsiba) are served meal and liquor to their heart content. When the evening meal is over, the Samba and his assistant start the preparation for the ritual performance. The Samba or Yeba gets dressed with certain apparels, aigrette (Wasang- Nep. Pagari), garlands of acorn seeds (Phegbo-Nep. Rudraksha Mala) and belt. The necessary materials like tiger nails, wild boar's tusks (canine), snake vertebrae, thunder bolt, bone of Yeti, brass bells, larger cowries, crystal quartz, etc, are taken out from the bag and put in the proper places around the altar (Sangbhe). The altar (Sangbhe) is also decorated with different flowers, shoots, sapling, leaves and branches of certain plants. Locally prepared incense and the oil lamp are lit in the worshipping place. There are some kerosene lamps or lanterns placed at certain corners for the light. Around the court yard, there are spectators of different age groups sitting eagerly for the commencement of ritual performance. This is what the setting scene looks in the Tongsing Tokma ritual performance.

### 3.10.2 The participants ( $\mathbf{P}$ )

Participants in a discourse or conversation are essentially important especially for devising the accurate meaning. The considerable matter about the participants is to have knowledge about their roles, the status they hold in the society, the age group they belong to, the relationship they have among them and other factors like these are
minutely calculated. The types or the occasions are also the determining factors about the participants' role. Regarding the participants Wardhaugh (2000, p. 243) maintains that "they (participants) include various combinations of speakers-listeners, addressor-addressee, or sender-receiver." These combinations are based on two or more persons' participation in the interaction or the conversation. Apart from these combinations there are other types on the basis of involvement such as monologue, soliloquy and asides on the part of speakers and over hearer, by stander and audience on the part of the listeners.

In the portion Limbu Mundhum - Tongsing Tokma ritual, The Creation/Origin of Man' is essential part to be referred to during the performance. This Mundhum myth contains many episodes. Detailed recitation of the whole version takes longer period so most often the Samba or Yeba/Yema chooses to curtail down the version or skip off some episodes. The Samba is the principal participant of this discourse. He fulfills the role of addressor. On the other hand, the addressees are sometimes deities, divinities, dead spirits, ghosts and other supernatural beings; and sometimes the mortal beings of the mundane world. When the Samba evokes through litany and propitiates through certain liturgical procedure, the participants are basically the deities. But sometimes he also calls Tuttu Tummyahang 'senior respectable people' for listening to him about the divine counseling and admonition. At such address, the human folks are the participants as passive listeners (audience) for exchange of dialogue between humans is impossible while the performance is ongoing. The Samba's address at that time feels more like a monologue or soliloquy.

### 3.10.3 Ends (E)

According to Wardhaugh (2000, p. 243) "ends refers to the conventionally recognized and expected outcomes of an exchange as well as to the personal goals that the participants seek to accomplish on particular occasions." Any utterance must have its own purpose whether that may be directly or indirectly stated. When we relate the 'ends' to the Mundhum's myth 'The Origin of Man', we can find certain intended goal in terms of whole discourse(text) as well as personalized goals. The same myth 'The Origin of Man' has to be referred to different contexts and occasions. This myth is referred to mainly in three different rituals as 'Tongsing Tokma, Mekhim Chokma
and Khauma (Mi?wa-Sangma) related to the rituals of retaining cooperative feelings among kith and kin, of nuptial tie and of purification after relative's death. The myth 'The Origin of Man' is referred to in the Tongsing Tokma ritual in order to "strengthen social relationship and cooperation initiated and performed by a particular family or families for their welfare, prosperity and happiness" (Kainla 2051, p. 2 VS). It is mentioned during the rite of Mekhim Chokma Thim (matrimonial tie) to recall antiquity and to realize how the creator god first created the husband and wife. The sole purpose of creating the first conjugal was to make the world look suitable and beautiful by having children and thus to retain the human existence forever. Similarly, the mythical reference recurs in the Khauma as well as Mi?wa- Sangma (Death Rituals) to remind and console the mourning and bereaved families about the certainty of the death due to the curse hurled down to the newly created man by the creator god (Porokmi Yomphamiba) when he unexpectedly found response from the human figure created with the admixture of trivial matters like shits of birds, ashes of the Himalayan bamboos, yellow color soil and water of stone hole. The myth says that the god had first created the human by mixing precious metals like pearls, diamond, gold and silver but that was found to be unable to speak then uncaringly mixed up very trivial things and surprisingly that figure happened to reply the god's call. So in fury, he condemned the human to death. As the human life begins out of the ashes and soil so does it end in the ashes and soil was the core content of the divine curse to the human beings.

Now, it is better to specify the discussion about the myth 'The Origin of Man' referred to in the ritual Tongsing Tokma and its goals (ends) in terms of the whole discourse as well as individual basis. The Samba is, undoubtedly, the main important person in the ritual performance. So definitely he has multi-purpose of his verbal dealings. First of all, he lets the people gathering there know that the ritualistic performance is to commence right away. Then he calls upon his Gurus, deities and divinities to have a strong favor from them so that no evil thing may happen to him and no interference may occur throughout the whole mission. For this favor, he invokes through litany. By so doing, he hopes the intended supernatural beings would be pleased with his loyalty and devotion. In turn, strength, guidance, blessings and wisdom would be bestowed upon him thus enabling him to overcome whatever difficulties there may come on the way to his mission. He evokes the master spirits to
bless him so that he would be able to maintain social solidarity as the intention of family or families who are organizing the ceremony. He occasionally addresses to the senior people present there and in this he wants to inform about certain message also tries to prove how smart he is at the Mundhum recitation in a sequential order. The occasional address made to the senior people has also got a purpose that is; he wants to establish the contact with them.

There are other participants who can be categorized into two kinds. One kind of category is concerned with the mortal beings. This group includes the family members, neighboring people and even the assistant of the Samba (Yagapsiba) and the Samba himself. The other group is related to the supernatural beings in which there are the master spirits, deities and other heavenly figures whoever may be addressed to in course of performance. The goal of the family members might be getting the ritual successfully accomplished. They are always serious whether they can manage the affairs quite satisfactorily or not. This is their prime concern. The village senior people to whom the Samba addresses as Tuttu tummyang yakla suhang (respectable and learned personalities) have a goal to check whether the Samba can recite the Mundhum sequentially and he can follow steps of the ritual orderly or not. Last but not least, the assistance of the Samba (Yagapsiba) may have goal to fulfill is that he may be able to carry out successfully whatever duties are assigned to him. Most portion of the discourse is employed dealing with the supernatural beings. This implies that there is very few occasions where there is conversation between human participants. The Samba spends a larger scale of time making prayers, offers, earnest request and so forth to the master spirits, deities and other divine forces. Naturally, question rises in our mind what purposes do supernatural forces have to fulfill to the mortal beings? This question can pose everyone in difficulty. Therefore, we can only assume that the purpose of the heavenly figures is to fulfill human desires, lead them to the path of righteousness and instruct through images or symbols.

### 3.10.4 Act sequence (A)

Act sequence, as Holmes (2008, p. 366) quotes, "is the ordering of the speech act." The phrase itself suggests of how the whole discourse has been arranged. In other words, it is concerned with how something is said and what is said. Regarding this
point, Wardhaugh (2000, p. 243) states that "act sequence refers to the actual form and content of what is said: the precise words used how they are used and the relationship of what is said to the actual topic at hand." Basically it includes the two aspects as message form and message content. They, according to Hymes (1974) are central to the speech act and focus of its 'syntactic structure'; they are also tightly interdependent. From this point, the Mundhum myth 'The Origin of Man’ is essentially an oral narrative surviving since the time immemorial. In this regard, Subba (1998, p. vi) holds the view that "Mundhum is surviving on oral tradition mainly through the Limboo priests- the Phedangma, Samba, the Yae (Yeba and Yema)." The Limbu priests are thus the men of great importance who are serving to transfer the Mundhum from one generation to another.

The Limbu Mundhum myth- 'The Origin of Man’ is orally narrated through recitation. This myth embodies different episodes in it. First of all, the myth begins narrating about the universe. In the beginning, as it refers to that there was nothing at all except the pervasive darkness and infinite vacuum. The supreme god, Tagera Ningwaphumang first created the universe-the planets including the Earth and the Moon and the Stars including the Sun and the North Star. He then created angels, deities, divinities and gods out of himself. After that, he delegated the power of creation to one of the gods- Porokmi Yomphamiba. According to the wish of the Almighty God- Tagera Ningwaphumang, Porokmi Yomphamiba focused his view towards creating wind, water, cloud, soil, stone, streams, rivers, seas, oceans, mountains, valleys and so on. The water animals created and put into the water. Likewise, the shrubs, plants and trees were created along with the plant eating creatures. He got to realize that even after the creation of these all things, something was still lacking and the world seemed quite unsuitable from the absence of that particular thing. A vague idea came into his mind and that absent thing was the human being.

The creator god- Porokmi Yomphamiba wished to create very beautiful figure of the human being made up of precious metals. So he blended different precious metals to build up human figure. When the figure was completed the creator god was delighted to look at the beautiful image of human being. He was then hurried to put life into the newly created image but alas! It proved to be almost impossible. The god was
thunderstruck. There was nothing left for the god except hopelessness and indignation. In fury, the god tore the image apart limb by limb and threw the parts to different four directions. Those hurled parts, one of which happened to sink into the water, became water deity, some sank in the mud-became the deity of land and some rest became ghosts and evil spirits.

Having thought that the creation of human from the precious things was worthless attempt, the creator god again tried to create human being. But that time, he was not going to create the man from the mixture of valuable metals, rather he was going to do that by the trivial items like shits of different birds, yellow colored soil, ashes of the Himalayan bamboos and water from hollow tree trunk or stone hole. The task of creating human figure was over but the creator god- Porokmi Yomphamiba or the team of creators was not fully confident about its success. However, the god put the life into the human being. Putting the life into the human image, he made a call to test whether the soul entered the idol or not. Surprisingly, it was quite unexpected matter for the god that the human figure replied the god's call in no time.

The reply could prove that the desire of the human creation was accomplished even then the creator god was not satisfied with that because his intention was not the 'man' which could be created out of such trivial things (admixture of the birds' shits, polluted soil and bamboo ashes). Earlier he had thought to create the human out of precious metals like diamond, pearls, gold, silver and iron so that the created human being would be extremely beautiful, immortal and strong as well. The god happened to think that it was really a cruel joke upon his creation. So having felt a sheer humiliation, the god, all of sudden, spat on the face of the newly created human being with showering curses upon it.

When the divine curses showered upon ill-fated human, immediately it withered away and became lifeless. The god was shocked to see the human in that position. He was dumbfounded and could not decide what to do the next. At this confused situation, he was compelled to realize that the blows and buffets were showering upon him one after another. After a long pensive thought, he decided to visit the Almighty Father in order to have right admonitions about the challenge. As he got to the Almighty Father, he explained all the incidents to the Supreme God, Tagera Ningwaphumang and also
begged for the suggestions. Tagera Ningwaphumang told Porokmi Yomphamiba that the cause of human's death was curse called down upon it. Porokmi Yomphamiba listened attentively to the suggestions forwarded by the Supreme God.

As the creator god got back, he blessed the lifeless statue and blew the vital strength into the human figure. The lifeless human figure revived and was full of strength. One uncorrectable thing with the curse was that the human life could not be made immortal. This established the tradition of certain death in all the living beings including human. When the lifeless statue regained the life, the creator god named the human as Muzingna Kheyongna. Muzingna Kheyongna was female and the first human being created in the world as referred to by the Limbu Mundhum. As the time went on, the first human- Muzingna Kheyongna gradually began to grow. She did not have parents nor did she have any kith and kin. So she was called as the descendant of the sun, the moon, the earth and stone.

Muzingna Kheyongna became young but she was harassed of not having anyone as guardian, mate, relative, or so on. She started roaming about the different places especially to quench the carnal desire. One day when she was whistling having sat on a tree branch, a gentle breeze seemed to be entered into her privy part. Later on, she happened to bear an asexual son named Susungge Lalangge. In the full youth, the boy became a skillful hunter. Despite his mother's warnings, he set out for hunting towards Sinyuk Muden Laze 'Bhot or Tibet' and Temen Warak Laze 'Southern Plain'. Wherever he went, he had sexual relationship with maidens he met. The maiden he met in the Sinyuk Muden Laze was Thosulungma Phiyaklungma. She got a male baby named Susuru Suhampheba. Likewise in southern plain Susungge Lalangge had met with Yosulungma Phiyaklungma and she had a female baby named Tetlara Lahadongna.

Susuru Suhampheba and Tetlara Lahadongna were thus the brother and sister of different mothers. The brother and sister could not meet to each other and did not know their relationship because they were residing in different places- Susuru Suhampheba in the Sinyuk Muden Laze (Tibet) whereas Tetlara Lahadongna in the Temen Warak Laze (Southern Plain) respectively. As they both got young, they were seduced by their own half- brothers (Sangdang Khewa and Lingdang Khewa) to have
incestuous relationship provoking that they (Susuru Suhampheba and Tetlara Lahadongna) had no consanguinity relation between them. Eventually, Susuru Suhampheba and Tetlara Lahadongna had incestuous relationship and they had many offspring.

One day, Tetlara Lahadongna happened to beat her pet dog (bitch) called Khiyadongna with a broomstick. The bitch was worried and went to the Supreme God, Tagera Ningwaphumang to complain maltreatment meted to her by her mistress. In the process of explanation, the bitch tolled the Almighty God that Suhampheba and Tetlara Lahadongna were having many children though incestuous relationship. She also referred to the Almighty God that Tetlara Lahadongna had beaten her with a broomstick without any apparent reasons. Having listened to Khiyadongna's complaints, He too decided to go to Suhampheba and Tetlara Lahadongna's residence for the justice. The Supreme God made an announcement that the relationship between Suhampheba and Tetlara Lahadongna was utterly immoral and sinful as well. Then onward, they should separate forever on equal sharing of their kids. In order to divide the number equal and impartially they placed the children on the gold sieve to filter. The eight children fell down through the sieve and the rest of the equal number remained on the sieve. Those who dropped down the sieve, they were handed over to Tetlara Lahadongna as her part, and those rested on the sieve separated for Suhampheba as his sharing. The eight children who fell under the guardianship of Tetlara Lahadongna, they were known as Sawa Yet Hang and were considered to be the famous Sambas. The present Limbu people are supposed to be the descendants of the same Sawa Yet Hang Sambas.

Although this Mundhum myth 'The Origin of Man' can be thought merely as story in its surface, it is essentially based on the both literary and religious discourse. It has obviously distinct form and feature in its own. The language is highly embellished with the figures of speech. The simultaneous use of figurative and rhetorical devices has made the expression more cutting, pointed and sharp. The choice of proper words in the proper place has further enriched its form and meaning. On being religious discourse, it encapsulates admonition, morality, ethics, human values, and so on. In brief, what the Mundhum myth 'the Origin of Man' tries to say is that the creator god (Porokmi Yomphamiba) first failed to create the human being by mixing and blending
precious metals like diamond, pearls, gold, silver, etc., with the intention of making ever living ( immortal) human being. When he was failure to do that, he indifferently mixed up trivial things like cinder, shits of different birds, yellowish soil and water from the stone holes. After creating the human statue, he put the spirit into it and called to test whether he was successful or not. Surprisingly, he found the statue was live and responded to the creator. Paradoxically, the creator god was not happy. In tacit fury, he happened to cast a spiteful curse upon the man wishing its death. Man is thus condemned to death ever since the curse it received. The content of this myth is that everyone should confess life is essentially mortal. Man turns to the same things like ashes and soil with which his body was supposed to be composed of.

### 3.10.5 Key (K)

Key is concerned with the emotional tone, manner or spirit in which the message is delivered: light-hearted, serious, precise, pedantic, mocking, pompous and so on. Hymes (1974) considers it as modality among grammatical categories. So far as the tone of the Mundhum myth is concerned, it is entirely precise and serious. It is because the key is often traditionally ascribed to an instance of some other component as its attribute; seriousness, for example, may be the expected concomitant of a scene, participant, act, code, or genre (say a church, a judge, use of Latin, obsequies) (Hymes D. H., 1974). The chief participant in this discourse is the Samba who is constantly dealing with either the senior respectable persons known as Tuttugen Tummyang Yaklagen Suhang or the supernatural beings like gods, deities, divine spirits and so forth. The Limbu Mundhum may make use of nonverbal signaling key in the forms of wink, gesture, posture, style of dress and musical accompaniment. It seems that the discourse has genuinely followed the 'Cooperative Principle' proposed by H. P. Grice (1975) and the 'Politeness Principle’ by R. Lakoff (1975). Cooperative Principle by Grice suggests that in a conversation (discourse) one should make contribution as required, at the stage at which it occurs, and by the accepted purpose of direction of the talk exchange in which the participant is engaged. This maxim involves three dimensions viz. maxim of quality, quantity and relevance. Likewise, Lakoff's principle maintains that the politeness is inevitable for the effective communication. It has six different aspects as tact, generosity, approbation, modesty, agreement and sympathy maxim. Whether we about cooperative principle or politeness principle,
they come under the manner or tone of speaking. Let us take a very simple example: Suppose, a person speaks very roughly or rudely at this we happen to comment what a 'manner' it is. So politeness, rudeness, lightness, seriousness, etc., are all the types of speaking manners.

### 3.10.6 Instrumentalities (I)

This involves the channel of speech employed during the conversation or communication. In this regard Wardhaugh (2000, p. 244) states "instrument refers to the choice of channel, e.g., oral, written or telegraphic, and to the actual forms of speech employed such as the language, dialect, code or register that is chosen." The Limbu Mundhum is often recited orally to date though its written versions are available nowadays. The language employed in the Mundhum is entirely formal creating markedly different from that of day to day communicative language. The Mundhum language is essentially religious type in itself which is never used in commoners' interaction. It involves liturgical forms like invocations, petitions, doxologies, intercessions, thanksgivings, rosaries, litanies, chants, hymns, psalms, canticles and so forth. So this type of language can have the analogy with that of Sanskrit language which is only used in the religious purposes.

### 3.10.7 Norms of interaction and interpretation (N)

About the norms of interaction, the sociolinguists hold the opinion that it generally refers to the specific behaviors and proprieties that attach to speaking and also to how these may be viewed by someone who does not share them e.g. loudness, silence, gaze return and so on. Generally the norms of interaction would be that one must not interrupt, normal voice should not be used and turns in speaking are to be allocated in a certain way. Norms of interaction as stated by Hymes (1974), obviously implicate analysis of social structure, and social relationship generally, in a community. On the other hand, norms of interpretation implicate the belief system of a community. In Mundhum recitation there is no interaction taking place rather the Samba is supposed to recite the Mundhum mythology in the narrative form. As the Samba is to start the recitation, first of all he addresses the senior people present around him. All the people present there normally take their respective seats. They are very attentive
towards the Samba's address. They are silently gazing at the Samba to listen what he is supposed to recite. The Samba usually sits by the altar (Sangbhe). The Mundhum is not recited by standing or by walking to and fro except in the death rites especially during the rite of cleansing (Khauma). As the Samba finishes reciting one verse, he waits his assistance (Yagapsiba) to repeat the same verse line. The Samba pulls the tone longer addressing to senior people when he comes to the interval period or at end of one episode.

### 3.10.8 Genre (G)

The term 'Genre' is not strictly referring to the literary genres like prose, poetry and drama however it refers to clearly demarcated types of utterance such things as poems, myths, tales, curses, oration, commercial, form letter, proverbs, riddles, sermons, prayers, lectures and editorials. Even some sociolinguists like Holmes (2008, p. 365) opines the phone calls, business meeting, conversation, interview, blog, advertisements, etc., all come under the genre. In this sense, genre can hold wider range encompassing diverse utterances. Hymes (1974, p. 61) views that 'the notion of genre implies the possibility of identifying formal characteristics traditionally recognized'. He further states that genres often coincide with speech events, but must be treated as analytically independent of them. When we look into the Limbu Mundhum from its generic perspective, it appears to be entirely poetic in its nature. The Mundhum is often recited as though the whole corpus is made up of versified utterances. There is no room for conversational type of language. Under the poetic expressions as Crystal (1997, p. 389) quotes, there are prayers, petitions, doxologies, litanies, hymns, chants, rosaries, psalms, canticles and so on. Whatever forms there may be, they all are sung and recited.

### 3.11 Mysticism in the Mundhum and value

The Limbu Mundhum refers to a number of mysterious issues especially in the origins of things or objects or notions or so forth. This Mundhum myth Yapmi Pongma Mundhum 'The Origin of Man' also embodies many esoteric ideas to which science would rather call them eccentric ideas or the sheer nonsense. But one bitter truth that science probably may not have realized is that everything's origin ends in mystery.

Like the myths of other communities, the Limbu Mundhum also has its own kinds of myths concerning the 'The Origin of Man.' According to the Limbu Mundhum, the early people were essentially mystic because they were not only conscious of a divine presence in nature but they also felt that there was essential identity of being between Man, Nature and God. On the one hand they seemed to try to unravel the mystery of the creation, the purpose behind it, man's predicament in the world; on the other hand they seemed to try to analyze the relationship between soul and god. Let us see the following mysterious issues found in the myth 'Yapmi Pongma Mundhum'. The writings set against the dots are the mysterious issues raised in the Mundhum below:

- The existence of God, gods and their dwellings.
2.a je.. may-dziripo tho maylen thame?o $t^{h} O$
je may-dziri-?o $t^{\text {h }} \mathrm{O}$ may-le-n $t^{h} a m \varepsilon$-?o $t^{h} O$
VOC god-dwelling-LOC above god-GEN-ABS residence-LOC above
Above the dwelling place of the god, $\mathbf{0 2 7}$
b je.. saimaha? melay-lo manha? melay-lo
je sapma-ha?me-lay lo may-ha? me-laך lo
VOC deity-p nsAS-dance-EMPH god-p nsAS-dance-EMPH The deities and gods dance. $\mathbf{0 2 8}$
- The origin of deities, ghosts, evil spirits and others.
3.a $k^{h} \varepsilon \eta$ go thokle rok pokse samman rok pokse
$k^{h} \varepsilon \eta$ go thokle rok poks - $\varepsilon$ sammay rok poks $-\varepsilon$ s/he/it then human body FOC be-PT deity FOC become-PT That became only deity having human body. 089
b $\quad k^{h} \varepsilon \eta$ go tsbhbt ay may lo thuywa ay may lo
$k^{h} \varepsilon y$ go tsobht an may lo $t^{h} u y w a$ ay may lo
s/he/it then water too god EMPH drinking too god EMPH
That became the water deity.
092
- Man's creation out of the mixture of trivial things like yellowish soil, shits of fowls, ashes of high altitude bamboos.
4.a je... hukso meso?rutaye meso?ruaŋ
je huk-so me- sopr -u taŋe me-so?r -u-aŋ
VOC hand-BAL nsAS-mix-3P hand nsAS-mix-3P-pfG
They mixed up the water and the ashes. $\mathbf{1 5 5}$
b je... mentsham medzogusi japmi medzogusi
je mentsham me- d ${ }^{2} o g$-u $-s i$ japmi me- $d^{2} o g$-u $-s i$
VOC human nsAS-make-3P-nsP human being nsAS-make-3P-nsP
From that clay they created the human being. $\mathbf{1 5 6}$
- The newly created man instantly drops dead just after it has received the spiteful curse from its creators.
5.a je.... $t^{h} u t t^{h} u \quad m \varepsilon d^{h} u k t u a \eta \quad t^{h} u: \varepsilon \quad m \varepsilon d^{h} u k t u a \eta$ je $t^{h} u t t^{h} u \quad m \varepsilon-d^{h} u k t-u-a \eta \quad t^{h} u: \varepsilon \quad m \varepsilon-d^{h} u k t-u-a \eta$

VOC ONOM nsAS-spit-3P-pfG spit-ONOM nsAS-spit-3P-pfG
They spat on the image.
233
b je... sakmura-gə-mu $k^{h i \eta g a ~ m e d ~}{ }^{h} a s u l l e$
je sakmura gэ ти khinga me- dhas -u-le
VOC curse then REP evil word nsAS-fall-3P-ERG
In this way, this was accursed life creation. 233

- The first human mother Muzingnama Kheyongnama who was supposed to have asexually been created and her pregnancy through the wind (suri:t/sammi:t).
6.a je... sammetle muttuaŋ kezoŋle muttuaŋ
je sammet-le mutt -u -aך kezoŋ-le mutt -u -aŋ
VOC breeze-ERG blow-3P-pfG wind-ERG blow-3P-pfG
By that way, the wind blew her.
b $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ abuy larcro saruy larero

```
khun\varepsilon abuy lar -\varepsilon ro saruy lar -\varepsilon ro
```

s/he conception receive-PT FOC pregnancy receive-PT FOC

- When Muzingnama Kheyongnama is the first mother so how is it there are six blacksmiths' homes up somewhere there and how her son Susuwoengba Lalawoengba can have polygamous life style having four women as his wives.
7.a sawa mejuylo tuk-him mejuŋlo
sawa me- juy lo tuk-him me-juŋ lo
all/complete nsAS-live-ASS six- house nsAS-live-ASS
Dwelling six houses there, 505
b $\quad k^{h}$ हne sinjuk mebegenne? muden mebegenne?
$k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon$ sinjuk $m \varepsilon-$ beg $-\varepsilon-n n-\varepsilon$ ? muden $m \varepsilon-\operatorname{beg}-\varepsilon-n n-\varepsilon$ ?
you(s) north NEG- go-PT-NEG-IMP Bhot NEG-go-PT-NEG-IMP
You donot go to the north (Bhot, Tibet).
sisa mejeplo mentshin mejeplo
sisa me- jep lo mentshin me- jep lo
young(F) nsAS- stand ASS matured girl nsAS-stand ASS
There are young maidens.
tengo kemb ${ }^{h}$ otlo kelay kemb ${ }^{h}$ otlo
tengo ke-m-bhot lo ke-laך ke-m-bhot lo
step 2-nsAS-mislead-EMPH your-leg 2-nsAS-mislead-EMPH
They can mislead you.
519
- A bitch (dog) goes to the Supreme God Tagerama Ningwaphumang to complain against its mistress Tetlara Lahadongna who has beaten the bitch with a broomstick causing its backbone dislocated.
8.a kai:k keb ${ }^{h}$ हkpelle sod ${ }^{h} o k \quad k e b{ }^{h}$ हkpelle

blood relation AP-commit-AP-ERG incestuous AP-commit-AP-ERG
The incestuous fellows,
b hukso hiptaylo taŋe hiptaylo
huk-so hipt-aך lo taŋe hipt -aŋ lo
hand-BAL beat-1sPS/PT ASS arm beat-1sPS/PT-ASS
beat me.
563
- Filtering the seventeen incestuous offspring of Suchchuru Suhampheba and Tetlara Lahadongna (these two are said to be brother and sister from the same father Susuwoengba Lalawoengba and different mothers but unknowingly they have incestuous relationship) through the golden sieve.
9.a allo sammjay tsillinge juppa tsililiyge
allo sammjay tsiplinge juppa tsiplinge
now gold sieve silver sieve
Now the golden and silver winnow.
b minu mednaju thay-ni medhayulle
тіпи тв- dhay -u $t^{h} a \eta$ ni me- dhaך -u -lle
APP nsAS-weigh-3P comparatively CTR nsAS-weigh-3P-SUB
They filtered. 575

The Limbu people are usually exposed to these notions of mystery one after another generation. The question may rise why this transmission of nonsense thing is going on and on. The secret behind the survival of such mysteries in the Limbu community is that they have value of different kinds over the native people. The Limbu people have a strong belief on the Mundhum. They believe the present life situation is the outcome of the prehistoric set up. These mysteries have been a great source of consolation to the present Limbu especially regarding the notion death. They just come to realize that their first parent was accursed to die because it happened to be live against the
creators' expectation. The mystery of the early man's death is enough for man to accept the phenomenon of the inevitable death.

### 3.12 Link between posterity and present

The Limbu Mundhum seems like the Greek god 'Janus' having two opposite faces one facing to the remotest past and the other looking towards present or future. The Mundhum myth Yapmi Pongma Mundhum 'The origin of Man' narrates the story of the human creation. This is a unique fusion of two different worlds i.e. the supernatural and the mundane world. Most of the narrative is dedicated to the description of the actions and events related to the supernatural beings. The participants range from the Supreme God to human and nonhuman beings like dog or in other words, it includes from god to dog. The creator gods Chakchakke, Yangyangge and Porokmi Yomphami create female called Muzingnama asexually. So she serves a real link between supernatural figures to mortal beings. As she does not have any human being to guide and to live with, she is reared and guided by the gods. So she is often shown visiting to the Almighty God as and she needs help.
10.a je.. iksa pokssro $k^{h} a m b e: k$ pokssro
je iksa poks $-\varepsilon \quad$ ro $k^{h}$ ambe:k poks $-\varepsilon$ ro
VOC earth become-PT ASS land become-PT ASS
The earth was created.
006
b je.. ment ${ }^{s h}$ am me-dzog-u-si japmi me-dzog-u-si je ment ${ }^{\text {sh }} a m m \varepsilon-d^{2} o g$-u -si japmi me- dzog -u -si VOC human nsAS-make-3P-nsP human being nsAS-make-3P-nsP They created the human beings.145

Muzingna gives birth to an asexual son named Susuwoengba. The Mundhum refers to her conception that she had been pregnant through the wind. After the birth of her son, the mortal beings participation formally resumed. The Mundhum records three generations down from Susuwoengba. However, up to the end of the myth, the supernatural figures are found actively participating in the events. When Susuwoengba's son and daughter (Suhampheba and Tetlara Lahadongna) from
different wives unknowingly lived together as conjugal, they had seventeen children. One day a bitch sitting nearby Tetlarama, was beaten by her with a broomstick causing its back bone dislocation. Being angry, the bitch directly went to the God Tagarama to complain against its mistress. The bitch not only told about the maltreatment meted to it, but it also told the incestuous relationship between the master and mistress.
11.a allo $k^{\text {hene-go }}$ susuwenba-e lalaweyba-e allo $k^{h}$ हne go susuweyba-e lalaweŋba-e now you(s) then Susuwengba-VOC Lalawengba-VOC Now you are Susuwengba Lalawengba. 529
b $k^{h i j a}$ samalen kotso samalen
$k^{h i j a ~ s a m a-l \varepsilon-n ~ k o t s o ~ s a m a-l \varepsilon-n ~}$
hound bitch-GEN-ABS dog bitch-GEN-ABS ABS
The bitch's,
c. waja piks-e-ro pimba piks-e-ro
woja piks-є ro pimba piks-є ro
APP dislocate-PT ASS rib dislocate-PT ASS
Back bone was broken.
558

Having heard such matter, the supreme god came down to their dwelling. The God told them that it was utterly a sinful to live brother and sister as conjugal. He also divided those seventeen children into two groups by filtering through a golden sieve. Those who dropped down the sieve, it was said that they belonged to their mother Muzingnama and they were handed over to her for their care. The rest children except one, who was said to have rested in between, were Suhampheba's group. After separating Suhampheba and Tetlarama to the different directions with their respective number of children, the God also declared that no one could marry from within own consanguine relatives. The marriage was possible between the persons out of one's relative. Living together as husband and wife was to be sanctioned by the formal
marriage. The Limbu Mundhum further narrates that the present Limbu people are all from the same forefather who belonged to Tetlarama.
12.a je.... kai:k sendusi sodhok sendusi

$$
\text { je kai:k send } \quad \text {-u }- \text {-si sod hok } \begin{array}{lllll}
\text { hend } & -u & -s i
\end{array}
$$

VOC blood relation separate-3P-nsP incestuous separate-3P-nsP
The god specified the relationships.
b jehay asere sawa aserero
jehay $a-\operatorname{ser}-\varepsilon$ sawa $a$ - ser $-\varepsilon$ ro
Yehang 1-scatter-PT all/complete 1-scatter-PT ASS
Yehang's descendents grew far and wide. $\mathbf{5 8 8}$

### 3.13 Link flora, fauna, natural objects and the human beings

The Mundhum incorporates different dimensions and issues as the plot moves ahead.
Its one of such issues is the description of flora, fauna and other natural objects. Concerning the geographical structure, it gives the more details of the mountains, hills and small valley for which it denotes with the term Tembe than it gives the details of the plain. According to the Mundhum reference, its geographical range extends to Tibet in the north (Sinyuk Muden Laze) and to the plain presumably some parts of India (Temen Laze). It does not specify the range to the west and east.

$k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon \operatorname{sinjuk} m \varepsilon-$ beg $-\varepsilon-n n-\varepsilon$ ? mudzn $m \varepsilon-$ beg $-\varepsilon-n n-\varepsilon$ ?
you(s) north NEG-go-PT-NEG-IMP Bhot NEG-go-PT-NEG-IMP
You donot go to the north (Tibet/Bhot).
b allo $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ susuweyba-go lalaweyba-go
allo $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ susuweyba go lalaweŋba go
now s/he susuwengba then lalawengba then

low southern plain CTR go/PT ASS sea CTR go/PT ASS

The Mundhum makes the reference to some birds and fowls however they are limited variety. It basically talks about those birds whose shits were supposed to have been used in forming the human image. They are lophophorus ( samdang khewa), partridge ( tikwa), water cock (khekwa), crane (karangwa), domestic hen (seningwa) and unspecified pair birds one of which was said to have killed by Susuwoengba and it is believed that that originated the tradition of widow and widower.

| 14.a he karaywa? hinu samdaywa? hinu |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | he.. karaywa? | hi-nu samdaywa? | hi-nu |

VOC crane (karyang kurung) shit-COM impeyan pheasant (Danphe) shitCOM

> The shit of lophophorus and the crane,

151
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { b allo tikware } & \text { hi-nu } & k^{h} e: k w a r e & \text { hiha? } & \\ \text { allo tikwa-re } & \text { hi -nu } & k^{h e} e: k w a & \text {-re } & \text { hi-ha? }\end{array}$
now partridge (kalij)-GEN shit-COM water cock(sim kukhura)-GEN shit-p
And now the shits of partridge and water cock... 152
While restricting to this particular text, there is not much mention about the animal either of domesticated or of wild beasts. Except the pet animal dog, other animals are referred to only in the context of comparison. The wild beasts like marten (kidhi), bear ( $\quad$ агјju) and wolf (pıra) are compared to the dogs that were taken by Susuwoengba Lalawoengba as he was going to the forest for hunting. Likewise, Muzingnama, the mother of Susuwoengba walking style is compared with the wild buffalo (todo) when she was happily coming down to her dwelling from the God Tagarama after she had had the right solution for her baby's illness. Let us see the example below:
15.a todo noksero sannoy noksero
todo noks $-\varepsilon$ ro sannoy noks $-\varepsilon$ ro
wild buffalo return-PT ASS immediately return-PT ASS
She returned immediately like wild buffalo.
419
b je........ thiktso kugotso tekke doŋna go
Je...... thiktso ku-gotso tekke-dっŋna go

VOC one his/her dog pet like then
One dog home reared,

Regarding the plants, the Limbu ritual language has made the mention of some herbal plants and species of bamboo which were supposed to have used to make human figure and other domestic purposes. These plants are supposed to be found only in the high altitude. This means, the early Limbu people were not much familiar with the flora and fauna of the low land.

```
16.a je.. simikla pha:tle\eta
    je simikla pha:tle\eta
    VOC a kind of cane (Nep.malingo ) cleaned land by setting fire
    Setting fire in cane jungle.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
pokmikla & \(p^{h} a: t l e \eta\) \\
pokmikla & \(p^{h} a: t l e \eta\)
\end{tabular}
    a kind of reed (Nep. nigalo) cleaned land by setting fire
    Setting fire in the reed jungle. 136
b allo minu po?naha? tsimb hin po?naha?
    alls minu po?na-ha? tsimbhin po?na-ha?
    now APP medicinal plant-p herbal plant medicinal plant-p
    With various herbal plants,
        186
```

The other natural objects that the ritual language mentions are precious metals like gold (sammjay), diamond (hilli), pearls (mudhiy), gems (sokyy) siver (juppa), iron ( $p^{h} e n d^{z} e$ ) and other stones. The objects are referred to in the context when they were used as different components while building up human image. At the beginning, these objects were used to make an immortal as well as the most beautiful, strong and clever creature on the world. However, all effort was in vain. Later on, the man was created out of very trivial materials like birds' shits, ashes of reed and cane; and yellow soil. This is the reason that these above mentioned fowls and plants have been referred to in the Mundhum myth.

The human being has been conceived essentially as a part and parcel of nature. The Mundhum obviously accepts that the human body has been created out of the natural
objects and finally it assimilates with the same objects where it has come from. To put it in the science version, human is one of the elements of natural eco-system. For this, it has an indispensable relationship with nature. The Mundhum pictures the mortal beings playing carefree like a child in the lap of nature. The first human mother, Muzingna is shown in such blissful condition.

### 3.14 Contextualization or demythologization

This is perhaps a common practice to interpret the mythical reference in one's own way. Interpreting the myths or any other notions in relation to the present context is termed as contextualization or demythologization. In this regard, the Mundhum's myth Yapmi Pongma Mundhum 'The Origin of Man’ has also been interpreted variously on its various issues. Following are some of the issues which have been demythologized.

### 3.14.1 Rotation of the earth

Now, some Limbu people are found to be saying that the earliest Limbu people had a sound knowledge about the earth and its movement. They even go on saying that their forefathers had known about the earth's round shape and its rotation around the sun much earlier than Copernicus and Galileo. They say this on the basis of the following expression of the Mundhum:
17.a je..iksa poksero $k^{h} a m b e: k \quad$ poksero
je iksa poks-غ ro $k^{h} a m b e: k$ poks-غ ro
VOC land become-PT ASS earth become-PT ASS The earth was created. 006
b allo go iksa $k^{h} \varepsilon m m e \eta ~ g o ~ k^{h} a m b e: k k^{h} \varepsilon m m e \eta ~ g o$
allo go iksa $k^{h} \varepsilon m-m \varepsilon-\eta \quad g o \quad k^{h} a m b e: k k^{h} \varepsilon m-m \varepsilon-\eta \quad g o$ now TOP earth suit-INF -ABS TOP land suit-INF-ABS TOP Now to suit the land or the earth, $\mathbf{0 1 0}$

Those who claim their ancestors were the earliest people to know about the earth's shape and its rotation, they catch up only one word out of the above verse line. The word is iksa which literally means to 'move round'. The verb form iksa in the ordinary speech is $i: k$.

### 3.14.2 Human body composed of trivial matters hence mortal

The Mundhum refers to that when the creator gods were going to create the human being they blended precious metals so that it would be immortal, strong, smart and beautiful. But the attempt was failure. Then being hopeless, they happened to mix up very trivial things like birds' shits, soil, ashes and water. Surprisingly, it was not only live man but also it responded to their call. For this interpretation, they base on the following Mundhum verse:
18.a je.... seniywa hiren kuwarehiren
je seniywa hi-re-n ku-wa-re hi-re-n
VOC chicken shit-GEN-ABS his/her-hen-GEN shit-GEN-ABS
The different varieties of shits of hen,
b tikwa himelle $k^{h} e: k w a$ himelle
tikwa hi-me-lle $k^{h} e: k w a ? ~ h i-m \varepsilon-l l e ~$ portidge shit-NOM-INS water cock shit-NOM-INS

And shits of partridge water cock $\mathbf{2 2 8}$

Basing on the above reference, it is interpreted that one should not love and care his/her body so much because it is made up of trivial matters so to say rejected materials.

### 3.14.3 The divine curse to the first man caused man mortal

It is the interpretation about how man's life has become a mortal one. It is related to the above point and continuation of that. When the human figure made out of precious metals failed to respond the gods' call, they uncaringly made the human out of very simple things. Unexpectedly, they found it was live and instantly responded to their call. It was paradox for the creators because they were not happy in the success rather
they felt it was a cruel joke and serious buffet on their craftsmanship. Infuriated gods unconsciously cast spiteful cure on to the live man. No sooner had they cursed the man than he withered away and instantly dropped dead. Now, this mythical reference has been interpreted that since the time our ancestor received spiteful curse, the mankind has to succumb to death. For this interpretation they base on the following verse lines:
19.a je.... $t^{h} u t t^{h} u ~ m \varepsilon d^{h} u k t u a \eta \quad t^{h} u \varepsilon ~ m \varepsilon d^{h} u k t u a \eta$
je $t^{h} u t t^{h} u \quad m \varepsilon-d^{h} u k t-u-a \eta \quad t^{h} u \varepsilon \quad m \varepsilon-d^{h} u k t-u-a \eta$
VOC ONOM nsAS-spit-3P-pfG ONOM nsAS-spit-3P-pfG
They spat on the image.
b $\quad k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ jコgu pege ro tsonsi pege ro
$k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ jəgu peg-є ro tsoysi peg - $\varepsilon$ ro
s/he breath go-PT ASS liveliness go-PT ASS
But the accursed being was condemned to die.

### 3.14.4 Pair bird splitting results the trend of widow/widower

The myth narrates that one day, Susuwoengba kills a white bird and brings before his mother, Muzingnama and asks whether it is edible or not. At this, his mother tells him that it is edible but he has committed a sin by splitting a pair. She says it has a far reaching effect which will affect the all coming generation of the future. Now, people interpret that every conjugal have to be either widow or widower because of the tradition established by Susuwoengba killing one of the birds from a pair. The Mundhum verse line refers to as the following:
20.a tak pu $k^{h}$ ::2kmen lo teŋbu $k^{h}$ ::?k men lo
tak pu $k^{h} \varepsilon:$ Pk-men lo teybu $k^{h} \varepsilon:$ Pk-men lo
couple bird break/split-NOT-EMPH pair bird break/split-NOT-EMPH
The couple should not be split/separated.
b samdzik kedzogu mund ${ }^{h} u m k \varepsilon d^{z} o g u$
samdzik $k \varepsilon-d^{z} o g-u \quad m u n d^{h} u m \quad k \varepsilon-d^{z} o g-u$
story 2-make/PT-3P myth 2-make/PT-3P

### 3.14.5 Why dog not be beaten with broomstick

The Mundhum myth refers to one episode that one day Tetlara Lahadongna happaened to beat her pet dog bitch ( $k^{h}$ ija sama) with a sweeping stick. That beating broke the backbone of the bitch. It got angry and went to Tagera Ningwaphumang to complain against her mistress. In course of the complaint, she not only told about the maltreatment meted to her but also told about the incestuous relationship between her master Suhampheba and mistress, Tetlara Lahadongna. Let us see the folowing Mundhum reference:

```
21.a kai:k keb\mp@subsup{}{}{h}ckpelle sodhok keb}\mp@subsup{}{}{h}kkp\varepsilonll
    kai:k k\varepsilon-b}\mp@subsup{b}{}{h}k-p\varepsilon-lle sod hok ke-b hek-pe-ll
    consanguinal relation AP-commit-AP-ERG incestuous AP-commit-AP-
    ERG
    hukso hipta\eta lo ta\eta\varepsilon hiptay lo
    huk -so hipt -a\eta lo ta\eta\varepsilon hipt -a\eta lo
    hand-BAL beat-1sPS/PT ASS arm beat-1sPS/PT ASS
    The incestuous persons beat me. 563
b mudhu\eta ni mэj-\varepsilon tse?ja-ni mэj-\varepsilon
    mud
    complain CTR utter-PT back biting-CTR utter-PT
    She complained564
```

This mythical reference has now been demythologized as that one should not beat a dog with broomstick because it may diclose all the misdeeds of ours before the god. Also the dog should not be kicked perhaps for the same cause and effect.

### 3.14.6 Incestuous relationship and dire consequences

Regarding the dog complaint against the incestuous relationship, the Mundhum myth further refers to something more about such sin and its consequences. The myth says that when the Almighty God, Tagera Ningwaphumang came down to decide the case related to the sinful relationship, He divided the children to each and told them to
depart different directions. Then He also strictly instructed to them to keep vigil on those committing sinful act of incest. If they found any, Lahadongna would rise from the earth as an electric current to punish the sinner and Suhampheba would rush down from the sky as being a thunder bolt to hit the sinner. ( It is unfortunate that the Mundhum myth which I have recorded does not contain this particular reference, but it is included in others writings or recitations)

About the notion of incest in the myth, according to Asliman and Duggal (2005), "is extremely common in the creation myths around the world". They further refer to Claude Levi-Strauss saying that "in almost all cultures, incest is viewed as the ultimate tabu that is at the foundation of human culture itself. Like these claims, it is easily inferred that the entire human (Limbu) has descended from two brother and sister (Susuwoengba and Lahadongna).

### 3.14.7 Prohibition to go north and south

The Mundhum refers to the matter that Muzingna's son Susuwengba grew young and insisted his mother on allowing him to go for hunting. As she thought she would not be able to turn down his request, she strongly warned him not to go the Sinyuk Muden (Tibet/Bhot) and Temen Laze (Terain belt including India). She also clearly told him the reason that there would be maidens and they would mislead him. Her fear was that he would engage with the women of other cultural as well as linguistic background. She might have conceived that the exogamy trend would be a great threat to the cultural as well as linguistic solidarity. So she pronounces warning to her son as follows:

$k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon$ sinjuk $m \varepsilon$ - beg-\&n-ne? muden me- beg-en -ne?
you(s) north NEG-go-NEG-IMP Bhot NEG- go-NEG-IMP
You don't go to the Sinyuk Muden. $\mathbf{5 1 9}$
b sisa me-jep lo mentshin me-jep lo
sisa me- jep lo mentshin me- jep lo
young (F) nsAS-stay EMPH matured girl nsAS-stay EMPH

There are young maidens.
c
tengo kem-bhot lo ke-lay kem-bhot lo
tengo ke-m- $\quad b^{h} o t \quad l o \quad k \varepsilon$-lay $k \varepsilon-m$ - $b^{h} o t \quad l o$
step 2-nsAS-mislead ASS your-leg 2-nsAS-mislead ASS
They mislead your steps. 519

### 3.15 Chronology and chronicles: Present context

The Mundhum myth is a long narrative. It narrates the origin of man in particular. On being a narrative, it includes series of events and each event occurs at some particular time factor. In other words, there is the relationship between time and event. Different events are ordered in chronological sequence. Let us consider the various events arranged in the following chronological order:

### 3.15.1 The creation of the earth

The Mundhum myth refers to the creation that the God Tagerama Ningwaphuma first wished to create the earth. The Mundhum says that the earth was created according to His wish. Let us see the following Mundhum verses:
23.a je... andz:n tataramelle tagaramelle
je ande:n tatarame-lle tagarame-lle
VOC long ago Almighty God-ERG Almighty God- ERG
Long ago the Almighty God,
004
b je.. sikkum piru isi:k niywa piru isi:k
je sikkum pir -u isi:k niywa pir -u isi:k
VOC thought give/PT-3P according to idea give/PT-3P according to
According to the admonition given 005
c
je.. iksa pokse ro $k^{h} a m b e: k$ pokse ro
je iksa poks - $\varepsilon$ ro khambe:k poks - $\varepsilon$ ro
VOC earth become-PT ASS land become-PT ASS
the earth was created.
006

### 3.15.2 The creation of lake, pond, river, sea and ocean

The lake, pond, river, sea, and ocean created immediately after the creation of the earth. The Mundhum narrates as follows:
24.a je.. sikkum pir-u isi:k nipwa pir-u isi:k je sikkum pir-u isi:k niywa pir-u isi:k

> VOC thought give/PT-3P according to idea give/PT-3P according to

According to the admonition given
005
b je.. tumbun pokse ro worok pokse ro
je... tumbun poks $-\varepsilon$ ro worok poks $-\varepsilon$ ro
VOC pond become-PT ASS lake become-PT ASS
Lake and pond were formed.
007

### 3.15.3 The creation of the water animals

In the chronological order, the water animal like fish was created after the creation of water. The Mundhum refers to it as:
25.a je.... allo tumbun $k^{h} \varepsilon m m e \eta ~ g o ~ w o r o k ~ k n e m m e \eta ~ g o ~$
je allo tumbun $k^{h} \varepsilon m-m \varepsilon-\eta$ go warok $k^{h} \varepsilon m-m \varepsilon-\eta \quad g o$
VOC now pond suit-INF-ABS then lake suit-INF-ABS then
To suit the lakes and the ponds, 008
b je.. padnuy jaktusi le?na jaktusi
je padhuy jakt -u -si le?na jakt -u - -si
VOC creature place/PT-3P-nsP fish place/PT-3P-nsP
Then he put the water animals.
009

### 3.15.4 The creation of plants

The Mundhum narrates that the plant were made to germinate so that the earth would be looked suitable. e.g.
26.a allo go iksa $k^{h} \varepsilon m m e \eta ~ g o k^{h} a m b e: k k^{h} \varepsilon m m e \eta ~ g \supset$
all〕 go iksa $k^{h} \varepsilon m-m \varepsilon-\eta$ gə $k^{h} a m b e: k k^{h} \varepsilon m-m \varepsilon-\eta g \supset$
now then earth suit-INF-ABS then land suit-INF-ABS then
To suit the land or the earth, $\mathbf{0 1 0}$
b je... thabu liysusi siyla liysusi
je $t^{h} a b u$ liys -u -si siy-la liys -u -si
VOC forest grow-3P-nsP plant-BAL grow-3P-nsP
The jungle and the plants were grown. 011

### 3.15.5 The creation of wild animals and the fowls

The Mundhum mentions that the wild animals and birds were created so that they could live on the plants. The Mundhum refers to it as:
27.a je.. $t^{h} a b u t^{s} a m a ~ g o ~ s i y l a ~ t s a m a ~ g o ~$
je $t^{h} a b u t^{s} a-m a \quad$ go siy-la ta $a-m a \quad g o$
VOC forest eat-INF then plant-BAL eat-INF then
To consume the jungle plants;
012
b je.. thabu jaktusi th3ksa jaktusi pusa jaktusi
je thabu jakt -u -si th3ksa jakt -u -si pusa jakt -u -si
VOC forest place/PT-3P-nsP animal place/PT-3P-nsP birds place/PT-3P- nsP
Put the animals birds and other creatures. 015

### 3.15.6 The creation of mankind

The Mundhum says that even creating these all things, the God realized something was still being left to be created so that the earth would be looked beautiful. The created things alone were not enough to make the earth look beautiful. The suitable thing that would make the earth look beautiful was the man. The Mundhum further says that creating the man was not as easy as creating other earlier creatures. The Supreme God ordered the other gods to create a strong, clever, smart and beautiful man. According to the Supreme God's admonition, the creator gods mixed up precious metal to create smart looking man. Let us consider the following lines:
28.a je.... mentsham tsogu ro japmi tsogu ro

Je.... mentsham tsog -u ro japmi tsog -u ro
VOC human make-3P ASS human being make-3P ASS
He created the human being.
061
b je... sa:ŋgu meligen sokma: meligen
je sa:ทgu me- lig - $\varepsilon$ - $n$ sokma: me- lig $-\varepsilon-n$
VOC vitality NEG-enter-PT-NEG life force NEG-enter-PT-NEG
The life didnot enter into the image.

But unfortunately, that attempt was not successful. Then they hurled it at different places tearing it in to several pieces. After that, they created man out of very simple materials. This time, they were successful, but they unconsciously spat on it. The man dropped dead because of the divine curse. The Mundhum refers to this incident as follows:
29.a je.... $t^{h} u t t^{h} u \quad m \varepsilon d^{h} u k t u a \eta \quad t^{h} u \varepsilon \quad m e d^{h} u k t u a \eta$ $j e t^{h} u t t^{h} u$ me- $d^{h} u k t-u-a \eta \quad t^{h} u \varepsilon \quad m \varepsilon-d^{h} u k t-u-a \eta$

VOC ONOM nsAS-spit-3P-pfG ONOM nsAS-spit-3P-pfG
They spat on the image. 232
b $\quad k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ jogu pege ro tsonsi pege ro
$k^{h}$ unє jวgи peg-є ro tsəsi peg-є ro
$\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ breath go-PT ASS liveliness go-PT ASS
But the accursed being was condemned to death. 235

The third time, the creator gods were successful to create the fisrt human out of the same trivial matters. It was female and was supposed to be the first mother of the mankind (Limbu man). After the third day of her creation, they performed naming ritual. According to that, her name was Muzingna Kheyongna.
30.a je.... samso megheksu ro jomin megheksu ro je sam-so me-gheks-u ro jo-min me-gheks-u ro VOC identity-BAL nsAS-put/tie-3P ASS BAL-name nsAS-put/tie-3P ASS The creators put the name to the newly created being.
b allo mudziynama ro $k^{h}$ ejonnama ro
allo mudzinnama ro $k^{h}$ ejonnama ro
now Muzingnama ASS Kheyongnama ASS
Her name was Muzingnama Kheyongama.

The Mundhum refers to the three generations down from the first mother, Muzingnama Kheyongama. She happened to be single one for she was the first created. As she grew young, naturally she desired near and dear of the opposite sex. After all, she conceived by means of the wind. For this, the Mundhum lines run as:
31.a je... sammetle muttuaŋ kezoŋle muttuaŋ
je sammet-le mutt-u-aך kezэŋ-le mutt-u-aŋ
VOC breeze-ERG blow-3P-pfG wind-ERG blow-3P-pfG
By that way , the wind blew her.
326
b $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ abuy lare ro saruy lare ro
$k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ abuy lar- $\quad$ ro saruy lar- $\varepsilon$ ro
s/he conception receive-PT FOC pregnancy receive-PT-FOC
She became pregnant.
328

After the right time of pregnancy, she had a male baby. For this the Mundhum refers to as:
 je $k^{h} u n \varepsilon \quad t^{h} a p s a y n i \quad k o t t ~-u ~ l o d e n ~ n i ~ k o t t ~-u ~$ VOC s/he delivery CTR possess-3P expulsion CTR possess-3P She bore such an infant.
b je.. laikkay sa? dhik phenija sa? $d^{h} i k$
je laikkay sa? $d^{h i k} p^{h} e n i j a ~ s a ? ~ d^{h} i k$
VOC masculine offspring single loin cloth wearing offspring single The male baby. $\mathbf{3 7 0}$

The four days from the birth, the mother, Muzingna Kheyongna performed the naming rite by swinging the infant's cradle and thus she put the name for the infant as:

33．a allo $k^{\text {henen }}$ go susuweŋba－e lalaweyba－e
allo $k^{\text {hene }}$ go susuweŋba－e lalaweŋba－e
now you（s）then susuwengba－VOC Lalawengba－VOC
Now you are Susuwengba Lalawengba． 429
b je．．．．．．allo $k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon$ g刀 ked²כク－le sanc－ba surit－le sane－ba
je allo $k^{h}$ हne go ked²วク－le sane－ba surit－le sane－ba
VOC now you（s）then storm－GEN child－NOM breeze－GEN offspring－NOM Hey！Now you are the son of air and wind．431

When Susuwengba Lalawengba became a young，he went to the north side （Tibet／Bhot）for hunting．There，he happened to meet with girl named Phiyak Lungma．They two had sexual relationship and as result，she gave birth to a son named Susuruba Suhampheba．For this the Mundhum refers to as：

34．a $k^{h} u n \varepsilon t^{h}$ inday katte ro saruy katte ro
$k^{h} u n \varepsilon t^{h}$ inday kott $-\varepsilon$ ro saruy kott $-\varepsilon \quad$ ro
s／he baby have－PT ASS kid have－PT ASS

She had a baby．
625
b susuruben lo suhamp ${ }^{\text {² }}$ eben lo
susurube－n lo suhamp ${ }^{h}$ हbe－n lo
Susuruba－ABS ASS Suhampheba－ABS ASS
Susuru Suhampheba． 525

Susuwengba Lalawengba also alternatively known as Sawa Yukphung Kemba，went to the south for hunting．There too，he met the next girl named Mukkum Lungma．They fulfilled their carnal desire．Eventually，she bore a daughter named Tetlara Lahadongna．The Mundhum verse lines refer to as ：

35．a abuy ni kottu saruy ni kottu
abuy ni kott－u saruy ni kott－u
baby CTR have－3P kid CTR have－3P
She bore a baby． 532
je... tunguli sa? $\quad-d^{h} i k$ simbolima sa? $-d^{h} i k$
je tuyguli sa? - d ${ }^{h}$ ik simbolima sa? - dhik
VOC female offspring - single sari(Mekkli) wearing offspring - single The baby was female. 532

These two Suhampheba (male) and Lahadongna (female) were brother and sister from the same father (Susuwengba) and different mothers (Phiyak Lungma and Mukkum Lungma). But they two happened to stay together as a conjugal and had seventeen children. When a bitch complained to the God about their incestuous relation, the God separated them with one-half number of children to each. The eight children belonging to Lahadongna are said to be the ancestors of the present Limbu people or the descendents of sawa yet hangs (eight kings). The Mundhum refers to this saying as:
36.a je.... kai:k sendusi sod hok sendusi
je kai:k send -u - si sodhok send $-u \quad$-si
VOC blood relation separate-3P-nsP incestuous separate-3P-nsP
The god specified the relationships. 568
b jehay asere sawa asere ro
jehay $a-\operatorname{ser}-\varepsilon$ sawa $a$ - ser $-\varepsilon$ ro
yehang 1 -scatter-PT all/complete 1 -scatter-PT ASS
Yehang's descendents scattered far and wide.

### 3.16 Social law and order in the Mundhum

The Mundhum myth narrates the preimordial phenomena related to difference aspects. So it can be a very helpful means to uncover the facts about law and order of that prehistorical period. Matters related to 'dos and don'ts' are found well reflected in the Mundhum myth. They are precisely discussed underneath:

### 3.16.1 The loyalty to the seniors

The Mundhum refers to that the deities readily carry out the command of the Supreme God. For which the Mundhum says as:
37.a je.. sikkum piru isi:k niywa piru isi:k
je sikkum pir-u isi:k nipwa pir-u isi:k
VOC thought give/PT-3P according to idea give/PT-3P according to According to the admonition given,


```
b je mentsham tsogu ro japmi tsogu ro
    je mentsham tsog-u ro japmi tsog -u ro
```

    VOC human make-3P ASS human being make-3P ASS
    He created the human being.
        061
    In the given verse lines, the first verse refers to the admonition given by the almighty god. In his admonition, we infer that, He instructs the deities the all possible ways for creating the human being. After receiving the command, the deities seem readily carry out the command. This makes us realize that duties are carried out according to the chain of command. The chain of command should go unbroken to maintain the law and order strictly.

### 3.16.2 Dressing up

When the first mother, Muzingna Kheyongna was a child, she used to be nude. At this, the God told her that it was not the way to be naked. Then, he prepared the dress for her and made her put on. The Mundhum recites the following verses as:
38.a je....mentshay tsay-me-n go tsaywa men-ne go
je mentshal tsay-me-n go tsaywa men-ne go
VOC grown up girl dress-INF-ABS then wearing clothes NOT-be then
Without the wearing clothes for a grown up girl, 287
b je.... taga tsaktu ro loghe:k tsaktu ro
je taga tsakt-u ro loghe:k tsakt-u ro
VOC garment put on-3P ASS dress put on-3P ASS
He made the child wear the clothes.

The Mundhum verse lines seem to say that properly dressing up is not only a way of covering one's nude but also the way of protection from cold and heat and a sign of civilization. It a realization of the fact that other animals expect human is destined with their own natural protection. With this realization, the Almighty God prepares Muzingna the dress and makes her wear it. The dress is thus, one important factor of the discipline.

### 3.16.3 Naming and cleansing system

The Mundhum refers to that there was a fixed system of naming and cleansing system. According to the Mundhum, it says that the naming rite was held in three days for female and four days for the male child. The system in native language is termed as ming khengma and yangdang phongma (naming and cleansing). Let us consider the Mundhum version as follows:
39.a allo le?wa pegslle lijen pegslle allo le?wa peg - $\varepsilon$-lle li-jen peg $-\varepsilon \quad-l l e$
now time go(spend)-PT-SUB fourth-day go(spend)-PT-SUB
On the fourth day of the birth,
b. woja mebhoksu jaŋday mebhoksu
wəja me-bhoks-u jaךday me-bhoks-u
APP nsAS-lift-3P baptismal rite nsAS-lift-3P
The naming ritual was held. 373

### 3.16.4 Hunting and precaution

The Mundhum mentions that the hunting was conceived differently as it was considered as sport, as profession and even as a test of maturity. Susuwengba Lalawengba is described as great hunter from his childhood. So he is alternatively known as Sawa Yukphung Kemba because the word yukphung in the native term denotes 'hunting.' Yukphung Kemba's mother Muzingna gives precautions while going for hunting. Let us see the Mundhum version referring it as follows:
40.a toŋďum menne?e go toŋja menne?e go

```
to\etadzum men-n\varepsilon-?e go to\etaja men- ne-?e go
```

arrow npG-be-npG then stick npG-be-npG then Without arrow and stick then,
b
je..... kotso menne?e ro $k^{h i j a ~ m e n n e ? e ~ r o ~}$ je kotso men-ne-Pe ro khija men-nc-?e ro

VOC dog npG-be-npG ASS hound npG-be-npG ASS
sawa a-m-bek-nen jukp ${ }^{h} u \eta$ a-m-bek-nen
sawa $a-m-\quad b \varepsilon k-n \varepsilon n ~ j u k p{ }^{h} u \eta ~ a-m-~ b e k-n \varepsilon n ~$
prey 1-NEG-go-NEG hunting 1-NEG-go-NEG
Without the dogs going hunting is not done.

### 3.16.5 Keeping distance from alien women folk

The mother warns her son Susuwengba (Sawa yukphung kemba) not to go to the north (Tibet/Bhot) sinyuk muden and to the south (Terai plain or India) Temen Laze. Her warning is clearly indicating that her son is in the stormy age unable to decide what consequences it may bring when a lad is in the company of women. The Mundhum recites thus:
41.a $k^{h}$ hne sinjuk mebegenne? muden mebegenne?
$k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon \quad \operatorname{sinjuk} m \varepsilon-\quad$ beg - $\varepsilon n-n \varepsilon$ ? muden $m \varepsilon-b e g-\varepsilon n-n \varepsilon$ ?
you(s) north NEG- go-NEG-IMP Bhot NEG-go-NEG-IMP
You do not go to Tibet/Bhot.
b
sisa mejep lo mentshin me jep lo
sisa me-jep lo mentshin me-jep lo
young(F) nsAS-stay-EMPH matured girl nsAS-stay-EMPH
There are lasses.
c tengo kemb hot lo kelay kemb hot lo
tengo ke-m-bhot lo ke-lay ke-m- bhot lo
step 2-nsAS-mislead EMPH your-leg 2-nsAS-mislead EMPH
They may mislead your steps.
519

### 3.16.6 The matrimonial code and conduct

The Mundhum has rightly prescribed the code and conduct regarding the marriage system. Following are the lines concerning with law of marriage:

| 42.a | kai:k | sodh$^{h} o k$ | tend ${ }^{h} a m$ | me:kkhim | men-nc-?e wa-ma? men lo |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | kai:k | sod $^{h} o k$ | tend $^{h} a m$ | me:kkhim | men-nc-?e wa-ma? men lo | relation kinsman marital-relation marriage npG-be-npG stay-INF not- EMPH Wthout marriage persons should not stay.

b je....kai:k sendusi sodhok sendusi
je kai:k send -u -si sodhok send -u -si
VOC relation separate-3P-nsP kinsman separate-3P-nsP
The god specified the relationships.
568

### 3.16.7 Emphasis on endogamy trend

The Mundhum seems to be very much conscious about the cultural and linguistic purity. It means that when the marriageable young people are let free to have exogamy trend, it may bring a lot of problems regarding the culture, language, custome and religion. This kind of consciousness is reflected in the Mundhum. (see the example 22. Above under the sub-heading 'Contextualization or Demythologization')

### 3.17 The Mundhum and present relevance

It is a well known fact that there is no present without past. Not all the things and issues of the past are worthless and out of context. Most of the things are more valuable and relevant as well. Now, it is better to discuss about how much the issues raised in this myth are relevant in the present context. Let us consider the mythical issues of the Mundhum and their relevancy in the present context as below in the point wise way:

### 3.17.1 Hints from the process of the first man creation

It seems the creator gods had already conceived the complexity of creating (making) a perfect man that means the man of immortality, of extra ordinary strength, of the adroitest look and of extra capacity in thinking. The deities were experimenting for creating such perfect man by mixing precious metals. But it was proved to be a nightmare. Later on, they happened to create very feeble, fregile and with transitory life out of trivial matters. In the present context, human is not free from mistakes because his creation was possible after two times' mistake. Though, mistake is the man's natural characteristic, we have to learn from it. Those who fail to learn from their mistakes, the success is never possible in their life, and regarding this G.B. Shaw opines that no one repeats the mistakes except the foolish. Let us see how our creators were failure to make a man of having the expected qualities:
43.a $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ ment $t^{\text {sham }}$ tsogusi japmi tsogusi
$k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ ment ${ }^{\text {sh}} a m \quad t^{s} o g-u-s i \quad j a p m i \quad t^{s} o g-u-s i$
s/he human make-3P-nsP human being make-3P-nsP
The god created the human being. 057
b je... sa:ทgu meligen sokma: meligen
je sa:ทgu me- lig - $\varepsilon$-n sokma: me- lig $-\varepsilon$-n
VOC vitality NEG-enter-PT-NEG life force NEG-enter-PT-NEG
The life did not enter into the image.
064

### 3.17.2 Splitting the pair is an utterly wrong manner

In the Mundhum, there is a reference in which ignorant child (Susuwengba) kills one of the birds from the pair and brings it to his mother to be decided whether it is edible or not. At this the mother replies that it is edible but he has committed a sin. Along with the sin, he has also established the tradition of becoming widow or widower. When we consider it from the present context, it is essentially wrong from any aspect that is, from the religious, ecological, environmental or so forth.
44.a tak pu $k^{h} \varepsilon: 2 k$ men lo teybu $k^{h} \varepsilon: 2 k$ men lo
ak pu $k^{h} \varepsilon: \gamma_{k} \quad m \varepsilon n \quad$ lo teŋbu $k^{h} \varepsilon: ⿰ k m m e n ~ l o$
friend bird break/split NOT EMPH pair bird break/split NOT EMPH
The couple should not be split/separated. $\mathbf{4 8 0}$
b samdzik kedzogu mund hum kedºogu
samdzik ke- dzog -u mund ${ }^{\text {n }} u m \mathrm{ke}-d^{2} o g-u$
story 2-make -3P myth 2-make -3P
You have made a trend/ convention. 481

### 3.17.3 Strong sense of brotherhood

The Mundhum narrates that Susuwengba goes up to the settlement where there are six blacksmith houses. He befriends them so that they may help him to make the arrows. This is a good example of the sense of brotherhood. Let us consider the Mundhum verses on that:

| 45.a | $j e . . . p^{h} \varepsilon n$ | $k \varepsilon d^{h}$ 勺kpaha? | $p^{h}$ cndutibaha? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | je $p^{h} \varepsilon n$ | $k \varepsilon-d^{h} \checkmark k-p a-h a ?$ | $p^{h}$ हnduti -ba -ha? |
|  | VOC | AP- blend -A | iron blending |

There are the iron mongers.
504
b je...tasaibu tsoguay lisaibu tsoguay
je tasaibu tsog-u-aŋ lisaibu tsog-u -aך
VOC friendship make -3P -pfG comradely relation make -3P -pfG
He befriended them.
507

### 3.17.4 Respect to the labour

The Mundhum seems to emphasise the sense of honour to the labour. Moreover, it seems the Mundhum holds the philosophical opinion that the god is not hungry for worship but hungry for labour. This idea is reflected in the following verse line:

| 46.a | je... sidi | jaydaŋma madi | jaydayma |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | je sidi | jaךdayma madi | jaŋdaŋma |

VOC a high altitude cane cradle cane of marshy land cradle
The cradle of two types canes,
je...nali ketuay kudzn piruaך
je nali ket-u -aŋ ku- dzen pir -u -aŋ
VOC wage pay -3P -pfG its- price give -3P-pfG paid the reasonable price.

### 3.17.5 Reminder of human limitations

The Mundhum has clearly indicated that the human life entails certain constrants and limitations. The human limitations have been signified through the materials they were supposed to have been used during its creation and the divine curse it received while responding to the gods' call. According to the Mundhum myth our body is made up of soil, ashes, water and shits of some fowls. That means the human body is very fragile and transient which after all, returns to the same matters of which it was supposed to be composed. The giver of our life, after certain period, takes it back. The reminders of such bitter truth of the Mundhum lines are as follows:
47.a je.. asija $k^{h} a m l \varepsilon p ? o \quad$ sito $k^{h} a m l \varepsilon p ? o$
je $\quad$ a-si-ja $k^{h} a m-l \varepsilon p-? o \quad$ si -to $\quad k^{h} a m-l \varepsilon p-? o$
VOC 1- die -BAL soil - clod -LOC die - BAL soil - clod -LOC
But he was bound to back to the ground after death. 262
b je... mentsham mesi ro japmi mesi ro
je mentsham me- si ro japmi me-si ro
VOC human nsPS- die ASS human being nsPS-die ASS
They too must die.

### 3.17.6 Optimal utilization of local resources

The Mundhun constantly refers to the local materials being used in different purposes. It even refers to the fact that the first human was made out of the materials that were locally availble. This suggests that we should not hanker after and sigh for the things which lie beyond our easy reach and means. Rather we should be contented with the matters which are around us. For this succinct saying, the Mundhum refers to as follows:
48.a allo minu po?naha? tsimbhin po?naha?
allo minu po?na -ha? tsimbhin po?na -ha?
now APP herbal plant -p herbal plant medicinal plant -p
With various herbal plants,
je... luyma megetu soma megetu
je luyma me- get -u soma me- get-u
VOC heart nsAS- put - -3P liver nsAS- put -3P
They put the heart and the liver.

### 3.17.7 Worship of nature

The Mundhum states that the the human existence is essentially a part and parcel of the nature. Moreover, we are the fellow beings of the gods, deities and demi-gods. The human creators humbly beg for power and inspiration from the sun, the moon, the stars and all four directions. Above all, these were the cullective form of nature. In other words, all the visible things around us are the manifestation of the invisible gods. Thus the Mundhum refers to as:
49.a je...mija medesu kunam medesu
je mi-ja me-des-u ku-nam me-d $\quad-u$
VOC sun -BAL nsAS- beg -3P his/her - sun nsAS- beg -3P
They asked for the power with the sun. $\mathbf{0 5 2}$
b je... toroŋhipsiyan taysay hipsijay
je toron hip-siy-aך taŋsaך hip-siy-aך
VOC paradise turn -REF -pfG sky turn -REF -pfG
They looked up the sky the heavenly space.
053

### 3.17.8 The cleansing rite

The cleansing rite referred to in the Mundhum is still being followed by the present Limbu community. It prescribes that the naming of the baby and cleansing rites are held together. If the newly born baby is female the ritual is held in the three days from the birth and fourth day for the male baby. The death rituals are also held in the repective periods for female and the male but this death rite has not been included in
this particular text. Let us see what the Mundhum says about the naming and cleansing rites:
50.a le?wa pegzlle sum jen pegelle
le?wa peg- $\varepsilon-l l e \quad$ sum jen peg $-\varepsilon-l l e$
time go -PT -SUB three day go -PT -SUB
In three days,
b samso mewandu kumiŋ mewandu
sam -so me-wand -u ku-min me- wand -u
soul -BAL nsAS- baptize -3P its- name nsAS- baptize -3P
they named the baby.
534

### 3.17.9 Responsive to mother and the motherland

The Mundhum maintains the view that the mother and the mother land are extremely venerable and invaluable for the mankind. Like the Hindu Bedas, these are more esteemed than the heaven itself. We should never forget our responsibility to them. In this regard the Mundhum says as:
51.a je...... $k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon$ iksa $k^{h} \varepsilon m d \varepsilon ? o \quad k^{h} a m b e: k k^{h} \varepsilon m d \varepsilon$ ?o
je $\quad k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon \quad i k s a k^{h} \varepsilon m d-\varepsilon$ - -o $k^{h} a m b e: k \quad k^{h} \varepsilon m d-\varepsilon$ ? -o
VOC you(s) earth suit-IMP -VOC land suit-IMP -VOC
May you be suitable being for the earth. 281
b $\quad k^{h}$ हne tandik iksa tokte?o $k^{h} a m b e: k$ toktc?o $b^{h} a \eta$
$k^{h}$ हne tandik iksa tokt - $\varepsilon$ ? -o $\quad k^{h} a m b e: k$ tokt $-\varepsilon$ ? -o $\quad b^{h} a \eta$
you later on earth hold up-IMP-VOC land hold up-IMP-VOC SUB
You should retain the tradition of this earth in the coming. 282

### 3.17.10 Importance of time

The Mundhum constantly refers to the eternal flow of time and mentions that it is a powerful means to bring about the changes of any kind. Everything happens in time. By giving very minute detail about the pregnant period of different women, the Mundhum is tacitly saying that time is very important thing. If we do not try to utilize
the time properly, we will certainly lose it and we can never regain the lost time. It seems to say like a famous saying 'time and tide wait for no man.' Let us see the Mundhum lines below and heed seriously how they warn us the swift flow of time:
52.a je... le?wa pegslle lila pegslle
je le?wa peg- $\varepsilon$-lle lila peg- $\varepsilon$-lle
VOC time go -PT -SUB four month go -PT -SUB
Four months passed. 335
b $\quad k^{h} u n \varepsilon k^{h} a m d^{z} u m$ nit $t^{\text {she }}$ ro $k^{h} a m l \varepsilon p$ nit $t^{\text {th }}$ ro $k^{h} u n \varepsilon \quad k^{h} a m-d^{z} u m n i-t^{s h} \varepsilon \quad$ ro $k^{h} a m-l \varepsilon p n i-t^{\text {sh }} \varepsilon \quad$ ro s/he soil -BAL see-PUR ASS soil-clod see-PUR ASS

She looked for the soil. 336

### 3.17.11 Dominance of mind over body

The Mundhum gives high emphasis on the mind than the body. It indicates that man is superior to other animals only because of its mind. No matter how robust our body may be, but the question is how much someone is quick minded and sensible. In this regard, the Mundhum refers to one incident that one day the first mother, Muzingnama goes to the Almighty God to have suggestion for her ailing baby (Susuwengba), at that time He tells her the following things. His saying is that she has a big body but she lacks the thinking mind. Let us consider the verse lines as:
53.a thegekle jomma sikkum kehopme
$t^{\text {hegek -le jomma sikkum } k \varepsilon \text {-hop -m }}$
head -GEN large(F) thought AP- not to be -AP
Big head but without mind.
b siktumle kemme $k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon$ nipwa kehopme
siktum-le kemme $k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon$ niywa $k \varepsilon$-hop -me
neck -GEN long (F) you(s) idea AP- not to be -AP
Long neck but no mind.

### 3.17.12 Significant role of mother in the family

The Mundhum has narrated the mother's role as the most important in a family. It says that a mother can play a crucial role for the child's all round development. The western society believes that a mother is the first teacher of a child. The Mundhum does not say anything about the father's role for a child's growth and development. There are fathers like Susuwengba and Suhampheba in the Mundhum but they do not seem to play any role to guide their children's future betterment. On the contrary the mothers are shown very active, dynamic and significant for their children. The Mundhum refers to many examples where the mother is guiding her child in every step. She seems to keep vigil on her progeny's activity and is seen constantly giving suggestion about dos and don'ts. Let us see the Mundhum lines where the mother is giving instructions to her son who is supposed to be going for a hunt. e.g.
54.a lidum menne?e ro libhok menne?e ro

| $l i-d^{2} u m$ | $m \varepsilon n-n \varepsilon-? e$ | ro $\quad l i-b^{h} o k$ | $m \varepsilon n-n \varepsilon-? e \quad$ ro |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bow -BAL | npG- be $-n p G$ | ASS bow-BAL $n p G-b e ~-n p G ~ A S S ~$ |  | without bow,

b te:ydzum menne?e ro te:yha? menne?e ro te:ク -dzum men-ne-Pe ro te:ク -ha? men-ne-?e ro friend -BAL npG- be -npG ASS friend -p npG- be -npG ASS Without friends, 489
c jukphuŋ ambeknen lo saway ambeknen lo jukphup a-m- bek-nen lo saway a-m-bek-nen lo hunting 1-NEG- go-NEG ASS forest 1-NEG- go -NEG ASS It is not possible to go to the forest for hunting. 490

### 3.17.13 Respect and obedience to the seniors

The Mundhum can be the best example of showing the respect and obedience to the senior personality. These qualities are really worthy of having by every person in the present context where the sense of respect and obedience is degenerating from our behaviour. The reality we should not forget is that if we donot respect our seniors, we
simply do not have right to expect the respect from our juniors and they do not do either. The Mundhum has references of respect and obedience to the seniors as:
55.a je....... sewa mejebe se:ndo mejebe
je sewa me- jeb - $\varepsilon$ se:ndo $m \varepsilon-j \varepsilon b-\varepsilon$
VOC solicitously nsAS- stand -PT ask nsAS- stand -PT
They requested for the help.
b $k^{h} u n i t^{s} u p s a \eta$ la:n temls hukso huk phaknu
$k^{h} u n i t^{s} u p s a \eta$ la:y tem -lo huk-so huk phak-nu
they right (side) leg catch -pfG hand -BAL hand - fold - COM
They touched the God's leg and folded their hands humbly. $\mathbf{1 0 7}$

### 3.17.14 Sincere guidence and counselling to the juniors

The Mundhum myth is extremely significant in terms of the guidence and counselling to the juniors. This aspect has become even more important in the present context. The seniors are thought to have knowledge and experience concerning the life and the world. And the juniors are supposed to follow the their seniors as their model. But when the juniors are not guided and counselled properly, it definitely can have a far reaching consequences. The Mundhum shows that the seniors are very much careful and sincere to guide their juniors in the right track. Besides this, it also shows about what result it may come when a person defies the guidence and genuine counselling. Let us consider example what the Mundhum has mentioned for guidence:
56.a koy go mendzamain sa ro jundhoŋmap saro a:phekmap saro phay
$k \supset \eta g o m e n-d^{2} a-m a ?-n$ sa ro jundhon -ma? sa ro a:phek -map sa ro phay
this -TOP NEG-eat-INF-NEG meat ASS strike-INF meat ASSthrow-INF meat - ASS SUB

This is not edible meat and should be thrown.
b peli piru ro panza piru ro
peli pir -u ro panza pir -u ro
speech give -3P ASS suggestion give -3P ASS
She gave him suggestion.

The context of the verse lines is that Muzingna's son Susuwengba as a small child kills lizard like creatures and brings before his mother and asks whether they are edible or not. So the above lines are the response to her son's query.

### 3.17.15 Marriage code and conduct

The Mundhum's reference about the marriage code and conduct is relevant in the present context. The marriage code and conduct, according to the Mundhum had to be set to prevent the incestuous relation. When the God came to know that the brother (Suhampheba) and sister (Lahadongna) unknowingly had had incestuous relation, He had to decide the case and set certain codes and conducts for establishing the matrimonial tie. About the rules and regulation of marriage, the Mundhum has said:
57.a kai:k sodhoktendham me:kkhim menne?e wama? men lo
kai:k sodhok tendham me:kkhim men-ne-Pe wa-ma? men lo relation kinsman marital relation marriage npG- be-npG stay-INF NOT EMPH

Without marriage persons should not stay.
b je.... kai:k sendusi sodhok sendusi
je kai:k send -u -si sodhok send-u-si
VOC relation separate -3P -nsP kinsman separate-3P-nsP
The god specified the relationships.

### 3.18 The Mundhum and concept of eternity

The concept of eternity in the Mundhum is realized through the two important aspects. They are eternal social life and the ecological phenomena.

### 3.18.1 Eternal social life

The social life referred to in the Mundhum is coming down perpetually from the earliest days of the human creation. In fact it is very difficult to trace down the exact period of its origin and development. Thus it safe to say that the human society began from the time immemorial which lies far beyond the general history. For this reasion it is called prehistoric or primordial. The Mundhum refers to only four generations
from the first god created human mother, Muzingna. The fourth generation down to Muzingna was Sawa Yet Hang (eight wise people/eight kings). The Mundhum believes that those Sawa Yet Hang were supposed to be the ancestors of the present Limbu. This Mundhum saga has come down to the present Limbu unhindered despite the ravage of time. Thus, it is eternally surviving among the native people in the form of saga. The following lines include the beginning and the end so as to mark the continuity of the the Mundhum myth:
58.a je...... je...... allo andz:n samdzik lodaha? mundhum lodaha?
je...... je...... allo ande:n samdzik loda -ha? mund ${ }^{h} u m$ loda -ha?
VOC VOC now long ago story commencement -p myth commencement-p
Now, the ancient story's commencements, myth's commencements. 001
b jehay asere sawa asere ro
jshay $\quad a-\operatorname{ser}-\varepsilon$ sawa $a-\operatorname{ser}-\varepsilon$ ro
Yehang 1-spread -PT all 1-spread -PT ASS
Yehang's descendents scattered far and wide.

The example 58.a refers to that the Mundhum started long ago, and no body can confirm about when. This indicates its unknown beginning. Likewise, the example 58.b says the Ye-hang spread far and wide. The Mundhum narration will go on the indefinite future. The people of the past would tell or recite the Mundhum myth, now in the present time it is being recited and it will be recited in the furure too.

### 3.18.2 Ecology and man referred to in the Mundhum

The Mundhum presents the man as essentially a part and parcel of nature. The man is seen playing, moving and doing different activities in the lap of nature. He is one of the important factors of the natural ecosystem. In this respect, the man holds a chain relationship with other living beings. These all living things have indispensable relationship among them. If one of the elements from that system is taken away or diappears itself, it affects the whole system and there occurs the ecological imbalance. The absence one factor from the system means to disintegrate the linkage among all factors and fall apart ending with the collapse in the system.

From the period of Mundhum, it seems man has been a part of the ecology and it may continue forever for its own existence. The following lines present a slight glimpse of how man has become a part of the ecology:
59.a je ... minu buymaha? tiŋnam buymaha?

је ... тіпи buŋma -ha? tiynam buyma -ha?
VOC APP plant -p cane plant -p
Fine canes' sticks

b je pimba megetu thakpa megetu
je pimba me- get-u thakpa me- get-u
VOC rib nsAS-put-3P bone nsAS- put -3P
The ribs and other bones were fixed into the chest. 175

The above lines tell how closely a man is connected to other living things in the nature or in the ecological system. The example 59.a says about the cane plant and the next line, 59.b the cane was put into the man's chest as ribs. This reference in the Mundhum occurs when the creator gods were making human being. The Mundhum also refers to it that several kinds of plants and other natural objects were installed in the human figure. This saying implicitly implies that the man as an indispensable component of the eternity in ecological balance.

### 3.19 The ideal and inspiring figures

As has been already said that this particular text is about the myth of the Limbu Mundhum 'The Origin of Man’ Yapmi Pongma Mundhum which basically narrates how the different things first came into existence. So this text as its title suggests, narrates how the human being was first created or came into this mundane world. There is dominance of supernatural figures in this mythic world. More than half of the myth is dedicated to the events and activities of supernatural figures. The Mudhum narrates that the human being was created much later because even after creating many things the earth was found to be unsuitable place to look at for the team of creators. Finally the Supreme God ordered the deities to create human being. But the Mundhum refers to that creating human being was not as easy to create as the things created earlier.

It was the third attempt that the human creation was successful. The first effort was in vain because the human created through the blending of varieties of precious metals could not become live while blowing the life force into it. In the second time, the creator gods created human being out of the mixture of trivial things. When the life force was blown into it, the human figure became live. The paradox was that the creator gods were not satisfied with that success. Unexpectedly, they happened to cast spiteful curse onto the newly created man. As a result, it instantly dropped dead. Amids the sheer confusion and frustratation, they consulted the Almighty God for admonition and counsel. Having received the necessary instruction and advice, they happened to create the human being. They were successful to create and after three days of the creation, they performed the ritual of naming. It was femaleand they named Muzingnama Kheyongnama in short Muzingna. Thus, Muzingna was to be the first creation as the human being. So she, as the Mundhum believes, was the first mother of the mankind (Limbu). Her creation marks the transition between the supernatural beings and the mortal beings. The myth says she was raised and looked after by the supernatural figures or say Tagerama Ningwaphuma (the Supreme God). For us, she seems to be quite peculiar character because she was asexually born and brought up by divine parent(s). This Mundhum myth successfully narrates this first mother's life episodes. She is memorable and inspiring character not because being the first mother but because of her impressive personality. Undoubtedly, she has been pictured or foregrounded as an outstanding person. Now, let us consider important events of her relating to the Mundhum references in a precise way as below:

- The first mother, Muzingna's creation sets a mile stone in the in the Limbu Mundhum interms of 'The Origin of Man'. For her creation, the Mundhum refers to as:
60.a je.... mentsham medzogu japmi medzogu
je mentsham me- dzog-u japmi me- dzog-u
VOC human nsAS- make -3P man nsAS- make-3P
They created a human being.
b je... tupuli sa? dhik simboma sa? $d^{h} i k$
je tuyulisa? - $d^{h} i k \quad$ simboma sa? $d^{h} i k$

VOC female offspring - single sari wearing offspring - single
A female child was created. 277

- The Mundhum has depicted Muzingna as a bridge between two different worlds that is spiritual (supernatural) and the materialistic (mundane) world. For example, the Mundhum says that the Supreme God made Muzingna wear the clothes. Let us see the verse lines below:
61.a je... tagaramslle niywap ${ }^{h}$ umelle
je tagarame-lle niywap ${ }^{h}$ ume-lle
VOC almighty -ERG god father -ERG The Almighty God 293
b je.... taga tsaktu ro loghe:k tsaktu ro je taga tsakt -u ro loghe:k tsakt-u ro VOC garment put on -3P ASS dress put on -3P ASS Made the child wear the clothes. 294
- Muzingna spends her childhood in the blissful ignorance like other children today. About her blissful moment, the Mundhum refers to as:
62.a allo mudzipnama gว $k^{h}$ ejoŋnama go allo mudzinnama go $k^{h}$ ejoŋnama go
now Muzingnama then Kheyongnama then
Now Muzingna Kheyongna 295
b ta:ndi $k^{h}$ une sisa kere ro mentshin kere ro
ta:ndi $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ sisa $k \varepsilon r-\varepsilon$ ro mentshin ker $-\varepsilon$ ro
In the future $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ young reach -PT ASS matured girl reach -PT ASS
Gradually the child got young and matured. 296
- During her teen age, she is faced by strange feelings due to her physical changes and lack of the knowledge of newer development in her. About her strange feeling, the myth goes on to say as follows:
63.a allo nawa mejaknen $k^{h}$ cmsiך mejaknen $b^{h} a \eta$ allo nawa me-jak -nen $\quad k^{h} \varepsilon m-s i \eta \quad m \varepsilon-j a k \quad-n \varepsilon n \quad b^{h} a \eta$ now face NEG-look good -NEG match -REF NEG-look good-NEG SUB The body felt to be unsuitable.
b $\quad k^{h} u n \varepsilon ~ l o k-l \varepsilon-l o k \quad l o k-l \varepsilon \quad$ peli rok par- $\varepsilon$ $k^{h} u n \varepsilon \quad l \supset k-l \varepsilon-l \supset k \quad l \supset k-l \varepsilon \quad$ peli rok par- $\varepsilon$ $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ incoherent discourse imotional pattering speech FOC talk-PT She kept on pattering on her own way.
- As she is carried away by her imagination, she wanders about different parts unconsciously seeking the solution and solace. Regarding her imotional intensity, the Mundhum is to say as:
64.a $k^{h}$ unc $k^{h}$ ²jo keruy tossmube ku-him tossmube
$k^{h} u n \varepsilon k^{h}$ ¿そjo keruy tos $-\varepsilon-m u$-be ku-him tos $-\varepsilon-m u$-be
s/he up there sadness feel -PT -REP -e worriness feel -PT-REP-e
She only felt dreary and sad.
322
b jesoluy rok him lo luyma rok him lo
jesoluy rok him lo luyma rok him lo
VOC unpleasant FOC experience ASS dreary FOC experience ASS
She was saddened.
- In the period of conception, every moment, day and month appears with newer experience and feeling for there is no one to tell her about such strange occurence of the pregnancy. The Mundhum mentions her feeling during the conception as follows:
65.a je... le?wa pege ro sumla ni pege ro je le?wa peg- ro sumla ni peg - $\begin{aligned} & \text { ro }\end{aligned}$

VOC time go-PT ASS three month CTR go-PT ASS
Three months passed. 333
b $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ haygen rok lore siktsa rok lore $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ haygen rok lor $-\varepsilon$ siktsa rok lor $-\varepsilon$ $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ irritation FOC feel-PT disinterested-FOC feel -PT She was only irritated.

- In the right period, she bears a male baby and after four days she performs the naming rite. She names the newly born baby as Susuwengba Lalawengba. To this event, the Mundhum recites as:
 je $k^{h} u n \varepsilon t^{h} a p s a \eta$ ni katt-u loden ni katt-u VOC s/he suddenly CTR have -3P expulsion CTR have -3P She bore such an infant. 368
b je.. laikkay sa? $d^{h i k} p^{h} e n i j a \quad s a ? d^{h i k}$
je laikkay sa? dhik phenija sa? dhik
VOC masculine offspring single loin cloth wearing offspring single The male baby. 370
- Soon after the birth, the baby surprisingly becomes smaller and smaller. At this, Muzingna is shocked to have her baby in such condition. She thinks she can not solve the problem herself. So she instantly visits the Almighty God to have suggestion. She humbly asks the God for the solution of the mysterious illness of her son. The Mundhum refers to her question of urgency as follows:
67.a allo $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ mudzipnama go $k^{h}$ ejoynama go
allo $k^{h} u n \varepsilon \quad$ mudzinnama go $k^{h}$ ejoŋnama go
now s/he Muzingnama then Kheyongnama then
Now Muzingna Khejongna, 383
b je... ettshumni pok ${ }^{\text {sh}} b \varepsilon \quad$ epp ${ }^{h}$ a ni pok ${ }^{h} \varepsilon b \varepsilon$ je ettsh ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ ni pok ${ }^{h}-\varepsilon-b \varepsilon \quad e p p^{h} a \quad n i \quad p o k^{h}-\varepsilon-b \varepsilon$

VOC how CTR happen -PT -INQ what CTR happen -PT -INQ
What had happened to the baby?

- She brings a cradle made of canes from the up hills paying the price for it. Though it seems a very simple thing to refer to the cradle and its price, it is by no means simple as it is related to earliest time of creation. Referring to the context of cradle and its price, the Mundhum unfolds its pronouncement as:
68.a je... sidi jaŋdaŋma madi jaŋdaŋma je sidi jaŋdayma madi jaŋdaŋma

VOC a high altitude cane cradle cane of marshy land cradle The cradle prepared from two types canes. 421
b je...nali ketuaך kudzen piruaך je nali ket-u -aך ku- $d^{z} \varepsilon n$ pir -u -aŋ

VOC wage pay-3P-pfG its- price give -3P-pfG
She paid the reasonable price.
422

- Her son is now five years old. He starts killing small creatures like lizard. He brings them before his mother and asks whether they are edible or not. Muzingna readily gives her decision about the matter of edible or not. What the Mundhum says about it is as follows:
69.a minuay sarha? namjokay sarha? hondigekay sarha? minu -ay sa? -ha? namjok -ay sa? -ha? hondigek -ay sa? -ha? APP -also baby -p lizard -too baby -p red headed lizard -too baby -p The small babies of different reptiles like lizerd ,chameleon,etc. 454
b kəy go tsamena sai mendªma sai sikleymap sai jundhoŋma? sai $k \supset \eta$ gə tsa-me -na sa-i men-d²a-ma? sa-i sikleך -ma? sa-i jundhoy -ma? sa-i this TOP eat-INF-PP meat-Q NEG-eat-INF meat-Q abhor-INF meat-Q hateINF meat-Q

Are these edible or unedible flesh or hateful or to be thrown away?458

- During the late chilhood, her son happens to kill a white bird out of a pair and as usual he brings to his mother. At this the mother is shocked for he has splitted the pair which may have a far reaching effect. Muzingna says to her son as:
70.a tak pu $k^{h} \varepsilon: P k m e n ~ l o ~ t \varepsilon \eta b u ~ k^{h} \varepsilon: 3 k m e n ~ l o$ tak pu $k^{h} \varepsilon: २ k$-men lo teybu $k^{h} \varepsilon:$ Pk $-m e n ~ l o$ friend bird break/split -NOT EMPH pair bird break/split -NOT EMPH The couple should not be split/separated.
b samdzik ked²ogu mund ${ }^{h} u m ~ k e d^{2} o g u$
samdzik ke- $d^{2} o g-u$ mundhum $k \varepsilon-d^{2} o g-u$
story 2-make-3P myth 2-make -3P
You have made a trend/ convention.
- When Susuwengba grows young, he insists on going for hunting. He asks his mother for permission. At this mother tells him to make necessary preparations. She says that the friend, hunting dogs and weapons like bow and arrows are very important things needed in the hunting. The Mundhum incorporates her sincere advice to her son as:
71.a lidzum menne?e ro libhok menne?e ro
li -dzum men-ne-Pe ro li-bhok men-ne-Pe ro
bow -BAL npG-be -npG ASS bow-BAL npG-be -npG ASS
te:ydzum menne?e ro te:yha? menne?e ro
te:ク -dzum men-ne-?e ro te:ク -ha? men-ne-?e ro
friend -BAL npG- be -npG ASS friend -p npG- be -npG - ASS
Without bow, arrow and friends 480
b jukp ${ }^{h}$ uो ambeknen lo saway ambeknen lo
jukphuy a-m-bek-nen lo saway a-m- bek-nen lo
hunting 1- NEG-go-NEG EMPH forest 1- NEG- go -NEG EMPH It is not possible to go to the forest for hunting. 481
- Finally, she gives motherly suggestion to her son for not going to Sinyuk Muden. She clarifies that there are maidens who may mislead him from his mission. The Mundhum chants her motherly counselling to her beloved son as follows:
72.a $k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon$ sinjuk mebegenne? muden mebegenne?
 sisa mejep lo mentshin mejep lo sisa me-jep lo mentshin me-jep lo young(f) nsAS- stand EMPH matured girl nsAS- stand EMPH
b tengo kemb hot lo kelay kembhot lo tengo ke- m- bhot lo ke-laך ke-m- $b^{h} o t \quad l o$ step 2-nsAS- mislead EMPH 2-leg 2-nsAS- mislead EMPH You should not go to sinjuk land there are maidens who can mislead your step.

From the above accounts or the autobiographical notes, the first mother of man (Limbu/Yakthungba), we can easily discern that Muzingna is extremely sensitive to her duties. As being a mother, she is caring, loving and inspiring as well. Her sense of duty is highly appreciative and exemplary to all the mothers of any age. Her best wishes for the succeeding generation is beyond the explanation in words. Let us consider how she wishes her son:
73.a sakphak menloc? tsirep menlse?
sakp ${ }^{h}$ ak men- $l s-\varepsilon$ ? tsirep men-lo $-\varepsilon$ ?
harassed NOT-to be-IMP inferior feeling NOT-to be-IMP
May there be no demoralizing cases.
b je... $p^{h} u \not p^{h} a k$ membeke? joknam membeke?
je phüphak mem-bek - $\varepsilon$ ? jəknam mem-bek - $\varepsilon$ ?
GF flower petal NOT- to go -IMP dislocation NOT- to go -IMP
May your defame never be spread like the petals of flower.

Muzingna is highly visionary character. It seems she could see the things of the far future. When her son is about to go for hunting, she suggests him not to go to Sinyuk Muden (Sinyuk Muden denotes 'Tibet Chaina'). Her suggestion has a very serious implication even in the present context. She is deeply concerned with ethnic identity, linguistic and cultural purity. Her suggestion might be trying to say that the mobility of people can bring a number of problems. This type of mobility gives rise to the exogamy trend. According to her Sinyuk Muden is the difference place in terms of language, culture, religion and the life style. If her son goes to such alien place he may fall in love with the girls of alien castes and communities. She thinks that crosscultural activity never helps to strengthen cultural as well as linguistic integrity. From the point of view of cognitive semantics, her son's going to Siyuk Muden can be interpreted through various basic- level categories of physical objects, actions and relations or various image schemas like the container schema, part-whole schema, link schema, source-path-goal schema, up-down schema front-back schema and so forth. For example, according to the container schema, the community is viewed as container from where Muzingna's son is supposed to be going out from his own community and entering the others community by having physical relationship with the maidens. Similarly, from the point of view of link schema, her son is making a connection with a newer community and breaking social ties of his own.

Muzingna appears to be the woman of boldness and strong determination. Whatever the problems may come before her, she is always ready to face them with full confidence. Whenever the difficulties come before her, first she tries to solve herself and if she finds the challenges beyond her means, immediately she would seek the help from her guardian, Tagara Ningwaphuma. Once she is shocked to find her baby getting lean and thin day by day. She thinks it is out of her means so she instantly consults the Supreme God to solve the problem. As she get to the dwelling of God, she put the question as:
74.a jaysa poksean kund he pokseay
jaysa poks - $\varepsilon-a \eta$ kundhe poks - $\varepsilon-a \eta$
what happen -PT -pfG how happen -PT -pfG
What was the reason/what happened?
389
b
je... thinda poreben asa? poreben
je $t^{h}$ inda por $-\varepsilon-b \varepsilon-n \quad a-s a$ P por $-\varepsilon-b \varepsilon-n$
VOC baby grow -PT -NOM -ABS 1- baby grow -PT -NOM -ABS
My growing son.
391

When she puts the above question to the her guardian, He lets her see the vision through the dream which indicates about the solution. Then the God also suggests her about the supremacy of the brain over the body. Giving emphasis on the mind, the God advises with the ironical expression as:
75.a thegekle jomma sikkum kehopme
$t^{\text {thegek -le jomma sikkum ke-hop -me }}$
head -GEN large(F) thought AP- not to be -AP
Big head but without mind.
399
b je..... thegekle jomma nesik mendimmnama
je $t^{h}$ हgek-le jomma nesik men-dim -mna-ma
VOC head -GEN large(F) brain NEG- fill up -PP -NOM
Big headed but not the brain filled with ideas. 401
It is not the exaggeration that, the first mother, Muzingna is a successful leading mother. When her son is small child, naturally he is inquisitive to learn many things. He keeps on asking many questions to his mother. At this, she replies him properly as though she knows the child psychology very well. She realizes very well that the children are by nature curious to know different things. This curiosity leads them to the real learning. She never scolds him or discourages by any means. This is real exemplary of unique love and affection of a mother to her progeny. In her love, there exists all essential qualities of love. Love is perceived as the active power of giving to another voluntarily, without sacraficing one's own integrity. According to Fromm (1989, p. 111) love has four elements as: care, responsibility, respect and knowledge. In the true sense, love is primarily giving not receiving. Fromm (1989) states that the caring is working for one loves. The responsibility here means that it is not a duty but a voluntary act of responding to the expressed or unexpressed needs of another human
being. The respect doesnot imply the domination or possessiveness but an ability to see a person as $s / h e$ is. It basically means the concern that the other person should grow and unfold as he or she is. Respect exists not in domination but in the freedom. And the fourth quality of true love is the knowledge of the thing to which one loves. We find these all qualities or elements in her way of loving her son. So there is no hesitation to address her as an ideal mother not only the mother of Susuwengba but all the Ye Hang SaPha? (present Limbu).

What makes the first mother, Muzingna of mythological character of the Limbu Mundhum quite inspiring, ideal and memorable is that she has the following virtues and characteristics:

She is regarded as the first human creation and thus she is the first human mother too. She has been depicted as the person having a deep concern over the ethnic identity as she is always in favour of cultural as well as linguistic purity because this idea is reflected when she warns her son not to go to Sinyuk Muden. She is an ideal figure in terms of love and affection. Though she is a single parent she never lets her son feel the absence of the father by guiding him properly. She is the mother capable of making right decision in the right time. She is visionary who seems to have the knowledge of future consequences of the present actions.

### 3.20 Summary

The Mundhum is the religious scripture of the Limbu people. It is the most classical oral poertry handed down from generation to generation through oral tradition. In fact, it a liturgical language employed as means for healing the sickness to spiritual dealing. The language appears to be a vast difference than that of the language used in the ordinary speech variety. The Limbu natives conceive the Mundhum as the source of inspiration, information and enlightenment. It guides to the way of life, custom and rites-de-passages. It has a vast treasure of knowledge comprising a wide range of literary texts and discourses. Being a classical text, it plays a vital role to bridge beteen remotest past and the present.

## CHAPTER FOUR

## PHONOLOGY OF LIMBU MUNDHUM

### 4.0 Outline

In this chapter, first of all it deals with the sound system of the Limbu language. Apart from the reference to sound system, it endeavors to account the sounds and their significance in the literary field since the sound has much to do especially with the prosodic feature of literature. It is going to refer not only to segmental features of the Limbu language but also supra-segmental features which play a dominant role in the Limbu Mundhum recitation. In other words, it tries to show the link between phonology and the Mundhum literature.

### 4.1 Inventory of vowel phonemes

Table 1: The Limbu vowel sounds

| Front(Unrounded) |  | Central | Back (Rounded) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta$ High/Close | i, i: |  | $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{u}$ : |
| Mid-close | e, e: |  | o, o: |
| Mid-open | $\varepsilon, \varepsilon$ : |  | 0, 0 : |
| VLow/Open |  | a, a: |  |

In the above table of vowel phonemes, each sound has extra counterpart marked by the sign (:) which has been used to indicate vowel length and this feature is quite distinct from other languages like English since it does not have such vowel length except the sounds $/ \mathrm{i} /$ and $/ \mathrm{u} /$.

The horizontal line is for indicating the lips position and relative height of the part of the tongue towards the oral cavity. According to this, front unrounded vowels are $/ \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}$ : $/$; /e, e: / and $/ \varepsilon, \varepsilon: /$. The back rounded vowels are $/ \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{u}: / ; / \mathrm{o}$, o:/ and / $/$, $\rho: /$. And the central vowels are /a/ and /a:/. Likewise, the vertical line indicates the position of oral cavity in relation to closing versus opening as well as the height of the tongue regardless of its parts i.e. front, central and back. According to this, high close vowels
are $/ \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}$ : / and $/ \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{u}: /$; mid-close vowels are $/ \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{e}: /$ and $/ \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}: / ;$ mid-open vowels are $/ \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon$ : / and $\varsigma, \rho$ / and the low vowels are /a/ and /a: / respectively.

### 4.1.1 Minimal pair

Following is shown minimal pair of the vowels in order to bring out meaning contrast in terms of tongue height and its raised part i.e. front, central or back.

| 1. i vs. u | iŋma? | to buy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | uyma? | to pull |
| i vs.e | ipma? | to cause asleep |
|  | epma? | to laugh |
| i vs. $o$ | ima? | to bake bread in oil |
|  | oma? | to roast |
| i vs. $\varepsilon$ | ikma? | to twist |
|  | عkma? | to be broken |
| i vs. 0 | imma? | to sleep |
|  | omma? | to cause to return |
| i vs. a | ikma? | to twist |
|  | akma? | to put into |
| 2. e vs. u | epma? | to tread |
|  | upma? | to call |
| e vs. $\varepsilon$ | enma? | to chew |
|  | eyma? | to break |
| e vs. a | eŋma? | to chew |
|  | ayma? | to uproot |
| evs. 0 | epma? | to tread |
|  | spma? | to disturb |
| e vs. o | ePmap | to bore someone |
|  | opmap | to roast |
| 3. $\varepsilon$ vs. u | Eyma? | to break |
|  | uyma? | to pull |
| $\varepsilon$ vs. o | ctma? | to laugh |
|  | otma? | to shine |
| ع vs. 0 | £ $\mathrm{m}_{\text {map }}$ | to break |


| $\varepsilon$ Vs. a | эŋma? | to take off/strip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | eŋma? | to break |
|  | aŋma? | to uproot |
| 4. a vs. u | aŋma? | to uproot |
|  | uyma? | to pull |
| a vs. 0 | akma? | to put into |
|  | okma? | to come off/to be peeled off |
| a vs. 0 | aŋma? | to uproot |
|  | эŋma? | to tear off |
| 5. u vs. o | uyma? | to pull |
|  | oŋma? | to skin, flay, peel off |
| u vs. 0 | uyma? | to pull |
|  | эŋma? | to tear off |

Vowel length also matters to be distinctive or contrastive in the identical environment in Limbu.

| 1. i vs. i: | inma? | to buy/purchase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | i:ŋma? | to clean utensils |
| 2. e vs. e: | $t^{\text {the }}$ | what |
|  | $t^{\text {he }}$ : | split bamboo |
| 3. $\varepsilon$ vs. $\varepsilon$ : | lemma? | to persuade |
|  | $1 \varepsilon: m m a ?$ | to be slippery |
| 4. a vs. a: | lay | leg |
|  | la: 1 | dance |
| 5. 0 vs. 0 : | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {s }}$ akma? | to add/join |
|  | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {s }}$ : kma? | to have a feeling of irritation in the throat |
| 6. o vs. o: | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ omma? | to pick/lift up |
|  | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{o}$ :mma? | to carve/engrave |
| 7. u vs. u : | kupma? | to hatch |
|  | ku:pma? | to make somebody carry |

### 4.1.2 Distribution

The above figure shows that there are altogether fourteen vowels in the Limbu language. Of the fourteen, seven are shorter and rest seven are longer ones. In the

Limbu grammars written by the linguists of both natives (Chemjong I. S., 1970); ( (Subba D. , 2005)); (Tumbahang G. B., 2011) and ( (Angdembe T. M., 2012) and foreigners (Grierson, 1909); (Weidert and Subba, 1985); (Driem, 1987) the two vowels like $/ e /$ and $/ o /$ have been presented as longer vowels without providing any diacritic signs but in the same respective texts they have given colons (:) or dot $(\cdot)$ after the vowels $/ e /$ and $/ o /$ so as to mark the length. Let us consider the vowels distribution within words below:

Table 2: Distribution of vowel phoneme
S.N. Vowels initial

1. i iyma? to buy
2. i: i:yma? to clean pot
3. e egay after
4. e: e:k back of body
5. $\varepsilon$ ekmap to break
6. $\varepsilon$ : $\varepsilon: k m a$ ? to be cheated
7. a amma? to winnow
8. a: a:mmap to be rough
9. $\quad ~\lrcorner k k^{h} e$ in this way

10 ๑: っ:ma? to spit out
11. o opma? to feel hot
12. o: o:yma? to strip off
13. u umma? to chew
14. u: u:kma? to scratch
Middle
sima to die isi:k accordingly
pek goes
$k^{h} a m b e: k$ earth

Final
si seed $a$-si: all we die $k^{h} e \quad$ yam nendre: sister-in-law welle full were saliva le:yma? to clear away tore: guest
lay leg nu:ba nice

| la: $\eta$ | dance | sa: | offspring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| toron | bridge | $t^{s}$ | eat |
| эmı:ma? | to see |  |  | tsokma? to do todo wild buffalo ilo:ma actress lappo: indecent summa? collect tsatu feeds nu:ba nice immaju: sleep

### 4.1.3 Diphthong

The notion of diphthong in Limbu Mundhum is most probably absent expect some derivational verb morphology. The reduction of diphthong has been resulted from the pervasive use of vowel-length since the long vowel avoids the situation of being diphthongized form. Similarly, the next reason is the proliferated use of glottal sound in between the vowels (intervocalic) which prevents the situation of diphthongization. In this context, Weidert and Subba (1985) point out as that the diphthongs are extremely rare in Limbu. They have mentioned only two types as [-عi] and [-oi]
which, they say, are derivationally obtained sound sequences having restricted occurence. For instance:
tamap come/arrive tei?gja? to come from far away
wama? to be (existentially) woigja? we are

According to van Driem (1987), the vowel sound sequences are in hiatus created by the occurrence of glottal stop either preceding a vowel in word initial position or intervocalically in word internal position. Hiatus, thus, prevents diphthongization. He further points out that diphthong occurs only in forms with affixed interrogative particle <-i> or vocative suffix <-e> which do not exhibit glottal hiatus. So according to him the diphthongs could be as such:

| $s i$ | $a-m o i$ | (Does it intoxicate/Does it make drunk?) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a e$ | $m \varepsilon n t^{\text {sh }} a e$ | (Oh grandson!) |

The vowel sound sequences found in Limbu words seem to generate two types of diphthongs without any derivational forms. They are as follows:

| ai | ain | (today) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ailamba | (this year) |
| oi | oisa? | (it may be) |
|  | oisum | (generosity) |

### 4.2 Phonetic inventory of consonant sounds

While analyzing the stream of the Limbu Mundhum recitation, one can find the following phones. They are presented in the figure below:

# Table 3: Inventory consonant phonemes 

|  | Bilabial | Dental | Alveolar | Palatal | Velar | Glotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vl. Vd. | Vl. Vd. | Vl. Vd. | Vl. Vd. | Vl. Vd. | Vl. Vd. |
| Plosive Unaspirated | $\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{b}$ | $t \mathrm{~d}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{g}$ | ? |
| Aspirated | $p^{h} \quad b^{h}$ | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }} \quad \mathrm{d}^{\text {h }}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{g}^{\text {h }}$ |  |
| Affricate Unaspirated |  |  | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {s }} \quad \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}}$ |  |  |  |
| Aspirated |  |  | $t^{\text {sh }}$ |  |  |  |
| Fricative |  | S |  |  |  | ¢ |
| Nasal | m | n |  |  | 1 |  |
| Liquids |  | 1 | r |  |  |  |
| Glides | w |  |  | j |  |  |

The above figure shows that these listed phones (speech sounds) are found in the Mundhum language but it does not necessarily mean that they all are distinctive sounds or phonemes. So in order to find out their actual position, they should be analyzed either through suspicious pair contrast or minimal pair contrast.

Distribution chart of suspicious pairs

$$
\text { Chart: } 1 \text { Distribution of suspicious pairs }
$$

| Pairs | \#--- | V - V | --\# | Post nasal n - | Post dental n/t- | Glottal ?- | Geminate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p | + | - | + | - | + | - | + |
| b | - | + | - | + | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {h }}$ | + | - | - | - | + | - | - |
| $\mathrm{b}^{\text {h }}$ | - | + | - | + | - | + | - |
| t | + | - | + | - | + | + | + |
| d | - | + | - | + | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{t}^{\text {b }}$ | + | - | - | - | + | + | - |
| $\mathrm{d}^{\text {h }}$ | - | + | - | + | - | - | - |
| k | + | - | + | - | - | + | + |
| g | - | + | - | + | + | - | - |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ | + | - | - | - | + | + | + |
| $\mathrm{g}^{\text {h }}$ | - | + | - | + | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{t}^{\text {s }}$ | + | - | - | - | - | + | + |
| $\mathrm{d}^{\text {z }}$ | - | + | - | + | + | - | - |
| r | + | - | - | + | + | - | + |
|  | - | + | - | - | - | + | - |
| S | + | + | - | + | - | + | + |
| $\mathrm{t}^{\text {sh }}$ | + | + | - | - | + | - | - |

Note: \#--is for indicating word initial; v-v intervocalic; --\# word final and ?-- after glottal sound

### 4.2.1 Primary inventory of the Limbu (Mundhum) consonant phonemes

The analysis through the suspicious pair has revealed that the Limbu Mundhum consists of sixteen consonant phonemes. But on the basis of Mundhum text, there are altogether twenty five phones which have been presented in the table no. 3. The distribution chart of suspicious pair includes nine pairs. Out of these nine pairs, every second phone (sound) does not form word but only occurs either in intervocalic or
post-nasal position. Every first voiceless sound is found to be voiced in the intervocalic or post nasal position yet there is no change in the meaning. In each pair, the first is phoneme and every second is allophone of the former one. By this, the nine phones such as $/ \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{s}}, \mathrm{s}$ and $\mathrm{l} /$ are distinctive phonemes whereas phones as $/ \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}}, \mathrm{t}^{\text {sh }}$ and $\mathrm{r} /$ are allophones of the first ones. The rest seven phonemes are $/ \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{w}$ and $\mathrm{j} /$. These phonemes have not been presented in the suspicious pair test because they are distinctly different and they do not possess their allophones. Unlike many Endo-European languages, the glottal sound / $\mathrm{Z} /$ has also obtained its position as phoneme. Regarding its role in the Limbu language, Weidert and Subba (1985) hold the opinion that glottal stop [?] has proliferated into all parts of the synchronic grammatical system.

The voiced unaspirated plosives (stops) sounds $/ \mathrm{b}$, d and $\mathrm{g} /$ are the variants of the voiceless unaspirated plosives $/ \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}$ and $\mathrm{k} /$. Likewise, voiced aspirated plosives $/ \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}}$ and $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ are the variants of voiceless aspirated plosives $/ \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ and $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ respectively. The voiced unaspirated affricate $/ \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}} /$ is the variant of voiceless unaspirated affricate $/ \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{s}$. The phonemes and their allophones are of similar kind in respect to the manner and place of articulations. For instance, phoneme $/ \mathrm{p} /$ and its allophone $/ \mathrm{b} /$ belong to the same type because both are bilabial plosives. When /p/ occurs in the intervocalic or post-nasal position it loses at least its one basic quality and takes up the quality of neighboring sounds. Thus, it becomes voiced one. The same is the case with other phonemes which are referred to along with this particular phoneme. e.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { pan (saying) } \rightarrow k u \text {-ban (his/her saying) } / \mathrm{p} / \rightarrow / \mathrm{b} / \\
& \boldsymbol{t}^{s} a \quad \text { (food) } \rightarrow k u-\boldsymbol{d}^{z} a \quad \text { (his/her food) } / \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{t}} / \rightarrow / \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z} /}
\end{aligned}
$$

The process of allophonic variation with the last two pairs i.e. $/ \mathrm{s}-\mathrm{t}^{\text {sh} / ~ a n d ~} / \mathrm{l}-\mathrm{r} /$ is much more complicated and elusive. The pair of $/ \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{r} /$ belongs to the same type in terms of the manner of articulation but different in terms of the place of articulation since the former is dental and the later is alveolar. The pair $/ \mathrm{s} /$ and $/$ sh $/$ do neither share same place nor the manner of articulation. The sound $/ \mathrm{s} /$ is dental fricative whereas $/ \mathrm{t}^{\text {sh}} /$ is alveolar affricate. Both pairs seem to be in the free variation at some points and the alternation is strictly lexically conditioned at the other. The distribution of these pairs is as follows:

## 0. / $1 /$ and /r/ distribution

$/-1 /$ after consonant $\quad$ (e.g. phak-l $\varepsilon=$ pig-GEN; pig's $)$
$/-\mathrm{r} /$ after vowel and glottal sound $/ \mathrm{z} / \quad($ e.g. ma-lam $=$ maram; main path $)$
(wett ${ }^{\text {shjap-le }}$ sja= wett ${ }^{\text {shjap }}$ re sja; rice of paddy)

1. $/ \mathrm{s} /$ and $/ \mathrm{t}^{\text {sh }} /$ distribution
/s/ after all sounds except $/ \mathrm{n} /$ and $/ \mathrm{t} /$ (e.g. wap-sa = wapsa; chicken 'meat')
$/ \mathrm{t}^{\text {sh/ }}$ after syllable-final dental stop /-t/ and dental nasal /-n/
(e.g. saŋwet-sa $=$ saŋwetsts ${ }^{\text {a }}$; buffalo's meat; t n -sa= tent ${ }^{\text {th }}$; native, local)

However, the pair /l/ and /r/ has many crisscross overlapping and the rule does not seem to encompass. The rule generally refers to that the phoneme $/ \mathrm{l} /$ is realized as $/ \mathrm{r} /$ in the intervocalic position. But this is not always strictly applicable. For instance, we have the word allo meaning 'now'; the next word $m \varepsilon-d^{2} o g$-u-lle meaning 'having done'; ke-dar-u-le meaning 'if you have brought' ku-lap meaning 'wing'. In these words, the phoneme /l/ occurs in the intervocalic position yet it has retained its original form. Likewise, the rule prescribes that /l/ changes into /r/ when it precedes glottal stop and this is also not always true because we have popular word sumha?luy meaning 'three ovens/hearths.' Again, we have words with free variation like jorik jollik suggesting the meaning 'a bit more.' But to its contrary, there is the sound change as the rule has prescribed. e.g. lam 'road' $\rightarrow m a+$ lam $=$ maram 'main road' but not malam. To sum up, the allophonic variation of $/ 1 /$ appears to be chameleonlike nature changing its feature (color) moment after moment posing a tough challenge to the linguists to track down its exact form.
The Limbu Mundhum consonant phonemes are as below:

## Table 4: Primary consonant phonemes

| Labial | Dental | Alveolar Palatal | Velar | Glottal |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| p | t | $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{s}}$ | k | $?$ |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ |  | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ |  |
| m | n |  | y |  |
|  | s |  |  |  |
|  | l |  | h |  |
|  | w | j |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2.2 Minimal pair contrast

| 1. | /p/ vs. $/ \mathrm{p}^{\text {h/ }}$ | pemma? | to slip off itself |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $p^{\text {¢ }}$ emma? | to slip out/take off |
| 2. | /t/ vs./th/ | tama? | to arrive |
|  |  | $t^{\text {hamap }}$ | to drop/fall |
| 3 | /k/ vs. /k ${ }^{\text {h/ }}$ | ke | two-sided drum (Chjabrung; Nep. Dhol) |
|  |  | $k^{h} e$ | jam |
| 4. | /ts/ vs. /s/ | $t s a m a ?$ | to eat |
|  |  | sama? | to take something away |
| 5. | /l/ vs. /w/ | leyma? | to turn over |
|  |  | weyma? | to lift up water |
| 6. | /1/ vs. /j/ | lepma? | to hit/give up |
|  |  | jepma? | to stand |
| 7. | /w/ vs. /j/ | wa:ma? | to be (existential) |
|  |  | ja:ma? | to feel tickled |
| 8. | /m/ vs. /n/ vs. /y/ | ma | mother |
|  |  | na | face/countenance |
|  |  | ja | fish |
| 9. | /h/ vs. /?/ | ha | tooth |
|  |  | ha? | plural marker |
| 10. | /p/ vs. / $/$ / | sapma? | to write |
|  |  | sarma? | to try |
| 11. | /k/ vs. / $/$ / | sakma? | to shut up |
|  |  | sapma? | to visit someone |
| 12. | /t/ vs. /?/ | $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{tt}^{\text {s }} \mathrm{a}$ | to elope |
|  |  | $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ Ot ${ }^{\text {s }} \mathrm{a}$ | to help by giving corn esp. in the ritualistic performance |

### 4.2.3 Distribution

| Phoneme | Word initial | Medial (intervocalic) |  | Word final |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $/ \mathrm{p} /$ | $p e \cdot k$ | goes | $k \varepsilon b e k$ | you go | hap weep |  |
| $/ \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ | $p^{h} a k$ | pig | $k \varepsilon b^{h} a k$ | your pig |  |  |


| /t/ | taru bring | medaru | they bring | va:t wat |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /th | $t^{\text {haruy }}$ I left | a-d ${ }^{\text {aruy }}$ | I left | $p^{\text {hak }}$ pig |  |
| /k/ | kere came | a-gere | we came |  |  |
| $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ | $k^{\text {he }}$, jam | lawaghe | sweet potato |  |  |
| /ts/ | $t^{s} a t u$ feed | $m e d^{2} a t u$ | they feed him |  |  |
| /s/ | sa:ndu cleanse | mesa;ndu | they cleansed (no change in intervocalic position) |  |  |
| /1/ | la: moon | $t^{\text {salam }}$ | graze/wonder about |  |  |
| /w/ | wap hen | sewa | salute |  |  |
| /j/ | $j a$ p paddy | sijambsk | funeral ceremony |  |  |
| /m/ | $m a \eta$ deity | simba | squirrel | him | home |
| /n/ | nay go mad | $j u: n t^{s h}{ }^{\text {k }}$ | evening | $k^{h} \varepsilon n$ | that |
| /9/ | yamok five elements | hupuy | I paid | tuga | I was ill |
| /h/ | hayhay pleasure | muhoŋliy | devoid |  |  |
| / $/ 1$ | --- | woipgja | we are | haךu | I send |

The above list shows that all phonemes are not found in all positions i.e. initial, medial and final. The phonemes $/ \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{s}}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{j}$ and $\mathrm{h} /$ do not occur in the wordfinal. Likewise, there is only one phoneme /?/ which does not occur in the word-initial position.

### 4.2.4 Distinctive sounds versus predictable variants

All the sounds that are perceived in the flow of speech cannot be the phonemes. To be called as a phoneme, the sound must be distinctive or contrastive from the rest sounds. In the alteration of any other sound in the place of a particular sound, there must be changed in the meaning. In other words, only those sounds are phonemes which are contrastive to other sounds. For instance, sounds $/ \mathrm{k} /$ and $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ are distinctive phonemes because the meaning gets changed when one sound is replaced by another. In such situation replacement is almost impossible without the change in meaning. However, there are also some sounds which are predictable variants and they do not bring the change in meaning. Such sounds are phonetically similar and they are, thus called allophones. In the Limbu Mundhum the voiced stops (plosives) $\left[\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}}\right.$,
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ] are the predictable variants of the voiceless stops $/ \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} /$. Likewise, voiced affricate $\left[\mathrm{d}^{2}\right]$ is the variant of the voiceless affricate $/ \mathrm{t} \mathrm{t} /$. Roughly speaking, voiceless occur in the word initial and final whereas the voiced ones occur in the middle between vowels and post-nasal position. More formally put, voiced and voiceless plosives and affricate are complementary, that is they never occur in the same phonetic contexts and can never be used to ditinguish meaning. See one example below:

taru mع-daru intervocalic.

V-V(intervocalic) and post-nasal position word initial and word final position $\mathrm{He} /$ She brings [ t ] in the word initial taru They bring
[d] between vowels also termed as

Voiceless plosive /t/ changes into voiced /d/ between vowels for vowels are voiced.

In this way, the phoneme /t/ is said to have two allophones like [d] and [t]. This is because a phoneme is not a single sound or entity rather it should be thought of as a sound class consisting of at least one and usually more than one member.

### 4.3 Supra-segmental features in the Mundhum

The term suprasegment refers to such sonic feature which can not be segmented atseparated bit by bit. Those sounds which are separated bit by bit, for instance $/ \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{k} . .$. ; $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{u}$, etc., are called segmental sounds because they they take certain amount of time to utter them. On the contrary, suprasegmental features cannot be segmented and there is no fixed time length to utter them like the ones said above. In the recitation of the Mundhum, the suprasegmental feature has a predominant role. Following is the discussion about the role of suprasegmental features in the Mundhum.

### 4.3.1 Phonic texture (musicality) in Mundhum

As Comley et al. (2005) hold the opinion that it is the hardest to talk about the musical element in poetry because it is non-verbal. However it is one of the essential qualities
of the poetry. This is why Comley et al. (2005, p. 526) maintain that "part of the pleasure of poetry lies in its relation to music." Then, it would not be the matter of exaggeration to say that Mundhum is surviving by dint of music. Had it not been musical or had it not possessed the musical quality, it would have been a long time that the Mundhum had already been perished from the Yakthungba Land. The Mundhum verse line has been designed in such a way that it can well be sung or even the aged people can manage to recite it because the lines are of the reasonable length. One verse line is made up of two short halves separated by a brief pause. The first half consists of two main accented syllables and the second half resembles with the preceding part. Let us see the example below:
1.a samdzik pot ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ cen mund $^{h} u m$ potsheben
samd ${ }^{z i}$ pot ${ }^{\text {th}}-\varepsilon-b \varepsilon-n \quad$ mund $^{h} u m \quad p t^{\text {sh }}-\varepsilon-b \varepsilon-n$
knoledge be-PT-NOM-ABS myth be-PT-NOM-ABS
There was myth and there was knowledge.

When we consider the above verse line, we find the line is parallel in terms of different aspects. Here, mund ${ }^{h} u m$ is the headword and samdzik is its apposed or modifier. Both headword and modifier have identical verb pstseben. The verse line make up gives the impression that it is chanted like a song. It is solely the song that has made the Mundhum eternal. Undoubtedly, music is the soul of the Mundhum. Just as the 'facts' are surviving in the form of 'fictions' so is the Mundhum in music. Likewise, let us consider another example of the Mundhum's precision, shortness and sweetness as follows:

```
b je..iksa poks\varepsilon ro khambe:kpoks\varepsilon ro
    je iksa poks -\varepsilon ro khambe:k poks -\varepsilon ro
    VOC land become -PT ASS earth become -PT ASS
    The earth was created.
                                0 0 6
```

Basically, music is the domain of prosody which primarily discusses the suprasegmental features of the language. The most important supra-segmental effects in a language are provided by the linguistic use of pitch or melody - the intonation
system. Different levels of pitch (tones) are used in particular sequences (contours and tunes) for the musical expression.

Loudness is another important aspect of the prosody. This loudness in the syllable structure is referred to as stress. Not all syllables receive the equal force while pronouncing the multi syllabic word. Only one out of many syllables receives extra force and that is termed as 'stress' and the syllable which is not stressed called 'unstressed.' But sometimes the same notion of 'stressed' is alternatively known as 'accented' and in the same way 'unstressed' is 'unaccented'.

Variation in tempo provides another third musical parameter. It also marks an important part in the musical make up. Different type ritual performances are held with the recitations of different tempo. For example, there is high tempo in exorcism and low in the intercession. The Mundhum recited in the mythical reference is naturally low in its tempo because it belongs to the intercessional type.

Pitch, loudness and tempo together enter into a language's expression of rhythm. When certain expression is set to rhythm it is likely to aspire to high level pleasing voice - that is musicality.

Rhythmical pause: The Mundhum verse involves a caesura during a line. Thus, the rhythmical pause occurs during a line of verse rather than at the end of it. For example:
2.a je.. sa:ŋgu agere sokma: agere
je... sa:ךgu a-ger-є sokma: a-ger-
VOC vitality 1-get-PT life force 1 -get-PT
We have taken the complete rest. 003
b nasiy tekse ro kudh $\mathrm{t} k$ tekse ro
nasiy teks- $\varepsilon$ ro $k u-d^{h} \supset k$ teks- ro
mortal body tear-PT ASS his/her - body tear-PT ASS
His body was torn apart.
581

The Mundhum rhyme: The Mundhum is a classical verse. About the rhyme of the classical verse, Cuddon (1998, p. 750) maintains the view that 'most classical verse is
blank verse which is dictated by natural rhythm of language.' As his view, the Mundhum is also the blank verse. There is rhyme only within a line which technically termed as 'internal rhyme. The kind of rhyme the Mundhum has excessively used is called 'leonine' rhyme that is between the preceding the caesura and the end word. Let us see the example below:
3.a ande:n mentsham adzogum japmi adzogor $^{\text {zogm }}$
 long ago human 1-make/PT-3P-pA human being1-make/PT-3P-pA We created the human being sometime ago. 216

| b sendo tsogu ro selap tsogu ro |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | sendo $\quad t^{s} o g-u \quad$ ro selap $\quad t^{s} o g-u \quad$ ro |
|  | inquisitively make-3P ASS inquire make-3P ASS |
|  | He asked and inquired of |

In the line 3.a, it is initiated by the offbeat andz:n and the rest part is the real verse line. There is a pause or caesura in between the line $a d^{2} o g u m$, and this verb conjugation $a d^{z}$ ogum is identical with the end word, hence they rhyme together. The structure is $A+R=B+R$. Likewise, in the next line the structure is same having internal or leonine rhyme.

### 4.4 Phonology and the Mundhum

When we analyse the sound texture in the Limbu Mundhum, we can draw a conclusion, that is phonology has become one of the important sources for the Mundhum's exquisite built up. The sound pattern of a language constantly influences an author's choice of words. It seems that the sound patterns of a language in part determine the kind of verse most likely to be favored in the language. It is because nearly always, consonants are required to be identical for both rhyme and alliteration. These rhyme, alliteration and assonance are among the most obvious and easiest ways with which the Mundhum has been made phonologically cohesive. Thus, phonology alone has become a source of cohesion in the Mundhum text as with alliteration, assonance and rhyme of which involve textual patterning created by repetition of same or similar sounds.

The sound patterning itself is often very superficial because sounds in themselves have no meaning and associations between sounds and meanings are arbitrary and conventional yet there are examples in which sounds have been used to complement meaning. The association between sounds and meanings is a complex kind of cohesion where there is interaction of phonological patterns with meaning patterns. As Pope's famous saying 'The sound must seem an echo to the sense' the sounds used in the Mundhum seem to be reflecting certain sorts of sense hence they are capable to arouse the expected effect in the listeners.

Certain kinds of phonological phenomena occur with much greater frequency in Mundhum than in other kinds of discourse. The frequently recurring linguistic pattern is alternatively termed as cohesion which the idea of cohesion was first developed by Roman Jakobson one of the leading linguists of the twentieth century and the pioneer in the application of linguistics to literature. Jakobson describes the phenomenon of cohesion as follows: "The poetic function projects the principle of equivalence from the axis of selection into the axis of combination." From this intricate sentence, Jakobson presumably means that poetic structures are roughly equivalent in sound, or sentence structure, or grammatical category, or other aspects tend to be combined in a linear order or sequence. Poetic form, in other words, involves partial repetition whether of metrical patterns, rhymes or sentence structures. Basing on the principle of selection and combination, an attempt has been made to analyze the phonological cohesion in the Mundhum language underneath.
4.a ani $t^{h} u t t^{h} u t^{h} u k t u m b a l e t^{h} u \varepsilon t^{h} u k t u m b a l e$

| ani $t^{h} u t-t^{h} u$ | $t^{h} u k t-u-m-b a-l e \quad t^{h} u \varepsilon \quad t^{h} u k t-u-m-b a-l e$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| we ONOM | spit-3P-pA-NOM-SUB ONOM spit-3P-pA-NOM-SUB |

We scornfully spat on to the created human.
je... $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ jјgu pege ro $t^{\text {sonsi pege ro }}$
je...khune jэgи peg-є ro tsonsi peg-є ro
VOC s/he breath go-PT ASS liveliness go-PT - ASS
By dint of that it happened to die.
248
c allo et ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ um tsokmabe epp ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ tsokmabe
allo et ${ }^{t^{h} u m}$ tsok-ma-be eppha tsok-ma-be
now how make-INF-PCLE what make-INF- PCLE
We get lost as how and what to do the next. 249

Above is the presentation of three Mundhum verse lines $4 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c . When we look into the sound pattern used in the given verse lines, we find certain sounds have been deliberately foregrounded in order to achieve certain effect. In the first line ani $t^{h} u t-t^{h} u$ $t^{h} u k t-u-m-b a-l e \quad t^{h} u \varepsilon \quad t^{h} u k t-u-m-b a-l e$, the plosive aspirated sound $/ t^{h}$ has been foregrounded which is also the alliterative sound of the line. Likewise, other consonant sounds like $/ \mathrm{t} /$, $/ \mathrm{b} /, / \mathrm{k} /, / \mathrm{m} /$ and $/ \mathrm{l} /$ are also recurring consonant sounds yet they are not alliterative sounds because they do not occur in the word-initial position. In this way, there are six consonant sounds which have been repeated and they have dominance over other sounds.

Regarding the vowel sound pattern, there are three vowel sounds /u/, /a/, le/ forming the assonance in the verse line. The back-high-rounded vowel /u/ has been repeated seven times where the whole line consists of thirty-one sounds. The frequency of occurence of the vowel sound $/ \mathrm{u} /$ is to be considered very high because a single verse line generally does not contain such high frequency of a particular sound like this. The high frequency sound $/ \mathrm{u} /$ has occurred in such lexemes which are of both types i.e. lexical and non-lexical forms. $t^{h} u t-t^{h} u$ and $t^{h} u \varepsilon$ are the two lexemes which are essentially non-lexical forms rather they are imitations or onomatopoeias. The next term $t^{h} u k t$ has occurred as a verb which is also the sound imitative lexeme suggesting the act of spitting. No matter whether the onomatopoeias are lexical or non-lexical forms 'both forms share the common property of being able to match up a sound with a non-linguistic correlate in the 'real' world' (Simpson, 2011, bls. 67). Lexical onomatopoeia draws upon recognized words in the language system and its pronunciation naturally enacts symbolically its referents outside language. Nonlexical onomatopoeia, by contrast, refers to clusters of sounds which echo the world in unmediated way, without the intercession of linguistic structure.

The recurring use of back rounded vowel/u/ might suggest inability of performing any intended task just going round and round in the same issue constantly. These onomatopoeic words have been used in such situation where the creator deities are failure to create expected type of human being. At first, they had created human being
by mixing the precious metals so that the first created being could be smart, handsome and immortal. But shocking to their expectation, the richly created figure could no longer be live as they blew the life force into it. Then, amidst the sheer confusion and dissatisfaction, they happened to mix up trivial things like shits of different fowls, ashes and yellowish soil, but to their surprise, the created image instantly responded to their call. In frustration and fury, they all of a sudden cast spiteful curse towards the newly created human figure. This is the very sense; the back rounded vowel /u / might be signifying in the Mundhum verse line:
5.a ani $t^{h} u t-t^{h} u \quad t^{h} u k t-u-m-b a-l e \quad t^{h} u \varepsilon \quad t^{h} u k t-u-m-b a-l e$
we ONOM spit-3P-pA-NOM-SUB ONOM spit-3P-pA-NOM-SUB
We scornfully spat on to the created human.

To refer to the consonant pattern once again, there are six consonants $/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{m}$ and $1 /$ in the recurring position. Of the six consonants, $/ t^{\mathrm{h}} /$ is alliterative consonant in this Mundhum verse line. While analysing them from their articulatory nature, sixty six percent $(66.6 \%)$ above are from both aspirated and unaspirated plosive sounds like $/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{t}}$, t , k, b/ which are considered to be harsh sounds. They are articulated through explosion that is the reason they are called as the plosive consonants. They hold the dominance in the line and more the sound $/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ occurs five times out of thirty-one total sounds. The so-called sonorant sounds like $/ \mathrm{m} /$ and $/ 1 /$ are in minority. Their total percentage is quite the half the percentage of the plosive consonants or in figure $33.3 \%$. So the majority of the plosive sound pattern might relate the sense of explosion, that is the explosion of fury, or the explosion frustration and most presumably the explosion of the deities mental agony.The sonority has nothing to do with the rage because the number is in minority or it is in the rhyming position just as sonority - minority. These minority sonorants seem to be representing the little hope amids the overwhelming chaos and frustration just as the present situation in which the Nepali people are undergoing. The situation between the sonorants and Nepali people seems to be similar because the genuine voices raised by a few conscious people have been trampled under foot just as the sonorants sonority has been dominated by the plosive harsh sounds in the given verse lines.

Now, we move on to the subsequent verse line to see the phonological cohesiveness in it. The line reads:
je... $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ jogu pege ro, tsysi pege ro
In total, this verse line consists of twenty six sounds out of which fourteen are consonants and twelve vowels. The consonant sounds $/ \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{t} /$, and $/ \mathrm{r} /$ are alliterative sounds as they have occurred in the word- initial position. If we consider the offbeat non-lexical form ' $j e$ ' as lexeme then we have next consonant sound $/ \mathrm{j} /$ also appears as the alliterative sound. The other recurring as well as non-recurring consonant sounds are $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} /, / \mathrm{g} /, / \mathrm{n} /, \mathrm{n} /$ and $/ \mathrm{s} /$. Considering the overall consonant sound pattern scenario, it reflects that the harsh sounds $/ \mathrm{p} /, / \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{s} /}$ and the sonorant sounds $/ \mathrm{j} /, / \mathrm{r} /$ are in the counter balanced position. They all four are alliterative sounds in this particular line. The rest non-alliterative consonants $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} /, / \mathrm{g} /, / \mathrm{n} /$, /n/, /s/ are also seen in the balanced position. It is because there are plosive sounds like $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ and $/ \mathrm{g} /$ in one hand and sonorant sounds like $/ \mathrm{n} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y} /$ are on the other. The voiceless fricative $/ \mathrm{s} /$ has occurred in between perhaps bridging the two opposite extremes i.e. harsh plosive versus melodious sonorant. The verse line initiating sound is $/ \mathrm{j} /$ and this 'je' is essentially non-lexical form which is technically termed as offbeat. The offbeat, according to Simpson (2011, bls. 16), 'can act like a phonetic springboard that helps us lunch into the metrical scheme proper.' Regarding the line-initial offbeat, he further states that it is commonly used to help galvanize the rhythmical sequences and to organize symmetrical 'mirror image' pattern well. Thus the line-initial offbeat ' $j e$ ' is here to serve the same purpose as referred to by Simpson.

As we analyze the vowel pattern that is employed in this particular line, we find the half-close vowels like $/ \mathrm{e} /$ and $/ \mathrm{o} /$ and half-open vowels $/ \varepsilon /$ and $/ \rho /$ are in the recurring position so they are assonance. The other vowels are /i/ and /u/ which are in sharp contrastive position that is the vowel /i/ is high-front and unrounded whereas the vowel $/ \mathrm{u} /$ high-back and rounded. So to say these two vowels represent two opposite extremes-beginning and ending. May be, these vowels are here to deliver the message that nothing is absolute that means there is no sunshine without darkness or a beautiful flower bears its prickly thorns and within the full laughter there secretly lurks salty tears. So, life and death seem to grow side by side embracing to each other when there is life, there is the death too. The Mundhum line might be trying to suggest the same bitter but eternal truth to the mankind. Here is the verse line:
b je...khune jogu peg-є ro t'эŋsi peg-є ro
GF s/he breath go-PT ASS liveliness go-PT ASS
By dint of that it happened to die.

The given verse line is to relate the idea that no sooner had the furious deities cast /hurled down the spiteful curse upon the newly created human being than it instantly succumbed to death. The both Mundhum terms jagu and $t s y s i$ co-refer to death while in the ordinary speech form only the term si is enough to convey the same idea 'death'.

Now it is the turn to look into the phonological cohesion in the third subsequent verse line which reads:
allo etshum tsokma be eppha tsokma be
This line consists of twenty-five sounds including both vowels and consonants. Of these twenty-five sounds, fourteen are consonants and the rest eleven are vowels. Among the consonant sounds $/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{s}} /, / \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{sh}} /, / \mathrm{b} /, / \mathrm{k} /, / \mathrm{p} /, / \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} /, / \mathrm{m} /$ and $/ \mathrm{l} /$, only the sounds $/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{t}} /$ and $/ \mathrm{b} /$ are alliterative consonants and the rest $/ / \mathrm{sh} /, / \mathrm{k} /, / \mathrm{p} /, / \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} /, / \mathrm{m} /$ and $/ \mathrm{l} /$ sounds are non-alliterative. The overall consonant sound scenario projects that the idea is being conveyed a bit unhappily/unpleasantly so far for it is reflected through the alliterative consonants like $/ \mathrm{t} /$ / and $/ \mathrm{b} /$. It seems there is also genuine effort to conceal the sense of unhappiness by the use of soft sounds like $/ \mathrm{m} /$ and $/ \mathrm{l} /$ where the sound $/ \mathrm{m} /$ recurs three times or so to say the highest frequency of all and the sound $/ 1 /$ occurs in the geminated form which is likely to soften the enraged emotion of the deities. The verse line unfolds with the line-initiating offbeat 'allo' which is purely lexical form because most often such off-beats are non-lexical like ye, hey, bayan, paỹoun and the like. The geminated soft sound /l/ indicates this is to show loyalty to somebody. Though having thought of being polite, the suppressed emotion of anger seems to open its vent with the burst of both plosive as well as affricate sounds $/ \mathrm{b} /$ and $/ \mathrm{t} \mathrm{s} /$. This unconscious layer of mind is so powerful and decisive that we are likely to fall its prey at any moment or we are merely the obedient slave to it. Before this suppressed unconscious mind the conscious level of our mind proves to be futile. So is the case in the given Mundhum verse.

So far as the vowel pattern is concerned, there are five distinct vowels. They are $/ \mathrm{u} /$, $/ \mathrm{o} /$, /o/, /e/ and /a/. The vowel pattern seems to include from high-back rounded /u/ to low-mid open vowel $/ \mathrm{a} /$ and the rest vowels are $/ \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{o} /$ and $/ \mathrm{o} /$ in which the first two /e/ and /o/ are half-close and the later /o/ is half-open. Among them, the vowels /e/, /o/ and $/ \mathrm{a} /$ are in the form of assonance or they are in the recurring position whereas the vowels $/ \mathrm{s} /$ and $/ \mathrm{u} /$ are not assonance which occur but once. The vowel assonance pattern can well be associated with the idea the characters whosoever they might be but they were not in the decisive mood. Sometimes, they would think this and sometimes that, back and forth; high and low just as the different vowels suggest different positions. Exactly the same manner, our mind also does not fix in particular thing and keeps on shifting swiftly enough from notion to notion. And this mental state or let's say psychology is being conveyed through the Mundhum verse line. The sound patterns of both vowels and consonants are merely the vehicles to transport such zigzag mental state of our human creator deities. Here they give outlet to their turmoilous thinking or the state of dilemma in the form of verse:

## c allo et ${ }^{s h}$ um tsokmabe epp ${ }^{h}$ a tsokmabe

allo et ${ }^{\text {sh}} u m$ tsok-ma-be epp ${ }^{h} a \quad t^{s} o k-m a-b e$
now how make-INF-INQ what make-INF- INQ
We have now got lost as how and what to do the next.

This is the matter related not to the ordinary beings but to the super human beings or say our creators. From this, we may deduct a generalization that even the creator gods were trapped in such indecisive situation so why talk of ours alone! It suddenly reflects man's life situation because our life situation is full of confusion, turmoil, indecisive or even more the life situation is everlasting on the horns of dilemma. When a man is trapped into the indecisive situation, at once he seeks outlet with the sound ' $b e^{\prime}$ ' which suggests the strong desire for information. The terms like $e t^{s h} u m$ and $e_{\text {epp }}{ }^{h} a$ are purely archaic dictions which are interrogative pronouns roughly translated as 'what' and 'how' or vice versa. The non-lexical particle ' $b e$ ' occurs twice at every ending of the halves intensifying the degree of indecisive situation. Hence the verse is outstanding in terms of the phonological cohesion. It has duly exercised, what is formulated by Jakobson, 'the principle of equivalence from the axis of selection into the axis of combination.' In this saying, Jakobson is hinting at the paradigmatic as
well as syntagmatic relationship in terms of phonic texture and that of sentence construction. The former is concerned with the replacement or selection made on the vertical line whereas the later is related to arrangement in the horizontal line. For example, the ordinary terms for 'how and what' hen or $t^{\text {th }}$ has been replaced by the archaic terms like et ${ }^{\text {sh}} u m$ and epph . Likewise, the notion 'what comes after what' is related to the syntagmatic relation. Hence selection is made on the vertical line and combination or collocation is made on the horizontal line. This is what Jakobson means to say here. "These two levels can be established at all levels of analysis" (Crystal, 2003, p.335). Instead of selecting the ordinary terms hen or $t^{h} \varepsilon n$, the archaic terms like $e t^{t h} u m$ and $e p p^{h} a$ have been selected in order to maintain the phonic cohesion. Phonological level is however, a more complex kind of cohesion created by interaction of phonological patterns with meaning patterns.

### 4.5 Summary

The sound system of the Limbu language has reflected its own characteristic feature. The basic vowel sounds are seven and each one having long vowel counterpart marked either by the sign $(\cdot)$ or by sign (:) but here the later sign has been used to mark the vowel length. As far as the characteristic feature of consonant sounds is concerned, there are sixteen basic consonant phonemes and rest nine are the allophonic variations. One interesting thing is that glottal sound $/ \mathrm{Z} /$ has gained the status of distinct phoneme. While applying the phonological elements (the both segmental as well as suprasegmental), it has been found out that they have made a great contribution to achieve the intended effect in the Mundhum verse.

## CHAPTER FIVE MORPHOLOGY OF LIMBU MUNDHUM

### 5.0 Outline

This chapter mainly tries to deal with two aspects. In the first, it discusses about the general morphology that is used in the ordinary language variety mostly. This includes the linguistic aspects like affixations in the verb conjugation, nominal morphology, case markers adverbs and particles or clictics. In the second part, it deals with the spcial festures of the Mundhum morphology. It discusses binominal/paired expression, the Mundhum special affixes, their categories and function. Similarly it also looks into the head words their modifiers/apposed words their types and functions as well.

### 5.1 Introduction to the Limbu morphology

Now, we turn towards the 'word formation' or 'lexeme' or linguistic term known as 'morphology'. More specifically, it is dealing with one of the most fundamental units of linguistic structure: the word. The notion of 'word' feels more elusive than it appears from its surface. Morphology is essentially linguistic sub-field which refers to the smallest meaningful unit of grammar that cannot be broken down into further smaller units. According to Akmajian et al. (2001, p. 12) 'it is study of internal structure of words and the relationship among words.' This chapter, therefore, tries to focus on all the possible aspects of the morphemic make up of the Limbu Mundhum language.

The Limbu language is typically characterized as the complex pronominalized agglutinating language, that is, words typically contain a linear sequence of morphs (affixations attached to root or base) each carrying distinctive meaning on its own. Regarding the complex pronominalized agglutinating language Bickel (1996, p. 24) refers to it as the elaborate agreement morphology in the verb which reduces the use of independent pronouns to contrastive purposes. Following is the brief discussion of affixation that is prefix, infix and suffix.

### 5.2. Affixation

The Limbu language appears to be fertile and rich in terms of affixation. The affixation includes three distinct linguistic processes namely prefix, infix and suffix however, the Limbu has only two types viz. prefix and prefix. But liguistis are constantly referring to existence of circumfix instead of the usual kind 'infix'. About the circumfix or ambifix, they claim the it affixes on either side of the word.

### 5.2.1 Prefixing

The prefix in the Limbu primarily includes three types which are presented in the following table:

Table-5: Verbal prefixes

| pfx | pfx | pfx | verb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | stem |
| a- | $\emptyset$ | me- |  |
| 1 | sAS | NEG ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| ke- | me- | n- |  |
| 2 | nsAS | NEG ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| $\emptyset$ |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |

i. Person markers: The person markers (ayga/ngalaga-1s, antshi-1d and ani-1p) as $<a->1^{\text {st }}$ person especially for dual and plural while affixing to the verbs, <k $->2^{\text {nd }}$ person for all three numbers and <me-> third person dual and plural numbers. The first person singular and third person singular are covert in this pronominal affixation to the verbs but they are overt while attached to nouns. e.g. a-pay 'my home, ku-lay his/her/ its leg. The prefix <ku-> is used with all third person nouns i.e. human, nonhuman or even inanimate objects or notions. When the morpheme <a-> occurs before the verb, at that time it is independent free morpheme. For example-

$$
\text { 6.a } \begin{array}{ll} 
& a d^{2} o g-u-\eta \\
& \text { I do-3P-1sA } \\
& \text { I do it. }
\end{array}
$$

b $a-d^{2} a-m$
We-eat-pA
We eat it.
<a> is independent because we can ask question (yes/no type) just putting <a> as a subject. For instance:

| 7.a | $a \cdot i$ |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | $1-\mathrm{Q}$ |
|  | Am I? or You mean me? |
| b | a pek |
|  | We go-NPT |
|  | We go. |

There is no hyphen between the subject ' $I$ ' and the verb $t^{s}$ oguy. Here <a-> is the reduced form of first person pronominals <anga or aga/nga; antshi and ani>. (Using pronominal first person singular <a> as a free morpheme is the Chhathare dialect variant while other dialects use generally the full form as stated before). When the unbound morpheme <napmi/yapmi> precedes the second person marker prefix <ke-> then the morpheme <napmi/yapmi> is realized as first person exclusive marker in the form 2-1 and the first person prefixal morpheme <a-> is dropped. e.g.

| 8.a | napmi/yapmi ke-lom/hip |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 1-2-beat |
|  | You beat me/us. |
| b | napmi/yapmi ke- $h u$ |
|  | 1-2-teach |
|  | You teach me/us. |

The morpheme <a-> denotes the first person involvement but it does not specify what grammatical role it has. Its nature of involvement is determined by the affixes with which it co-occurs. That co-occurrence makes it easy to specify the position of agency, subject-hood or patient. For example, if <a-> co-occurs with the third person marker patient <ku->, the nature of first person morpheme <a-> becomes clear. Its position is agent. Example:
9.a a-bir-u-m

- 1-give-3P-pA'

We give him/her
b a-sat-u-m-si-m 1-tease-3P-nsP-pA We tease them
ii. Negative marker: The Limbu verb morphology accepts three layer prefixes. The first layer preffix is concerned about pronominal marking morpheme which has been discussed in the ' $a$ ' number above. The second layer string is related to negativizer morphemes. These morphemes occur in two ways. When there is no person marking prefix in the initial position, then the negative marking prefix <men-> occurs. But when there is already person marking prefix in the initial position or in the first layer string, next to it occurs the second layer prefix dropping its initial phoneme or phonemes like $a$-, $k \varepsilon$ - or $m \varepsilon$ - and forms distinct reduced morpheme <n->. The negative marking morpheme <n-> is a base and is conditioned by the initial sound with which it is going to attach. So <m->, <l->, and <n-> are the phonetically defined allomorphs of the same morpheme <n-> because, as Nida (1970, p. 16) refers to their alternative distribution is in terms of phonological situation in which they occur and also they fulfill the common semantic distinctness. Let us see the position below:

```
Pfx 1 pfx 2
a-
k\varepsilon- n-/m-/l-/\eta-
m\varepsilon-
```

Examples:
10.a a-m-bi-nen

1-NEG-give/NPT-NEG
$\mathrm{He} /$ She does not give us.
b ke-n-nis-u-n
2-NEG-see-3P-NEG
You donot see.
c $m \varepsilon-l-l \varepsilon s-u-n$
nsAS-NEG-know-3P-NEG
They donot know.
$\mathrm{d} k \varepsilon-\eta-g^{h} o s-u-m-n \varepsilon n$
2-NEG-find-3P-pA-NEG
You donot find.

In the above given examples <mc-> morpheme has been glossed differently from the rest pronominal prefixes <a-> and <k $\varepsilon$-> which have been labeled as 1 for < $a$-> and 2 for <kc-> morphemes respectively. Obviously they have specific feature for their involvement because 1 stands for the first person and 2 stands for second person. Quite to the contrary of these two morphemes specific involvement, the prefix <me-> can be used with all three persons as person marker as well as negative marker. Let us consider the following examples:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 11.a } & m \varepsilon-d^{2} o g-u-m-n \varepsilon n \\
& \text { NEG-do-3P-pA-NEG } \\
& \text { We }^{\mathrm{p}} \text { donot do it. } \\
\mathrm{b} & m \varepsilon-d^{2} o k-s i-n \\
& \text { NEG-do-dA-NEG } \\
& \text { They do not do. }
\end{array}
$$

Preceding the verb root by two prefixal morphemes is commonly used in the discourse however the verb root preceded by three-layer-prefix is very rare case. This kind of example has been given by van Driem (1987, p. 85). The example is as such:

## 12.a $k \varepsilon-\phi-m \varepsilon-m-b^{h} o t-\phi-n \varepsilon n-\phi$

2-3-nsAS-NEG-take the piss-NPT-NEG-PF
They won't be able to make a fool out of you.

But the clear thing is that the same semantic expression can be made by just deleting the mid-prefix <me->. For instance:
12.b $k \varepsilon-m-b^{h} o t n \varepsilon n$

2-NEG-take the piss-NEG.
They will not befool you.

But when the morpheme < $k \varepsilon$->co-occurs with the morpheme of first person involvement <a->, the relationship is necessarily 2-1 because 1-2 relationship is always indicated by the morpheme <ne->. The negative version of 2-1 relationship can typically agrees three slots prefix at a time. Otherwise, in intransitive or reflexive/ reciprocal conjugations the verb root disallows more than one person marker. e.g.

| 13.a | a-ge-n-hup-nen | (VT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-2-NEG-teach-NEG |  |
|  | You do not teach me. |  |
| b | ke-im lo! | (VI) |
|  | 2-sleep-ASS |  |
|  | You're still asleep |  |

iii. Possessive marker: The third kind of prefix is the possessive pronoun. Unlike the first two types of prefixes i.e. pronominal and negative marker prefixes, it is essentially different in terms of its usage because the former two types occur with verb root whereas this occurs with nouns. This type of prefix has further two divisions or categories-bound and free morphemes.

Let us consider the variants as follows:
a. Bound prefixes- $\langle a-\rangle$ for the first person singular marker
<k $\varepsilon$-> for the second person singular marker
<ku-> for the third person singular marker.

Having considered these bound prefixal mophemes, we can find some resemblances as well as some descripancies among them. They all three are of singular number and are bound morphemes. The differene lies in the case of their involvement. The first two are essentially involved with human. On the contrary, the third one is used with all things including human being. But it does not have double role as the first two prefixes like $a$ - and $k \varepsilon$-. Also it generally precedes nouns not the verbs. Let us have some examples:
a-him 'my house'; $a$-sapla 'my book/paper'; $a$ - pi?t 'my cow' etc.
$k \varepsilon$-mba 'your father' ; ke-dayba 'your boss; ke-miŋ 'your nam; etc.
ku-lay his/her/its leg; ku-sokma his/her/its breath or strenght; and so on.

One considerable thing with the prefixes < $a$-> and <k $\varepsilon$-> is that they can be too much confusing while discerning them their glossing because $a$ - is glossed as ' $l$ ' and ' $m y$ ' as well. Both glossings are right on their own but $l$ is glossed when it precedes verb root and my is glossed as it precedes noun. Again 〈a-> preceding verb root its semantic indication is both singular and nonsingular patient/subject and when preceding the nouns its semantic role is entirely singualar possessive pronoun. The morpheme's 〈a-> number is determined by the number of first person suffix reflected in the same verb root. The same is true with the prefix <k $\varepsilon$->. As a possessive pronoun it denotes only second person singular your and at such situation, it precedes only nouns. But when it happens to precede the verb, its number is determined by the number marking reflected in suffix attached to the same verb root and ussally glossed as 2.e.g.
14.a $a-s \varepsilon r-a \eta$

1-kill-1sPS/PT
It killed me. ( Here $a$-denotes singular because the first person number marker suffix <-a >> is affixed to the same verb root scr)
b $\quad a-b a t t-\varepsilon-t t^{s h} i$
1-speak-PT-dA-
We spoke. (Here $a$ - refers to dual because the person reflecting suffix <tt shi-> is attached to verb root patt)
c $\quad a-d^{2} o g-u-m$
1-do/PT-3P-pA
We did something to him/her (Here $a$ - is pluar marker since the reflecting number marker suffix $\langle-m$ > is affixed to verb root tsog)

From second and third examples we also notice that the initial sounds $/ \mathrm{p} /$ and $/ \mathrm{t} /$ of the verb roots patt and $t^{s} o g$ have been changed into $/ \mathrm{b} /$ and $/ \mathrm{d}^{2} /$. About the initial sound change Weidert and Subba (1985, bls. 24) mention as the morphophonemic rule of intervocalic and post-nasal voicing accounts for the change of:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{~b} \quad \text { patu } \rightarrow \text { k } \varepsilon \text {-patu }=\text { k } \varepsilon \text { batu } \\
& \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{~b}^{\mathrm{h}} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \text { tu } \rightarrow \mathrm{m} \varepsilon \text { - } \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \text { otu }=\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~b}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{tu} \\
& \mathrm{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d} \quad \text { tarum } \rightarrow \text { a-darum }=\text { adarum } \\
& \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{h}} \quad \underline{\text { th }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{asu}} \rightarrow \mathrm{k} \varepsilon-\text { th }^{\text {hasu }}=\mathrm{k} \varepsilon \mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{h}} \text { aru } \\
& \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{s}} \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{z}} \quad \underline{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{s}} a \mathrm{~m} \rightarrow \quad \text { a- } \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{am}=\operatorname{adz}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{am} \\
& \mathrm{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{~g} \quad \mathrm{k} \varepsilon \mathrm{r} \varepsilon \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{k} \varepsilon-\mathrm{k} \varepsilon \mathrm{r} \varepsilon=\mathrm{k} \varepsilon \mathrm{~g} \varepsilon \mathrm{r} \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the very reason the initial sounds $p$ and $t^{s}$ of the above mentioned examples verb roots patt and $t^{s} o g$ undergo the change into $b$ and $d^{z}$ respectedly.
b. Free possessive marking prefixes:

| antshi- | antssige- | first person dual inclusive and exclusive |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ani- | anige- | first person plural inclusive and exclusive |
| $k^{h} \varepsilon n t^{s s} i_{i}$ |  | second person dual |
| $k^{h} \varepsilon n i-$ |  | second person plural |
| $k^{h} u n t^{s h} i_{-}$ |  | third person dual |
| $k^{h} u n i-$ |  | third person plural |

The avove given table shows that first person dual and plural possessive prouns have two forms each as inclusive and exclusive. These two terms 'inclusive and exclusive' refer to the first person role where if the speaker and the addressee are both included it is inclusive and if the addressee is not included it is exclusive. The inclusiveness is unmarked in the verb affixation whereas exclusiveness is marked by suffix <-ge) and the initial sound $/ g /$ changes into $/ b /$ while immediately preceded bilabial nasal consonant ' $m$ '.
15.a ant ${ }^{\text {shi }}$-ge nis-i-ge

We (d)-e-see-dA-e
We will see.
b me-sus-i-ge
nsAS-touch/PT-pA-e
They touched us.

### 5.2.2 Suffixing

On being agglutinative in nature, Limbu verb stem attaches a long string of suffixes to both syntagmatic (horizontal sequence) as well as paradigmatic (verticle order) dimension. Let us consider the following table:

Table -6: verbal suffix

(source: van Driem 1987 p. 368)

In the table given above, the horizontal sequence indicates the slots of different suffixes which attach to the verb stem and the vertical order under certain slot indicates the suffix of having similar nature or category.

## i. Reflexive marker suffix

Morph: <-siy>
Labeling: REF
The morphemic suffix <-siy> yields the meaning of reflexivity or reciprocity. Its regular allomorph is $\langle-n \varepsilon\rangle$ in dual form. This <-n > is reduced to <-n> before the past marker suffix $\langle-\varepsilon>$. This suffixal morpheme is given in sfx 1 slot in the table. The initial sound $/ \mathrm{s} /$ of $\left\langle-\right.$ siy $>$ changes into $/ \mathrm{t}^{\text {sh/ }}$ after dental-consonant verb final.

The terms reflexivity and reciprocity have been considered as if they are of the similar however they are different. Reflexivity is the grammatical notion in which the subject and object are the same entity. To its contrary, reciprocity refers to classes which express the meaning of mutual relationship e.g. reciprocal pronouns such as each other. Regarding this, Weidert and Subba (1985) hold the opinion that dual and plural forms signify reciprocity (each other) as well (p.56).

| 16.a | $t^{s} a a^{2} t-t^{s h} i \eta$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | feed-REF |
|  | He/She feeds/fed him/herself |
| b | maktaך $k \varepsilon-d^{z} o k$-siŋ-ba-i |
|  | black 2-do-REF-IPF-Q |

Are you trying to make yourself black?

But still there is one different way of constructing 'reflexivity'. In this the suffix <$m a>$ is added to after the reflexive marker suffix <-siy>. This type of affixed word is used to express surprise, doubt, inquiry, etc. For instance, when a person is over dressed up in a causal meeting, at that time other persons present there ask him/her saying:
17.a $\quad \jmath k k^{h} e$-lorik tsak-siy-ma-pon-i
this way dress up-REF-EXIG-Q
Should you dress up yourself in this way?
b nak-siy-ma-i
beg-REF-INF-Q
Begging like this?
ley-siy-ma (pretend to be); nak-siy-ma (to beg for); nay-siy-ma ( to get mad) $k^{h} e t-t^{s h} i \eta-$ $m a$ (to run away) and so forth.

## ii. The $\mathbf{1} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{2}$ relational morpheme

Morph: $n \varepsilon$ -
Labeling: $1 \rightarrow 2$ (giver first person-recipient second person)

This portemanteau morpheme <ne-> has its regular morpheme <-n> as it is immediately preceded by the past tense marker $\langle-\varepsilon\rangle$ or plural patient/subject morpheme $\langle-i\rangle$. Through this morpheme, the relationship is often realized as the first person agent and second person patient. Along with reflexive morpheme, it also falls in the slot 1 prefix.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 18.a } & m \varepsilon-g^{h} e m-n \varepsilon-n \\
& \text { NEG- listen-1 } \rightarrow 2-\mathrm{NEG} \\
& \text { I don't listen to you. } \\
\mathrm{b} & n i-n-\varepsilon-t t^{s h i} i-\eta e \\
& \text { see-1 } \rightarrow 2 \text {-PT-dPS-e } \\
& \text { We }^{\mathrm{e}} \text { saw you }{ }^{\mathrm{d} .}
\end{array}
$$

From the above example, it is clear that first person agent is usually singular but when the agent to be made plural overt first person plural marker pronoun is a must.

$$
\text { 19.a } \begin{aligned}
& \text { ant shi-ge hip-nc-tshi-ge } \\
& \text { We(d)-e-hit-1 } \rightarrow 2 \text {-nsA-e } \\
& \text { Wed }^{\text {d }} \text { hit you. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The relational form $1 \rightarrow 2$ is reversed when the first person marker morpheme $\langle a->$ or <napmi-/yapmi-> precedes the second person marker morpheme <ke->. See the example bellow:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{b} & a-k \varepsilon-n-h u r-a \eta-n \varepsilon n \\
& \text { 1-2-NEG-teach-1sPS/PT-NEG }
\end{array}
$$

You did not teach me. (Here second person is agent and first person is patient respectively.)

## iii. Tense marker morphemes

| Basic morph <br> number | Labeling | Suffix(slot) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\langle-\phi\rangle$ |  |  |
| $\langle-\varepsilon\rangle$ | NPT | sfx 3 |
|  | PT | sfx 3 |

Limbu tense marking process appears to be extremely complicated. For this reason Weidert and Subba (1985, p. 55) call it as chameleon-like morphological nature since the inflectional verbs let themselves open for variable interpretations. The analytical study of tense system in Limbu presents only two forms as past versus non-past usually labeled as PT vs. NPT. From this it is inferred that there exists only two forms and non-past (NPT) form includes both present and future as well. Present and future are discerned only through the contexts and time adverbials.

The non-past verb is unmarked hence its basic morph is indicated as $\langle-\phi\rangle$ and labeled as 'NPT'.

Similarly the past tense is shown through the suffixal morpheme <-a> (Chhathare and Panchthare dialect) and <- $<$ (other rest two dialects) with label 'PT'.

| 20.a | wap wa | wa? wa-e |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | hen be/NPT | hen be-PT |
|  | There is hen. | (There was hen) |
| b | $k^{\text {her }}$-hap-re sapla sap-tshi | $k^{h} \varepsilon y$-hap-re sapla sapt-c-tshi |
|  | he/she-p-ERG-paper write/NPT-dA | he/she-p-ERG-paper write-PT-dA |
|  | They write paper. | They wrote paper. |

However the past form marker morpheme $\langle-\mathrm{a}\rangle$ or $\langle-\varepsilon\rangle$ does not occur in the following conditions:
When the meaning is indicated by either 1peAS/PT <-mPna> or 1sPS/PT portemanteau <-ay> and <-pay>. e.g.
21.a ani-ge go pi-mPna-si

1Pa-e TOP-give-1peAS/PT-dPS
We indeed gave them.
b anga sarik tug-aŋ
I very ill-become/PT
I became very ill.

- When it $\langle-\varepsilon\rangle$ occurs before vowel-initial suffix (i.e. the dPS and 3P morphemes $\langle-i\rangle$ and $\langle-u\rangle$ ).

| 22.a | k -peg-i? | $k \varepsilon-$ peg- $i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2-go/NPT-dPS | $2-$ go/PT-dPS |
|  | You go. | You went. |
| b | $n i s-u-\eta ?$ | $n i s-u-\eta$ |
|  | see-3P-1sAS | see/PT-3P-1sAS |
|  | I see him/her. | I saw him/her. |

Regarding the PT vs. NPT, Weidert and Subba (1985) and Kainla (2001) hold the similar view as has been shown in the later examples. They further claim that the distinction between PT and NPT is determined by the presence or absence of the glottal sound $/ \mathrm{R} /$ at the end of both active and middle conjugational verbs. They say that the glottal stop /R/ serves as indicater of tense. Its presence denotes non-past that is present and future tense and its absence past tense.

| hipt-u- $\eta$ ? $\quad$ vs. | hipt- $u-\eta$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| beat-3P-1sAS |  |
| beat-3P-1sAS |  |
| I shall/beat him/her/it. | I beat him/her/it. |

## iv. The morphemes of agents

The morphemes of agents include different numbers and persons marking agents. They are breifly discussed underneath however they fall under different suffixal slots.

| Basic morph | Labeling | Suffix (slot) number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\langle-\eta\rangle$ | 1 sA | sfx-5/9 |
| $\langle-s\rangle$ | dA | sfx-3 |
| $\langle-m\rangle$ | pA | sfx-7/9 |

$\langle-\phi\rangle$
2/3sA
d/nsA
sfx-5
sfx-7

## a. First person singular agent

Basic morph - 〈- $\rangle$
Labeling - 1 sA
This is a portemanteau morpheme $\langle-\eta\rangle$ suggesting three different grammatical features as first person, singular number and agent. Let us see the example below:

| 23.a | $k^{h} \varepsilon m-n-i-\eta$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | listen-1 $\rightarrow 2-\mathrm{pPS}-1 \mathrm{sA}$ |
|  | I listen to you. |
| $\mathrm{b} \quad$ | $n a t t-u-\eta-s i-\eta$ |
|  | chase/PT-3P-1sA-nsP-1sA |
|  | I chased them. |

## b. The dual agent

Basic morph -<-s>
Labeling - dA
The morpheme $\langle-s\rangle$ refers to duality agent labeled as dA. It has a regular allomorph $<-t t^{\text {sh }}>$ after the past marker morpheme $\langle-\varepsilon>$ and it falls in sfx 3. It usually occurs with the third person marker patient morpheme $<-u>$ which is in sfx 4 . With an intransitive verb, it fully exibits it form $\langle-s i\rangle$ but when it occurs just before the third person object marker morpheme $\langle-u\rangle, / \mathrm{i} /$ is deleted because of the phonetic environment. It undergoes change into <-tsh ${ }^{\text {sh }}>$ after the dental consonants.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 24.a } & \text { tems-e-ttsh-u } \\
& \text { catch-PT-dA-3P } \\
& \text { They }^{\text {d }} \text { caught him/her } \\
\text { b } & \text { pist-haP kam-s-u-si-ge } \\
& \text { cow-p-graze-dA-3P-nsP-e } \\
& \text { We }^{\mathrm{d}} \text { graze the cows. }
\end{array}
$$

In transitive forms with a first or second person patient, non-singular agents are not differentiated for duality and plurality.
25.a kott-e-ttsh-u-lle go pir-ay-si-y men-ni

Have-PT-dA-3P-SUB then give-1sPS/PT-dA-1sPS-CON-Q
If they had got some, would they have given me some?
b
$a-m-b i-s i-n n-i$
1-NEG-give-dA-NEG-Q
Do they not give us?

## c. The plural (first and secnd person) agent

Basic morph -<-m>
Labeling - pA
The morpheme of plural agent is represented by $\langle-m\rangle$ and labeled as pA. This morpheme stands as a first or second person agent who performs the action of the verb. It is in seventh order of the sequential string. It does not occur in the suffixal string when there already exists plural agent marking morph $\langle-m$ ?na> $=1$ peAS/PT.

| 26.a | $a-d^{2} \varepsilon k s-u-m$ |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | 1-talk about-3P-pA |
|  | We talk about them. |
| b | ke-natt-u-m-si-m |
|  | 2-chase/drive-3P-pA-nsP-pA |
|  | You ${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ drive them away |

When the plural marker morpheme $\langle-m>$ occurs with third person non-singular object $<-s i\rangle$, it is repeated twice. It is the reason that it is in two places as in sf 7 and sf 9 as well.

## d. The singular (second person) agent

Basic morph - <- $\boldsymbol{\phi}\rangle$
Labeling - sA
The second person singular number agent is unmarked so its basic morph is indicated by the morphemic slot $\langle-\phi\rangle$ and has been labeled as 'sA'. It has been given in sfx 5 . In the $1 \rightarrow 2$ form reprented by the morpheme <-n $>$ the agent of first person is also formally unmarked yet these are realized by the context. Let us consider the following example of overt form of the agent and patient.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 27.a } & \text { akk }{ }^{h} o \text { hip-ne } \\
& \text { after a while beat- } 1 \rightarrow 2 \\
& \text { After a while I will beat you. } \\
\text { b } & \text { anga tsumluy-?o ni-n } \varepsilon \\
& \text { I fair-LOC see/PT-1 } \rightarrow 2 \\
& \text { I saw you in the fair. }
\end{array}
$$

In the above example, the agent and parient are not given overtly but we assume that both subject and object are involved because of the presence of morpheme <-ne> which indexes the $1 \rightarrow 2$ relationship.

## e. The non-singular (second person) agent

Basic morph - <-ttshi>
Labeling - nsA
The morpheme $<-t t^{s h i}>$ indicates non-singular agent that is labeled as 'nsA'. It usually occurs after the $1 \rightarrow 2$ form of the morpheme $\langle-n \varepsilon\rangle$. It is not clearly ditinguished whether it is indicating dual or plural. For this reason it has been labeled as only nonsingular agent. It is in sfx 7 in the suffixal series. Examples:
28.a hay-n-ع-ttshi-ge

Wait-1 $\rightarrow 2$-PT-nsA-e
We waited you
b tum-ne-ttshi-ge
meet- $1 \rightarrow 2$-nsA-e
We will meet you.

## v. Patient markers morpheme (patient/subject)

Table 7: Patient/Subject marker

| Basic morph | Labeling | Suffix (slot) number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\langle-\uparrow \varepsilon\rangle$ | 1 sPS | sfx 4 |
| $\langle-a \eta\rangle$ | $1 \mathrm{sPS} / \mathrm{PT}$ | sfx 4 |
| <-pay/-p/ban> | 1 sPS/PT or 1s-3/PT | sfx 4 |


| $\langle-\phi\rangle$ | sPS | sfx 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\langle-\mathrm{u}\rangle$ | $3 P$ | sfx 4 (It only occurs as |
| patient) |  |  |
| $\langle-s i\rangle$ | dPS | sfx 4 |
| $\langle-i\rangle$ | pPS | sfx 4 |

a. The first person singular patient/subject (<-Pع>, <-aך>, <-paŋ/-pan>)

The first person singular involvement can be projected through three portemanteau morphemes. The morpheme $\langle-2 \varepsilon\rangle$ indicates the involvement of the first person patient or subject in the non-past (NPT) form. This basic morph $\langle-2 \varepsilon\rangle$ is labeled as $1 \mathrm{sPS} / \mathrm{NPT}$ or $1 \mathrm{~s} \rightarrow 3 / \mathrm{NPT}$ and in sfx 4 .

| 29.a | $p e k-$ - $\varepsilon$ |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | go-1sPS/NPT |
|  | I go. |
| b | $m \varepsilon-h a \eta-s-2 \varepsilon$ |
|  | nsAS-wait-dA-1sPS/NPT |
|  | Theyd wait me. |

In the non-past negative forms, the morpheme $\langle-? \varepsilon\rangle$ denotes $1 \mathrm{~s} \rightarrow 3$ relational form where first person singular is agent and the third person is patient.

```
30.a \(m \varepsilon-d^{2} o k-\) - \(2 \varepsilon-n\)
    NEG-do-1sPS/NPT-NEG
    I do not do (it).
b me-dum-Pe-n-tshi-n
    NEG- meet-1sPS/NPT-1 \(\rightarrow 3\)-nsP-NEG
    I do not meet them.
```

The next portemanteau morpheme <-ay> refers to a first person singular patient or subject in the past form. This is in sfx 4 and is labeled as $1 \mathrm{sPS} / \mathrm{PT}$. It is optionally replaced by the morpheme <-paך> in intransitive negative form.
31.a me-scr-aŋ
nsAS-kill-1sPS/PT
They killed me.
b $k \varepsilon$-bhoks-ay
2-wake up-1sPS/PT
You ${ }^{\text {s }}$ woke me up.

The third portemanteau morpheme <-pay> also represents the first person singular patient or subject often used in past form as the morpheme <-ay>. But unlike the above mentioned first person singular marker morphemes $\langle-2 \varepsilon\rangle$ and $\langle-a\rangle\rangle$, this one is used in $1 \rightarrow 3$ relational form. It is always used in negative intransitive forms. However these all three morphemes belong to the same suffixal string i.e. sfx 4 and they are in the paradigmatic relationship. The basic morph <-pay> has been labeled as $1 \mathrm{sPS} / \mathrm{PT}$ or $1 \mathrm{~s} \rightarrow 3 / \mathrm{PT}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 32.a } & \text { jambok tsok-ma men-tshuk-pan } \\
& \text { job-perform-INF NEG-be able-1sPS/PT } \\
& \text { I could not perform the job. } \\
\mathrm{b} \quad & \text { sap-ma me-l-le-ban } \\
& \text { write-INF NEG-NEG-know-1sPS/PT } \\
& \text { I did not know it to write. }
\end{array}
$$

## b. The second person singular patient/subject <- $\phi$ >

As the first person singular (patient or subject) markers are found in three different morphemic features but the second person singular (patient or subject) is formally unmarked. That is why its basic form is indicated as $\langle-\phi\rangle$ and labeled as singular patient/subject or sPS in short.

```
33.a ke-m-hipt- \(\varepsilon-i\)
    2-nsAS-beat-PT-Q
    Did they beat you?
b pansa?-ne
    call- \(1 \rightarrow 2\)
    I call you
```

Obviously, it seems Limbu language gives prominent value to the first person because it has distinct morphemic features of the first person for both past and non-past temporal frame. Moreover, first person has both the inclusive and exclusive pronominal forms. The value of prominency gradually narrows down towards the second and third person. We find some suffixes in second and third persons as zero morphs indicated by $\langle-\phi\rangle$. As when we consider third person singular number it lacks the pronominal forms for non-human as well as inanimate objects. To denote such things it avails the demonstrative pronoun <kgy> 'this' for proximal and $\left\langle k^{h} \varepsilon \eta>\right.$ 'that' for distal.Three dialects (i.e. Panchthare, Phedappe and Taplejungge), except Chhathare, lack the third person plural (they) marker. They convey the meaning by plularized form of demonstratative pronouns such as $k \supset \eta-h a p$ 'these' and $k^{h} \varepsilon \eta-h a$ ? 'those' respectively. But the Chhathare has this an independent form $k^{h} u n i$ 'they'.The following list presents the affixes remaining unmarked in verb conjugation.

| Basic morph | Labeling | Prefix/Suffix(slot) number |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\langle\varnothing->$ | 3 | pfx 1 | -- | (pronominal third person) |
| $\langle\varnothing->$ | sAS | pfx 2 | -- |  |
| $\langle-\varnothing\rangle$ | NPT | -- | sfx 2 |  |
| $\langle-\varnothing\rangle$ | sPS | -- | sfx 3 |  |
| $\langle-\varnothing\rangle$ | sA | -- | sfx 5 |  |
| $\langle-\varnothing\rangle$ | sP | -- | sfx 8 |  |
| $\langle-\varnothing\rangle$ | i (inclusive) | -- | sfx 10 |  |

The analysis reveals that in the affixation, there are altogether six zero morphs related to the pronominal markers of second and third person singular in the verbal paradigm. They remain formally unmarked in different affixal slots yet tacitly they are realized through ethnographies of communication.

## c. The third person patient/subject $\langle-u\rangle$

Unlike other person marker, third person morpheme occurs in sfx 4 but not in prefix 1as other person markers like $\langle-a\rangle=1$ and $\langle-k \varepsilon\rangle=2$ are in prefixal slot. The basic morph of this third person marker is <-u> and is labeled as 3P. This patient marking morpheme remains unmarked as and when there is occurrence of either <-pay> $(1 \mathrm{~s} \rightarrow 3)$ or $\langle-m$ Pna> ( $1 \mathrm{pe} \rightarrow 3$ ) forms in the affixal string. But however it doesnot
specify of which number person it is going to indicate third person singular number patient in sfx 5 formally remains unmarked. So it is inferred that morpheme $\langle-u\rangle$ is essentially for patient not for number.
34.a sapla me-hakt-u
paper-nsAS-send-3P
They will send the paper to him/her.
b
may-ha?-re japmi me-d²og-u-mu-be
god-p-ERG human naAS-create-3P-REP-e
Gods created human being, it is said.

## d. Non-singularity patient <-si>

This non-singular patient marker has the basic morph <-si> homophonous with the morpheme of patient /subject duality or dPS in short. This non-singular patient morpheme has been labeled as nsP. It usually co-occurs with the third person patient morpheme $\langle-u\rangle$. The morpheme <-si> has its regular allomorphic form $\left[-t^{s h} i\right]$ after the nasal of negative morpheme which generally occurs in the third order of sfx 6 . This means that the morpheme <-si> occurs after the third negative marker nasal consonant $/ \mathrm{n} /$ or in sfx 8 . The apparent difference between the homophonous morpheme <-si> of dual marker patient/ subject and that of non-singular marker morpheme $\langle-s i\rangle$ is that the former does not co-occur with the third person patient marker morpheme <-u> rather it immediately follows the verb stem, past tense marker morpheme $\langle-\varepsilon\rangle$ and $1 \rightarrow 2$ relational morpheme <-n $\rangle$. Otherwise, in the superficial glance it appears to be mirage-like posing intricacy to the observers for distinguishing and labeling them correctly. The same morpheme <-si> can be used in more than one contexts, but this can easily be distinguishable because at this time it is being used with noun and functioning as dual marker be verb. Let us consider the different usages of <-si> below:

- <-si> as nsP :
35.a tsokt-u-m -si-m-be
join-3P-pA-nsP-pA-e
We joined them.
$\mathrm{b} \quad m \varepsilon-j \partial \eta \quad-\varepsilon \quad-t t^{s h}-u \quad-n-\quad t^{s h} i \quad-n$
NEG-lift up-PT-dA-3P-NEG-nsP-NEG

They did not lift them up.

- <-si> as dPS

| 36.a | $k \varepsilon-m-t^{h} a p s-\varepsilon-t^{s h} i$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 2-nsAS-throw-PT-dPS |
|  | They threw you ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ on the ground |
| $\mathrm{b} \quad$ | $k \varepsilon-l-l o m-s i-n$ |
|  | 2-NEG-beat-dPS-NEG |
|  | They donot beat youd. |

- <-si> as be verb
37.a nepp ${ }^{h}$ u mona-si
two men-be
There are two persons.
b ani toreba-si
our two guests-be
There are our two guests.


## e. The plural patient/subject <-i>

The morpheme <-i> involves plural patient as well as subject of first and second person. It can also be called as a portmanteau morph because it occurs variably in different contexts i.e. adhortative, yes/no question, offering options between two things, emphatic and pPS. Here, we only consider it as plural patient/subject. So the basic morph <-i> has been labeled as pPS and it is in sfx 4 which includes a number of verbal suffixes in the paradigmatic relation.

| 38.a | $t^{\text {se-i-ge }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | eat/PT-pPS-e |
|  | We $^{\text {pe }}$ ate. |
| $\mathrm{b} \quad$ | $m \varepsilon t-n-i-\eta$ |
|  | tell-1 $\rightarrow 2-\mathrm{pPS}-1 \mathrm{sA}$ |
|  | I will tell you ${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ |

The examples show that there is involvement of either first or second person plural in the position of patient or subject. But we find the third person as subject and first person plural as the patient.

| 39.a | me-utt-i-ge |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | nsAS-call-pPS-e |
|  | They will call us. |
| b | ke-n-dum-i-n |
|  | 2-NEG-meet-pPS-NEG |
|  | You will not meet them |

The morpheme <-i >of plurality patient/subject has a regular zero $[-\phi]$ allomorph in first person affirmative inclusive form but in the negative form it is again retained.

| 40.a | $a-j \varepsilon b-\varepsilon$ | (affirmative inclusive form) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-stand-PT |  |
|  | We stood. |  |
| b | $k \varepsilon-m-b^{\text {h }}$ er-i-n | (negative inclusive form) |
|  | 2-NEG-come/ | PT-dPS-NEG |
|  | You didnot co | me. |

## vi. The copied morphemes $\langle-\eta\rangle$ and $\langle-m\rangle$

The term copied itself suggests that some morphemes like $\langle-\eta\rangle$ and $\langle-m\rangle$ recur in the same verb paradigm. The morpheme $\langle-\eta\rangle$ indicates the first person agent and the morpheme $<-m>$ indicates the first and second person plural agent. These two morphemes $\langle-\eta\rangle$ and $<-m>$ generally occur in sfx 5 and 7 respectively and both recur in sfx 9. So this repetition is termed as 'copied'. They usually insert the nonsingular marker patient morpheme <-si> in between or whichever precedes the morpheme <-si>, it is copied immediately following the same morpheme. Morpheme $\langle-\eta\rangle$ has been labeled as 1sA (first person singular agent) and morpheme $<-m>$ as pA (first or second person agent). Let us consider the following examples:
41.a anga kerek-jaŋ pir-u- $\boldsymbol{-}$-si- $\quad$ (morpheme <-ŋ> is copied)

I all-money give-3P-1sA-nsP-1sA

I will give all money to them.
b allo $k^{h} \varepsilon y-h a$ ? utt-u-m-si-m (morpheme <-m> is copied)
now that person-p call-3P-pA-nsP-pA
Now, we call those persons.

## vii. The morphemes of inclusivity vs. exclusivity

| Basic morph | Labeling | Suffix(slot) number |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\langle-\phi\rangle$ | i | 10 |
| $\langle-g e\rangle(\langle-b e\rangle$ after plural agent morpheme $\langle-m\rangle)$ | e | 10 |

The term inclusivity is used to refer to the first-person role where the speaker and addressee are both included. Inclusivity of first person actant is formally unmarked. However this type of morpheme is overt because it always co-occurs with the first person marker like <a->
42.a a-bir-u-m-si-m

1-give-3P-pA-nsP-pA
We will give them.
b a-m-bek-nen-lo
1-NEG-go-NEG-ASS
Of course, we won't go.

Exclusivity, on the other hand, is a term used to refer to a first person role where the addressee is not included along with the speaker e.g. exclusive we me and others but not you. Exclusive morpheme <-ge> has aregular allomorph [-be ] after the plural marker morpheme <-m>.
43.a wa?-ha? hiys-u-m-si-m-be
hen-p raise-3P-pA-nsP-pA-e
$W e^{e}$ raise the hens.
b ni-n- $\varepsilon$ - $t t^{s h i} i_{-g e}$
see-1-2-PT-nsA-e
We ${ }^{d}$ saw you.

This exclusive morpheme <-ge> is absent in the following two situations:

- When the verb is preceded by prefixal morpheme <japmi-/napmi->
- When the verb contains the suffixal string 1peAS/PT or the morpheme <mina $>$
44.a japmi- ke-hip

1-2-beat
You beat us ${ }^{\text {e }}$
b tok tsa-mina
rice eat-1peAS/PT
We ate rice.

Regarding the absence of exclusive marker mopheme <-ge> van Driem (1987, p.102) refers to that it is absent in the 1-2 relational form. He means that morpheme <-ne> (1-2 form) avoids using exclusive marker <-ge> in the same verb paradigm because the exclusivity of the first person actant is implied by the 1-2 form. But he has cited many examples having the exclusive marker morpheme <-ge> eventhough there is the morpheme <-n > (1-2 form).

Let us see its one of the examples below:

```
45.a met-n-\varepsilon-tshi -ge-\phi
    tell-1-2-PT-nsA-e-PF
    We told you (s,d,p). (p.100 example no.122)
    b hay-nc-tshi-ge
    Wait-1 }->2\mathrm{ -nsA-e-PF
    We wait you.
```


## viii. Affixal morphemes of negativizer

To transform an affirmative verb into negative, the following affixation are conjugated to a verb stem. Here are the affixal morphemes for the negativization:

| Basic morph | Labeling | Prefix/ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Suffix no. |  |  |  |
| <me-> | NEG | pfx 2 | -- |
| <-n $\_$> | NEG | -- | sfx 11 |
| <-n> | NEG | -- | sfx 6 |

The process of negativization in Limbu seems to be quite complicated which renders it very difficult to devise a hard and fast rule. The table given above is deliberately presented disordered manner because sfx 11 occurs just before sfx 6 . The affixes have been arranged on the basis of compulsory and optional type of morphemes. In this, the first and second morphemes are obligatory and the rest one is so to say optional. But in one situation the third one also becomes obligatory as while negating the $1 \mathrm{~s}-3 \mathrm{~ns}$ form. As Driem (1987) states that a simplex is negated by at least two and no more than three negative morphemes in the suffixal string. The negative marking morphemes occur in positions pfx 3, sfx 6 and sfx 11 .

The prefixal morpheme <mع-> has a regular allomorph <n-> after any other overt prefix. Likewise, the second morpheme <-nen> has its regular allomorph <-n> as it precedes affix ending with vowel and after the consonant it retains its full form. But the morpheme <-nen> is absent when the inflectional verb contains affixes like <m?na> or <-pay> somewhere in the string. In such forms, it rather occurs as a prefix in pfx 3 in the form of discontinued morpheme <me-.......-n> immediately following the morpheme <m $\varepsilon$-> in the same slot.

|  | Affirmative | Negative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46.a | jays -u - $\quad$ - | $m \varepsilon$ - $n$ - jay -bay |
|  | find/PT-3P-1sA | NEG-NEG-find-1s $\rightarrow 3$ |
|  | I found it. | I did not find it |
| b | tsa-ma le -mPna eat-INF-know-1peAS/PT | $t^{s} a-m a \quad m \varepsilon-\quad l-\quad l \varepsilon \quad-m P n a$ eat-INF NEG-NEG-know-1peAS/PT |
|  | We knew to eat. | We did not know to it |

According to Weidert and Subba (1985, p. 55) the negative of each inflected tense form is expressed by the discontinued morpheme <me- $\qquad$ $-n>$ which surrounds the verb stem giving rise to various morphophonemic alterations which are predictable by rules. Similarly there also other ways converting verb affirmative into negative. The structure:
men- + stem $+-? e+$ wama? is very usual way of changing affirmative into negative. In Phedappe and Taplejungge dialects affirmative command is negated just placing the nexal men- word before the verb. Let us consider the examples below:


### 5.3 Tense, aspect and mood

It has been briefly discussed about the tense in earlier sub-heading entitled 'Tense marker morpheme'. Again the tense is being referred to only because aspect and mood are inter related with it. As has already been said Limbu has only two categories in the tense system i.e. past and non-past. The past verb is marked by the morpheme $\langle-\varepsilon\rangle$ while the non-past verb is formally unmarked which incorporates future time reference too. So for this reason the boundaries between one to another appear to be fuzzy. In such confusions, contexts and time adverbials are taken into consideration to disambiguate the situation. The confusions arise not only between present and future but also between present and past because plural past form and plural present verb forms are identical because when past marking morpheme occurs before the vocalic suffix, it is deleted. According to Weidert and Subba (1985) active conjugation ending in <-uŋ>, can be differentiated its past and present form by presence or absence of the glottal sound $/ \mathcal{Z} /$ at the verb ending. They further state that within the verb system the glottal sound serves as an indicator of tense. Its presence denotes non-past $=$ present or future tense, its absence past tense. For instance:
hiptuø? I shall beat him/her/it vs.
hiptuy I beat him/her/it (past)

But in the similar case van Driem (1987) does not make any reference about the glottal stop. He cites verb as:
$k^{h} \supset p s u \eta$ I pick it up (Nep. tiptst$\left.u\right)$
$k^{h} \supset p s u \eta$ I picked it up (Nep. tipẽe)
(Driem, 1987, p. 110)

The variation in distinguishing present and past indicates that there is a very thin line between these varieties. Formulating specific rules to differentiate the category has become challenging task for the linguists. This difficulty is partly caused due to dialectal variations. It is because Weidert \& Subba (1985) dealt with the Panchthare variety while van Driem (1987) dealt with Phedappe variety. So the two parties have come up with different rules about the similar issue. The Chhathare variety employs differently from these two varieties regarding the past and non-past.

### 5.3.1 Aspect

Aspect, which is closely associated with verb, has been defined by Crystal (2003, p. 36) as, "a category used in grammatical description of verbs (along with tense and mood) referring primarily to the way the grammar marks the duration or type of temporal activity denoted by the verb". Especially, aspect is related to the action verb referring how and when the activity took or takes place. Supporting the point, Carter and McCarthy (2006, p. 411) state, "aspect refers to the speaker's/writer's perspective on the time of an event." They further say that it is primarily concerned with how the speaker perceives the duration of events and how these are related to one another in time. In the same way, Watters (2002, p. 257) points out that tense and aspect are categories of verbs specifying various temporal relationships that characterize the state or event being described. In the Limbu there are two types of aspects. These aspects are perfective vs. imperfective aspect. Perfective aspect is usually conveyed in the past verbs. Event or nonstative verbs in perfective meaning have no overt aspect marker whereas the corresponding imperfective forms are marked by the suffix <-ba/$b a>$. Stative verbs have always imperfective meaning but they never take aspect marker. Imperfective aspect includes mainly five different varieties. Among them progressive aspect is marked by the suffix <-lo/-ro>. The suffix <-pa/-ba> most often becomes the final suffixal string after the verb conjugation and sometimes there may occur suffixes like <-men> (CON) or <-gəni> (IRR) before it. Similarly the suffix <$10 /$-ro> most be followed by the auxiliary verb to complete the neaning. For the clarity, let us consider the following table:

Table 8: Aspectivizer

| sfx 12 | sfx 13 | clictics (optional) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -lol-ro |  |  |
| OPT |  |  |
| -men | (-pa) | lo/ro |
| CON | IPF | ASS |
| -goni | (-pa) |  |
| IRR | IPF | DEPR |
| ----- | -pa |  |

$$
-l o l-r y+\text { Auxualiary lo/ro }
$$

prG ASS
$-a \eta+$ Auxualiary lotso/rotso
DEPR
(van Driem, 1987)

The complex verb conjugation typically consists of a simplex form plus an overt mode and/ or aspect marker and includes periphrastic tenses. The suffix <-ba> can be attached to both past and non-past forms of nonstative verbs, irrealis and conditional forms.The classification of the imperfective aspect is as follows:
i. Progressive aspect- The progressive aspect, as defined by Leech and Svartvik (1981, p. 69) refers to activity in progress and therefore suggests not only that the activity is temporary but it need not be complete.This aspect has two types. They are:
a. Present progressive- it denotes the action is on the progress in the present situation.
48.a $k^{h}$ हn mәna na-lam-nu $p^{h}$ ह-lo wa
that man there road-COM-come-prG-AUX/NPT
That man is coming from the road there.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{b} & t^{s} o g-u-\eta-r \supset w a-\rho \varepsilon \\
& \text { do-3P-1sA-prG be-1sPS } \\
& \text { I am doing (it). }
\end{array}
$$

b. Past progressive - it refers to the action going onthe past time.
49.a pip-ha? natt-u-si-rว wz-ع
cow-p drive-3P-nsP-prG-AUX-PT
He was driving away the cows.
b ponwa-ro wo- $\varepsilon$
play-prG be-PT
$\mathrm{He} /$ She was playing.
ii. Inchoative aspect- According to Hornby (1983, p. 107) the term inchoative aspect is used to a verb that denotes the beginning, development or final stage, of a change of condition. For example:
50.a $\quad p^{h} \varepsilon$ ?wa-hap het-tayba me-poks- $\varepsilon$-ba
leaf-P yellow-kind nsAS-become-PT-IPF
The leaves have become yellowish.
b sapla sapt-u-ŋ-ba
paper write-3P-1sA/PT-IPF
I have written paper.
iii. Prospective aspect - it refers to situation/event that is going to happen in the near future.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { 51.a } & a-l \varepsilon \text { ?l } a-n \varepsilon ? l \\
& \text { 1-take leave 1-impend } \\
& \text { He is about to leave usp. i.e. die } \\
\mathrm{b} & \text { sammit ta-nع?l } \\
& \text { storm come-certain } \\
& \text { Storm is sure to come. }
\end{aligned}
$$

iv. Reduplicative aspect - it concerns with the aspect that involves the repeatative verbin order to stress the meaning.
52.a $\quad$ हniy-doy wi? thas-u-ba thas-u-ba-i tsog-u-lle maki me-dhokt-e-n this-year rain fall-3P-IPF rain-fall-3P-IPF-EMPH do-3P-SUB maiz NEG-good crosp-PT-NEG

Beacause of the over rainfall there was no good corn harvesting this year.
b
jambok-in tsog-u-ba tsog-u-ba-i wa
work-ABS do-3P-IPF do-3P-IPF-EMPH be
$\mathrm{He} /$ She is doing work continuously.
v. Simple aspect - it generally denotes occurence of the action either in the past or in the present

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 53.a } & k \supset \eta ~ j a m b o k ~ p o k s-\varepsilon ~ \\
g^{h} \varepsilon & P-\varepsilon \\
& \text { this work be-PT RES-PT } \\
& \text { This work has been done. }
\end{array}
$$

b $\quad k^{h} \varepsilon y-i \eta t e$.
that-ABS arrived
That (he/she) arrived.

The term non-past includes both present and future but no one of the above mentioned types specifies the action belonging to specific future reference. For this van Driem has introduced a aspect entirely related to the future. According to him the future aspectalizer is termed as Imperious Future (DEF). He goes on to say that the imperious future is an emphatic future form which guarantees that the action will definitely take place. This guarantee in the action, as he claims, is achieved just adding the glottal stop $/ \mathrm{Z} /$ at the end of the verb. For instance:

| ande tsay | I ate before, I have already eaten. |
| :--- | :--- |
| ando tsal | I'll eat later. |
| ando tsal? | I will eat later. (certain future event) |

### 5.3.2. Special aspectivizer verbs

There are certain verbs which occur as postpositive augments to perfective verbs. These aspectivizer verbs give an extra semantic dimension on the perfective aspect of such verbs. They are stated briefly as folows:
i. Terminative aspectivizer: This type aspectivizer includes the verb like $t^{s} u m a$ ? or surma whose meaning is to finish or to complete. It indicates the terminating point of the event or activity.
54.a jambsk-in tsur- $\varepsilon$
work-ABS finish-PT
Work has been completed.
b $k \varepsilon$ - $d^{2}$ am $k \varepsilon$-sur-u-m-i
2-eat 2-finish-3P-pA-Q
Have you finished eating your meal.
ii. Demittive aspectivizer: The verb tema? with the meaning dispatch is demittive aspectivizer because it augments to other transitive verbs. e.g.
49.a $\quad t^{s} a-\eta \quad d \varepsilon s-u-\eta$ (The verb $t^{s} a \eta$ is $t^{s} \supset \rightarrow$ eat, $-a \eta \rightarrow 1 \mathrm{sPS} / \mathrm{PT}$; so it is $t^{s} a \eta$ ) eat-1sPS/PT dispatch-3P-1sA

I gulped down.
$\mathrm{b} \quad$ pir $-u \quad-\eta \quad d \varepsilon s \quad-u \quad-\eta$
give-3P-1sA/PT dispatch-3P-1sA/PT
I gave it away.
iii. Descent and detached aspectivizer: The verbs $t^{h} a: m a$ ? and $t^{h} a m a$ ? are of this kind. The first verb $t^{h} a: m a$ ? only as an augment of transitive verb which means to knock down or to drop.

$$
\begin{array}{rlcccc}
\text { 55.a } & s \varepsilon b \quad-u \quad-\eta \quad \text { a } \quad t^{h} a s-u-\eta \\
& \text { pluck-3P-1sA and drop-3P-1sA } \\
& \text { I plucked it and dropped it down. }
\end{array}
$$

The verb $t^{h} a m a$ ? on the other hand is an intransitive. It occurs as an aspectivizer to support the descending motion of the main verb.
$\mathrm{b} \quad p^{h} \mathrm{c} k w a-h a \mathrm{P} m \varepsilon-n u g-\varepsilon \quad m \varepsilon-d^{h} e$
leaf -p nsAS-come off-PT nsAS-fall/PT
Leaves came off and fell.
iv. Relinquintive aspectivizer: The same verb $t^{h} a m a$ ? reappears here with its distinct meaning than said in example no. 55 a and b . Now it has to augment to the transitive verb enhancing the meaning of relinquish.

```
56.a juks -u-ŋ dha - \(\quad\) h
    put-3P-1sA leave-1sA
    I left it there.
    b miser -u \(d^{h}\),
    fire kill/PT-3P leave
    \(\mathrm{He} /\) She extinguished (killed) fire.
```

v. Resultative aspectivizer: The result yielding aspectivizer verb is $k^{h}$ عpma? which reflects the total out come of the action. It occurs with the combination of intrasitive verbs.
57.a k刀ๆ jambok poks-є $g^{h}$ ع ${ }^{2}$ r- $\varepsilon$
this work be-PT RES-PT
This work has been done.
b javg ${ }^{h}$ ck lon $g^{h}{ }^{h}{ }^{\text {Pl }}$
wound come out
Wound will come out.
vi. Impendent aspectivizer: The impedent aspectivizing verb is netma? of which the meaning is at the verge or inevitable. It augments both the transitive and intransitive verbs.
58.a nilge man net
oil finish/ run out impend

Oil is about to run out.
b
japlimba $t^{s} u r-\varepsilon \quad n e t t \quad-\varepsilon \quad-l l e \quad k^{h} u r-u-\eta-s i-\eta$
plant finish-PT impend-PT-SUB take-3P-1sA-nsP-1Sa
I took them the plant while it was about to finish.
vii. Sustained action aspectivizer: This aspectivizer $t^{s} a m a$ ? leaves its literal basic meaning (like eat, play, or perform) while it is to augment both transirive as well as intransitive verbs. As an augmentor it takes up a new meaning sustained action.

```
59.a ma\eta-hap-nu mona sikar me- dzog-u-a\eta me-dze
    god-p-COM human being hunting nsAS-do-3P-pfG nsAS-SUS
    Man spent time hunting with gods.
b
    the -a\eta men- dzok - ?\varepsilon wajb -\varepsilon -dze
    anything-too npG-do-npG be-PT-SUS
You stay without doing anything.
```

ix. Dative aspectivizer: The dative aspectivizer is pima? of which the basic meaning is give or endow. But when it is used as augmenting verb its basic meaning is slightly changed and means as accepting or applying.
60.a has $-u \quad-\eta$ pir $-u \quad-\eta$-si $-\eta$
divide-3P-1sA give-3P-1sA-nsP-1sA
I divided it to them.
$\mathrm{b} \quad n o k s-a \eta$ pir $-a \eta-\varepsilon$ ?
shave-1sP give-1sP-IMP
Give me shave. (Shave my hair/beard.)
x. Potent aspectivizers: There are two verbs juŋma? and $p^{h}$ 万pma? in the potent aspectivizer.They as other asectivizing verbs leave their concrete literal meanings and augment other verbs with their abstract altered meaning. The basic meaning of juyma? is put or place but when it functions as aspectivizer it means as retaining the position. Likewise the verb $p^{h} 3 m a$ ? has its basic meaning as suspend or to put something high up. But as an aspectivizer it has the meaning in some way same as juymar.
61.a kJŋ tsudzik jay-in tems-\&? juks-\&? ke-nэn-ba akkhopi -ne This little money-ABS catch-IMP keep-IMP AP-rest-AP later give- $1 \rightarrow 2$ Take this a little money, I shall give you the rest later on.
$\mathrm{b} \quad t \varepsilon m s-\varepsilon$ ? $p^{h} \partial t t^{\text {sh }}-\varepsilon$ ?
catch-IMP suspend-IMP
Catch it.
xi. Moribound aspectivizer: There are also two aspectivizer verbs sima? and $s \varepsilon$ ?ma? with their literal meaning die and kill respectively. When the verb sima? is used as aspectivizer augment to intransitive verbs it gives something like extremity of emotions like fear, nurvousness, and so on.

| 62.a | $k \varepsilon$-gis- $\varepsilon$ ? | $k \varepsilon$-se-i |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2-fear-PT | 2-die/PT-Q |
|  | Did you fear extremely? |  |
| b | $s \varepsilon$ Pnam jopma $a-s e ?-i$ |  |
|  | night tremble 1-kill-Q |  |
|  | Does anything frighten us at night? |  |

xii. Probative aspectivizer: The verb saPma? is considered as probative aspectivizer. It augments to both transitive as well as intransitive verbs with the meaning test or try; make an attempt or the like.

| 63.a | patt $-\varepsilon ?$ sar $-\varepsilon ?-n a$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | speak-IMP try-IMP-EMPH |
|  | At least, try to speak. |
| b | lok-maP sap-ma? |
|  | run-INE try-INF |
|  | I try to run. |

xiii. Totalizing aspectivizer: This totalizing aspectivizer verb is wapma?. It occurs only with the motional verbs and totalizes the movement. So for this, it is limited to gerundive constructions of <-aŋ> type.

xiv. Inceptive aspectivizer: The inceptive aspectivizer verb is hekmap.Unlike other aspectivizers, it doesnot collocates with the mere simplex form. It rather occurs as an augment to transitive or intransitive verb stem or infinitive forms. It indicates beginning of the event or action.
65.a nam se-ma? hekt- $\varepsilon$
sun shine-INF start-PT
The sun started shining.
b ku-sa po hekt-
his/her-son grow start-PT
His/Her son started growing.
xv. Perseverative aspectivizer: The perseverative aspectivizing verb is nema? of which the basic meaning is to lie or to lay something down; to be situated or the like. But while occurring as an augment, it means as to persist or to keep on doing something.
 that-ERG 1-talk NEG-listen-3P-NEG but cry-PT continue-PT That didnot listen to my talk but continued to cry.
b sapt-u -ro ne-mu
write-3P-prG keep on-REP
He is said to be writing.

Using aspectivizers is pervasive in the day-to-day communicative form however, it is equally intricate to discern whether the term used as an augment is aspectivizer or mere a second predicate. Let us consider some of such examples below:

| tsay-go min-go | desire for eating; here $t^{s} a \eta$ means 'eat' |
| :--- | :--- |
| nasay $k^{h}$ ipsay | feeling of weariness; nasay -tiresome |
| anu ada | be alright (polite inclusive); nu - nice/right |
| numa? tama? | be prosper, |
| $t^{s}$ ollo?k wollok | brief visit |

The first words are sensible and next following words merely for prosodic purpose so far.

### 5.3.3 Mood

Mood (modality or mode) is defined as a set of syntactic and semantic contrast signalled by alternative paradigms of verb. Semantially, it involves a wide range of meaning especially on the part towards the factual content of the utterance e.g. uncertaintity, vageness, definiteness possibility and so on. Following is the brief discussion about the mood and its types:
i. Indicative mood: Indicative mood is alternatively known as declarative mood. It asserts or declares about the situation, notion, event or so forth. It is, as English, formally unmarked in Limbu too.e.g.

```
67.a khun\varepsilon him -?o te -a\eta wa
    he/she house-LOC come/PT-pfG -AUX
    He/She came home.
b yga tok men- dza-\varepsilon wa-\varepsilon
    I rice NEG-eat-PT AUX-PT
    I did not eat rice (or possible translation as I have not eaten rice.)
```

ii. Subjuntive mood: It is used in the expression of many kinds of subordinate clause for a range of attitudes including tentativeness, vagueness, uncertaintity and the like. It is in other words, a hyphothetical construction.The verb of this kind is marked by suffix <-goni>. Again in some grammar, this modality has been termed as Irrealis (IRR) and the neverthelessive. So this writing also follows the same labeling IRR instead of SUBJ (subjunctive).

## 68.a ke-beg-ع-gəni ke-nis-u-ba

2-go-PT-IRR 2-see-3P-IPF
If only you had gone you would have seen it.
jay kott-u - $\quad$-goni iy-u-ŋ-ba money have-3P-1sA-IRR buy-3P-1sA-IPF If only I had the money I would have bought.
iii. Imperative mood: Imperative type includes the verbs expressing command (order) request and advice. Here only the imperative or command is treated and the rest are dealt with separately undernaeth. The imperative is addressed to a second person with which it agrees in number. The imperative marking suffix is $\langle-\varepsilon$ ? > which occurs as the final suffix in all imperative forms. The three numbers have distinct verbal structure as follows:

Person-Verb-Number-IMP Marker

| $2 \mathrm{~s} \rightarrow$ | $v+\emptyset+-\varepsilon ?$ | $t o r-\varepsilon$ ? | Do something/Have something. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{v}+\varepsilon t^{\text {th }}+-\varepsilon$ ? | $t \supset r-\varepsilon t^{\text {sth}}$ - $\varepsilon$ ? | Do something/Have something. |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{v}+\mathrm{amm}+-\varepsilon$ ? | tor-amm- $\varepsilon$ ? | Do something/Do something. |

Imperatives are changed into negative by placing the prefix <men-> in the initial position. In the negative form, there occurs a slight change in the imperative marker suffix <- $\ell$ ? > in the second person singular and dual. The suffixal morpheme <- $\varepsilon$ ?> has a regular allomorph $\langle-\mathrm{P} \ell ?>$ in the singular imperative and 2 d (second person dual) has a regular allomorph $[-s]$. Let us consider the following patterns:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Person -NEG -Verb -Number - IMP Marker } \\
& 2 \mathrm{~s} \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{~m} \varepsilon \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{v}+\emptyset+\mathrm{P} \mathrm{\varepsilon ?} \\
& 2 \mathrm{~d} \rightarrow \quad m \varepsilon n+\mathrm{v}+s+\varepsilon ? \\
& 2 \mathrm{p} \rightarrow \quad m \varepsilon n+\mathrm{v}+a m m \varepsilon ?
\end{aligned}
$$

Examples: Intransitive verb forms
Affirmative Imperative
Negative Imperative
Imma? $\rightarrow$ sleep
2s ips- $\varepsilon$
$m \varepsilon n-i m-$ - $\varepsilon^{?}$
2d ips-ettsh- $\varepsilon$ ?
$m \varepsilon n-i m-s-\varepsilon$ ?
2p ips-amm- $\varepsilon$ ?
$m \varepsilon n-i p s-a m m-\varepsilon ?$

Examples: Transitive verb forms

$$
\text { napma } ? \rightarrow \text { drive away (3s patient) }
$$

| 2 s | natt-\&? | men-natt- $¢$ ? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2d | natt-ctt ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ - $\varepsilon$ ? | men-nat-t $t^{\text {sh }}$ - $\varepsilon$ ? |
| 2p | natt-amm- $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ? | men-natt-amm-\&? |

The imperatives of transitive verb also agrees for the number with the third person patient. The singular is unmarked and the non-singular suffix is $\langle-\varepsilon s\rangle$. This patient marker suffix precedes the final imperative suffix $\langle-\varepsilon$ ?>. The 2 p suffix undergoes change because of the assimilation of the patient marker suffix and thus becomes <ams $>$. Let us see the examples below:

|  | Paymap $\rightarrow$ send (3ns patient) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 s | pays-¢s-\&? | mem-bays-es- $¢$ ? |
| 2d | pays- $\varepsilon$ s-¢? | mem-bay-s-es-\&? |
| 2p | pays-am-s-\&? | mem-bays-am-s-\&? |

When there is singular first person patient or the $2 s \rightarrow 1 \mathrm{~s}$ form then the imperative takes the first person singular patient suffix <-ay>. For instance:

$$
\text { pimar } \rightarrow \text { give }(2 s \rightarrow 1 \mathrm{~s} \text { form })
$$

pir-ay- $\varepsilon$ ? (Give me) m $\quad$ mm-bir-ay- $\varepsilon$ ? (Do not give me)

There are compound verbs $l \varepsilon$ ? $m a$ ? tema? literal meaning is to 'let free' which are transformed into imperative by changing the second verb according to the rule discussed above. But with 2 p, only the second verb change is not enough. The first verb is slightly changed by adding suffix <-am>. In this way, the above compound verb is changed as ler-am des-amm- $\varepsilon$ ? Similarly the compound verb tsokma? tsama? 'work and eat' can be changed as $t^{s} o g-a m t^{s} a-m m-\varepsilon$ ?
iv. Hortative: More familiar term for the word hortative or adhortative is advice. This is inclusive in the sense that the speaker proposes even to the addresee/s to perform certain activity. For this reasion it is an advice in the form 'Let us'. In the Limbu, there is no specific affixation for this type of mode but the same plural agent
marker $\langle-m$ > and plural patient/subject marker <-i> and for the dual the suffix <-s> are used for the purpose of hortative expression. Dual marker adhortative is labeled as dADH and for plural it is pADH. Negatative is formed just by adding <-men> at the end.

| 69.a | Simple Statement $a-d^{h} o k s-u-m$ | Adhortative <br> $t^{h} o k s-u-m$ | Negative Adhortative <br> $t^{h} o k s-u-m$-men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-plough-3P-pA | plough-3P-pADH | thoks-3P-pADH-NEG |
|  | We plough it. | Let us plough it | Let us not plough it. |
| b | $a-d^{h} u \eta s-u$ | $t^{h} u y-s-u$ | $t^{h} u \eta-s \quad-u$-men |
|  | 1-drink-3P | drink-dADH-3P | drink-dADH-3P-NEG |
|  | We drink it. | Let us drink it. | Let us not drink it |
| c | $a-j u y-i$ | juy-i | juy -i -men |
|  | 1-sit -pPS | sit-pADH | sit-pADH-NEG |
|  | We sit. | Let us sit. | Let us not sit. |

v. Optative mood: It is a grammatical category referring to a kind of mood. It is for expressing wish, desire or hope. This mood is maintained by adding the suffix <-lo/$r m>$ in the verb which is labeled OPT. Unlike the imperative expressions, it is not limited to only first and second persons but can be used for all person and numbers. Optative expressions in English use the modal verbs or the subjunctive: May they get home safely! Limbu optative is as such:
70.a allo say tuk ${ }^{h} \varepsilon$-ba jambok-?o a-n-dum -nen -lo
now again mournful-NOM function-LOC 1-NEG-meet-NEG-OPT
May we not now meet in the mournful function again!
b $\quad k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon ~ k \varepsilon$-jambok kerek nu:ba poŋ-lo
your 2-work all good AUX-OPT
May your all works be good!

Chhathare dialect speakers do not use the suffix <-1o/-ro> in the optative expressions. They rather use suffix <-ni> to express such optative notions.
$k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon-o$ ? sokmata-ni muiŋ ta-ni, ke- itt $-u-b a$ poŋ -ni you-LOC power come-OPT courage come-OPT 2-think-3P-IPF-AUX-OPT

May you have power and courage, may you be successful to achieve intended things!
vi. Interrogative: The speaker wants to know or to have information in brief enough in the yes/no form. This is not w/h type of inquary requiring long statement/s. In the interrogative type of form the suffix $\langle-i>$ is attached to any class of and primarily with verbal conjugation. It morphophonemically assimilates with any preceding segment except $/ i /$. It is labeled as Q (Question).

| - attiy-yiy-go | Which one is the thing? |
| :--- | :--- |
| $-k \supset y \eta i \eta$ | This one. |
| $-k \supset y-\eta i$ | Is this? |
| $-\tilde{a}$. | Yes! |

The vowel $/ \mathrm{u} /$ is reduced to the glide $/ \mathrm{w} /$ and vowel $/ \varepsilon /$ to near glide $\varepsilon+\mathrm{i} \rightarrow \varepsilon \mathrm{i}$ :

$$
\mathrm{u}+\mathrm{i} \rightarrow[\mathrm{wi}]
$$

71.a $m \varepsilon-g^{h} O s-w-i$
nsAS-find-3P-Q
Did they find it?
b $\quad k \varepsilon-h a k t-\varepsilon-i$
2-send-PT-Q
Did s/he send it to you?

After final sounds like $/ \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{i} /$ the interrogative suffix precedes the glottal / $\mathrm{F} /$. e.g.

- piram des-amm- $\varepsilon$ ? o! Give all to them.
- kerek-Pi All?
- ã kerck pirc-s- $\varepsilon$ ? Yes, give all.

Nasals are doubled before the interrogative suffix <-i:>

- jum-mi ke-nakt-u-ba
salt-Q 2-ask for-3P-IPF
Is it salt you are asking for?

Formally, interrogative suffix occurs at final, but sometimes it may occur before the imperfective suffix.

| 72.a | $a-m e t t-a \eta-\eta i-b a$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 1-tell-1sPS/PT-Q-IPF |
|  | Did he/she tell me? |
| b $\quad$ | $a-m \varepsilon t t-a \eta-b a-i$ |
|  | 1-tell-1Sps/PT-IPF-Q |
|  | Is it that s/he told me? |

vii. The conditional (CON): The conditional expressions are hypothetical in nature so they are usually in past tense. The conditional ideas are expressed with the suffix <-men>. The expressions are differentiated whether they are perfectives or imperfective on the basis of affixation <-pa> because it it is the suffix only for imperfctive aspect verb.

The imperfective marker suffix <-pa> follows the conditional suffix <-men>. When these two suffixes get closer the final sound $/ \mathrm{n} /$ of the preceding suffix regressively assimilates for the place of articulation and the initial sound of the imperfective marker suffix /p/ progressively assimilates for the voice and thus become <-memba>. Let us see the example below:
73.a wa- $\varepsilon$-lle go $k \varepsilon$ - $d^{h} u \eta-u-m e m-b a-i$ be-PT-SUB-then 2-drink-3P-CON-IPF-Q?

Would you drink if it were available?
b

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m \varepsilon-\quad \eta-\quad g^{h} O-b a \quad-l l e \quad \text {-go } \quad \text { sj }-a \eta \quad-m \varepsilon n \\
& \text { NEG-NEG-find-IPF-SUB then die-1sPS/PT-CON } \\
& \text { I would have died if I had not found. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The perfective conditionals are usually unmarked by suffix -IPF. Such perfective conditional expressions are felt to be strict in sense.
why 2-NEG-call-1Sps/PT-NEG
Why didnt you call me you could have called me.
viii. Intentive: This is the expression of the speaker's intention. Although this does not have its specific suffix yet it is profusely used in the communicative behavior. Its recurring verb shows that it overlaps the other point like optative. It uses the verb loPma? for its purpose.
74.a $\quad$ gga $k^{h} \varepsilon \eta-h a Р-n u ~ t a d^{z} \varepsilon \eta-? \varepsilon \quad l \supset \quad-? \varepsilon$

I that-p-COM talk-1sPS/NPT feel-1sPS/NPT
I intend to have a talk to them.
b $k^{h} u n \varepsilon-g \supset \quad k o$ Pjo me- juŋ -ŋen lo?
he/she then here NEG- stay-NEG-feel
$\mathrm{He} /$ She does not want to live.

Similarly, we have compound verbs like tsango lopma? desire for eating, thungo $l_{\rho}$ Pma? desire for drinking. This is now revealed that the verb lıPmap is for expressing the intention.
ix. Debitive: This is for expressing the obligation. This verb conjugation is some how different than the verbs presented earlier. The suffix $\langle-i\rangle$ is attached to the infinitive and is followed by auxuliary poy.

| 75.a | ani kə jambok-in tsok-ma-i poy |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | we this work-ABS do-INF-DEB AUX |
|  | We $^{\mathrm{p}}$ ought to do this work. |
| b | $k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon p^{h} \varepsilon m-m a-i \quad p o \eta$ |
|  | You come-INF-DEB AUX |
|  | Yous must come. |

But when the verb is preceded by the pronominal prefixes like $a$-, $k \varepsilon$ - and $m \varepsilon$ - the verb stem is followed by emphatic marker -lo/-ro instead of the infinitive marker <$m a>$.

$$
k \varepsilon-b^{h} \varepsilon n-l o-i ~ p o \eta
$$

## 2-come EMPH-DEB-AUX

You must come.
x. Potential: Inherent ability is distinguished from acquired ability or inability. The Limbu apparently doesnot possess the overt marker for expressing ability or inability, yet it has potentiality. The verb jand/jan retains the sense of potentiality.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 76.a } & k^{h} \varepsilon \eta \text { go li:p pon } k \varepsilon \text {-jand }-w-i \\
& \text { that then heavy lift 2-be able-3P-Q } \\
& \text { That is heavy, can you lift it? } \\
\mathrm{b} \quad & \text { mang }^{h} \text { a tsok lang }{ }^{h} c k-m a \text { a-jand-u-m-i } \\
& \text { far-be walk-INF 1-be able-3P-pA-Q } \\
& \text { It is far, can we be able to walk? }
\end{array}
$$

xi. Monitory: Monitory is the kind of expression related to the warning. Limbu has a specific suffix -la for warning. This is affixed at the verb final.
77.a nurik-ay tsog- $\varepsilon$ ? $k \varepsilon-n$ - jand $-u \quad-n \quad-l a$
nice-too do-IMP 2-NEG-be able-3P-NEG-MON
Do nicely lest you be unable.
b sumsum lop-ma? ke-n- $t^{\text {sh }}$ ? ? -la
Decent behave-INF 2-nsAS-kill-MON
Behave decently otherwise (lest) they will kill you.

### 5.3.4. Gerunds and periphrastic tenses

Gerunds are found to be functioning as adverbs and they occur along with the auxuliaries so as to form periphrastic tenses. In the Limbu they are of three kinds.

Gerunds

- Present gerund
- Perfect gerund
- Negative perfect gerund

| Prefix/Suffix | Labeling |
| :--- | :--- |
| $-\lfloor\supset /-r \supset$ | prG |
| $-a \eta$ | pfG |
| $m \varepsilon n-+$ stem $+-2 e+$ wama? | npG |

i. Present gerund <-lol-ro>: The present gerund is used for the indication of the action at a point or during the time of reference. When it is used adverbially, the event it indicates occurs along with the action of the main verb. Usually there is tense agreement between the auxuliary and main verb combined by the gerund. The present gerunds combine the auxuliaries like potma? and jakma? to focus the action in continuity and wama? to defocus continuity. Let us see the example of each AUX verb below:
78.a wa?-sa $k^{h}$ bkt-u-r刀 jak

Chicken-meat cut-3P-prG AUX
He is cutting chicken meat.
$\mathrm{b} \quad j u \quad-? \varepsilon \quad-r \supset \quad p \partial P l-\varepsilon$
Come down-prG AUX-1sPS
I am coming down.
c $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ him-?o wa
s/he house-LOC AUX
She/He is in the house.
ii. Perfective gerund : This gerund is marked by the suffix <-ay>. It is attached to the verb final. It signifies that the second action has begun after the completion of the first action or action before a point of orientation. The suffix <-aŋ> is used in three different situations and contexts. However, the meaning and origin of the perfect gerund is closely bound up with the coordinative suffix <-aך>.

Chart 2: Gerund marker


I was ill. He went having done. $\mathrm{He} /$ She too goes.
Consider the example how the perfective gerund <-ay> and coordinative suffix <-aך> are intimately boud up to each other.

$$
\text { 79.a } \quad k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon \text { ? it } \quad-n \varepsilon \quad-r o j a g-a \eta \quad k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon-a \eta \quad k \varepsilon-b^{h} \varepsilon r-\varepsilon
$$

you-think-1 $\rightarrow 2$-prG be-1sPS/PT you-too/also 2-come-PT
I was thinking about you, you also came.
b
$a n t^{\text {sh}} c n-a \eta$ ke-hipt-ay -aך waj- $\varepsilon$
before-too 2-hit-1sPS/PT-pfG be-PT
You had hit me before too.
iii. The negative perfect tenses ( npG ): The negative perfect gerund has a fixed pattern as men-stem-Pe (npG). It is usually followed by the auxuliary wamar. This auxuliary wama? agrees with subject in intransitive formation and with an agent in transitive formation. Not only this the auxuliary verb serves as the tense shifter since the npG pattern is invarialbe.

|  | Affirmative | Negative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80.a | $t 5-a y$ wa | $m e n-d^{2} a-P e$ wa |
|  | eat-pfG be | npG-eat-npG be |
|  | He has eaten. | He has not eaten. |
| b | $t^{5}$-ay waj-E | $m e n-d^{2} a-P e$ waj- $\frac{1}{}$ |
|  | eat-pfG be-PT | npG-eat-npG be-PT |
|  | He had earen. | He had not eaten. |

The npG can also be used as adverb or to make an adverb of a clause and as the negated auxuliary in the meaning of strong urgency. Let us consider the following example below:
81.a men- $d^{2} a-$ ?e say lok-ma? sukt $-u \quad-\eta$
npG-eat-npG also run-INF be able-3P-1sA
I can run even without eating.
b men- hu -२e me-boy-nen
npG-teach-npG NEG-must-NEG
There is no mention without teaching.

### 5.3.5 Derivational construction of verb

In this topic, certain affixes are discussed which involve in the process of word formation. They are as follows:
i. Nominalizer suffix <-pa>: This suffix <-pa> is affixed to:

- a verb stem; such as:
$l o k+p a=l o k p a$
kəŋ lok-pa-mи
this run-NOM-REP
This is to be a runner/This is to run.
- a simplex such as
$t^{s} o g u+p a=t^{s} o g u b a$
a-nsa?-re kgy-in tsog-u-ba
1-brother/sister-ERG this-ABS make-3P-NOM
My brother/sister has made this.
- an adverb such as
all $3+p a=$ alloba
allo-ba ing ${ }^{\text {hon }}$
recent-NOM news
Recent news
- an interrogative pronoun, such as
$e n+p a=e m b a$
kəy em-ba
this who-NOM
Who is this?

The nominalizer suffix <-pa> has proliferated into diverse word classes. In this regard van Driem (1987, p. 195) refers to that suffixation of <-pa> is used to nominalize the verb stem which is a productive process in modern Limbu.

He further points out that the addition of <-pa> to bare stems is an old processes which inderlies many adjectives:

```
kemba \((k e n+p a) \quad\) long
jэmba \((j \nsim n+p a) \quad\) big
tumba \((\) tum \(+p a)\) old/ elder
\(u m b a(u n+p a)\) short; also in Phedappe toyba \((t \supset \eta+p a)\)
```

Nominalizer suffix is primarily used to create nominals which can be used adnominally as an adjective or independently as a noun taking case marker suffixes. It generally does not take place in isolation that is, it occurs in context but however it is sometimes very difficult to make distinction between the nomilizer $\langle-p a\rangle$ and that of imperfective marker <-pa>. The following patterns pose difficulty to sort out appropriate labeling.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 82.a } \begin{array}{llllll}
k \supset \eta \quad k u s i y \quad m \varepsilon- & n & -n i t t & -u & -m & -b a \\
\text { this be familier with nsAS-NEG-be familier with-3P-NEG-NOM } \\
\text { This is something they are not familier with. }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{b} \quad m \varepsilon$ - $d^{z} a n d \quad-u \quad-b a$ nsAS-paint/PT-3P-NOM

This is what they painted.

Careful understanding of context provides the clue for separating the nominalizer with that of the imperfective marker. The distinction is formally explicit when a nominalized form takes case suffixes. For instance:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 83.a } & k \varepsilon-\text { satt }-u \quad-m \quad-b \varepsilon-n-n i \\
& \text { 2-joke-3P-pA-NOM-ABS-Q }
\end{array}
$$

Is that you were joking with?

Nominalizer <-pa> is also used as the sub-ordinate clause whereas the imperfective <-pa> is not used in that way.
b peg-c-ba ke-nis-w-i
go-PT-NOM 2-see-3P-Q
Did you see her go?

The $/ p /$ sound undergoes change into $/ b /$ sound when $/ p /$ occurs between two vowels which is technically known as intervocalic position. The next reason is that when $/ p /$ happens to take place after voiced nasal consonants like $m, n$ and $\eta$.
ii. The formation of active participle by suffix <-pa> When the suffix <-pa> happens to co-occur with the prefix $\langle k \varepsilon$-> in the same verb stem then the stem gives rise to active participle (AP). With transitive verb conjugation, the active participle functions as an agent and with intransitive it is a subject.
84.a $k \varepsilon-h a-b a \quad(k \varepsilon$ - prefix; $h a$ verb stem 'weep' and <-pa> suffix
which changes <-ba>.)

| AP-weep-AP |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| b $\quad$ | $k \varepsilon-b^{h} \varepsilon m-b a$ |
|  | AP-come-AP who weeps. |
|  | She/He who comes. |

In these two examples the suffix <-pa> is not the nominalizer but pertains an active participle because s/he who actively performs or participates in the action being done. But with intransitive verb, the active participle turns to be the subject.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { 85.a } & k \varepsilon \text {-lip-pa } \\
& \text { AP-heavy-AP } \\
& \text { A heavy. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The thing/object which is heavy. The object is not actively participating as the participants of the transitive conjugation. Let us consider the next example.
b $k \varepsilon-s i-b a$
AP-die-AP
One who dies.

Active participle can function both as adnominal modifier as well as an independent noun which takes nominal cases and number marking suffix.

| 86.a | $k \varepsilon-d^{2} i p-p a$ | ke-nay-ba |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AP-miser-AP | AP-go mad-AP |  |
|  | A greedy man. | A mad man | (adnominal modifier) |
| b | $k \varepsilon-g \supset p-p a-h a ?$ | ke-ma-ba-ha? |  |

AP-wealthy-AP-p AP-lose-AP-p
The haves (tycoons) The losers.
(independent noun taking plural suffix)

The tense shifting in the active participle is by combining the auxuliary verbs like tsokma? or poymar. Even sometimes existential to be wama? is also employed for the purpose of tense shift. Let us see the example below:
87.a $k \varepsilon$-d ${ }^{h} u m-b a \quad t^{s} o k / w a$

AP-active-AP be/NPT
He is active.
$\mathrm{b} \quad k \varepsilon-d^{h} u m-b a \quad t^{s} o g-\varepsilon / w a-\varepsilon$
AP-acive/energetic be-PT
He was active.
ke d²ak pa-pon
$k \varepsilon-d^{2} a k-A P$ poks-
AP-hard-AP-be-PT
It was hard.

AP-hard-AP be

The active participle agrees for gender with animate female referents:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 88.a } & \text { sapla ke-nip-pa hendza } \\
& \text { book AP-read-AP (M) child } \\
& \text { The book reader child. } \\
\text { b } & \text { sapla ke-nip-ma hendza } \\
& \text { book AP-read-AP(F) child } \\
& \text { The book reader child. }
\end{array}
$$

iii. Formation of negative participle: The negative participle (NP) is formed by affixing the prefix <men-> and suffix <-mna> to the verb stem. The negative active participle is nominalized by adding suffix <-pa> and this should also agree with gender. Let us see the example:

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \text { Affirmative active participle } \\
\text { 89.a } & k \varepsilon-+ \text { stem }+-p a
\end{array}
$$

Negative active participle
men- + stem + -mna +-pa

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k \varepsilon-d^{h} u m-b a \\
& \text { AP-hard-working-AP } \\
& \text { One who works hard } \\
& \mathrm{b} \quad \\
& t^{h i} i k \varepsilon-d^{h} u \eta-m a m \varepsilon n t^{\text {sh} \varepsilon} \\
& \text { beer AP-drink-AP young girl } \\
& \\
& \text { Beer drinking yong girl. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$m e n-d^{h} u m-m n a-b a$
NP-hard working-NP-AP
One who does not work hard. $t^{h i}$ men-dhuy-yna-ma mentshe beer NP-drink-NP-AP young girl Beer non-drinking young girl.
van Driem (1987) points out that active participle of stative verbs lack negative counterparts (p.206). For instance: kenuba - *mennumna

| $k \varepsilon$-nu-ba | $m \varepsilon n-n u-m n a$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| AP-good-AP | NP-good-NP |

One who is good One who is not good

But his remark does not seem to be based on reality because these both affirmative and negative forms are in use. We hear the native speakers say: koy go tsarik mennuтnaba mьna (this then very NP-good-NP-AP person: This is worse person) in their usual discourse. Similarly we use kesiba the one who dies and its negative form as mensimmna the one who doesnot die. The native people say:
ani kerekle si-ma? poy men-sim-mna go en wa-be
$1 \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{i}}$ all die-INF be NP-die-NP then who be-e
We all should die, then who one is there not dying!
iv. The passive participle (PP): The passive participle is formed by affixing <-mna or $-m P n a\rangle$ to a verb stem. It is also nominalized with the addition of <-pa>. The passive participle suffix <-mna/-m?na> and 1peAS/PT suffix <-mna/-mPna> are homophonous. Their semantic distinction is made through the syntactic structure and the context. See the difference below:
90.a tsa-mna-ba tək $k^{h i k t-\varepsilon}$
eat-PP-NOM rice expensive-PT
The rice which was eaten was expensive.
b anige tsa -mna -ba tok $k^{h i k t-\varepsilon}$
$1 p^{\mathrm{e}}$ eat-1peAS/PT-NOM rice expensive-PT

The rice we ate was expensive.

The overt difference that lies between these two statements is the syntactic structure. The passive construction is without subject. It doesnot say who ate such expensive rice. On the contrary the next counterpart is in the active verb conjugation. The subject is present there. The apparent condition is that if the verb stem with suffix <mna> is preceded by subject, the suffix will be 1peAS/PT and if the verb stem with suffix <-nma> isnot preceded, it will be passive participle (PP).
v. The infinitive <-map>: The suffix <-map> is added to the verb stem to make it infinitive. In the natural conversation the infinitive marker <-map> may be reduced to $\langle-m a\rangle,\langle-m P\rangle$, or <-m>. Again <-map> is shortened to <-me> before subordinating instrumental/ergative suffix <-ille>, and giving <-melle> before coordinate suffix <$a \eta>$.

The infinitive suffix <-map> can serve as:

- the complement of a finite verb-

```
        91.a khen\varepsilon lok-ma? k\varepsilon-sukt-u
                yous run-INF 2-be able-3P
        You can run (it). (complement of the verb sukt)
        b a\etaga рэŋ-ma? nar-u-\eta
        I carry-INF leave-3P-1sA
        I leave carrying it.
    - an instructional, imperative or blessing-
        92.a tok-in lakt-u-ro tsok-ma?
        rice-ABS boil-3P-pfG do-INF
        Boil the rice.
        (imperative)
    b skk}\mp@subsup{}{}{h}\varepsilonlorik tsok-ma? tsa-ma?
        in this way do-INF eat-INF
        In this way continue doing and eating. (blessing)
```

- an indication of action or situation without making the direct reference to the actant
93.a kəy-in akkhjak poy-ma?
this how carry-INF
How can this be carried?
- an asking question for clarity-
b pek-ma?-i mem-bek-map-i
go-INF-Q NEG-go-INF-Q
Should I go or not?

The transitive infinitive requires agreement with non-singular patient. This is maintained through the suffixation of non-singular morpheme <-si>.
94.a məna-hap u?-ma?-si pon
man-p call-INF-nsP EXIG
Men are to call.

The infinitive marker suffix <-map> is sometimes immediately followed by subordination suffix <-ille>. This suffix <-ile> can also occur in other verbal conjugations.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{b} & \text { pek-me-lle } \quad a-g \varepsilon ? l \\
& \text { go-INF-SUB 1-reach } \\
& \text { When we go we reach. }
\end{array}
$$

vi. The purposive marker <-se>: The purpose marker suffix <-se> is affixed to the verb final to give the meaning 'in order to, to or for the sake of and the like. The possessive prefixes are attached to transitive purposive forms to indicate patient agreement.
95.a ku- hวŋ-se peg-ع

3-look for-PUR go-PT
He went to look for him/her/it.
b sapla nit-tshe

## book read-PUR

In order to read book.

The purposive marker <-se> undergoes change into allomorphic form <-tshe> after /t/ or $/ \mathrm{n} /$.
vii. The passivizer tetma?: The bound verb tetma? is passivizer. When it occurs with the verb stem it makes the preceding verb passive. There can be no overt agent in such conjugative construction. If it is felt to specify there is active conjugation.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 96.a } & \text { ku-nam } k^{h} \varepsilon m-m \varepsilon-\quad d \varepsilon t-n \varepsilon n \\
& \text { 3-scent notice-NEG-PAS-NEG } \\
& \text { Its scent is not noticed. } \\
\text { b } \quad & \text { kJy kaPma? he-d } \quad \\
& \text { This jump over be able-PAS } \\
& \text { It can be jumped over. }
\end{array}
$$

In the collocation of chain verbs, the next third verb $h \varepsilon$ ?map is usually inserted in between. Let us see the example below:

```
97.a tsa he?-dzt
    eat be _able-PAS
    It can be eaten.
    b tsa he?- me- d\varepsilon t-n\varepsilonn
    eat be _able-NEG-PAS-NEG
    It cannot be eaten.
```


### 5.4 Nominal morphology

Gender marker suffixes $\langle-p a\rangle$ (masculine) and $\langle-m a\rangle$ (feminine)
The gender marker suffixes <-pa> and <-ma> have been derived from the relational nouns ' $p a$ ' father and ' $m a$ ' mother. These suffixes are used with limited relational nouns, common nouns, ethnonyms and they also agree with adjectives.

## 98.a t'uk-pa pənع-ba <br> small-M bahun-M

> Small male Brahmin
> b tsuk-ma pone-ma
> small-F bahun-F
> Small female Brahmin (gender markers with adjective $t^{s} u k$ and ethnonym Brahmin)

### 5.4.1. Number

Limbu count nouns are categorized into two viz. singular and plural alternatively nonsingular nouns. Singular count nouns are formally unmarked whereas the plurals are marked by suffix<-hap>.

```
ma\eta ma\eta-ha?
deity deity-p
```


### 5.4.2 Case

Nouns, pronouns and other adnominal forms are inflected with different types of case marking suffixes.

## i. Ergative/ Instrumental/Genitive (ERG/INST/GEN)

Basic morph <-le>
This basic morph <-le> is used for three different cases. It is phonologically conditioned and thus undergoes certain changes.

After plural suffix <-hap> and unexpressed plural nouns <-le> is realized as <-re> After the consonants <-le> is <-ille> or <-Pille> and the vowel it is just <-lle>.

```
may-ha?-\underline{re}\quad->\mathrm{ deity-p-ERG}
him-?o k\varepsilon-wa-me-lle }->\mathrm{ house-LOC AP-stay-AP-ERG
a-mik-le m\varepsilon-nis-u-n }->\mathrm{ 1-eye-INST NEG-see 3P-NEG
tumba-re ku-sa }->\mathrm{ elder-GEN his-son
```


## ii. Absolutive (ABS)

The absolutive marker suffix is <-Pin> but it can be realized as <-in>, <-n> in the following situations:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { After the voiceless stops } / \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t} \text { and } \mathrm{k} /=- \text { in } & p^{h} a k \text {-Pin } & \text { (the pig) } \\
\text { After nasals } / \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y} \text { and } \mathrm{p} / & =-\mathrm{in} & o n-i n & \text { (the horse) } \\
\text { After vowels } & =-\mathrm{n} & \text { labs-n } & \text { (the moon) }
\end{array}
$$

## iii. Vocative (VOC)

Vocative markers are of kinds corresponding to the singular as well as plural.

> Singular vocative marker $\langle-e\rangle \rightarrow$ lamsa-e! $\quad$ (Niece-VOC)
> Plural vocative marker $\langle-s e\rangle \rightarrow$ adaŋba-se! (Respected personalities)

## iv. Locative (LOC)

Locative suffix is <-?o> which denotes both the location and destination. Sometimes the preceding glottal sound $/ 2 /$ assimilates with its frontal bilabial nasal $/ \mathrm{m} /$ thus remains only <-o>.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ku-buy-?o ips-ay } \rightarrow \text { its-bottom-LOC sleep-1sPS/PT (I slept under its bottom) } \\
& \text { him-?o ker-ay } \rightarrow \text { home-LOC arrive-1sPS/PT } \quad \text { (I arrived at home) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## v. Comitative (COM)

The comitative marker suffix <-nu> seems to be versatile in its use which functions as:

- to co-ordinate nominal as a conjunction and $\rightarrow k^{h} a p p u-n u k^{h} a m$ (ashes-COM soil)
- an instrumental suffix with $\quad \rightarrow p^{k} \varepsilon d^{2} a-n u \quad$ (knife-COM)
- in a meditative sense in $\rightarrow$ ani pan-nu (our language-COM)
- an ablative from $\rightarrow$ na-nu $p^{h} c t-u$ (there-COM bring-3P)
- a comitative with $\rightarrow$ anga-nu (I-COM)


## vi. Mediative (MED)

Mediative suffix is <-lam> which has a broader sense than comitative <-nu>.

$$
t^{\text {tho-lam jur-u (up/above-MED bring-3P = bring down from above) }}
$$

## vii. Intrative (INT)

The intrative suffix is <-lum> which literally denotes as between or middle. As a case marker <-lum> rarely occurs in isolation. It usually combines either locative marker <-१o> or comitative <-nu>.
ku-lum-?o wa- $\varepsilon \rightarrow$ its-INT-LOC be-PT ( It was in the middle)
viii. Comparative <-nulle > (than)

In fact this comparative marker -nulle is the combined form of two suffixes -nu $+-l l e$. In order to avoid the confusion it is glossed or labeled as than but not as COMP because it already exists for the comitative case.

```
si\eta sammja\eta-nulle khi}
wood gold-than expensive
Wood is more expensive than gold.
```


### 5.5 Adverbs particles and clictics

Adverbs fall under the major word class like noun, verb and adjective but the particle fall under minor word class. The same particles alternatively termed as clictics too. Also they are known as grammatical words. Most of them do not have meaning in isolation yet they play role in the grammatical function by expanding the semantic dimension of the host word they attach to. They are discussed in the following topics.

### 5.5.1 Adverbs

Adverbs usually occur in a sentence to tell something or qualify the action. In this regard, Leech and Svartvik (1981, p. 197) opine, "Adverbials usually tell something extra about the action, happening, or state described by the rest of the sentence." They further say that the adverbials specify the time when it happened, the place where it happened or manner in which it happened. Mainly the spatial and temporal adverbs can be discussed under the linguistic term as deixis. Concerning this point deixis, Rai ( (2003, p. 60) refers to Levinson (1983) statement as, "the single most obvious way in which the relationship between language and context is reflected in the structures of languages themselves, is through the phenomenon of deixis." The deixis thus
provides the reference of the participants in relation to the time and location. The Limbu language has the time and place deictic features which have been grammaticatized as other languages.

Here adverb list includes only the related ones which frequently occur in the writing. They are briefly discussed as follows:

## i. Locational adverbs:

Locational adverbs, according to Ebert (1997), refer to either speaker centered equivalent to English demonstratives or centered on geographical facts indicating higher, lower or same level location in relation to the point of reference. Loational adverb gives the answer of the 'where' question. Following are the examples of the locational adverbs:

Speaker centered:

| Proximal | kopna, ko?jo | here (near to the speaker) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | nittay | near |
| Distal |  | there(away from the speaker) |
|  | ma: $\mathrm{g}^{h} a$ | far off |

Geographical centered:

| High | $t^{h} o, h \varepsilon t t^{h} O, k^{h} \varepsilon t t^{h} o$ | up, above, over |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Low | $j o(m o), h \varepsilon$ ?jo | below, down |
| Level | $n a$ | across/beyond |
|  | $n a d^{h} a m b i$ | same level but across river/hill |
| Unspecified | ksbriy | around |
|  | mudhaך | elsewhere |

The locational adverb roots $n a, t^{h} o$ and $j o$ (mo) take affixes <-nu> and <-lam> enterchangeably marking ablative as well as mediative cases respectively. In the ordinary speech the both suffixes are used at the same time as na lam nu $p^{h} \varepsilon r \varepsilon$ 's/he came from there'. These adverbial roots are nominalized by the suffix <-ba/-ma>. However, these basic spatial adverbs $n a$ (there), jo/mo (below/under) and $t^{h} o$ (up/above/over) take suffix $\langle-s u\rangle$ which marks as genitive/absolutive case. The suffix <-su> is most often followed by the nominalizer suffix <-ma> making nominal adverb like na-su-ma, (particularly of there), jo-su-ma (particularly of the below one)
and $t^{h} o$-su-ma (particularly the above one). The Mundhum specially uses suffixes like <-su> and <-lam> with spatial adverbs.

While talking about the locational adverb, it is better to refer to directional adverbs along with this in which most words may have <-ay> suffix. Let us consider the directional adverbsas below:

| nam get/nam bin pisan | east direction |
| :--- | :--- |
| nam dha pisaך | west direction |
| $t^{h}$ aり get pisaך/sinjuk muden | north direction |
| lan get pisaך(teme:n) | south direction |

ii. Temporal adverbs: This temporal adverb gives the answer of 'when' question. In the Limbu, temporal adverb involves two kinds suggesting either period or the point of time.

| $t^{h}{ }^{\text {k }}$ - jen/la:/ton | one day/month/year |
| :---: | :---: |
| $n i / n \varepsilon-j \varepsilon n / l a: / d \supset \eta$ | two days/months/years |
| sum-jen/la:/don | three days/months/years |
| li-jen/la:/dən | four days/months/years |
| ทа-jen/la:/dəท | five days/months/years |
| tuk-jen/la:/tə | six days/months/years |
| nu-jen/la:/don | seven days/months/years |
| $j e t-j \varepsilon n / l a: / t \supset \eta$ | eight days/months/years |
| $p^{h} a \eta-j \varepsilon n / l a: / d \supset \eta$ | nine days/months/years |
| $t^{\text {hibon-jen/la:/d }}$ / ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ten days/months/years |
| $k^{h}{ }^{\text {cmimen }}$ | two days before yesterday |
| sipjen | the day before yesterday |
| mirma/antshcn | yesterday |
| $a-i n / e: n$ | today |
| tandik | tomorrow |
| atshinday | the day after tomorrow |
| ande:n, ansen, asen | ago, before |
| alls, allo | now, at present |
| $a k k^{h} O$ | later, in the future |


| jammo(u) | later on |
| :---: | :---: |
| egay | later on |
| silliy/sumlin | before last year |
| mePliy | last year |
| anniydoy(ailamba) | this year |
| apnemay | next year |
| $a: t^{\text {shimay }}$ | the year after the next year |
| tonbeltıŋ/dın | year |
| $l a:$ | month |
| je?nam | week |
| $j$ jen | day |
| setlumb ${ }^{\text {b }}$ k | midnight to two a.m. |
| iywaka | two to four a.m. |
| tsiga? waga? | four to six a.m. |
| $t a: n t^{\text {sh }}$ o:k | six to eight a.m. |
| $l_{\text {lend }}{ }^{\text {o }}$ | eight to ten a.m. |
| len mik (len lum) | ten to mid day (noon) |
| nambe:k (lın lumbh〕k) | noon to two p.m. |
| nam la:t | two to four p.m. |
| maktsi wadzi | four to six p.m. |
| ju:nt ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ : $k$ | six to eight p.m. |
| sen-mik | eight to ten p.m. |
| setlum | ten to mid night |

These parts of the day are used only in the Mundhum but in the ordinary speech form they are very rarely used. The parts are very limited and different terms in the ordinary speech. Such as:

| lendik | day time |
| :--- | :--- |
| sendik | night time |
| pihandik | morning |
| juntshik | evevning |
| idi:k | a long while |
| sopma: $\quad$ | a short time |
| skk ${ }^{h}$ elle | these days |

iii. Manner adverbs: This type of adverb is related to the answer of 'how' question. It covers a wide range of aspects of manner. It exhibits three kinds of structural formations. The first kind is the words with syllable final <-likl-rik.> e.g.

| nurik | nicely |
| :--- | :--- |
| $t^{s}$ arik | vehemently |
| $k^{h}$ irik | quickly |
| sallik | tensely |
| isi:k | accordingly |
| sollik | tautly, etc. |

The second type manner is found in the reduplicated form. e. g.

| Adverb | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| sumsum | modestly |
| jakjak | lightly/gently |
| susu | pleasantly |
| to:ndo:n | staight/simple |
| jck jck | cleverly |
| $k^{h} b k k^{h} \supset k$ | clinking |

The third kind of the manner adverb involves the partial repetition of its following verb which is often preceded by prefix either <tsi-> or <po->. Let us see the example below the prefix <tsi-> and initial part of the verb as:

|  | Adverb | Verb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <tsi-> prefix | $t s_{i-r}$ k | lokma (prick) |
|  | $t^{s i}-b^{n} i$ ? | $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{mma}$ (press) |
|  | $t s_{i-g^{h}}{ }^{\text {am }}$ | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hamma }}$ (cover with cloth) |
|  | $t^{s i-g}{ }^{\text {hip }}$ | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hipma }}$ (stick) |
|  | $t^{s i}$-hak | hapkma (beat) |
|  | $t^{s i}-d^{\prime}{ }^{\text {b }}$ k | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h}} \mathrm{Vkma}$ (hit) |
| <po-> prefix | po-ghem | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon$ mma (suit) |
|  | po-lak | lakma (cleverly/salty) |
|  | po-hay | hayma (sharp taste of chilli) |
|  | po-sju | sjuma (sour) |
|  | $p-d^{2} i$ | tsima (cold) |
|  | po-lip | lipma (heavy) |

### 5.5.2 Pragmatic particles and clictics

Particles and clictics are monosyllabic or disyllabic forms of words which can not stand on their own as normal utterance. However they play an important role in the discourse. "They signal many modalities like interrogation, emphasis, reportative, intensity, etc," Nagarajan (1985, p. 224). Likewise, Sharma (1982, p. 202) states "they occur with other word categories to strengthen and emphasize their meaning". As the term clictic comes from Greek with the meaning 'leaning'. This implies that clictic leans against the host (neighboring) word but as general assumption it does not affect to the leaned word to fall over but it really supports to stand firmly upright rather strengthening its meaning. Though there are many particles and clictics used in the running speech but here, mention is made only these items which recur in the Mundhum discourse.
$j e / h e / h e j / h a$ : These items occur usually at the beginning of the Mundhum lines. They have been used to make address to the people around or the particular deities. So they have been glossed as VOC (Vocative). They are also called as offbeat serving as the springboard to lunch into the matrical scheme proper. They are not affixed to any host words.
pe/be: Apart from its usual grammatical function (marking exclusivity, nominalizer and active participle), it is also used for expressing the desire for information. It is affixed to verb final and it has been glossed as INQ (Inquiry).
$k \jmath / g \supset$ : It is topic marker and remains unattached to any word. It has been glossed as TOP (Topicalizer).
lo/ro: This pair occurs to assert or to emphasize the verb it precedes. It is also not affixed to the verb and is glossed as ASS/EMPH (Assertive/Emphasizer).
$l o / r \partial$ : Apart from its usual grammatical function (Inperfective gerund), it is used to express the wishes and is glossed as OPT (Optative). It is affixed to verb.
$l o k / r o k$ : This particle is used unaffixed to any word to focus meaning of the preceding word. It has been glossed as FOC (Focus)
$n i$ : It stands unaffixed to mark contrary to the expectation. It is glossed as CTR (Contrary to)
$m u$ : This particle indicates that the information in the sentence has not come from the knowledge of speaker but by other source. Most often it remains detached to the verb to which it is reporting but sometimes, it is affixed to the preceding verb. It reports that is why it is glossed as REP (Reportative).

Onomatopoeia: It has occurred in the Mindhum as a nonlexical form however it is able to match up a sound with nonlinguistic correlate in the real world. It has been glossed as ONOM (Onomatopoeia)

### 5.5.3 Subordination

$p^{h} a y$ : This subordinator is used in different cases or senses. It can be used to mark the direct quote and to mark as a complement clause in main clauses which roughly translates as 'that'. It has been glossed as SUB (Subordinator).
say: This subordinator conjoins situation and unexpected result which is equivalent to English 'even'. When this co-occurs with $p^{h} a y$ then its meaning is 'even though or although or in spite of' but they fuse like $p^{h} a s a \eta$. It is used as sentence conjunction so it has been glossed as CONJ (Conjunction)
-ille: This form seems to be versatile in its use. When it is affixed with nouns or pronouns, it functions as either ergative or instrumental or genitive case marker but when it is affixed to the finite verbs, it functions as subordinator. It has a high frequency in the Mundhum discourse and has been glossed as SUB (Subordinator).
$k ə r \supset / g \not r r$ : This is postpositive subordinator and is used in different senses as 'if, but, in that case or if that case'. However, in the Mundhum it has a least occurrence with
the sense 'but' so it does not have distinct glossing and has been shown its translated form 'but' .

### 5.6 Characteristic features of the Mundhum morphology

In this topic, the discussion is concerned about the affixation to the verbal as well as other adnominal forms which are related to the language of the Mundhum. Apart from these grammatical processes, the Mundhum exercises inherently distinct method of affixation especially on the adnominal structure. Though the head words are same as in the ordinary speech variety, the other affixal nuances are quite unusual and unfamiliar. Tracing out the meaning of the Mundhum primarily depends on overcoming the obscurity of the morphemic structure. Many linguists, at the first encounter may experience it as puzzling and most probably blame of being verbal redundancy. The journey to such classical language is essentially intricate yet rewarding.

To answer the question of why the Mundhum language employs such complex linguistic structure, it will be better to consider Gadamer (1969) views. He writes:

The kind of saying that occurs in the everyday life between people who understand each other the unsaid so to accompany the said that the two together comprise a unity; this is the unity of making oneself understood through the said. In this manner only the most common and ordinary words and yet is able just through them to bring to language what unsaid, that unsaid that needs to be said and here is said. (p. 225)

What makes the Mundhum language difficult can be pointed out only when we analyze its morphemic construct. The close analysis manifests certain features of its morphemic construct.

### 5.6.1 Binomimal/Paired collocation

Binominal or paired collocation is the fundamental aspect of the Mundhum language. In this regard, binominal refers to the occurrence of two parallel adnominal forms in
the Mundhum verse lines. In that paired form, one is nucleus or focusing word and this has been termed here as the 'head'. And the next word which comes to pair with the head word or apposed word has been termed as 'apposed' or premodifying word. The combination of these head and apposed (a similar class of word placing adjacently) words in a real sense, have made the Mundhum language distinct from that of ordinary communication. This is the very starting point from where the Mundhum language sets itself off from the commoners' language and aspires to the High Variety for special purposes and occasions. Now, I briefly discuss these head and its echo or apposed words underneath.

### 5.6.2 The headword

The Mundhum language is primarily designed to recite in the specified situations. So it is sung by the Limbu priests known as the Sambas. It is only delivery of the sermon in which the Mundhum is generally not sung and recited as the ordinary speech. The expression consists of short, precise and succinct syntax. Within compressed syntax, it consists of a controlling word. This controlling word is, for the convenience, termed as the head word. Contrary to average speakers' thinking, the head word is not always in the complex archaic form. It is in the common and simple diction too. The complication is not resulted from the use of obscure classical diction but in fact it is resulted from intricate form of the morphemic structure. For instance, every native speaker cannot be unaware of the meaning of the term $k^{h} i$ (thread; Nep $d^{h}$ ago). But it feels to be complicated when it is modified aiming to be suitable for special ritualistic expression. The modification comprises no such esoteric notion but the process of affixation, the process of morphemic reconstruction. Let us see the example:
$k^{h i}$-sum-diy $k^{h i}$-be ( $k^{h} i$ in ordinary speech means 'thread')

The single morph $\left\langle k^{h i}\right\rangle$ for expressing 'thread' is enough in the ordinary conversation. But astonishingly, the single morpheme has come up with a long sequence of morphemic strings i.e. three bound morphemes like <-sum>, <-diy> and $\langle-b e\rangle$; and two free morphemes like $\left\langle k^{h} i\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle k^{h}\right\rangle i$. No one should be illusioned about the meanings these two varieties are supposed to express. Obviously and overtly nothing is different between the ordinary speech $\left\langle k^{h i}\right\rangle$ and the Mundhum
expression $k^{h i}$-sum-diy $k^{h} i$-be. So now, it has become easier to decide about the head word in the expression, $k^{h i}$-sum-din $k^{h i}$-be. The head word is definitely $\left\langle k^{h} i\right\rangle$ because the additional morphemes are later formation. They are the guest morphemes borrowed to assist the main one, the head.

There may be still a confusion over which $\left\langle k^{h i}\right\rangle$ is supposed to be the head one. I shall make it clear in the next heading.

### 5.6.3 The apposed/modifier word and types

The Mundhum head word rarely occurs in isolation while it is being sung or being delivered in the form of sermon. This head word is usually preceded by another word to make pair with it. This preceding word is termed as apposed word which denotes a similar class of word placed adjacently. Therefore, the conclusion is that the former $k^{h} i$ is apposed word and the later $k^{h}$ is the head in the expression $k^{h} i$-sum-diy $k^{h} i$-be. Likewise, iksa-diy $k^{h} a m-b e k$ in the ordinary speech it is merely $k^{h} a m$, the earth; comprises four morphemes. In which, the two iksa and $k^{h} a m$ are free and <-diy> and <-bek> are bound morphemes. Again iksa is apposed word and $k^{h} a m$ is head word for $i k s a$ comes before or precedes the word $k^{h} a m$. Every native speaker understands what $k^{h} a m$ means but many hesitate to locate the meaning of the ritualistic expression $i k s a$ diy $k^{h} a m-b e k$.

Some linguists have called such kind of paired occurrence as the noun appositive. I refute to such claim because appositive includes the similar word or words having the same grammatical level which are in the position of mutual omissibility. Both can have similar identity reference and one of them can be omitted without affecting the sentence acceptability. For example, in the noun appositive: Raven, the king of Lanka was defeated by Ram; either Ravan or the king of Lanka can be omitted without hurting its semantic form. But this is not the case here with the Mundhum's paired morphemes. The basic head word is most often preceded by the morpheme of equal type in terms of its structural make up but not equal in terms of the semanticity. The words co-occurring with the head word can be of four kinds in terms of their sense relation.
a. Synonymous lexemes: Co-occurring couple words may be related to one another because of the meaning similarity. Besides the meaning resemblance, the synonymy encompasses the attributive or adjectival portion of the consequent noun.This situation typically occurs in the highly formalized expression. More words having the same meaning are arranged in order to heighten the meaning. In Mundhum language, this usually takes place. Two words are set together so as to enhance and expand semantic dimension. Let us consider the following verse lines as:

| 99.a | je.. sa:ŋgu ager | sokma: agere |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | je... sa:ŋgu $a-g \varepsilon r-\varepsilon$ | sokma: a-ger- $\varepsilon$ |
|  | VOC vitality 1-get-PT | life force 1-get-PT |

We have taken rest.
b je.. sikkum piru isi:k niywa piru isi:k je sikkum pir-u isi:k nipwa pir-u isi:k

VOC thought give/PT-3P according to idea give/PT-3P according to According to the suggestion given to them,

In the given examples, two nouns having similar meaning have occurred side by side. For instance sa:ygu and sokma: are one pair of one verse line 003 and similarly sikkum and nipwa are another pair of the verse line 005. These two pairs are more or less similar in the meaning.
d. Antonymous lexemes: In this arrangement, two words are set in sharp contrast to one another so that the expressed idea could be quite clear cut. The rationale behind this technique might be that when a white object is set against the white it remains unmarked, but when a black object is set against the white one the expected object is marked clearly. In other words, white never appears so bright as when it is set against black and both are viewed together. This is what Mundhum language avails this technique to increase it expressive power.
100.a sendi melosuan tumma? melosuan
sendi me-los-u-aך tum-ma? me-los-u-aך
separate nsAS-perform-3P-pfG meet-INF nsAS-perform-3P-pfG They meet.
b allo ta:ndik sendi melosuay summa melosuan
allo ta:ndik sendi me-los -u -aך summa me- los -u -ay
now later on separation nsAS-perform-3P-pfG collection nsAS- perform-3PpfG
And they collected the ashes in one place. 142

In the given example, sendi and tummar are opposite in meaning. They have been brought together solely for heightening its meaning. The term sendi 'to depart' or 'separate' and tumma? 'to meet' or 'to gather'.
e. Bound lexemes: Occurring bound lexeme as one of in the pair is very typical notion in the Mundhum language. At first encounter, this kind of occurrence really startles to the listeners. The listeners all of a sudden become attentive having heard and they begin to heed towards such so-called nonsensical word. By this way, semantically zero lexeme is successful to achieve its goal because its aim is to, as Cuddon (1998, p. 328) refers to Shklovsky's (1917) opinion, give a strange shock to the listeners or readers. We all know that zero itself is nothing from the view point of its worth and value. But when it is set in the right place along with other digits or numerical figures it happens to heighten the value of the digits ten times more. So does the bound lexeme co-occurring in the Mundhum language. Unlike other bound morphemes, this type of bound lexeme does not issue any meaning even after co-occurring with free lexeme. Other bound morphemes or lexemes are meaningful when they are attached to the stem or free morpheme. Let us have a look example as follows:

## 101.a minu hidzanu $k^{h} u n t^{s h i}$ saha? hidzanu

minu hidza-nu $k^{h} u n t^{s h} i \quad$ sa-hap hidza-nu
APP shit having-COM they(d) baby-p shit having-COM
Children's shit having bitch.
b le?wa doy kettu thiboŋ doy kettu
le?wa doŋ kett-u thiboŋ-doŋ kett-u
time decade reach-3P ten years reach-3P
He got ten years old.

In the above (101 a-b) examples, co-occurring pairs are minu and sahap; and $l \varepsilon$ ?wa and $t^{h i} i b o \eta$. The preceding words in the pairs like minu and $l \varepsilon ?$ wa have semantically no meaning (bound lexemes). These two words are there only to establish the following free morphs. They function as supporter in the line because the line would not have to go without writing anything. So they are also considered as the gap fillers. We can assume that they provide the same meaning as do their counterparts- sahap and $t^{h} i b o \eta$.
f. Copied/Echo lexemes: The particular terms are to be paired or apposed during the recitation. Copying the head word is also a usual process in the Mundhum structural system. In this process, the head appears at two places in its full or partial form with affixation. But the head word and the copied one do not take similar affix. If they take multi-affixes then there is at least one affix must be different.


In the stated example, $k^{h} a m$ is copied word since it is found in two places but with different suffixes. The copied/apposed word usually precedes the head word. So, the apposed/copied word has the suffix <-dzum> and the head word has <-lep> i.e. $k^{h} a m-$ $d^{z} u m$ (copied/apposed word) and $k^{h} a m-l \varepsilon p$ (the head word).

There is a considerable distance between the Ordinary Language Variety (OLV) and Mundhum Language Variety (MLV). To know MLV, one should be familiar with morphemic structure of the MLV. In the OLV the sky is called as taysay but the same
sky in MLV is called as toron taysay. The pair lexemes toron taysan may appear in the discourse or conversational form. Here, taysay is the head word and torm occurs to make pair with the head word tansay. The word occurring with head word may or may not have its own meaning in the literal level. Its literal meaning does not have to do anything in the paired occurrence. When MLV is set to music or the verse lines are sung, then again one more suffix is inserted between these pair words as tırวy-diy/ken taysay. Again the new inserted suffix has no overt meaning even after it has been affixed to the so-called stem. Of course, the suffix <-diy> or <-ken> is affixed to七rว which is itself a bound morph. The suffix <-diך> or <-k/gen> (intervocalic or post nasal voiced consonants $/ \mathrm{k} /$ changes into $/ \mathrm{g} /$ ) is in the choice. But this choice is not always open with other terms and situations. For instance the pair words mikki $p^{h} u y w a$ suggesting the youth, do not allow the choice while inserting the suffix <diy> or <-g/ken>. With this pair only the suffix <-k/gen> is inserted as mikki-gen $p^{h} u \eta w a$. Simiarly the pair $k^{h}$ isum $k^{h} i b e$ takes/ inserts only the suffix <-diy>, thus it becomes $k^{h}$ isum-diy $k^{h}$ ibe. The pair words do not always co-occur but they separate apart and each takes the similar word as to form the couple. When they are set apart the former drops its suffix to maintain the length and rhythm in the verse line. See the example below:
103.a $k^{h} u n i$ mikki $p^{h} \varepsilon k t t^{s h} i p^{h} u \eta$ wa $p^{h} \varepsilon k t t^{s t h} i$
$k^{h} u n i m i k k i \quad p^{h} \varepsilon k t-\varepsilon-t^{s h} i \quad p^{h} u y-w a \quad p^{h} \varepsilon k t-\varepsilon-t^{s h} i$
they youthful love-PT-dPS flower-BAL bloom-PT-dPS
They two had sexual relationship.522
b je... toron hipsiyay taysay hipsijay
je torวy hi?-siy-ay taŋsay hip-sij-ay
VOC paradise turn -REF -pfG sky turn -REF -pfG
They looked up the sky the heavenly space. 053

The pair words mikki and $p^{h} u \eta w a$ are separated each other and have taken same word $p^{h}{ }^{h} k t t^{s t h}$.

The word $k^{h} a m b e k$ or $k^{h} a m$ is earth or land in OLV but it is $i k s a k^{h} a m b e k$ in MLV. Only $k^{h i}$ in OLV is $k^{h}$ isum $k^{h}$ ibe suggesting the meaning of thread. The human creator god is porok-mi jompha-mi. The Mundhum refers to the pervasive emptiness as
muhəpliy $k^{h}$ chəpliy. As we consider these three-pair Mundhum terminologies, we find three different morphemic constructions and one common feature among them. Let us first talk about the pair $k^{h} i s u m k^{h} i b e$. Here the base morpheme $k^{h} i$ occurs in the initial position in two places taking different suffixes like <-sum> and <-be> respectively. The second pair muhวŋliy $k^{h}$ chəyliy the head word $h \supset y$ appears in both two places. This pair has both the prefix <mu-> and <k $k^{h}->$ and similar homophonous suffix <lip>. The third pair porok-mi jomp ${ }^{h} a-m i$ the head word <mi> recurs in two places but at the final position.

Now re-group all the pairs and look into their nature of their morphemic structure so as to obtain the features.


The affixes employed in the above pairs are not used in the OLV (ordinary language variety). The affixes attached to stem are not issuing any overt meaning even after they get attached to the stem or base. In the case of OLV such bound morphemes are meaningful after they are affixed to a stem. It seems that the attempt to encompass the morphemic structure within the limited rules is futile because the affixation style exhibits a haphazard fashion. The diverse patterns make us realize the arbitrary nature of language. The data presented in the table does not provide a clear picture so that
the morphemic structures can be grouped under certain pattern just as we do with the English nouns and verbs inflections as well derivations.

The analysis also shows that paired or binominal occurrence is obligatory notion in the Mundhum language. It is not necessary that the counterpart must be the free morph. If the counterpart's bound morpheme is not available, then the free morpheme fills the gap by appearing into two different places along with affixes at least one alteration like $k^{h} i$-sum $k^{h} i$-be. Here the head word $k^{h} i$ recurs at two places with suffix alternation i.e. <-sum> and <-be>. The Mundhum head words seem to have an amoebic like existence giving birth to counterpart on its own. Very surprising matter with bound morpheme is that it functions as the free morpheme by accepting suffix in it. After being loaded with affixation, it remains as the free independent morpheme. For instance pongen is essentially a bound morpheme yet it takes suffix <-diy> and thus becomes pongen-diy. It seems that when a lexeme picks up another lexeme no matter whether it is free or bound, it delegates it its equal authority to the picked up counterpart. Another fact is that the head word and its counterpart very often do not co-occur in the position immediately following one after another. This means that they are bridged by the versatile suffix <-diy> or $\langle-k / g \varepsilon n\rangle$ in between. For example:

| torəy-diy taysay | but not torวŋ taysay |
| :--- | :--- |
| mikki-gen $p^{h} u \eta-w a$ | but not mikki $p^{h} u \eta-w a$ |

In Mundhum recitations, a basic term, usually noun or adnominal, must have its counterpart or an apposed word in the syntagm. The apposed word deserves the equal status as its source word. The apposed word can be of any kind so to say it can be antonym, synonym, copied, bound morpheme, adjectival or attributive phrases or the like. The process of collocating the apposed word seems to follow Gadamer's (1969, p. 222) view, as he says 'language is not thus a prison but an open space in being that allows infinite expansion, depending on one's openness to tradition.'

### 5.6.4 Classification of the apposed/modifier words on the basis of frequency

i. Fixed or limited type: This type is related to those apposed words which co-occur with only the fixed head words.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iksa-diy/gen | $k^{h} a m-b e k-m a$ | earth/land |
| free-sfx | free-sfx-sfx |  |
| toron-diy/gen | taysay | sky/space |
| free(?)-sfx | free |  |
| nara | $t s$ Pit | creation |
| free | free |  |
| tadi-gen | scPmay | dream |
| free-sfx | free |  |
| mikki-gen | $p^{h} u y-w a$ | youth |
| free-sfx | free-sfx |  |
| hara | mik-so | jealousy |
| bound | free-sfx |  |
| sam-so | jo-min | name |
| free-sfx | pfx.-free |  |
| sid ${ }^{2} 0-w a$ | iy-mi | messenger |
| free-sfx | free-free |  |
| kobu-diy | рапр ${ }^{h_{\varepsilon}}$ | village |
| free-sfx | free |  |
| inu | $t u k k^{h} \varepsilon$ | unhappiness/trouble |
| free | free |  |
| ропи | $s u k k^{h} \varepsilon$ | happiness |
| free | free |  |
| $l o k p^{h} a$ | pay/siy-him | house/home |
| free | free + free |  |
| todo | sa:ywet | bufallo |
| free | free |  |
| koi | $t s y-p^{h} a y$ | mountain ridge |
| free | free-sfx |  |
| $k e d^{2}>y-d i \eta$ | surit/sammit | wind/storm |
| free-sfx | free/free |  |
| sikkum-diy | niywa | thought |
| free-sfx | free |  |
| pen-dziri | pen-mik | eyes |


| free-sfx | free-free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aygu-gen | wami:k/sstla:k/n | thirst/hunger |
| free-sfx | free/free |  |
| sttu-gen | jaŋnиу | back (v.) |
| free-sfx | free |  |
| japsa | kund $^{\text {h }}$ ¢ | asset/property |
| free | free |  |
| $i d^{h} u k-k \varepsilon n$ | sam-sog ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ghost of unnatural death |
| free-sfx | free-free |  |
| $k^{h} \varepsilon m a$ | jээsоך/paŋp ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ¢ | hell |
| free | free |  |
| $m e n t^{\text {sham-gen }}$ | nam-japmi | human |
| free-sfx | free-free |  |
| sisa-gen | $m e n t t^{\text {sh }} \varepsilon$ | young lass |
| free-sfx | free |  |
| saygram | peday | heaven |
| free | free |  |
| $t^{\text {hapsay }}$ | $l o d \varepsilon n$ | come out |
| free | free |  |
| tagera | niywap ${ }^{\text {a }}$ u | Almight God |
| free | free |  |
| sinjuk | muden | Tibet |
| free | free |  |
| samni | thim | culture |
| free | free |  |
| mata | im\& ? | soul |
| free | free |  |
| samma | kube | model |
| free | free |  |
| sosa | luyma | heart |
| free | free |  |

The above given apposed/pre-modifying words are fixed to the respective head words. Most of the apposed words are nouns and few adjectives with independent meaning.

These both head and apposed lexemes do not occur in the everyday conversation. In a sense, they are obsolete, archaic dictions which occur only in the special situations. Most of the apposed words tend to lose their meaning because of the rare usage. To the best of my knowledge, there can be two possible reasons for the gradual decline of meaning. One reason can be that the referents (signified object/notion) to which they used to refer have no existence at all. When the things get lost over a period of time, the words for things also slowly disappear from the use. And by this way, the word turns to be obsolete. The next reason is that the words got their original pronunciation changed in course of time or the glee men deliberately altered their forms in order to set to the music.
ii. Generic apposed words: This is concerned with such group which covers a certain class having common feature. The word $\leadsto d^{z} i k / w o d^{z i k}$ is used as the apposed word which precedes eight different activity verbs especially related to walking. This term is pronounced two different ways causing difficulty to distinguish. So these two forms are separated by slash as to denote option.

| Apposed word | Head word | meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sdzik/wodzik-ken | $l a y-d^{\text {h }}$ uy pek-ma? | go |
| bound-sfx | free-sfx free-sfx (INF) |  |
| っdzik/wodzik-ken | $l a y-d^{h} u \eta t^{h} a-s i y-m a ?$ | go oneself. |
| bound-sfx | free-sfx free-sfx (REF) |  |

This apposed word is related to only the activity verbs like go, come, send, come out, go up/down, etc. It is limited to walking because the head word is lay which refers to the 'leg' and the leg is primarily for walking. However there are verbs on the head word group like pek-ma? and $t^{h} a$-siy-ma?, only the nouns, not the verbs, can have appositions.

The next common apposed term is $a b u$ which precedes the head word related to infancy and conception (pregnant stage). This apposed word is free lexeme and generally takes suffix <-gen/-diy>.

| Apposed word | Head word | Meaning |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $a b u-g \varepsilon n / d i \eta$ | $s a-p \varepsilon n d i$ | infantile baby |
| free-sfx | free - free |  |


| abu-gen/diy | saruy | get conceived |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| free-sfx | free |  |

In the head word group, the terms sa, pendi and saruy are all nouns so they are headwords.

The apposed word inu: This also precedes only such head words which are related to human sufferings and troubles caused by natural calamity or human destiny.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| inu:-g\&n | $t^{s} u \eta$ | severe cold |
| free-sfx | free |  |
| inu:-gغn | mind | break out epidemic |
| free-sfx | free |  |

The apposed word $k^{h} a m$ : This term $k^{h} a m$ is not only the apposed word but also an echo of the head word $k^{h} a m$. Echo or copy is also one of the processes of creating the apposed word by the head. The literal meaning of $k^{h} a m$ is earth or land or soil. In the Mundhum expression also it denotes the same things primarily related to the land or soil.

| Apposed word | Head word | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $k^{h} a m-d^{2}$ iri | $k^{h} a m-b o \eta-b a$ | aborigine |
| free-sfx | free-sfx-sfx (NOM) |  |
| $k^{h} a m-l u \eta-$-g $\varepsilon n$ | təna | earth worm |
| free-free-sfx | free |  |

This apposed word is to signify the ground based living things which take shelter making hole like mouse, lizard, porcupine or get food from under the ground e.g. pig. It also comprises the notions like land-slide, earth quake, land deity, etc. The Mundhum expression luy-dziri luy-boy-ba (the people who originated as the same time as the stones) is also used exactly for the sense as $\mathrm{k}^{h} a m-d^{z i r i} k^{h} a m-b o \eta-b a$.

The apposed word la:ik-kum : Except denoting the Rai Kirant people, this apposed word is for suggesting the male sibling on the basis of under garments i.e. the loin clothes.

| Apposed word | Head word | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| la:ik-kum | $k^{h} a m b u$ | ethnonym |
| bound-sfx | free |  |
| la:ik-kum | $p^{h} c m-b o-s a$ | loin clothe wearing |
| bound-sfx | free-sfx free |  |

The apposed words tumbun/tuygun or tuøguli-ma: just as the apposed word la:ik-kum suggests to the male sibling so do these tumbun/tuygun or tuyguli-ma to signify the females.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tungun-diy | sim-bo-sa | female, woman |
| free-sfx | free-sfx-free |  |
| tumbun-diy | sim-bo-sa wor刀k | the (mythical) |
| free-sfx | free-sfx-free free | symbol of female |

The apposed words $m i-d^{h} u \eta$ is the echo word of the head word mi-rak. The lexeme mi is used for denoting fire in many Tibeto-Burman languages including the Limbu. So, wherever the word $m i$ occurs it suggests the same literal meaning even in the Mundhum language.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mi-d ${ }^{h} u y-d i y / g \varepsilon n /$ so/ | mi-rak | fire |
| free-sfx-sfx | free-free |  |
| mi-dziri | mi-rak |  |
| $m i-d^{z} u m$ | $m i-r a k$ |  |
| $m u k k u m$ | $s e-m i$ | fire |
| free | pfx-free |  |

The apposed word may take any suffixes like $d^{h} u \eta$, $d^{z i}$ iri or $d^{z} u m$ (sum) but meaning is unchanged because the head word is the same.

The apposed word $m u(n) d^{h} a \eta$ is basically used for the heavenly bodies or phenomena.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $m u(n) d^{h} a y$ | $k^{h} a$ Pmit | cloud |
| free | free |  |
| mundhay-gen | scri | thunder bolt |
| free-sfx | free |  |

Regarding the pronunciation of the apposed word $m u(n) d^{h} a \eta$, the other two varieties also found to be used by the speakers. They are as such: mund ${ }^{h}$ iy and $m u d^{h} u \eta$.

The apposed word nawa is linked with such head words which suggest specially the structure, looks or appearance.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nawa-gen/diy | $t^{s}$ sitit | creation |
| free-sfx | free |  |
| nawa(-ra) | $h \supset y-s i \eta-k e$ | two-sided drum ( $t$ sjabruy $)$ |
| free-sfx | free-free-free |  |
| nawa-gcn | $k^{h} \varepsilon m-s i \eta$ | state of being suited |
| free-sfx | free-sfx(REF) |  |

As an exception, this apposed word has distinctly different reference, such as:

| nawa $(-r a)$ | $t \varepsilon n-d^{h} \supset \eta$ | battle |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| free-sfx | free-free |  |

The apposed word na:li is associated with such head word which refers to a young marriageable lass.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| na:li-gen | $t^{\text {halbben }}$ | young boy |
| free-sfx | free |  |

But this has also deviated from its own claim. See below:

| $n a: l i-g \varepsilon n$ | $k u-d^{z} \varepsilon n$ | remuneration, wage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| free-sfx | pfx-free |  |

Of the four Limbu dialects, the three dialects (i.e. Panchthare, Taplejungge and Phedappe) do not consider the alveolar /l/ and palatal /r/ as distinct sounds in most cases. They are used in the complementary distribution as required by the preceding sound with which they are going to attach. Being so, the same apposed word na:li can
be found pronounced as na:ri. Thus the Samba from one of these dialects may recite as na:ri-gen thanben. But in the Chhathare dialect, these two sounds are distinctive sounds.

The apposed word netti-gen/diy occurs with the head that refers to the prime youth and sensual activity.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| netti-gen | $p^{h} u y-w a$ | youth |
| free-sfx | free-sfx |  |


| netti-gen | $p^{h} u y$-wa wam-ma? have a sex |
| :--- | :--- |
| free-sfx | free-sfx free-sfx (INF) |


| netti-gen | $p^{h} u y-w a t^{s} a m-m a ? \quad$ bring the youth back |
| :--- | :--- |
| free-sfx | free-sfx free-sfx (INF) |

In the above examples, the head word has a connotative meaning. In the literal level netti refers to life and $p^{h} u \eta$ flower. Similarly, the verbs wamma? and tsamma? refer to shake and paint respectively.

The term pogu co-occurs with the head word that tells about ripe grain, food or desire for food.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning <br> $p s g u-g \varepsilon n$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| free-sfx | frasak | food/ration |
| $p s g u-g \varepsilon n$ | free |  |
| free-sfx | sعłtla:?k | hunger |

The word $p \supset y$ - $d^{z}$ iri/dzili occurs with the head word to denote recreational activities and involved in the game.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $p \supset y-d^{2} i r i$ | pəywa | play game |
| free-sfx | free |  |


| pэy-dzili | pэŋwa $t \varepsilon \eta-b a$ | playmate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| free-sfx | free free-sfx $(\mathrm{M})$ |  |

The word pegi-gen precedes the head word in order to suggest the numerical expression.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pegi-gen | $p^{h} a y$-sam | nine souls |
| free-sfx | free-free |  |
| pegi-gen | $p^{h} a y-g>p$ | nine round |
| free-sfx | free-free |  |

The apposed word peli-gen comes along with its base/main word with regard to indicate the speech acts. (The pair words are peli pand ${ }^{2} a$ )

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| psli-(g\&n) | $k u$-ban | saying |
| free-sfx | pfx -free |  |
| $p \varepsilon l i$ | $j o-b a n p^{h} a k-m a ?$ | make request |
| free | pfx free free-sfx (INF) |  |

The term sam-day usually pairs the head word to give the meaning of bath. The pair words are samday warumma?

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sam-day-gen | warum-ma? | have a bath |
| free-free-sfx | free-sfx (INF) |  |
| sam-day-gen | warum met-tshiy-ma? bath oneself/ get baptized |  |
| free-free-sfx | free free-sfx (REF) sfx (INF) |  |

The apposed word sam-so(-gen) specially cooccurs with the head that is related to naming a newly born baby, the ritual called jayday phoyma?. This pair is sam-so jomin.

Apposed words Head words Meaning

| sam-so(-gcn) | jo/je-min name |
| :--- | :--- |
| free-sfx -sfx | pfx -free |
| sam-so (-gcn) | jo-miy wam-ma? to name the baby |
| free-sfx -sfx | pfx -free free-sfx (INF) |

The word sawa can recur with different headwords yet its favorite pair is with number denoting expression. The pair is sawa jct-......

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sawa(-gen) | $j \varepsilon t-n a m / j e n$ | eight days |
| free-sfx | free-free |  |
| sawa | $j e t-t^{\text {tham }}$ | eight souls/spirits |
| free | free-free |  |

As an exceptional case sawa may occur with different head word than the numerical term.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sawa | jukp ${ }^{h} u \eta$ | hunt |
| free | free |  |

The apposed word sen-day especially co-occurs with the headword concerning to the lunar. Its usual pair is sen-day-gen ku-la: (sen denotes night, day is master; thus the master of night just as len-day, the master of day denoting the sun)

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| scn-day-g | $k u-l a:$ | the moon |
| free-free-sfx | pfx.-free |  |
| s $\varepsilon n-d a \eta-g \varepsilon n$ | $l a:-h i$ | jealousy(mythical reference) |
| free-free-sfx | free-free |  |

The word sendi occurs with the head word to refer only two notions i.e. fate and meeting.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| scndi | pe-sap | fate/destiny |
| free | pfx.-free |  |
| sendi(-gen) | tum-map | meet |
| free-sfx | free-sfx (INF) |  |

The apposed word sewa occurs both as an apposed as well as head word. If it occurs as an apposed word, it collocates the head word sendo suggesting inquiry or polite request. But when it occurs as a head word, it refers to welcome, greeting or devotion.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sewa | se:ndo | inquiry |
| free | free |  |
| sewa | se:ndo tsok-ma? |  |
| free | free-free-sfx (INF) |  |

Now let us consider the term sewa as head word:

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| huk-so sewa | lay-so sswa | respectful greeting |
| free-sfx free | free-sfx free |  |

Some native speakers pronounce sewa as siwa; thus they tend to say siwa sendo.

Just like nen-dziri is used an apposed word to refer to some sensory organs so does the apposed word suhen.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| suhen | mik-so | eye |
| free | free-sfx |  |

The apposed word tohe or alternatively toPi occurs with the head word that denotes directions.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tohe-gغn | nam-dha pisan | towards west |
| bound-sfx | free-free-free |  |
| tohe-gغm | nam-gع?t | east |
| bound-sfx | free-free |  |

It seems that Limbu Mundhum lacks the specific directional lexemes. It is because to denote the east it uses round about way like nam get where nam is for the sun and $g \varepsilon 2 t$ means comes/rises. Similalarly, to denote south, it is lay get and north it is $t^{h} a \eta$ get (lay-leg; $t^{h} a y$ - probably up).

The apposed word tabu or alrenatively $t^{h} a b u$ cooccurs with the head word to combinaly denote the tree forest or plantation or the like.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tabu-diŋ | ku-siy | tree |
| free-sfx | pfx -free |  |
| $t^{h} a b u$-diy | siy-lay | forest |
| free-sfx | free-free |  |

The apposed word $j 2 g u$ is to occur with the head word $t s y \underline{i l}$. The clue for understanding this binomial pair is $\underline{s i}$ which denotes death. So, the learners interested in Mundhum language has to find out the clue buried under somewhere in the heap of periphrastic lexemes.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $j \sqsupset g u(-g \varepsilon n)$ | $t^{s} \supset \eta s i t \varepsilon-m a ?$ | die |
| free-sfx | free-free-sfx (INF) |  |
| $j \sqsupset g u$ | $k u-d^{2} \supset \eta t \varepsilon-m a ?$ | kill |
| free | pfx-free free-sfx (INF) |  |

The apposed word jaysiy occurs with the head word səywaba or maygenna denoting consanguineal relation between brother and sister or cousins.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| jaysiy(-go/gum/gen) | sypwaba/mangznna | brother/sister |
| free-sfx | free (M)/free (F) |  |

The apposed words $j \varepsilon$-bəkkə/je-bhuy have the same common head word $j \varepsilon b a / m a$ to denote the Limbu shamans.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $j \varepsilon$-bokko/b $h u \eta$ | $j \varepsilon$-ba/ma | shamanistic priest |
| free-sfx | free |  |

The word $j \varepsilon t-d^{z}$ iri seems more like echo than the apposed one because the head is also the same. This $j \varepsilon t$ is the clue which literally means numeral eight. It has retained the same basic meaning here too.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $j \varepsilon t-d^{2} i r i$ | $j \varepsilon t-$ lam-do | junction of eight roads |
| free-sfx | free-free-sfx |  |

The apposed word julzlle co-occurs with the headword juntsho suggesting the evening time. But surprisingly so-called headword shifts its role and appears as an apposed word too.

| Apposed words julelle | Head words junt $t^{\text {sh}} o$ | Meaning evening |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bound | free |  |
| $j u n t^{\text {sh }} O$ | $k^{h} a$ Pmak | evening |
| free | free |  |

The apposed word temen comes with such headwords which indicates the southern whether it may be country, sea, religion or anything else.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| temen | $l a d^{z \varepsilon}$ | southern plain Terai/India |
| free | free |  |
| temen-diy | worm | the Indian ocean |
| free-sfx | free |  |

Preceding the headwords by the term $t \varepsilon n d^{h} a m$ generally suggests gathering whether be it ceremony or anything else.

| Apposed words tend"am-gen/diy | Head words mekkhim | Meaning wedding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| free-sfx | free |  |
| tendham | pay-džum/podzum | fair/haat bazaar |
| free | free-free/free |  |

The apposed word tutu co-occurs with the headword that suggests very formal address to the respectable persons being addressed.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tuttu-gغn/diy | tum-ja-haך | respectable gentlemen |
| free-sfx | free-free-free |  |
| tutu-gغn | tum-ja-haŋ-ma | ladies |

The term jaikla precedes the headword suhay to mark a profound respect to the persons present.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| japkla-gen | su-hay | noblemen |
| free-sfx | pfx -free |  |

This address form usually co-occurs with the former mentioned address form e.g. tuttugen tummja hay japklagen suhay which refers to the address form showing the most polite version available in the Limbu culture.

## iii. Common apposed words

There are certain apposed words which do not confine to the same generic or the headwords having same category or class in terms their characteristic features.

The prefix <mu-> occurs with a wide range of apposed words covering the subject from the dust to star. This does not signify non-singular agent/subject (nsAS). So it is not the grammatical marker. This seems to be very elusive because it sometimes completely assimilates with the consequent apposed words. See the example below:

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $m u-d^{h} \supset k$ | $t^{h} a p p u$ | ashes |
| pfx-bound | free |  |
| $m u-d^{h}$ in | $k^{h} \varepsilon s \varepsilon$ | star |
| pfx-bound | free |  |
| $m u-j \varepsilon t$ | $j \varepsilon t-n a m$ | eight days |
| pfx-free | free-free |  |

hay as an apposed word appears with different headwords and thus providing diverse meanings. One thing what we should not be confused is that the apposed word never generates the meaning whether it is echo or any free lexeme. This means only the headword deserves that sort of capacity. Let us consider the meaning variable of the apposed word hay as follows:

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hay-dziri | hay-sitlay | main pillar of house |


| free-sfx | free-free |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hay-gen | sik-tsa | anger |
| free-sfx | free-free |  |
| hay-wa | muPi | strength/courage |
| free-sfx | free |  |

The term kettsk becomes an apposed word for several headwords with respective meanings.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $k \varepsilon t t s k$ | $t^{s} 5 m-t^{h} i$ | home made beer |
| free | free-free |  |
| $k \varepsilon t t \supset k-k u m$ | $m \varepsilon w a$ | mewa river (in Taplejung) |
| free-sfx | free |  |

The apposed term lasa precedes a number of different headwords.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lasa | $p^{h} u \eta-$-pipt | yak |
| bound | free-free |  |
| lasa-gen | $k u$-sa | meat |
| bound-sfx | pfx-free |  |

The term luydhhuy has preceded different headwords for various Mundhum expressions.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| luyd ${ }^{h} u \eta$ | kąik | consanguineal relation |
| free | free |  |
| luyd ${ }^{h} u \eta$ | pэŋwa | play/game |
| free | free |  |

The apposed word $\operatorname{sod} d^{h} u \eta$ comes before different headwords to denote different meanings.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{sod}^{h} u \eta$ | $l \varepsilon$ lpmu | sky |
| free | free |  |
| sod $^{h} u \eta-g \varepsilon n$ | tend ${ }^{h}$ am | marriageable relatives |

```
free-sfx
free
```

An apposed word tumdum occurs with different types of headwords for different significations.

| Apposed words | Head words | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tumdum | hena | prayer |
| free | free |  |
| tumdum | scri | holy rice used by priests |
| free | free |  |

## iv. Stock apposed words

Stock apposed words are those which are used in the scarce of others. Such apposed words are used only when the head word lacks its own. Another situation for using these stock is that when the Samba (the priest) happens to forget to use the right kind of apposed word during the Mundhum recitation. There are basically four stock terms viz. minu, wəja, le?wa.......tsmjok and huk-so.....taŋe. Among these four, the first two are used as binominals which occur as the modifiers of the respective headwords and the rest two are different in terms of their paradigmatic construction. They have already occurred as the set of head and its modifier. For instance, $l \varepsilon$ ?wa and huk-so are modifiers and tsmjok and taךع are the heads. These last two sets especially precede verb conjugation while the first two apposed words precede primarily nouns but not the verbs. See the examples below:

| Apposed words minu kiri?kna |  | Head words |  | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | phimbri?kwa kiri?kna |  | soap-nut (Nep rittha) |
| bound | free | free | free |  |
| wəja | $m \varepsilon b^{\prime}$ | jayday | $m e b^{h} o k s u$ |  |
| wəja | $m \varepsilon-p$ | jayday | $m \varepsilon-p^{h} O$ |  |
| APP | nsAS | baptisn | rite nsAS-1 |  |

The naming rite was held.

In the above examples minu and woja are modifiers of the head words $p^{h i b r i p k w a ~ a n d ~}$ jayday. Moreover, both modifiers minu and woja are bound lexemes. phibripkwa and
jayday are nouns suggesting the meaning the 'soap-nut' and 'naming ritual' respectively.

| 104.a | je......hukso | kott-u ro | taךع |  | ksttu ro |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | je.....huk-so | kott -u | ro | taye | kott -u | ro |
|  | VOC hand -BAL possess-3P ASS |  |  | arm | possess-3P | ASS |
| b | She took the baby. |  |  |  | 371 |  |
|  | je.. le? wa | $t^{\text {haktum lo }}$ | tsmjok |  | $t^{\text {haktum lo }}$ |  |
|  | je...lc? ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $t^{h} a k t$ | -m | lo | tsomjok | $t^{h} a k t-u$ | VOC undisturbed describe-3P-pADH ASS regularly describe-3P-pADH ASS Let us describe without any mistake.

The examples (104a-b) show that huk-so......taye and $l \varepsilon$ ?wa......ts smjok have occurred as the fixed set pairs however, it should not be taken as granted because the headword huk-so and postmodifier tane may take other headwords too. In fact, they are set pairs and most often they co-occur. But sometimes, the head words huk-so occurs with headword lay-so; likewise, le?wa occurs especially with the numerical terms.

### 5.6.5 Apposed/modifier and affixation

Modifiers or apposed words are set or established by the head words solely for expansion of beauty, rhythm and semantic dimension. They can be both free as well as bound lexemes. The bound lexemes however, take the affixes as if they are free lexemes. A brief discussion of the affixes which are attached to such modifiers is presented underneath:
i. Prefixes: The modifiers most often tend to occur without any prefix. However, some echo modifiers (apposed words) accept the prefix like <a->and<mu->.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mu-lık | $\underline{l k k t s}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | nude |
| $m u-\underline{d}{ }^{\text {b }}$ k | thjk-nam | weevil (OLV $t^{h} 3 k$; Nep- $\left.g^{h} u n\right)$ |
| a-hin | hin-lay | life |
| $a-\underline{s i}$ | si-ja | die |

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a-j u k & \text { juk-na } & \text { bed } \\
\text { (also sura juk-na) }
\end{array}
$$

## ii. Suffixes

a. Suffix- <-tiy/-diy>: (intervocalic and post nasal position $/ t /$ changes into $/ d /$ ) This suffix is highly recurring and pervasive in the Mundhum expressions. It attaches to the modifier or apposed words. As has already been said that modifiers occur with certain purposes and functions. Again these modifiers are intensified their meaning by the set of suffixes. For the same function, the suffix <-tiy/-diy> occurs with differnt apposed words. Examples:

```
tor`k-tiy
iksa-di\eta }->\mathrm{ (intervocalic position)
tsupsa\eta-diy }->\mathrm{ (post nasal position)
```

b. Suffix <-ken/-gen>: Like the above suffix it is also mostly recurring and pervasive type of suffix. Not only that it occurs as an alternative suffix to many modifiers. There are very few modifiers which realy restrict to these two suffixes in their alternative use. (The choice/sorting of the inntial sounds $/ \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{g} /$ depends on the same condition as has been referred to in the first suffix $/ \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{d} /$.

| Modifier | Headword $\quad$ Meaning |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tadi-g\&n | sepmay | dream (only suffix -g\&n is affixed not-diy) |
| abu-diy/-g\&n | sskma | breath (any one can be used <-diy or <-g\&n>) |

The choice between <-diy> and <-g3n> is mostly conditioned by the rhythm, rhyme and alliteration in the syntagm they are being used.

## c. Suffix <-tsiri/-tsili or -dziri /-dzili>

The four forms are of the same one suffix created different partly from idiosyncratically and partly from the condition of the final sound to which it is attached. For instance, <-tsiri/- $t s i l i>$ is by the reason of idiocrancracy and the form <$d^{z} i r i /-d^{z} i l i>$ is the allomorph of first form <-tsiil/-tsili>. The first form occurs after the voiceless consonants whereas the second form $\left\langle-d^{2} i i_{i} /-d^{2} i l i\right\rangle$ occurs after the voiced sounds. Examples:

Modifier Headword Meaning

| net-tsiri/tsili | net | two |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sum-dziri/dzili | sum | three |

## d. Suffix <-tsum /-dTum/- sum>

The suffix overtly is in found in three different forms, yet these forms function the same in the same context. The choice between $\left\langle-t^{s} u m\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle-d^{z} u m\right\rangle$ is determined by the final sound in which the suffix is going to attach. The suffix form <-tsum> occurs after the voiceless consonant final and $\left\langle-d^{z} u m\right\rangle$ after the voiced consonant final. But the form <-sum> is used with any sound ending words. This suffix is basically used with the echo-word apposition that means the same headword appears as its apposed word. Unlike the rest two forms $<-t^{s} u m$ and $-d^{z} u m>$ it is also found to be used as modifier word.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k^{h} a m$-dzum | $k^{h} a m-l e p$ | soil/land | ( $k^{h} a m$ in OLV) |
| $t^{\text {hak-tsum }}$ | $t^{h} a k-p e$ | hand loom | ( $t^{h} a k$ in OLV) |
| $k^{\text {hi-sum }}$ | $k^{h i}$-be | thread | ( $k^{h} i$ in OLV) |
| sum-dziri | sum | three | (sum in OLV) |

## e. Suffix <-kum/-gum>

The suffix form <-kum> and <-gum> are in the position of complementary distribution conditioned by final sound to which they attach.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| laik-kum | $p^{h}$ cmbo sa | male |
| muhi-gum | te-дŋsi | incarnation |

## f. Suffix <-thuy/- $\boldsymbol{d}^{h} u y>$

This suffix also occurs especially with the echo type word.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $l u \eta-d^{h} u \eta$ | $p э y w a$ | play $\quad(p э y w a$ in the OLV) |
| $m i-d^{h} u \eta$ | $m i-r a k$ | fire $\quad(m i$ in OLV) |

## g. Suffix <-sumbu/-sumb ${ }^{\boldsymbol{h}} \boldsymbol{u}$ >

The choice between the two forms is not because of the phonetic condition/environment but because of the idiosycratic use. Unlike other suffixes, this suffix can occur both as modifier as well suffix.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| toŋ-sumbu | toy | arrow (toy in OLV) ( occurred as suffix) |  |
| sumbu/o-diy | hukwa | gift of meal items prepared by one's own hand. |  |
|  |  | (occurred as modifier.) |  |

## h. Suffix <-rere/-lere/-lelle>

These three forms occur in the same context and purpose. The choice differs only on basis of word final to which they are affixed. When it occurs with the consonant final, it is <-rere>, and when after glottal sound and vowels, it is <-lere> or <-lelle>. Though the forms resamble with ergative/genitive markers, it has no thing to do with these adnominal case markers.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| me-rere | menda:k | goat |
| mak-lere | mak | black |

## i. Suffix <-suru/-tshuru>

This suffix has very limited occurence rate. It is found to be used with the following echo- modifier.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| su-tt ${ }^{\text {sh}}$ uru | suba? | mouse |
| su-ttshuru | suhamp $^{\text {sh}}$ cba | Limbu mythical hero. |

## j. Suffix <-ra>

This is the suffix which has low occurence rate in the Mundhum expression. The function of occurence as it is used, is to maintain the length of the verse line and rhythmical pattern. It is found in the alternate of suffix <-wa> or sometimes suffix <diy>. Its obligatory occurence is rare except one or two modifiers. It always follows vowel ending word.

Modifier Headword Meaning

| hara-ral-wa | pitstsmma | one of the assisant deities of Yuma Samman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t^{h} a m \varepsilon-r a l-d i y$ | ma | mother |
| $k^{h}$ appu-ra | sugut | an evil spirit of woman having died in the child birth |

## k. Suffix <-wa>

This suffix is rare occurring and too much complicated in the sense that it is very difficult to decide whether it is suffix or the end most part of a free lexeme. It is because the term wa occurs associating different meanings. For instance, wa signifies liquid, fowls, sun, desire and occurs with other nouns. The words having final wa are such as mikwa (tear), tipwa (partridge), seniywa (hen), miwa (sun), phuy-wa (flower) and so on. There are very few streams and rivers in the Limbu native land which do not have wa at the end of their names. However, <-wa> has been considered as a suffix only when it has been projected in the alternate of the suffix <-ra>. Another reason is also that the Limbu native speakers generally do not say $p^{h} u \eta-w a$ to suggest the flower. What they just say is $p^{h} u \eta$ for flower. The term $p^{h} u \eta-w a$ is only the Mundhum expression.

## 1. Suffix <-so>

The suffix <-so> seems to occur in a very limited modifiers of the Mundhum expression. To discern its function of occurence in the Mundhum is very difficult. However, what we can say about its occurence is just as other suffixes occurence in the classical expression. One obvious fact we can say is that they (suffixes) occur not for grammatical function or simply they are not grammaticalized morphemes but rather they do occur solely for stylistic and prosodic significances. So they must be discussed and analysed in terms of rhetorical values.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| huk-so-diy | sewa | welcome/greeting |
| hala-so | pittsmma | deity |

The discussion has revealed that a modifier can accept uptimum two layer suffixes. Acceptance and rejection primarily depends on the mode of expressions i.e. the suffixes employed in the religious sermon may not be employed in the incantation. For the sermon is mainly delivered in a prosaic form whereas prayer, incantation, etc., are presented in complex and compressed poetic form. In such situation some of suffixes are dropped. See the example below:
iksa-diy $k^{h}$ am-bek hopt- ro, torวy-diy taךsaך hopt- ro (expression in the sermon) free-sfx free-sfx free-sfx PCLE free-sfx free free-sfx PCLE
iksa hopt $-\varepsilon$ ro, $\quad k^{h}$ am-bek hopt- ro (expression in the incantation) free free sfx PCLE free-sfx free-sfx PCLE
troy hopt-e ro taysay hopt-e ro
free free-sfx PCLE free free-sfx PCLE

## m. Suffix <-diy>

This suffix <-diy> is used in the in the sermonic expression has been dropped in the incantion expression.The obvious reason is that the head word of every second half line consists of bisyllabic word $k^{h} a m$-bek and taysay. So, in order to maintain the syllabic number balance, the modifiers iksa and torm have dropped their respective suffx <-diy>.

## n. Suffix <-ko/-go>

The suffix <-ko/-go> occurs with the same modifying word only alternating on the basis of final sound to which it affixes.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a-hiy-go | hiy-lay | life |
| a-juk-ko | juk-na | bed |

## o. Suffix <-liy>

This is really a unique type because it occurs with both the heading as well as its modifier at the same time. See the following example:

$$
\text { mu-həŋ-liŋ } \quad k^{h} \varepsilon \text {-hวŋ-liך } \quad \text { hollowness/pervasive emptiness }
$$

### 5.6.6 Headword and affixation

By headword, it refers to the central element to which the whole appositional phrases, echo words or modifiers centre upon it. The Mundhum language uses such composition massively. Apart from its modifiers, the headword accepts affixation.
i. Prefix There is a small number of prefixes affixed to the head. Especially, head tends to take the affixes basically to avoid the mono-syllabic situation.

## a. Prefix <ku->

This prefix occurs with the head primarily to avoid the mono syllabic construction. In the ordinary speech situation the prefix <ku-> is considered as grammatical morpheme which would signify third person singular possessive marker. But regarding the classical expression, the more emphasis is on maintaining the accuracy in size, length, and number of syllables in a verse line. Here we find this prefix is being used with the heavenly bodies like the moon $k u$-la, the sun $k u$-nam and so forth. Literally, it (ku-la) denotes his/her/its moon; likewise, ku-nam means his/her/its sun. The possible reason might be that in the formal expressions, the use of first person pronominals are strictly avoided. We see in the formal invitations, the person who are inviting other, they customarily do not use first person pronouns.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| senday-gen | $k u-l a$ | moon |
| netti-gen | $k u-p^{h} u \eta\left(k u b^{h} u \eta\right)$ | life |

## b. Prefix <jo-/jo->

This prefix has two forms. The choice is not conditioned by phonological reason but it is idiosyncratic variation. It occurs with particular heads like pan and mil. It seems that the prefix je- or jo- is the changed form of *r-. In this regard, Benedict (1972, bls. 109) and Matisoff (2003, p. 126) view that *r- is the Tibeto-Burman prefix which is still being preserved in TB language family. According to them, ${ }^{*} \mathrm{r}$ - is affixed to noun roots just like *r-min; name. So, Limbu also seems to retain the archaic PTB prefix form but in the changed form* $r$ - to $j 0$ -

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sam-so | jo-min | name |
| peli-g\&n | jo-pan (joban) | speech/saying |

## c. Prefix $\left\langle\boldsymbol{k}^{h} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right.$->

The prefix < $k^{h} \varepsilon$-> occurs most often when the modifier word has the prefix <mu->. So, it can be said that this is counterpart prefix of the modirier prefix. Let us consider the following examples:

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mu-həy-liy | $k^{h} \varepsilon$-hวy-liy | void/iternal emptiness |
| pfx-free-sfx | pf-free-sfx |  |
| mu-sunne | $k^{h}$-sunne | absolute void |
| pfx-free | pfx-free |  |

## d. Prefix <the $\boldsymbol{l}^{\text {- }}$

This is affixed to very limited headwords.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pe-sap | $t^{h} e$-sap | write |

## e. Prefix <s $\varepsilon$->

Like the former prefixes, it is also a rare type of prefix occurring with very limited headword.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| muk-kum | sє-mi | fire |

## f. Prefix <pe->

It occurs with very limited headwords.
sendi-gen pe-sap fate/destiny

## g. Prefix <so->

Especially, the affixal form <so-> has been discussed as the suffix of modifier words as huk-so/miy-so. But the Mundhum expressions have made use it as a prefixal morpheme too.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sigi | so-sam | soul/spirit |

ii. Suffixes The head word accepts certain suffixes to it. The primary function of the suffix is to make the head word bisyllabic if it is monosyllabic. The other secondary function is related to maintain the balance in terms of rhythm, length of the lines and so on. Following is the discussion about basic recurring types of suffixes:

## a. Suffix <-wa>

This suffix <-wa> occurs with both the headword as well as the modifier or apposed word but not at the same time. There are certain headwords with which it tends to occur. But unlike its occurence with modifier, it does not occur with the alternative suffix <-ra>.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mikki-gen | $p^{h} u \eta-w a$ | youth |  |
| parik-ken | lay-wa | souvenir | the expression parik-ken | lay-wa is second part of the first part sumbu-diy huk-wa and these both combinely give off the meaning as gift of meal items prepared by one's own hand to present other on specific occasion.

## b. Suffix <-so>

This suffix also primarily co-occurs with the headwords in order to make monosyllabic headword dissyllabic. It also commonly occurs with both headword and modifier.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nahen/hara | mik-so | jealousy |
| hay-so | miy-so | renown/famous/well known |

## c. Suffix <-pa/-ba>

From the grammatical point of view, the Limbu grammar considers this suffix <-pa/$b a>$ as a nominalizer or masculine gender marker. In the ritual context, the suffix <$p a /-b a>$ seems to occur not for fulfilling these sorts of grammatical functions but to maintain the rhythmic effect and syllabic structure.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sen-day-g\&n | la:-ba | moon |
| len-day-gen | nam-ba | sun |

## d. Suffix <-bo>

Most of the suffixes attached to the headwords are very limited types. They are not widely used as with the suffixes to modifiers or appositions. This suffix <-bo> is also used with only names of the Limbu dresses especially worn west down. From the kind
of dress, the gender is specified. The babies are not differentiated by any other gender marker lexicons but they are called as the kind of dress wearers.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| laik-kum | $p^{h}$ cm-bo | male |
| laik-kum | sim-bo | female |

The literal meanings of the headwords $p^{h} \varepsilon m(-b o)$ and $\operatorname{sim}(-b o)$ loin clothes worn by male and saree like garment worn by female respectively.

## e. Suffix <-ja>

This suffix <-ja> is used with such headword which has an echo word as its modifier. It is also like other suffixes a limited type. The nature of headword suffix shows that a particular suffix is affixed to a particular type of noun. So, this suffix is also seemed to attach with weaponary objects used by the ancient people.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| toŋ- $d^{2} u m$ | toŋ-ja | arrow |
| $p^{h_{\varepsilon}-s u m ~}$ | $p^{h_{\varepsilon-j a}}$ | knife $\left(k^{h} u k u r i\right)$ |

In the vernacularized form, $p^{h} \varepsilon-j a$ is pronounced as $p^{h} \varepsilon-d^{z} a$.
f. Suffix <-do> It is a unique suffix occurring only with one head word lam; road.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sawa-diy | jet-lam-do | eight paths/junction of eight paths. |

g. Suffix <-be> It is also a limited suffix that occurs with a few headwords.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tsomsay-diy | jam-be | body |

h. Suffix <-la> This suffix appears with certain headwords as follows:

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nasiy-gen | $t^{h} 弓$-la | body |

i. Suffix <-bhok It is also a unique for it occurs with only one heading.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| li-d ${ }^{z} u m$ | li-bhok | bow |

j. Suffix <-pe> It, like the above mentained suffixes, is essentially limited one but not a single headword.

| Modifier | Headword | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $t^{h} a k-t^{s} u m$ | $t^{h} a k$-pe | hand loom |

### 5.6.7 Classification of the Mundhum affix on the basis of function

As has been already mentioned that the affixation used in the Mundhum expression is essentially distinct from the ordinary speech variety. Such affixal items are rarely used in daily communcative behaviour. There are different types of the Mundhum affixal forms however they can be classified into three different categories on the basis of the function they perform. They are briefly discussed as follows:
i. Linking (LNK): The Mundhum most often makes use of pair or binominal expression that is, the headword usually cooccurs with the premodifier or apposed words. There is structural difference between recital and that of sermonized or oratorical version. The Mundhum in the sermonized verson, the headword and its apposed word cooccur adjacently as paired form but in the recital verse form, these two occur in the alternative position. This means both precede the identical verb in different halves. Let us consider the example below:
 sermon)
free-sfx.free-sfx. free-sfx. PCLE. free-sfx. free free-sfx. PCLE
iksa hopt $-\varepsilon$ ro, $\quad k^{h} a m-b e: k$ hopt- ro (expression in the incantation)
free free sfx PCLE free-sfx free-sfx PCLE
torob hopt-e ro taysay hopt-e ro
free free-sfx PCLE free free-sfx PCLE

From the above given example, the matter about the 'linking' affix occurs primarily in the paired occurence in the sermonized form. In the sermonized version, iksa (earth) is the apposed/premodifier of the headword $k^{h} a m b e: k$ (earth). This pair does not occur together without linking affix in between. For example, the structure like
iksa $k^{h} a m b e$ : $k$ hopte is very odd and it can not occur in this way. So these two terms $i k s a k^{h} a m b e: k$ are often linked by certain affixal form and this has been termed here as linking thus labeled 'LNK' for the purpose of glossing. e.g.
iksa-diך $k^{h} a m b e: k$, toron-din taysaך, mikki-gen $p^{h} u \eta w a, e t c$.
In these three pair words the suffixes <-diy> and <-gen> are linking suffixes because they link modifiers with their headwords respectively.

On the contrary, the Mundhum verse form which is used in the incantation, often drops the linking type suffixes. e.g.

$$
\text { iksa hopt }-\varepsilon \text { ro, } k^{h} a m-b e: k \text { hopt-є ro }
$$

The important thing with the incantational form is that the both halves should have equal number of syllables. If we put the 'linking' suffix in such line the syllabic structure gets imbalanced. The linking types are always suffixes because they have to affix with the apposed words in order to bridge between the apposed/modifier and the headword as shown in the above examples. The linking type suffixes are not many in number but they occur in the highest frequency. An analysis reveals that the 'linking' suffix <-ken/gen> occurs two hundred thirty five (235) times out of eight hundred twenty (820) with the Mundhum apposed words which is $28.65 \%$. Likewise, the linking suffix <-diy> has occurred one hundred fifty three (153) times out of eight hundred twenty (820) that is $18.86 \%$. The next linking suffix are <-kum/-gum,-ra/$w a>$ and < $-k o /-g o>$ but they have very low frequency of occurence that is, below one percentage.
ii. Balancing (BAL): This type of affix occurs with mono-syllabic headwords as well as the premodifier/apposed words in order to make them disyllabic and to maintain the balance in the syllable structure in a verse line. For this, such affixal type is termed as balancing and labeled as BAL for the glossing purpose. This type involves both suffix and prefix. The balancing affixation used as prefixes are in limited in number in comparison to the suffixes. They are jo-, tak-, ta:-, and $k u$-. Let us see them being used in the Mundhum words as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mundhum Binominal } \quad \text { Term in ordinary speech and } \\
& \text { meaning }
\end{aligned}
$$

| sam-so jo-min | (miy) | name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tage tak-nam | (pihandik) | morning |
| talclle ta-nam | (pihandik) | morning |
| scnday ku-la: | $(l a: b a)$ | moon |

In the above Mundhum binominals, the underlined forms are balancing prefixes which have preceded the headwords like miy, nam, and la: and they all are monosyllabic words.

The balancing suffixes are as: -la, -jo, -so, -ba, -bo, -be, -to, -do, -lck, -la:k, -pe, -sum, $-d^{z} u m$, etc. Examples:

| Mundhum Binominal | Term in ordinary speech and meaning |
| :---: | :---: |
| to: $\eta-\underline{d^{z} u m}$ to: $\eta-\underline{l a}$ | (to:Y) arrow |
| $t^{h} \mathrm{inday}$ sa-jo | (sa?) offspring |
| hara mik-ş | (nahen) envy/jealousy |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ - $\underline{\text { sum }} \mathrm{k}^{\text {hi}}$-be | ( $k^{h i}$ ) thread |

If any of the above mentioned (monosyllabic) suffixes occurs with disyllabic words, at that time it is no more functioning as the balancer rather it is functioning as linking suffix. For example,

| Mundhum Binominal | Term in ordinary speech and meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| tiy-diy-So nahen | (nahen) jealousy |

iii. Rhyming (RHM): The third type of suffix is that which occurs primarily for the purpose of alliteration or for enhancing the rythmical value in the verse. Such type of suffix has been labeled as 'RHM' in the glossing. Unlike the above mentioned two types of affixations, it is disyllabic whereas the aforementioned are usually the monosyllabic. The rhyming suffexes are $-t^{s i r i} /-d^{z} i r i,-s u r u /-t^{s h} u r u,-l \varepsilon r \varepsilon /-r \varepsilon r \varepsilon /-l \varepsilon l l \varepsilon$, $t^{s}$ iri/-dziri, -tum/-dum and -sumbu. The slant (/) denotes the option on the basis of word final they are affixed to. Let us consider the example below:

| Mundhum Binominal | Term in ordinary speech and meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| sum-dziri sum ha?luy | (ha?luy) hearth stones triad |


| $\jmath$ k-tsiri skwama | (эkwama) | deity of the main pilar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kap-tsili kap | (kap) | pair |
| me-rere me:ndak | (mendak) | goat |

### 5.6.8 Verb morphology of the Mundhum

The close reading of the Limbu Mundhum reavels that the verbal paradigm does not exhibit a wide different as it is found in the nominal morphology. Not only in the Limbu Mundhum, but other Tibeto-Burman language family have also a similar position regarding the verbal conjugation. In this context, Gaenszle (1995) gives the reference to the Mewahang Rai Mundhum language and says "whilst the conjugational system of the ritual language is the same as that of ordinary language, the stems usually differ, although they are still morphologically related." From his statement, it is easily inferred that there is no fundamental difference between the verbal structure of the ritual language and that of ordinary language. His conclusive statement might have been made after the comparative study of nominal structure of the two language varieties i.e. ritual and ordinary. However there are some noticeable differences in terms of verb's structural make-up.

The differences mainly result from the special use of connotative verbs. Most often ritual verbs leave their literal meaning and aspire to the figurative level. The next reason of being dissimilar is that verbs collocate with quite unusual terminologies which overtly create the distinguishing line between two varieties. In many cases, verbal stems are prefixed by particular morpheme as Angdembe (2012, p. 35) cites its example wa-hzmma?>hrmma? 'open' in the ritual expression. He further refers to that prefix repeats the initial consonant (e.g. ro-ruyma?, ju-jиŋma?, lemma? and the like) and one could also speak of a weaker form of parallelism. However there is no strict regularity in such repetition. In this regard ,Gaenszle (2002, p. 48) states 'one also finds ordinary verbal expressions as well as non-prefix verbs which only occur in the ritual language'. Let us consider the examples of such verbs and collocations below:


```
    khuni mikki p
    they youthful love-PT-dPS flower-BAL bloom-PT-dPS
```

They two had sexual relationship.
b


Above in the first example mikki refers to youth and $p^{h} u y w a$ refers to flower and both nouns have identical verb $p^{h}$ cktets ${ }^{s h i}$ suggesting the meaning bloom. Literally, the line seems to be nonsensical and absurd as well. But from the figurative level, really it suggests a new and fresh meaning. Its metaphorical meaning is the young couple quenched their carnal desire or they had sexual intercourse.

The second example has presented an unusual collocation of noun and verb. The nouns are jogu and $t^{s} \partial y s i$ which are both unusual for the ordinary conversation. The later noun $t \varsigma y s i$ is paralyzed noun because $s i$ is intransitive verb which means die but it has affixed the morpheme $t^{s} y$ and assimilates the prefix meaning to it. In this way the terms $t^{s} \partial y$ and si combine give the meaning 'death'. Here, si is paralizer since it has paralyzed the meaning of independent morpheme $t^{s} \eta$ whose meaning is bud. The common verb of two different nouns is tesu which is third person singular number verb. The perfective gerund <-ay> indicates the perfective aspect of the verb. The verb tesu literally means to take somebody/thing to somewhere. Likewise, the first noun $j \leadsto g u$ is essentially a ritual term which does not have overt meaning in the OLV (Ordinary Language Variety). From the paralizer verb si in the affixed form $t \varsigma y s i$, we now can derive the meaning that someone has killed something. We can infer this meaning because someone has taken something to death.

Most often the verbs collocate with unusual nouns which occur only in the ritual expressions. There are two sets of such unusual nouns. They are huk-so.....tane and $l \varepsilon$ ?wa.......tsmjok. They always collocate with identical verb and create much difficulty in understanding the meaning. Let us see the examples as follows:
106.a hukso hiptulle tays hiptulle
huk-so hipt-u-lle taye hipt-u-lle
hand -BAL beat-3P-SUB arm beat-3P-SUB
Beat with a broomstick.
b je... le?wa memmare tsomjok memmare
je le?wa mem-ma-?e tsomjok mem-ma-?e
VOC undisturbed npG-lose-npG unhindered npG-lose-npG
Let's not make any mistake and lose the series. 030

When these sets of headwords and modifiers occur with the identical verb they create a kind of confusion to locate the expected meaning from the circumlocutionary expression. Only the bare meaning of the saying hukso hiptulle taye hiptulle is 'beat' not more than that. Similarly, the next ritualistic expression 'je... le?wa memma?e tsmjok memma?e' has merely to say 'not to lose.' So, in order to express these very short things, ritual language makes use of such a long roundabout way.

### 5.6.9 Functions of apposed/modifier words

The close reading of the linguistic feature of the Mundhum reveals that it has used a number of different techniques. The kind of language the Mundhum exploits has really set it off the ordinary speech variety. What makes the Mundhum language different from that of ordinary language variety has, so far been discussed above. Mainly two kinds of morphemic features play the predominant role to make the Mundhum language look like Mundhum language. The adnominal headword most often co-occurs with its the modifier. The modifier can be synonym, antonym, and echo-word or even bound lexeme. Then both headword and its modifier affix a number of affixation. So, the modifier including astonishing variety of affixation pushes the Mundhum language to its limit. And most often, it can fall over the edge of language into unintelligibility. For this reason some native speakers raise a mild voice of criticism on being verbal excesses for sacrificing the meaning to the seductive pattern of sound or graphic form.

Had the Mundhum not embraced this feature of language, it would not have been able to arouse curiosity in many linguists and to continue its glorious existence. They are solely the headwords with perfectly matching modifiers and diverse affixations which have lifted the Mundhum language high above the level of ritual language variety or

High Variety (HV). Now, here is a brief discussion concerning the functions of modifier (Apposed and Echo Words) as below:
i Expansion of semantic dimension: Recurrence of modifiers definitely has a goal or motive. The Mundhum language uses modifiers profusely in order to get the matter well expressed. The addresser tries to make his/her expression clearer, more pointed, cutting and expressive. To increase the power of expressiveness, $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ tries to seek the available means of linguistic devices. These devices are nothing but they are comparison, contrast, parallelism and musicality. When these devices are applied in the sayings they are likely to achieve the expected effect from the audience or the addressee. Therefore, the modifiers are enhancing and expanding the expressive dimension. Now let us consider how these modifiers enhance the expressive dimension as such:

```
107.a samdzik potheben mund}\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathrm{ thum potsheben}
    samdzik pot th_\varepsilon-b\varepsilon-n mund}\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathrm{ h}}um pot th_\varepsilon-b\varepsilon-n
    knowledge be-PT-NOM-ABS myth be-PT-NOM-ABS
    Retell the existing myth and story.002
```

In above example, the word 'mund ${ }^{h} u m$ ' is headword and its modifier is samdzik which specifies what kind of Mundhum it is talking about because all Mundhums are not samd ${ }^{z i}$. The $s^{2}{ }^{2} d^{z i} k$ mund $^{h} u m$ primarily deals with mythology and philosophy. Both headword and its modifier have idendical verb potseben. This repetition of verb definitely does have a purpose that it is giving the emphasis on the existence and significance of the subject samdzik mund ${ }^{h} u m$.


The example 107.b also says only 'the dream was good'. Here, sepmay is the headword and tadik is an apposed or modifier which doesnot generally occur in isolation. The apposed word tadik is but for expansion of the semantic dimension.
ii Expansion of expressive dimension: Denotative or literal form cannot bear or communicate abstract phenomena. So in order to convey the complex thought, the expression must be distinct and specific as well. For instance the concept of life is essentially an abstract notion. It cannot be expressed through denotative expression. The headwords collocate with such modifiers which can express the matters through metaphor or irony and paradox. In fact, irony and metaphor are the twin bases of the poetic language. These both operate through contrast and comparison. Here is the example:

```
108.a k kuni mikki phekt\varepsilontshi phu\etawa phckt\varepsilontshi
    khuni mikki phekt-\varepsilon-tshi p
    they youthful love-PT-dPS flower bloom-PT-dPS
    They two had sexual relationship. 522
```

In the line $k^{h} u n i$ mikki $p^{h} k t \varepsilon t^{s h}$, $p^{h} u \eta w a p^{h} \varepsilon k t \varepsilon t^{s h} i$ the term mikki denotes life and the other term $p^{h}$ uywa denotes flower. The life or the youth has been implied as flower. The life or youth is abstract thing. So, in order to convey clearly the abstract notion life has been attributed to concrete object flower. Now, the flower can have a number of associations just like delicacy, transitory and so forth. By looking at the flower, we can realize what a transient our life is. In this way the modifiers have a great deal of function to enhance the expressive quality of the language. Also see the next instance as:

```
b allopeli pattshin lo joban pats\mp@subsup{t}{}{sh}iy lo
    allo peli pat -tshi\eta lo jo-ban pat-tshin lo
    now speech speak -REF EMPH BAL- speak -REF EMPH
    She talked at her mind.
    343
```

The subjects (peli and joban) of the both halves are synonymous. From the stylistic point of view, it is lexical parallelism which stresses the meaning. The term peli suggests 'speech' and so does the term joban.
iii Assonance and alliterative verse: The headwords usually co-occur with modifiers side by side. They are also moderately affixed. The affixation is rightly chosen so that it can contribute to enhance rhythmic effect and to maintain the number of syllable in a line because in a verse line both are inevitable things. Assonance is concerned with the repetition of resembling vowel sound whereas alliteration is about the repetition of initial consonant sound in more than two words in the same line. This recurring sound constitutes musical effect in the verse. Let us have an example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 109.a allo mentsham tsogun pay japmi tsoguy phay } \\
& \text { allo mentsham tsog-u-ŋ phaך japmi tsog-u-ŋ phaך } \\
& \text { now human make-3P-1sA SUB human being make-3P-1sA SUB } \\
& \text { Now he wished to create the human being. } \mathbf{0 1 8}
\end{aligned}
$$

The example shows that the verse line comprises both assonance and alliteration. The sounds $/ \mathrm{t} /$ and $/ \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ are the example of alliteration. Likewise, sounds $/ \mathrm{a} /$, /o/ and $/ \mathrm{u} /$ are the example of assonance. Candidly speaking, there is hardly a line of the Mundhum which is devoid of alliteration and assonance. This pervasive occurence of assonance and alliteration is undoubtedly one of the secrets of Mundhum's beauty. Let us consider the other example below:
b $\quad k^{h} u n \varepsilon k^{h} a m d^{z} u m$ nit $t^{s s_{\varepsilon}}$ ro $k^{h} a m l e p$ nit $t^{s^{h}}$ ro
$k^{h} u n \varepsilon k^{h} a m-d^{2} u m \quad n i-t^{\text {the }} \varepsilon$ ro $k^{h} a m-l e p n i-t^{\text {sh }} \varepsilon$ ro
s/he soil -BAL see-PUR ASS soil - clod see -PUR ASS
She looked for the soil. 336

In the cited verse above, it contains the alliterative sound like $/ k^{h}, n$ and $r /$ and the assonance
like $/ u, \varepsilon, a, i$ and $o /$.
iv Rhythmical and rhyming: The integrated association of headword, modifier and their selective affixation gives birth to both rhythm and rhyme. The rhythm is matter of combination of stressed and unstressed syllables or vice-versa sequence in a line whereas rhyme is about resembling sound at the end of the verse lines. Consider the following lines:

## 110.a je... sawa pekille, jetjen pekille

je sawa pek-ille, jet-jen pek-ille
VOC all go-SUB eight days go-SUB
After eighth day,
127
b je.. pegi pekille, phaŋjen pekille
je.. pegi pek-ille, phaŋ-jen pek-ille
VOC ninth go-SUB nine days go-SUB
In the same way, after ninth day,
128

In the above example, only two lines are presented. Each line consists of ten syllables and there is a pause in every fifth syllable. This is coincidence that two lines have rhymed together because most of the lines are structured for intra-line rhyming pattern not for exter-line rhyming and for this reason it has been said the case of exter-line rhyming is a coincidence. Regarding the earliest poetry, Long (1989) states:
'The rhythm of all our earliest poetry depended upon accent and alliteration that is, the beginning of two or more words in the same line with the same sound or letter. The lines were made up of two halves separated by a pause. No rhyme was used; but musical effect was produced by giving each half two strongly accented syllables.' (pp. 17-18)

As we go through the Mundhum verse lines, the features referred to by Long (1989) are found to be very much similar. The above presented lines are made up of two halves with intra-line rhyming pattern. The lines are full of alliteration and assonance. The second line has all four words beginning with the sound /p/. Let us see below:

```
"je... sawa pekille, jetjen pekille
je.. pegi pekille, p}\mp@subsup{}{}{h}a\etajen pekille
```

v. Musicality: Musicality is the life and soul of the earliest poetry. In this regard, Otto Jespersen's (1921) view on origin of language is worth mentioning here which is referred to by Yule (1995, p.1) as:

The genesis of language is not to be sought in the prosaic, but in the poetic side of life; the source of speech is not gloomy seriousness, but merry play and youthful hilarity... In primitive speech I hear the laughing cries of exultation when lads and lassies vied with one another to attract the attention of the other sex, when everybody sang his merrist and danced his bravest to lure a pair of eyes to throw admiring glances in his direction. Language was born in the courting days of mankind.

Otto Jespersen (1921)

In the Mundhum with the view to produce the musical effect, the verse lines have been carefully composed of. The use of alliteration and assonance is primarily for generating music. The choice of diction and use of alliterative affixations like $t^{s i r i}$, $d^{z i r i}$, sumbu, sum, $t^{s} u m, d^{z} u m, d^{h} u \eta$, and rere or lere are solely for music. Let us see and feel how the Mundhum verse runs along with the music as follows:
111.a je.. mandziri?o $t^{h} O$, maŋlen $t^{h a m \varepsilon ? o ~} t^{h} o$
je.. may-dziri-?o $\quad t^{h} o$, may-l $\varepsilon-n \quad t^{h} a m \varepsilon-$ ?o $t^{h} O$
VOC god - dwelling-LOC above god-GEN-ABS residence-LOC above
In the same way, after ninth day,
027
b tsangi thane ro $t^{h}$ obun thaye ro allo
tsaygi thal - ro thobun thay- $\varepsilon$ ro allo
development achieve -PT ASS growth achieve-PT-ASS now
Now began to grow and develop.
444
 and $/ t^{h} /$ and the assonance sounds are $/ a /, / \varepsilon /$ and $/ o /$. Similarly, in the example 'b'
 $/ \mathrm{r} /$ and the assonance $/ \mathrm{a} /, / \mathrm{o} /$ and $/ \varepsilon /$
vi. Sonority: The modifier is so much of helpful in making the Mundhum line sonorous that is, the lines are pleasing with deep sound. The sonorant sounds like $l l, r$, $n, m, w$, and $j /$ have been profusely used in the most of the lines. Let us see below:
112. a khija sama-len kotso sama-le-n$k^{h}$ ija sama-le-n kotso sama-le-nhound bitch-GEN-ABS dog bitch-GEN-ABSThe dog's (bitch)
b woja pikse ro pimba pikse ro
woja piks - $\quad$ ro pimba piks-є-ro
APP dislocate-PT-ASS rib dislocate-PT-ASS
Backbone was dislocated. ..... 558

Looking at lines of the Mundhum verse, we can easily find out how carefully the dictions have been selected. All the sonorant sounds $/ l, r, n, m, w$, and $j /$ have been used to make the incantation pleasing because these sounds are considered as frictionless sounds. In the given example the headwords are kotso sama and pimba (bitch and rib) the modifiers are $k^{h} i j a$ sama and $w o j a$. The first modifier is synonym of the headword whereas the later modifier (wəja) is essentially bound lexeme.
vii. Structural parallelism: The modifiers' occurrence in the Mundhum is extremely desirable because they are proved to be helpful to make the verse lines parallel from different perspectives. Because of their recurrence, the lines are of equal length having ten syllables like English heroic couplet. For the alliteration and assonance in the line, modifiers are the most essential components. Likewise, the lines are parallel in terms of semanticity, grammaticality, and rhythmically. So, it can be said that the modifiers are multi dimensional.
113.a $k^{h}$ हyhap go $k^{h} a m t^{s h} t_{-t}$ thiri go $k^{h} a m b o \eta b a ~ s a-h a ? ~ r o$$k^{h} \varepsilon \eta-h a ? ~ g o k^{h} a m t^{s h i}$-tshiri $^{\text {go }} k^{h} a m-p o \eta-b a \operatorname{sa-ha?~ro}$they -p then aborigines then indigenous offspring-p ASSThey are the siblings/offspring born out of the land.270
b je... luyt shi-tshiri go luyboyba sa-ha? ..... ro
je... luyt ${ }^{\text {shi }}$-tshiri go luy-poy-ba sa-ha? ..... ro
VOC ancient tribe then primitive offspring-p ASS
They are born out of the stones.271

The above example includes two verse lines. They are:

$k^{h}$ हŋha? go $k^{h} a m t^{s h} i-t^{s h} i r i g o k^{h} a m b o \eta b a \operatorname{sa-hap~ro}$<br>je... luŋt ${ }^{s h}$ i-tshiri go luŋboŋba sa-ha? ro

In these two lines they are of equal length and equal number of syllables too. When the Samba wants to focus or to introduce new topic, he generally uses the initiator markers which can be linguistic or non-linguistic components. The first line has the initiator markers like $k^{h} \varepsilon \eta h a$ ? $g$ and second line has je.... As we drop these initiator markers, then we have only the main core part of the lines. They are parallel in many ways. The headwords are $k^{h} a m b o \eta b a$ and luyboyba. Similarly the modifiers are $k^{h} a m t^{s h} i-t^{s h} i r i$ and luyt shi-tshiri. From the phonological point of view, the headwords and modifiers have many similar phonemes. When we compare these two lines on the basis of morphemic construct they are similar in terms of number of morphemes both bound and free. Moreover they are semantically as well as syntactically parallel. When we move towards the prosodic features they seem to be identical in many respects. The prosodic elements like alliteration, assonance, rhythm and rhyme; nothing seems to be lacking there. These all resembling features result in the parallelism. The basic morphemes are $k^{h} a m$ and luy which mean soil (earth) and stone respectively. Hence, the both lines literally mean as the people who originated at the same time as the soil and stone of the earth.
viii. Embellishment: The occurrence of modifier in the Mundhum language is one of the important aspects which functions as ornament to the linguistic expression. According to Thomas Carlyle, language is garment of thought. It depends upon the language user as what kind of garment $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ is going to make his/her thought wear. If we think over the language of Mundhum from this aspect, we find it highly embellished. The language has been embellished through the use of figures of speech. Moreover, it is highly rhetoric. Rhetoric, as Aristotle defines, 'is an art of discovering all the available means of persuasion in any given case.' The Mundhum language is ornamented with the prosodic features like alliteration, assonance, rhythm, rhyme, etc., in one hand; it is also decorated with other figures of speech like metaphor, simile, paradox, epigram or antithesis, irony, symbol, imagery, etc., on the other.

Citing examples for each of the figures of speech is not possible here because of space, some of the leading devices are shown through the example as below:

## 114.a sendi melosuay tumma? melosuan

sendi me- los -u -aך tum-ma? me- los -u -aך
depart nsAS-perform-3P-pfG meet-INF nsAS-perform-3P-pfG
Wished to meet.
117

This is an exquisite example of paradox. Here, the headword tumma? denotes meeting and its counterpart word or the modifier is sendi which means depart or separate. The headword tumma? and its apposed word sendi are quite contradictory to each other. The expected meaning of the expression is they met each other. The question rises why then the modifier sendi is necessary there. This expression at once reflects a number of connotations regarding the life situations. Life is cruelly imprisoned under such predicament. After all, life is full of paradoxes. Nobody can laugh a full laughter because when one is laughing, there too tear appears amidst his/her laughter. Separation is there to follow the act of meeting like a shadow. Those who/which are always and already together, there is no question of meeting. Meeting occurs only after the occurrence of separation. Meeting and separation are the inevitable processes of life. Through this unique expression, the Mundhum seems to deliver the message to the mankind that life is nothing but meeting and separation.
b $\quad j e . . . . . . k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon$ iksa $k^{h} m m d \varepsilon$ ?o $\quad k^{h} a m b e k k^{h} \varepsilon m d \varepsilon ? o$
je...... $k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon$ iksa $k^{h} \varepsilon m d-\varepsilon$ - $-o \quad k^{h} a m b e k k^{h} \varepsilon m d-\varepsilon ?-o$
VOC you(s) earth suit-IMP-VOC land suit-IMP-VOC
May you be suitable being for the earth! 281

The above line is about one of the figures of speech that is metaphor. This line occurs when the creator god Porokmiba Yomphamiba completed creating the first human who was female named Muzingnama Kheyongnama, and then god blessed and wished her saying je...... $k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon$ iksa $k^{h} \varepsilon m d \varepsilon$ ?o $k^{h} a m b e k k^{h} \varepsilon m d \varepsilon$ ?o which would literally mean 'May you be an adornment (suitable/matching) to the earth!' But this saying has its underlying meaning which is also popularly known as metaphorical meaning that is the first created female Muzingnama Kheyongnama was not only a human being but
also an exquisite ornament for the earth. In the literal level, a human being cannot be the ornament of the earth but in the figurative level, it is typically possible. This is why the god addresses the first created female Muzingnama Kheyongnama as 'you should be the ornament of the land (iksa) and the virtue or merit of the earth (khambek)'. In this way, the Mundhum language is the language of embellishment.
ix Formal and Serious: These two terms formal and serious are indeed the most frequent terms in the literary field and they are diverse in their meanings too. But here the term formal is being referred to in the line of formal versus common and formal versus informal expression. Here, formal is in relation to the choice of diction, syntactic structure and so on. Likewise, the term serious is in terms of the meaning that the expression suggests. The meanings of the remarks are of various types. For instance, some suggest light meaning, some suggests jocular meaning and some suggests grave and serious. So, the measuring device whether the expression is formal and serious or not is the structure of the sentence and the types of dictions used in the sentence. Let us see the example:

| Informal | common | formal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kids | children | offspring |
| booze | drinks | beverage |

In the syntactic level too, we can find three distinct forms of expression for the same purpose. For example, if somebody gives/helps to someone, generally, his/her response can be:

Thanks.
Thank you very much.
informal common or in between

I would like to thank you for your kind help. formal
The Mundhum expressions, therefore, are essentially formal and the meanings they suggest are serious and grave. Let us consider the example below:

| 115.a |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | je $t^{\text {hame }}$ | jзтта-е | a-mma | jımтa-e |
|  | VOC parent (F) large (F)-VOC my-mother large (F)-VOC |  |  |  |
|  | Oh! my mother! |  |  | 475 |

In the above line- je.... thame jommae amma jommae! (Oh my mother!), the young son of the first mother, Muzingnama Kheyongnama is addressing his mother. In this address form, the headword is amma (mother) and its modifier is $t^{h} a m \varepsilon$ (great/reverned). He could have addressed his mother just by saying 'amma-e' in the ordinary speech variety but there are many words so as to make the expression formal, grave and high sounding. The term $t^{h} a m \varepsilon$ is inherently a ritual term only used for addressing mother to show the highest respect. The seriousness can be felt in both meaning and content. It has embraced the philosophical issues like origin of man; origin of sin, how human was condemned to death and the like. Let us consider another example of a formal address made to the junior grandsons as:


VOC loving grand children -VOC akin baby like grand children -VOC
Oh, my grandsons!
219
x. Loftiness: The term loftiness refers to both the style and the subject matter. The style used in Mundhum is undoubtedly grand and lofty. It shows Homer, Dante, Milton and the like, existed there in the remotest past in the land of Yakthungba to create imperishable monument of the Limbu Mundhum. The majestic style that the Mundhum language possesses is by no means inferior to the styles of the aforementioned greatest poets of the western world. But the sad thing we have here is that we cannot call upon those creators of timeless beauty by their names. They are the most venerable but anonymous forever. Every line of the Mundhum feels like a succinct saying sprung out of nugget intelligence. To form such grand and lofty style, the paired expression or binominal or apposed modifier words have played a crucial role. These lexemes are like the precious materials to form such a charming structure of the Mundhum. Let us consider the example below:

## 116.a je... tsilli thaslle nara thaclle

$j e \ldots$ tsilli $t^{h} a-\varepsilon$-lle nara $t^{h} a-\varepsilon-l l e$
VOC charm fall-PT-SUB appearance fall-PT-SUB
This way the condemned/ accursed human life, 237
b je.. $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ jogu pegebe tsonsi pegebe
je.. $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ jэgu peg- $\varepsilon-b \varepsilon \quad t^{s}$ ŋуsi peg- $\varepsilon-b \varepsilon$
VOC s/he breath go-PT-IPF liveliness go-PT-IPF
And s/he succumbed to death.

These presented two lines occur in the context when the creator gods were failure to create human by blending precious metals, then they mixed up trivial matters like clay, ashes and shits of fowls and tested by creating the human figure. As they called the human image, to their great surprise, the figure responded their call. In fury, they happened to shower the spiteful curses upon the human figure. Eventually, it withered away and breathed its last breath. These innocent looking lines are pregnant with the philosophy of an eternal truth. It is said human became mortal being ever since the first human was condemned to death. It has a message to the mankind that our life is essentially transient because it is accursed life from the beginning of its creation. In the first line je... tsilli thaclle nara $t^{h} a \varepsilon l l e$, the headword is nara which means 'face' and the modifier tsilli means 'gracefulness'. Both headword and modifier have the identical verb $t^{h} a \varepsilon l l e$ which literally means 'drop' or 'fall'. The whole line literally means- 'the charm or gracefulness fell from the face'. But the line connotes the meaning that the life force was gone after the human figure had received the curse from the part of the creator gods.

Similarly in the next line je.. $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ jวgu pegebe tsysi pegebe the headword $t^{\text {sonsi }}$ with the meaning death and its modifier is jagu of which the meaning is unknown or it can be said a bound lexeme. The identical verb pegebe literally suggests 'went'. The underlying meaning is the accursed person died. In other words, the divine curse caused the newly created human's death. Then the generalized meaning might be that 'man's life is an accursed life'.
xi. Degree of politeness: The modifiers are equally important to reflect the politeness in the expression. The politeness in expression is extremely important in the communicative behavior. Harsh and impolite expression can never maintain the balance in the discourse. So to make the conversation smooth, stable and digestible, politeness is inevitable thing. For this reason some linguists have suggested the need of politeness and also they have introduced to the theory called the politeness principle. Now, let us consider of how the Mundhum language has availed the
principle though it was created far earlier than the politeness principles came to the practice.

## 

$k^{h} u n i \quad t^{s} u p s a \eta ~ l a: \eta t e m l s ~ h u k-s o ~ h u k ~ p^{h} a k-n u$ they right (side) leg catch-pfG hand-BAL hand fold-COM They touched the god's leg and folded their hands humbly. 107

The reference of above Mundhum line is that the deities go to the dwelling of Almighty God to have some instruction and admonition. As they get to the place, they touch the right foot of the Almighty God and then they fold their arms to salute. It is said and is reality that politeness is just like the lubrication to run the sociocommunicative machine quite smoothly. The above saying $k^{h} u n i t^{s} u p s a \eta ~ l a: \eta ~ t e m l o ~$ hukso huk $p^{h} a k n u$ (they catch/touch the right leg and fold the arms) reflects how polite they are showing to the respectable ones. They are not only verbally saying but they are also associating the verbal expression with the action. What the Almighty God would respond to their polite request is as follow: b je... tع?le mentshase abu ment thase
je tePle mentsh -se abu ments ${ }^{\text {sh }} a$-se
VOC loving grand children -VOC akin baby like grand children -VOC Oh, my grandsons! 119
xii. Retain the antiquity: In the Mundhum expressions, most of the headwords and their modifiers are in the archaic form. There might be number of reasons for using such archaic dictions. One reason can be that older words are more suitable for metrical pattern. Likewise, archaic dictions are preferred to link or associate with the past. Still some are using these obsolete words to relate the language as a timeless entity. It is neither old nor young but it is ever lasting process. Anyway, the Mundhum's archaic form reflected as in the headword or the modifier, has played a vital role to preserve the glorious past. The archaic form of Mundhum is able to link two different varieties i.e. classical ritual variety versus modern vernacularized form.
118.a je.. iksa pokse ro $k^{h} a m b e k ~ p o k s e ~ r o ~$
je.. iksa poks $-\varepsilon$ ro $k^{h} a m b e: k$ poks $-\varepsilon$ ro

# VOC land become-PT ASS earth become-PT ASS 

The earth was created.
b je... tuyuli sa $d^{h} i k \quad$ simboma sa $d^{h i k}$
je... tuyuli sa - dhik simboma sa $\quad-d^{h} i k$
VOC female offspring - single sari wearing offspring - single
The only one female progeny, 277

On the given two lines je.. iksa pokse ro $k^{h} a m b e k$ pokse ro and je... turuli sa $d^{h i k}$ simboma sa $d^{h i k}$ both pairs or the binominals iksa - $k^{h} a m b e k$ and tuyuli-simboma considered to be archaic dictions because they are only used in the Mundhum expression and occur in the special situation. As they occur in the expressions, the natives easily assume that special performance is undergoing. Both sets are parallel in meaning through synonym where the first give the meaning 'land or earth' and the next set female (maiden). In the ordinary speech they are merely termed as $k^{h} a m$ (land/soil) and $m \varepsilon^{\text {sh}}$ c $/ m \varepsilon n t^{\text {sh }} u m a$ (girl/woman).

There are certain terms which can be considered as the relics of Proto-Tibeto-Burman form. The noun iksa must have been derived from Tibeto-Burman (TB) $* r$-ka (earth) (Benedict 1972). Likewise, TB *r-min 'name' has modified as jə/o-miy, jo-lay, jo-pan (joban). Angdembe (2012) views that Tibeto-Burman prefix $* r$ - has been changed $j \partial-$ or $j o$ - thus $* r$-min is $j o-m i \eta$ in the vernacularized form. He also maintains the opinion that jo-ban, jo-lay and the like are also derived from the same TB prefix *r-. But how the TB *r-ka for denoting 'earth' has changed into $i k s a$ is unknown.

In this way, the modifiers or the apposed words are mostly found in the archaic form. For that reason we can say that these apposed words are playing a significant role for retaining the antiquity. It is because the term iksa which occurs with the headword $k^{h}$ ambek is the modifier or the apposed word which is never used in the ordinary speech form but $k^{h} a m b e k$ or $k^{h} a m$ frequently occurs in the ordinary conversation.
xiii. Permanency: As we have observed that the modifiers or apposed words have miraculously made the Mundhum language beautiful, melodious, and expressive and above all they have put life into the Mundhum language. It is not just the matter of
exaggeration but evidences show that such binominals or the paired expressions have extremely dominant role for the permanency of the Mundhum. There lies a very simple fact behind the permanency of the Mudhum. The apposed or modifying words have created musicality in the Mundhum expressions. When the expression is set to music, it is likely to aspire to eternity. The straightforward thing is that the Mundhum is usually sung by the sambas (the priests). Songs are easily remembered or learnt by heart than the expressions in the monotonous prosaic form. Music is magic, good music knows no national boundaries, no communal constraints or religious circles. It is only the poetic form which inherently embodies the musicality that has made the most ritual forms long lasting.

The binominals or the modifiers have basically created intra-rhyming pattern in the Mundhum verse. So their primary function is to form intra-line rhyming pattern in the line. The case of exter line rhyming in the Mundhum seems to be mere coincidence. What apposed word collocates with which headword is automatized because many headwords have fixed appositional words. For example the appositive iska does not collocate any other headwords except $k^{h} a m b e k$ (earth). Likewise, the term torวy collocates taŋsaך (sky); poŋgendiŋ - wahit (rainfall); tadigen-sepmay (dream); sttugen-jaŋnuy (back); kabudiy-paŋb ${ }^{h} \varepsilon$ (village) and the like. In this way, when the apposed word is uttered, automatically its headword is supposed to appear in the mind. This is how the Mundhum expressions are easily remembered and transferred from one generation to another.

### 5.7 Summary

Regarding the morphology, the verb conjugation presents a complex process or it can be said that it is suffix dominant language because a verb can accept up to thirteen different strings of suffixes. Likewise, the verb can have three layers of prefix preceding to it. The most words are monosyllabic words. The pronominal system has it own feature like three numbers with first person dual and plural exclusivity as well as inclusivity. This system poses a tough challenge to the linguists as to find out what kinds of pronouns they are, what person or number they refer to and what role they are supposed to be playing i.e. subject/object or agent /patient. For this reason, the Limbu language is called as agglunating complex pronominalized language. While
turning towards the Mundhum morphology, it really appears to be awe inspiring at the first sight. Just as prior speculation, the mystery and intricacy of the Mundhum lie mainly on three aspects. They are binominal or paired expression, the dictions especially nouns and the unusual affixation.

## CHAPTER SIX SYNTAX OF LIMBU MUNDHUM

### 6.0 Out line

In this chapter the discussion is held on the introduction, characteristic feature, constructive nature and types of the Mundhum syntax.

### 6.1 Introduction

Before going directly to the Limbu Mundhum syntax, it is better to have a brief discussion about what the syntax is anyway. The term 'syntax' is derived from the Greek which means ordering together, a systematic arrangement or putting together. It is a process of combining words in a linear sequence so as to construct a sensible sentence. According to Crystal (2003, p. 451) "syntax is the study of the interrelationships between elements of sentence structure and of the rules governing the arrangement of sentences in sequence." Syntax is, thus a scientific study of process for sentence construction.

### 6.2 The Mundhum syntax

Typically, the Limbu language is characterized as the language having verb final sentence or more specifically the sentence with 'SOV' pattern. On the basis of the pattern, the Limbu sentences can be categorized into three different types. The three types are the simple sentence, compound sentence and complex sentence. Out of the three kinds, the simple sentence is the basic sentence type because the rest two types are based on it. Unlike the simple sentence, compound and complex are formed with addition of dependent as well as independent clauses hence they are termed as combining sentences.

While turning towards the specificity of the Mundhum syntax, obviously it has a markedly distinct syntactic structure than ordinary speech variety which is being discussed herein. It has been already discussed in the second chapter that the Mundhum language is the most classical poetic form. On being so, the sentence type used in the Mundhum is essentially different from the practical language. A close
observation and analysis reveals that the Mundhum makes use of two different sorts of syntactic structures in its expression.

### 6.3 The Mundhum syntax and types

The Mundhum is used as a means of illness healing to spiritual dealing. From its wide range of use, we can easily speculate that the Mundhum must be employing syntactic variations depending on purpose and functions. It obvious that the kind of syntactic structure used in the illness healing definitely differs than that of spiritual dealing. And it must be different. Basically, we have noticed two kinds of syntactic structures in the Mundhum expression.

### 6.3.1 Sermonized structure

The Mundhun gets expressed very much close to the prosaic form during the sermon and exorcism. It is very close to prosaic form because there is no chanting or incantation at this situation. However, there is slight difference in terms of its accent, tempo, pitch and tone as well but they are essentially sonic features which do not have to do anything with the syntactic structure. Sermonized structure in Mundhum is that phase in which the Mundhum specialized terms and affixations are used in the optimal range because the recital structure makes use of only terms as apposed/premodifying words and very limited number of affixes or only rhyming affix, not the balancing and linking types. Now let us have the example of the sermonized form of syntactic structure as follows:
1.a hekelle mentshamgen namjapmi menne go iksadiy $k^{h} a m b e: k m a ~ t \supset r \supset \eta d i \eta ~ t a \eta s a \eta ~ t h e a \eta ~$ mekhemdun phay tagera niywaphumale mentshamgen namjapmi nawagen tsoit tsokma nigwa tsogu ro
heke-lle mentsham-gen nam japmi men-ne go iksa-diy kham-be:k-ma tวrวŋ-diך taŋsaך therefore-SUB human-LNK being NOT-be TOP earth-LNK soil-sfx-sfx bridge-LNK sky
$t^{h} \varepsilon$-aך $\quad m \varepsilon-k^{h} \varepsilon m d-u-n \quad p^{h}$ aך tagera niywap ${ }^{h} u m a-l e ~ m e n t t^{\text {sham }}$ am-gen namjapmi anything NEG-siut-3P-NEG SUB Supreme God-ERG human-LNK being
face-LNK figure make-INF thought do-3P ASS
'Therefore without the human being, the sky and the earth would not be suitable and the Supreme God wished to create the human being.'
(adapted from Kirant Mundhum, p. 10 'Japmi pongma Mundhum' by I. S. Chemjong, 1961)

The example of sermonized Mundhum sentence structure seems more like the prose form than that of poetic one. It is a long and complex sentence entirely unfit to be sung. Again let us consider next simplified version of sermon below:

1a iksa-diy $k^{h} a m b e: k m e-g^{h} \varepsilon m d-u-n$
earth-LNK land NEG-suit-3P-NEG
Subject $+\quad$ Verb
The earth does not become suitable.
b torəy-diy taysay me-ghemd-u-n
bridge-LNK sky NEG-suit-3P-NEG
Subject $+\quad$ Verb
The sky does not become suitable
In the example ' $b$ ', there are two sentences used in the sermonized form. The subject of each sentence involves two nouns like iksa-diy $k^{h} a m b e: k$ and tıroy-diy taysay. In the former subject iksa-diy $k^{h} a m b e: k$, the preceding word iksa is modifier/apposed word of the headword $k^{h} a m b e: k$.These modifier/apposed word and the headword have been linked by the suffix <-diy>. Hence it appears to be in the form as iksa-diy $k^{h} a m b e: k$ 'the earth/land.' But the same earth/land is denoted by the term $k^{h} a m b e: k$ or merely as $k^{h} a m$ in the ordinary speech variety. Likewise in the later subject toron-din tansay, the term toron is modifier/apposed word and the term taysay is the headword linked by the suffix <-diy> making ritual subject toroy-diy taysay. But if it were to be used in the commoners speech, it would be only taysay suggesting 'the sky.'However the verb part of the sermonized version does not seem to be markedly different from the ordinary speech variety. Its structural pattern seems like 'Binominal (subject) + verb' in which binominal refers to two nouns occurring together being subject of a
verb. The syntactic structure of the sermonized or exorcism shows it has been designed not for recitation but they are suitable for delivering the lecture.

### 6.3.2 Recital structure

The Mundhum verse lines are primarily designed for recitation since they are short enough to be sung even by the aged person. The fundamental different between the sermonized form and recital form is the structural form and the use of Mundhum affixes (the Mundhum has its own affixal system which is never used in the ordinary communication and it has been discussed under the title 'The Mundhum morphology'). The basic structure of the recital Mundhum is subject + verb subject + verb $(A+R, B+R)$. Let us see the example below:

2 a je... iksa meg ${ }^{h} \varepsilon m d u n \quad k^{h} a m b e: k m \varepsilon g^{h} \varepsilon m d u n$
je iksa me- $g^{h} \varepsilon m d-u-n \quad k^{h} a m b e: k m e-g^{h} m d-u-n$
VOC earth NEG- suit -3P -NEG land NEG- suit-3P -NEG
The earth does not become suitable.
017

The given verse line je... iksa meg ${ }^{h} \varepsilon m d u n \quad k^{h} a m b e: k \varepsilon^{\prime} g^{h} \varepsilon m d u n$ has two obvious parts (halves) separated by pause or technically known as caesura. Each half contains the structure 'subject + verb' pattern. For this reason, it is also denoted as 'A + R, B + R '. The ' A ' stands for the first half's subject $i k s a$ and ' B ' is for the second half's subject $k^{h} a m b e: k$. And both subjects' verb is common one or identical verb which is denoted by the letter ' R ' presumably 'rhyming.'

The next structural pattern 'subject + verb' for the whole line is less common verse form in the Mundhum recitation Let us see the example bellow:
b. allo sinjuk pajbure tskma? jandum lo
allo sinjuk paŋbu -re tsk-map jand -u -m lo
now high land woolen thread-INST join-INF be able -3P -pADH ASS
Let us join the matter like the woolen thread. 022

The example 'b' does have two halves yet it has only 'subject + verb' pattern. Also the verse line lacks its internal rhyming. The terms 'sinjuk paŋbu-re'come under the 'subject part and $t^{s}$ skma? jandum come under verb part.

The third kind of syntactic structure might be unusual structure devoid of verb in the verse line. This kind of expression is thought to be necessary when there is noun more than six syllables. In such situation, offbeat, case markers, assertive markers or topicalizers etc are preferably used to maintain the intended number of syllables in the line. Let us consider the line below:
c. je... ande:n tataramelle tagaramelle
je ands:n tatarame-lle tagarame-lle
VOC long ago Almighty God-ERG Almighty- ERG
Long ago the Almighty God 004

This example consists of noun (proper noun). The Mundhum line primarily involves ten syllables. The noun tatarama tagarama used as subject consists of eight syllables which does not fulfill required number of syllables. So in order to maintain required number of syllables, the ergative case marker suffix <-lle> has been attached to nominal terms. The initially used terms like $j e$ and and $\varepsilon: n$ are only for retaining the atmosphere and anaphoric reference respectively.

In the Mundhum, it sometimes so happens that there is only one word at least having three or four syllables which has to be expressed the rhythmical form, at that time the Mundhum verse copes it with the two ready- made sets/frames. It does not make any distinction about the class of word. That means the word may be verb or noun. The set frames are presented as follows:

## Set 1

huk-so........ taye
3.a je..hukso medaruaך taŋe medaruaך
je huk -so me-dar -u -aך taŋe me-dar -u -aך
VOC hand -BAL nsAS- fetch -3P -pfG nearby nsAS- fetch -3P -pfG
was brought 154
b. je... hukso kattu ro taךع kattu ro
je huk -so kott-u ro taŋe kott-u ro
VOC hand -BAL have -3P ASS near by have -3P ASS
She took the baby. 371

Though the pattern of the verse lines seem to be in 'subject + verb' quite similar to the first pattern mentioned in the subsection 'Recital structure', the subject of that pattern is fully sensible whereas this subject/s huk-so $\qquad$ taje. $\qquad$ are not meaningful so far. These subjects have occurred solely for the expression of verb medaruay 'brought/having brought.'

## Set 2

le?wa $\qquad$ tsomjok.....
4.a je... le?wa th3ktum lo tsomjok thoktum -lo
 VOC undisturbed describe -3P -pADH EMPH unhindered describe -3P pADH EMPH

Now let us describe it in detail. 185
b le?wa daymare tsomjok daymalle
le?wa dayma -re tsomjok dayma -lle time goddess-ERG growth goddess -ERG The goddess of growth and development449

In the 4.a the verb $t^{h} 3 k t u m$ 'explain' has been filled in the slot but in example ' $b$ ' the noun dayma ' the owner/goddess' has been put into the blank space. From this, the matter is clear that the space accepts either noun or verb as well. The terms which are functioning as subject in the sets are not important in terms of meaning but they are significant in terms of syllabic structure as well as rhythmical aspect.

### 6.4 Recital pattern and diverse exponents

It has been discussed that the syntactic structure of recital verse has very limited and the most basic form is 'subject + verb, subject + verb' $(A+R, B+R)$ pattern. Just as the recursive nature of language, this limited pattern can produce five different exponents.

### 6.4.1 Assertive exponent

As the title 'assertive' suggests, there is clear assertion whether the subject performs certain action or not. Let us see the example as follows:
5.a je... sa:ŋgu siktu ro sokma: siktu ro
je sa:ŋgu sikt-u ro sokma: sikt-u ro
VOC vitality put-3P ASS life force put-3P ASS
He put the life and soul
063
b
je... sa:ทgu meligen sokma: meligen
je sa:ŋgu me- lig - $\varepsilon$-n sokma: me- lig - $\varepsilon$-n VOC vitality NEG- enter -PT -NEG life force NEG- enter -PT -NEG

The life didnot enter into the image.

### 6.4.2 Imperative exponent

This exponent has further three kinds as command, request and advice/suggestion. They have been given as follows:
i. Command: In this exponent someone mostly senior person orders somebody to perform certain action. e.g.
6.a je.. keni sawa leksamm $\varepsilon$ ? e:kpha leksamm $\varepsilon$ ?
je $k^{h} \varepsilon n i$ sawa lcks -amm - $\varepsilon$ ? e:kpha lcks -amm - $\varepsilon$ ?
VOC you ${ }^{p}$ all fell -2P -IMP bush fell -2P -IMP
You go there and clear the jungle.
b. $\quad k^{\text {h }}$ ni mukkum leramme? semi leramme?

you ${ }^{p}$ fire set -2P -IMP sparkle set -2P -IMP
You set the fire. $\mathbf{1 2 9}$

In the example above the verb conjugations leksamm $\varepsilon$ ? and leramme? the suffixes <$a m m>$ and < $-\varepsilon$ ? > are for command markers.
ii. Request: For the exponent of request, there is no specific terms however it is marked by the way of verbal expression, use of accent, pitch, tempo and so forth. Let us consider the example below:
7.a je....... sewa mejebe se:ndo mejebe je sewa me- jeb- $\varepsilon$ se:ndo m $\varepsilon$ - jeb - $\varepsilon$ VOC solicitously nsAS- stand -PT ask nsAS- stand -PT They requested for the help 106
b $k^{h} u n i t^{s} u p s a \eta ~ l a y ~ t e m l s ~ h u k s o ~ h u k ~ p h a k n u ~$ $k^{h} u n i t^{s} u p s a \eta$ lay tem -lo huk-so huk phak -nu they right (side) leg catch -prG hand -BAL hand fold - COM They touched the god's leg and folded their hands humbly. 107
iii. The exponent of advice or suggestion: Here the suggestion is made including the speaker or the speaker himself/herself involves in the action they perform. e.g.
8.a je.. teme:n khibore tskma? jandum lo
je teme:n $k^{h} i b o$-re $t^{\text {so }} k-m a$ ? jand $-u-m \quad$ lo
VOC southern part cotton thread -INST join-INF be able -3P -pADH ASS Let's join the matter like the cotton thread. 023
b je.. le?wa thoktum lo tsomjok thoktum lo je le?wa thokt -u -m lo tsomjok thokt -u -m lo VOC describe -3P -pADH ASS describe -3P - pADH-ASS Let us describe without any mistake. $\mathbf{0 2 5}$

Though in the everyday speech, the advice marking suffixes are different kinds but here we have only the suffix $\langle-m>$ is the advice marker in the verb conjugation.

### 6.4.3 Interrogative exponent

The syntactic pattern 'subject + verb, subject + verb' can also be used to ask the question in the Mundhum expression as follows:
9.a allo ett ${ }^{\text {sh}}$ um tsokmabe epp ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ tsokmabe allo ettshum tsok -ma -be eppha tsok -ma -be
now how do -INF -INQ what do -INF -INQ
We got lost as how and what to do the next. 249
b
je... ett ${ }^{\text {sh}} u m n i$ pok ${ }^{h}$ bee epp ${ }^{h}$ a ni pok $k^{h} \varepsilon$ be
je ettshum ni pok ${ }^{h}-\varepsilon \quad-$ be epp ${ }^{h}$ a ni pok $^{h}-\varepsilon \quad-b e$
VOC how CTR happen -PT-INQ what CTR happen -PT -INQ
What had happened to the baby?
384

For the purpose of interrogation, the overt markers are terms like ettshum, epp ${ }^{h} a$ and $b e$. These two terms ettshum and eppha are essentially archaic and only used in the ritual form.

### 6.4.4 Optative exponent

This type of exponent is concerned with the positive wishes expressed for someone or somebody. Let us have the examples of the exponent as follow:


Generally, the conjugational suffix $\langle-o\rangle$ is used for vocative marker but here it is expressive for wishes.

### 6.4.5 Exclamatory exponent

This exponent is for expressing our sudden feeling, experience or emotional reaction. Though there is no overt term for denoting the exclamatory type, it is clearly perceived the way it is expressed. Let us consider the following exponents as:
11.a $k^{h} u n t^{s h}$ i sikkum moje ro niywa moje ro
$k^{h} u n t^{s h} i$ sikkum məj $-\varepsilon$ ro niywa məj $-\varepsilon$ ro
they (d) thought lose -PT ASS idea lose -PT ASS
They were very sad and hopeless. 240
b allo jansa tsokabe kund he tsoka $b^{h} a \eta$
allo jaysa tsok -a -be kund he tsok -a bay
now what do -1-INQ how do -1 SUB
She was helpless to solve the problem.

As has been stated above, there is no such overt exclamation marking clue yet it is perceived through the context. The first verse has been expressed in such situation when the creator gods failed to make a live man even after their serious effort. They were thunderstruck. Likewise, the next is also the expression when Muzingna's most loved baby got ill from unknown cause she was shocked to have her baby in that condition.

### 6.5 Summary

While analyzing the syntactic structure of the Mundhum language, there are mainly two types of structures namely sermonized and recital. The former is expressed in the formulaic structure as 'noun + noun (subject) + verb' and the later is expressed in the pattern 'subject (noun) + verb, subject (noun) + verb or noun ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ (apposed) noun ${ }^{2}$ (head)

In the sermonized form binominals occur adjacently linked by the ritual suffixes like <-tiy/-dij > or <-ken/-gen> whereas in the recital form occurs one noun at a time sharing the identical verb.

## CHAPTER SEVEN LITERARINESS OF LIMBU MUNDHUM

### 7.0 Outline

This chapter deals with the literary features of the Limbu Mundhum language. Basically, the discussion concentrates on stylistic reading of the Mundhum text. It then focuses on narrative structure, it textuality and finally the focus on archetypal reading.

### 7.1 Introduction to the Mundhum language

The mode or channel of the Mundhum is primarily melodious, rhythmical and poetical. Whatever linguistic aspect one may consider, she/he finds abundance features of poetry in the Mundhum. About the poetic language, Aristotle holds the opinion that poetic language must appear strange and wonderful and in fact it is actually foreign. Here, Aristotle means that poetry should not employ the language of commoners. Clearly he is indicating the distinct feature of the language to be used in the poetry. Similar kind of view is held by Aristophanes in terms of the poetic language. He states that high and noble thoughts must have high and lofty language. He seems to maintain the view that an ordinary language does not seem to carry noble thoughts. The Limbu Mundhum language has deliberately departed away from the ordinary speech variety by embracing all the characteristic features of the literary language. This chapter, therefore, attempts to look into the possible literary features employed in the Mundhum language.

### 7.2 The Mundhum and literature

When we separate the concept of religion from the so-called sacred books or if we unmask the sense of spirituality, there will remain merely the discourse text. The religious books may appear to be the literary texts. The same is case with the Limbu Mundhum and is the collection of myth, legends, tales, fables, parables and so on. In being so, Weidert \& Subba (1985) maintain the view that the Mundhum means the Limbu religion, the Limbu religious literature. Similarly, Subba (1995) further clarifies that Mundhums are poetic expression of legends, mythology, history, tales, songs, psalms, etc. According to Gaenszle (2000) Mundhum is oral tradition,
ancestral knowledge, or more generally traditional way of life and moral order established in primordial times. Allen (1978) claims that the total corpus of performed text- that is myth, ritual songs, and ceremonial dialogues-is called muddum by Mewahang, as it is by other Kiranti groups. Jones \& Jones (1976) prefer to call the Limbu Mundhum as the collection of Limbu myths.

All the aforementioned discussion reveals that the Mundhun is no more than a corpus of Limbu literature predominated by a single literary genre i.e. poetry. Principally, myths, legends, tales, dialogues, etc., do not come under the genre poetry but they are included into the same genre because they all are in the verse form recited by the Limbu priests. They constantly occur in the ritualistic performances and ceremonies. The language used in these occasions is essentially distinct from the day-to-day communicative speech. Moreover the diction, style, grammar, etc., clearly manifest the figurative use of language. The Mundhum, as being ancient literature, is the record of man's (Limbu's) spirits and thoughts; feelings and experiences; hopes and aspirations; despairs and sighs; joys and sorrows, fears and panic; dreams and visions; laughter and cries; ambitions and desires; love and affection; contempt and discard; wrath and fury; loyalty and reverence and so forth. Like the definition of Long (1989) "it (Mundhum) is the history, and the only history of man's soul". Thus, it is the literature with inexhaustible source of knowledge embedding abundance myths within it. For the myth Sansonese (1994) not only believes but assures saying "we can discern the special knowledge in myth just as within the moon we see the brilliance of the sun disguised." The Mundhum and literature are not separable entities blended tightly giving the sense of the single whole.

The Mundhum being literary in nature, it is massively pregnant with metaphorical expressions. Nearly almost all the Mundhum is performed through recitations. The matter is straightforward when a discourse involves poetic as well as metaphorical expressions; there is no chance of exploiting an ordinary form of language. The poetic expression is not only compressed but also a complex texture of sounds and meanings. A great deal of deviations and distortions can occur presumably in all the levels of language in the process of maintaining balance between syllables and rhyme. For this reason, Abrams (2000) refers to the poetic license by means of which "the poets are held at some liberty to distort the grammatical norms for special effect." But regarding this poetic license, Crystal (1997) expresses his fear over the poets'
authority and its proper use of the rights to deviate the norms. He says that the poets take risks when they push language to its limits. If they break too many rules there is the danger of falling over the edge of the language into unintelligibility. He adds, for this reason, that the well known poets are criticized for verbal excesses and for sacrificing meaning to seductive pattern of sound or graphic form. Again the Russian Formalists are found in favor of breaking the rules as much as one can. According to their campaign, the deviation in the form was inevitable thing. Following is the brief discussion about how much the Mundhum bards have deviated the ordinary forms of the Limbu language.

### 7.3 The Mundhum and linguistic deviation

The Mundhum being a highly classical and poetic, the language it exploits is very obscure and obsolete dictions. When it is employed to address and converse with the supernatural beings, the linguistic behavior is markedly different from the ordinary communicative speech. Very few or only a handful of the Limbu native speakers are destined to master over the Mundhum language. Such people are supposed to be the gifted people to deal with the Mundhum. During the time of the Mundhum recitation, the ordinary audiences or listeners are unable to make out the sense of those recitations. When the ritualistic performance is over, the priests (Sambas/Phedangbas) are requested to interpret in a simple version about the things which were supposed to have been hinted at the time of dealings. The question that this writing intends to discuss here is why the Mundhum language is vast difference with that of the ordinary day-to-day communication. The conclusion can be drawn by analyzing the linguistic features of both types of the versions- i.e. day-to-day ordinary communicative version and the version of the Mundhum. The analysis of linguistic features implies the different levels of linguistic systems viz. phonology, morphology, semantics, syntax and discourse i.e. bottom up system. Poetical language is deviated from the ordinary speech form so as to achieve certain effect. Pope ( (2010, p. 89) views about how poetic language differs from the ordinary language is "poetry both disturbs and reforms the patterns of routine language." In this way, it is inferred that the linguistic form is deliberately deviated from the usual standard of language in order to make the expression more expressive, vivid and cutting. The deviations can be found in the following linguistic level:

### 7.3.1 Graphological and phonological deviation

Phonology is the primary level of linguistics. In the poetic language, the deviation starts right from this primary level. As Jefferson \& Robey (1984, p. 37) state, "the violence here consists in the foregrounding of the phonic aspect of ordinary speech." Furthermore, they quote Erlich (1980, p. 212) in which he says that "poetry is speech organized entire phonic texture which is usually ignored in the practical speech." In relation to the Mundhum, the sound texture has been organized differently from the ordinary speech variety. It is recited in diverse pitch, loudness, tempo and rhythm depending on the rituals, occasions and ceremonies or the subjects being addressed. The graphological deviation occurs when the particular letters are dropped in order to maintain in the number of syllables and stress-unstressed marks with the preceding and subsequent lines being recited. Let us consider the following example to see how the sound matrix is created:
1.a je... sa:ทgu siktu ro sokma: siktu ro
je sa:ทgu sikt-u ro sokma: sikt-u ro
VOC vitality put-3P ASS life force put-3P ASS
He put the life and soul.
063

This example 1.a is for considering the sonic texture of how they have been organized. There is alliteration of the consonant sounds $/ \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{t}$ and $\mathrm{r} /$. Likewise, the assonance of vowel sounds /a:, $u$, o and $i /$ has been formed in the single piece of verse.

Following (example -1.b) is for considering how some letters are dropped to maintain the rhythmic sequences. Let us see below:
1.b

(Kainla 2054 VS)

On the above example 1.b, the Mundhum (tonsiy tokma) lines, the term 'jase' has been repeated twice in each line. In ordinary writing, the term 'jase' cannot be meaningful. Actually this term is 'jebase' (p) which means "the experts in Mundhum or a class of Limbu priests" (Limbu-Nepali-English dictionary, 2059 VS). In the same example we also notice the phonological deviation in the term 'ya-se' instead of jebase. Here the sound $/ e /$ has undergone change into /a/ e.g. 'jebase'>'jase'. Phonological deviation commonly involves the elision of syllables: initially, medially and finally technically termed as 'aphesis,' 'syncope' and 'apocope' respectively (Wales, 2001).

Generally supra-segmental rules of phonemes, stress, intonation etc, are not strictly applied in poetic form. In metrical patterns succeeding lines have stressed and unstressed words similar to the first line. Stress is put even on the unstressed syllables and stress is removed even from the stressed syllables.

### 7.3.2 Semantic deviation on the lexical level

In the present context, to talk about the notion semantics is not as easy as it was in the time of Plato and Aristotle. Crystal (1997) refers to the conventional definition as the approach aims to study the properties of meaning in a systematic and objective way with reference to as a wide range of utterances and languages as possible. Over the period of time, the concept about the meaning has been changed a lot. The study of meaning in the modern context has many aspects like lexical semantics, sentence semantics, semantic field, structural semantics and so forth. Here, this writing is focusing mainly on lexical semantics. Generally lexical semantics refers to the word meaning or dictionary meaning. It is the primary meaning of word which shows the relationship between words and objects in the world of experience. For example a 'rose' in its primary meaning, denotes a kind of flower. But when it associates the meaning of a beautiful lady, love, prime youth, etc. this is not the primary (literal) meaning, rather it is secondary (figurative) meaning. In the figurative meaning, we move beyond and above the literal meaning when a word leaves its primary meaning and rises up to call for various associations, this is semantic deviation. Thus the ordinary meaning is deviated and it suggests something else.

The Limbu Mundhum is essentially different from ordinary language in that, it activates secondary or collateral meanings of a word. It has also freely exploited the rhetorical expressions as it is supposed to address not to the common human beings
but to the deities, spirits (especially dead ones) and other supernatural figures. When it is dealing with supernatural figures, the rhetoric becomes its common and usual vehicle for the easy transportation (communication). According to Aristotle, rhetoric is the art of using all available means of language in order to persuade the addressee with the subject the speaker has taken up. This statement implies that rhetoric is inseparable element in the literary expression like this. Regarding the figures of speech, Bain defines that a figure of speech may be a deviation from the plain and ordinary mode of speaking for the sake of greater effect. Let us consider the example of semantic deviation in the following verse lines:
> 2.a hekkelle tumbuydiy kumiy warsk taru, mikki phuywa taru, nijwa p ${ }^{h}$ uma suna insum isi:kle, tumbuy warsk taru mikki $p^{h} u \eta w a ~ k e d e ~ r o . ~$ tumbuydiy simbo warak. laikkum phembo warsk tumbuy warsk kame. mikki warsk kame. Om tagera niywa phuma isi:k lo

(Source: Chemjong, Kirant Mundhum 1961)

Trans.
'She (bride) brought the ocean of love and youth. As the divine's will, she fetched the love and affection. You both bride and groom should start a joint journey till the ocean of love dries away. Oh! Almighty, conjoin these two people's ocean of love in one.' (by researcher)

The above extract is sung by the Lumbu priest, Phedangba during the time of 'Mekam' or nuptial tie between the bride and the bridegroom. These few remarks (sermons) embody some figures of speech like metaphor and personification. The bride is supposed to bring 'ocean of love', their life journey resumes henceforth. Holy Spirit is addressed assuming that He is human being in front of the 'Phedangba'. Both the bride and the groom have the ocean of love within them. Now they have to flow their oceans jointly and together. Their life's journey continues until the ocean of love dries away. When we consider these remarks, they are nonsensical and absurd from the point of view of ordinary speech. They are meaningless from the literal aspect.

But they are associated with some other senses they are really high sounding and grave. Life is like a flowing ocean which is very deep but making no noise. Life is not like hilly brook rushing steep down destroying numerous things along sides of it. The running brook makes a lot of noise but its life is not long lasting. On the contrary, the ocean is deep and grave yet makes no noise as the brook. Rather it constantly forms precious gems into its bosom however it never boasts. So the Mundhum maintains the implication that life is an ocean moving ahead creating precious things incessantly. This and this kind might be the figurative meaning of the Mundhum's lines as presented above.
b je...... allo $k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon$ go keďว $\quad$-le sapneba surit-le saPneba
je allo $k^{h}$ nne go ked²ŋ -le sa? -ne-ba surit -le sap-ne-ba
VOC now you(s)TOP storm-GEN baby-be -NOM breeze-GEN baby-be-NOM
Hey! Now you are the son of air and wind. 431
When we consider the literal meaning of the example's verse, it really sounds absurd simply because a baby can not be the offspring of 'air' and 'wind'. But from the metaphorical it suggests something else. So when lexis leaves its primary meaning and moves beyond and above, this is lexical deviation.

### 7.3.3 Grammatical or syntactic deviation

Semantic deviation in the sentence level is very common and usual happening in the poetic expression. This kind of distortion is pervasive because the poets are said to have the poetic license that is, they are held at certain liberty to distort/ break the established norms of grammar. Abrams (2000) forwards Dryden's definition as the liberty which poets have assumed to themselves in all ages of speaking things in verse which are beyond the severity of prose. By availing the poetic license, poets reorganize syntax, invent its own vocabulary, freely mix register and create its own pronunciation. 'Poetry draws creative on a full range of archaisms and dialects and generates vivid new metaphors' (Lazar, 2004). Likewise, Leech (1988) holds the opinion that this is the reason poetry has been characterized deviating from the norms of language. Regarding this violation of rules, Widdoson (1983) argues that poetry frequently breaks the rules of language but by so doing, it communicates with us a fresh, original way. The violation of the established linguistic rules does not
necessarily mean that it creates obstacle in the communication rather its meaning is surcharged by a new way. A single innocent looking line is laden with multiplicity of meaning. In other words, an expression is resounding and echoing diverse meanings. The expression becomes more vivid and graphic as well.

Syntactic deviation implies the breaking rules of the word order in a sentence. For instance, English statement is generally arranged on the sequence of 'SVO' order. But this is not always true with the poetic expression. The order is sometimes completely reversed and there can be unusual collocation. John Milton's famous epic title seems to violate the ordinary syntactic rules. The epic is entitled "Paradise Lost'. In this 'Paradise' is a 'noun' and the word 'Lost' is an adjective. But in the ordinary rule adjective never occurs after noun just 'a girl beautiful'. Hence the correct order is 'Lost Paradise' not the 'Paradise Lost'. There is another example of syntactic deviation in Nepali as 'abiral bagdachha indrawati'. Now, this expression has the order of 'Adverb (abiral) + Verb (bagdachha) and Noun (Indrawati) > 'ADJ + V + Noun'. The Nepali grammar prescribes the syntactic order as 'Indrawati abiral bagdachha' S (noun) + ADV + V. The ordinary rule is ' $\mathrm{S}+(\mathrm{ADV}$ ) + OBJ + V'.

When we look up the syntactic structure in the Limbu Mundhum, we find the example of distortion of rule in the sentence level. Especially, syntactic or grammatical deviation occurs in poetry in terms of words' combination that is, unusual collocation, inverted word order, marked parallelism, ellipsis, etc. Let us have a look at the following verse lines of the Mundhum:
3.a je.. iksa pokse ro $k^{h} a m b e: k p o k s e ~ r o$
je iksa poks $-\varepsilon$ ro $k^{h}$ ambe:k poks $-\varepsilon \quad$ ro
VOC earth become -PT ASS land become -PT ASS
The earth was created. 006
b
je ... minu buymaha? tiynam buŋmaha?
je ... minu buyma -ha? tipnam buŋma -ha?
VOC APP plant -p cane plant -p
Fine cane plants,

Of the given examples, the verse lines have explicitly violated the ordinary linguistic norm by the marked parallelism and unusual collocations. Syntactic parallelism has been achieved by maintaining the similar length and lexicon between two halves of the verse. Similarly, the pre-modifiers 'minu' and 'iksa' are unusual lexemes in terms of the ordinary speech. Though being so, the lines are fully successful to communicate. They are attractive and catchy as well since they have de-familiarized by doing so. The concept of de-familiarization was developed by one of the Russian Formalists, Viktor Shklovsky (1893-1984). He means, "de-familiarization is to make fresh, new, strange, and different what is familiar and known" (Cuddon, 1998, p. 214). Cuddon (1998) states "through de-familiarization the writers modify the readers/listeners habitual perceptions by drawing attention to the artifices". He further adds that "this is a matter of literary technique. What the listeners/ readers notice is not the picture of reality that is being presented but the peculiarities of the writing itself." To make the matter pretty clear, Cuddon (1998, p. 214) quotes Shklovsky's writing from his 'Art as Technique' as follows:

The purpose of art is to impart the sensation of things as they are perceived, and not they are known. The technique of art is to make object unfamiliar to make forms difficult, to increase the difficulty of length and perception, because the process of perception is an aesthetic end in itself and must be prolonged. Art is the way of experiencing the artfulness of object; the object is not important.

## Viktor Shklovsky (1917)

The matter of syntactic deviation is not complete in disordering the words' sequence in sentence structure and unusual collocations but it still proceeds to other aspects like selectional restrictions, contradiction, anomaly and tautology.

### 7.4 Stereotyped classical language

One of the characteristics that Mundhum language departs from the ordinary mode of speech is marked by its classical nature of language. Though the term 'classical' does not allow specifying the particular meaning, As Cuddon (1998) states, that it has principally three different meanings: (a) of the first rank or authority; (b) belonging to the literature or art of Greece and Rome; and (c) a writer or work of the first rank and
of generally acknowledged excellence. When considered the term 'classic' the meaning it holds seems to be changing over the time period. Nowadays, the word 'classical' in literature suggests that the work has the qualities of order, harmony, proportion, balance, discipline and so forth. In other words, 'nothing can be taken away from it or added to it without doing injury' (Cuddon, 1998).

The classical languages like Sanskrit, Arabic, Latin, Greek, etc., do not seem to entertain any kind of additions or deletions to them. Whatever condition they are, they are self-contained and perfect. They are classical in the sense that they are rule bound, disciplined, well ordered and so on. When the Mundhum is looked into associating these characteristics, it, too seems strictly following the norms, hence the language is not only stereotypical but also classical one. Let us take an example of consistency and stern featured collocation of the Mundhum language. In non-standard speech form the castrated male pig is called 'lameba' or simply 'phark'. But the same 'phark' during the ritual performance is addressed as 'sum-day'gen lame phark'. Angdembe (1999) gives different references of the linguists like Benedict (1972), Shafer (1974) and confirms that the Mundhum language is the relic of the ancient forms of Limbu language. Particularly, the term 'sum-dang' is the ancient term for the 'horse' Angdembe (1999). But it entails a serious question before the linguist that why the grown up pig not the horse alone is termed as 'sum-day-gen'. In most of the ceremonial rituals certain animals are offered to the deities. The offering has some specific goal that is some person's healing or overcoming evils and danger with the grace of the divine. The phedangba (Limbu priest) makes offer a pig to deity so that his earnest request can be received by the certain deity riding, through the 'lame phark' (a pig). A pig is slaughtered thus its spirit transports the request of the phedangma to the intended deity. This is inference that a simple 'pha?k' or 'lameba' (a pig ) is addressed as 'sum-day'gen lame phark'.

The classical languages make use of ancient terms. In the Hindu rituals, the Hindu priests offer the mixture of rice, ghee, sesamum-seed (Nep. til) and barley into the burning fire. The burning fire place is termed as 'Yegya Kunda' (altar) and the mixture offered to the fire is 'Charu' and the process of throwing is 'havan' or 'hom-nu' (oblation). During that time, the priests announce as 'Om Swaha'. This pronouncement 'Swaha' has got a special meaning and purpose that is 'Swaha' is the wife of 'agni' (fire). So the priests utter 'Swaha' in order to send the offering to fire god 'agni'
through medium of his wife 'Swaha'. Here 'Swaha' is the medium between the priests and 'agni' (god) so is the 'Sumdang-gen lame phark' between the phedangba and deity. The languages which are regarded as religious sacred ones, they do not embrace the change so easily. Regarding the sternness of such languages Campbell (2004, p. 83) holds the opinion that "ritual language requires exact verbal performance." In his article entitled "The History of Linguistics' he further says that while no change was acknowledged in formal Arabic after the eighth century the realization that the spoken Arabic of the eighth and ninth centuries was charging stimulated the development of Arabic grammatical study. The Mundhum being a ritualistic language is found to be the stereotypical form away and untouched by the flow of linguistic changes. But this unravished position, through time immemorial unfortunately, pushes the classical language to the verge of extinction and unintelligibility. The gap between day to day communication and classical language widens horribly. The reality and mostly the fate of the classic language is to be limited to liturgical forms like hymns, petitions, doxologies, intercessions, thanksgivings, rosaries, litanies, chants, psalms, canticles, incantation, prayer, invocation; ritual forms like baptism, weddings, funeral, cleansing rites, exorcism, blessings; and private affirmations like ecstatic prayers, prophesying, spirit possession and so on. The Mundhum language is therefore, obscure, strange and also sublime only because it employs the ancient forms and terminologies. In this regard, Angdembe (1999) refers to Dahal's (1999) Nepali example of incantation in which the terms are unusual for average Nepali native speakers both for the ordinary and the intellectuals. The incantation 'mantra' (Mundhum) reads as 'Kali kukadaki tepri kan, ganga dunoi! ganga dunio!! ganga dunio!!!' This 'mantra' very easily confuses the modern people as to which language either Nepali or Hindi, it belongs. It is thought to be classic for it has employed the classical terms. Let us consider two more Mundhum verses consisting the stereotypical form of language as follows:
4.a je... ettshumn pok ${ }^{h}$ sbe eppha ni pok ${ }^{h} \varepsilon b e$
je ettstum ni pok ${ }^{h}-\varepsilon-b e \quad e p p^{h} a n i \quad p o k^{h}-\varepsilon-b e$
VOC how CTR happen -PT -INQ what CTR happen -PT -INQ
What has happened to the baby?
b je.. $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ jəgu pegebe tsonsi pegebe

$$
\text { je } \quad k^{h} u n \varepsilon \text { jэgu peg }-\varepsilon-b \varepsilon \quad t^{s} \supset \eta-s i \quad \text { peg }-\varepsilon-b \varepsilon
$$

```
VOC s/he breath go -PT -NOM BAL-die go -PT -NOM
    succumbed to death. 238
```

The two verses $4 . a$ and $b$ in the ordinary language variety can rightly expressed as the exponents like the pokes? (What has happened?) and si- $\varepsilon$ (died). Here, the stereotypical form uses not only long round about way but also the obsolete terms like ettshum eppha for interrogative pronoun 'what' ( $t^{\text {he }}$ ) which are never used in the practical language. Likewise, the terms like jogu, tsy $\boldsymbol{t}^{2}$-si are never used to suggest ‘die' (si).

### 7.5 Archaism or linguistic anachronism

Obviously, the Mundhum language does not sound modern. There are terms which are no longer used in the present situation and they seem to be stranger for the modern native speakers. One reason that takes the Mundhum away from the present day native speakers is the pervasive use of the archaic or anachronic dictions. Regarding archaism Abrams (2000) states that archaism is the literary use of words and expression that have become obsolete in the common speech of an era. According to Wales (2001) archaism in poetry is complicated by an additional factor that reflects a subtle distinction in the sense of the term itself. She further says that it can mean not only the retention of what is old, but its imitation not survival but revival. The remark is clear enough that archaism is a technique of imitation of the ancient which is not surviving at present but it is a genuine effort to revive the linguistic form of antiquity. The pervasive involvement of archaism in the Mundhum has got a straightforward motive since most of the part of the Mundhum is devoted to worship and prayer. Archaism is thus the language of liturgy that is directly meant for ceremonial performances.

When one tries to see the reasons behind the use of archaism, s/he may find a number of reasons behind this. The first reason, as Cuddon (1998) states, is sometimes the older form of word was more suitable metrically. The next reason is that writers intend to associate with the past to suggest the timelessness or to prevent something being 'dated'. These two possible reasons of using archaism or anachronism give an insight into the mind that our Mundhum 'Sabas' (glee men/bards) were/ are consciously or unconsciously of great visionary personalities who tacitly claim the
human spirits and aspirations are ever flowing denoting timelessness. The direct psychology of the Limbu 'Phedangbas'(priests) in using archaism must be that they want to give weight, dignity and sonority to their expression by archaic revivals.

In a sense the Limbu Mundhum is essentially a secret language as referred to by Angdembe (2012). He quotes Lasch's (1907) view as "a purpose of many special languages which are generally marked by distinct lexical inventory (which describes in terms of periphrasis, borrowings from different languages, archaisms, transpositions, etc.) is their use as an idiom of secrecy." Crystal (2003) finds at least three reasons in using such secret language. According to him, the reasons are: to mark a person's membership of a group, to provide a pastime and to ensure secrecy when performing a particular activity. He further states that genres of secret language can thus be found in many cultures and in a wide range of human contexts especially those where there is a concern to avoid detection or to keep something hidden from lay people(as in magical formulae). In some cases, those reasons are found to be appropriate on behalf of the Limbu Mundhum. And this can be reason of the Mundhum's gradual decline. The Mundhum is mostly larded with the linguistic version of liturgy. The phedangbas have a deep-rooted concept that the Mundhum does not become Mundhum unless it embraces the anachronic language. Most of the noun words precede some additional terms which reflect a distinction in terms of ordinary speech variety to that of the Mundhum language. If we consider the Mundhum language there are plentiful examples of archaic dictions. For example:-
5.a allo iksa $k^{h}$ cmma? go $k^{h} a m b e: k k^{h} \varepsilon m m a ? ~ g o$
allo iksa $k^{h} \varepsilon m-m a ? ~ g o k^{h} a m b e: k k^{h} \varepsilon m-m a ? ~ g o$
now land suit -INF TOP earth suit -INF TOP
Now to suit the earth,
038
b toroŋ $k^{h i j a n u ~ t a y s a \eta ~} k^{h} i j a-n u$
tor刀ŋ $k^{h} i j a-n u$ taŋsaŋ $k^{h i j a-n u}$
paradise hound-COM sky hound - COM
The dogs from the land and the sky.
The question as to what makes the above lines different from the ordinary speech, can be answered only after considering the excerpt very keenly. There are terms
(premodifiers which are essentially classical) added to the certain nouns which are not necessary at the ordinary conversation. The additional terms are never expected in the everyday conversation. Let us see below:

| Ordinary speech | Mundhum version |
| :--- | :--- |
| $k^{h} a m-b e: k$ (earth/ land) | $\underline{\text { iksa-din } k^{h} a m-b e: k-m a}$ |
| surit/ sammit (wind) | surit kezon |
| wahit (rainfall) | $\underline{\text { pongen-din wahit }}$ |
| japmi/mona (man) | $\underline{\text { mentsham-gen nam japmi }}$ |
| taysay | $\underline{\text { toron-din taysaך }}$ |

The above underlined terms are additional which create complexity in the meaning. They collocate with other preceding or following terms only to make high-sounding or sublime expression. Obviously, the underlined terms are out-dated terms in the speech. If they occur separately, most of them have not got specific meaning. They are more often treated as bound morphemes in terms of lexical meaning because they do not issue a particular meaning in isolation.

### 7.6 Symbolic

Thornley and Robert (2007) refer to the term 'symbol' as it is something that has a deeper meaning or that represents something else. 'Symbol is a sign whether visual or verbal which stands for something' says Wales (2001). In discussing symbol in terms of literature, it is a way of transferring the abstract notions into animate or inanimate objects which in turn signify something or have a range of reference beyond itself. Thus a 'rose' is not merely a flower but symbolically it signifies abstract notion like beauty or delicacy. It is a kind of comparison between the abstract and the concrete in which one of the terms of comparison only suggested. In this point, Yeats views that lifeless things get the life and formless abstract notions get the form in symbolism. He means that 'courage' is an absolutely formless and abstract idea but it gets life and form through the symbol of 'lion' for the lion stands as the symbol of courage.

While talking about the symbol in the Limbu Mundhum, careful readers can easily encounter with the symbol. In one of the episodes in the myth 'The Origin of Man'

Muzingna Kheyongna tries to stop her young son Susuwoengba Lalawoengba from going to the hunting warning him that there are maidens in the North or the South. But unfortunately, he arrogantly ignores his mother's suggestion and goes to the places where he is forbidden to go. Wherever he goes he meets the beautiful girls and they seduce him to have physical relation with them. Later on all the girls come to know that their male partner has at least four girls. From this, everyone gets envious to one another. No one is ready to manage him the necessary logistic support for hunting. He goes to the hunting without any thing. His hunting is not successful as he cannot chase animals because of hunger. One day, he dies of hunger. Now the maidens are the symbol of whirlpool which sucks down whichever comes near to it. On the other hand, the mothers are really the living goddesses who sacrifice their TODAY for their descendants' TOMORROW. Let us consider the following verse lines having the symbolic meanings:
6.a je... sammet rok muktz kezวŋ rok muktzaŋ
je sammet rok mukt - $\varepsilon$ kezoŋ rok mukt - $\varepsilon-a \eta$
VOC breeze FOC blow -PT wind FOC blow -PT pfG
She was constantly blown by the wind.
225
b mikki $p^{h} \varepsilon k t-\varepsilon-t^{s h i} p^{h} u \eta w a \quad p^{h} \varepsilon k t \varepsilon t^{s h i a \eta}$
mikki $p^{h} \varepsilon k t-\varepsilon-t^{s h} i \quad p^{h} u \eta-w a \quad p^{h} \varepsilon k t-\varepsilon-t^{s h} i-a \eta$
life bloom-PT-dPS flower -BAL bloom-PT-dPS-pfG
They copulated. 530

In the above example, the terms sammet and $k \varepsilon z \supset \eta$ (wind or air) and $p^{h} u \eta w a$ (flower) are not for the literal meaning but for the symbolic meanings which symbolize as masculine potency and erotic youth respectively. It is so because the first mother Muzingnama was supposed to have conceived by the 'wind'. Similarly, $p^{h} u \eta w a p^{h} \varepsilon k$ $m a$ (literal meaning 'flower blooms') suggests 'coition'. Therefore, these usages of the terms are essentially symbolic.

### 7.7 Formal

The 'form' as Abrams (2000) has pointed out that it is one of the most frequent terms in literary criticism, but also one of the most diverse in its meanings. It may denote as
genre or structure like a container or work as a combination of component parts matched to each other. But so far as the term formal is concerned, it is obviously related to the linguistic style. In one of the distinctions, there are three kinds of expressions viz. formal, common (ordinary) and the informal. To decide the kind of expression is based on the choice word, arrangement of word and also the length of the sentence (i.e. types of dictions as well as syntactic structures). In this regard, Wales (2001) holds the opinion that it is an important rhetorical principle governing style: the doctrine of fitness or appropriateness of style matched to genre, subject matter, characterization or situation. She further says that the styles were usually formalized to major three types: grand, middle and plain. The doctrine of fitness affects the kind of language chosen particularly in respect of degree of formality. We tend generally to associate the literary language with formality whereas informality is an important factor in everyday use.

It is also better to mention a wide known saying by Thomas Carlyle in the context of formal language. He would say that the 'language is the garment of thought'. We have also the dress code and the type of dress depends on the context and situation. Pointing to the speciality of the literary language, Aristotle said that poetic language must appear strange and wonderful and in fact it is often actually foreign. Obviously he is hinting at distinctive type of language used in the literary writings. Likewise one of his followers, Aristophanes also forwarded the similar view about the language of literature. According to him, 'noble thoughts must have high and lofty language'. So, poetry is an art of expressing noble thoughts in melodious rhythmical language.

Regarding the formal language in poetry, Wellek (1963) maintains the view that poetic language is a language within the language, language completely formalized. Formalism views literature primarily as a specialized mode of language and proposes a fundamental opposition between the literary use of language and the ordinary use of language (Abrams, 2000). Similarly he quotes S.R. Crane's view on the formal principle that it controls and synthesizes the structure of a work - that is the order emphasis and rendering of all its component, subject matter, and parts into a beautiful and effective whole of a determinate kind. Regarding riddum (Mundhum) of Thulung , Nicoletti (2006, p. 35) states as "riddum (Mundhum) possesses a highly formalized sacred oral narrative". The similar view on the Mundhum is expressed by Allen. Allen (2012, p. 13) says, "ceremonial dialogues are delivred in a formal and rhetorical
manner". As we turn to the language of the Limbu Mundhum, one observation is enough to draw a conclusion that the language variety it employs is essentially distinct from the ordinary variety used in everyday communication. In an ordinary mode of speaking the 'man' is called as 'japmi' (Panchthare dialect) 'mona' (Phedape/Taplejungge dialect), napmi (Chhathare dialect) but in the Mundhum language it has only one common form for all the four dialects that is 'mentsham-gen nam japmi ' 'sky' is just 'taysay ' but in the Mundhum it is 'torəy-diy taysay '. Therefore these two examples reveal that the Mundhum language is highly formal set itself off the ordinary form.

### 7.8 Prosodic

Prosody is the term derived from Greek - 'prosodia' which would mean 'a song to music. Prosody in its long historical development has been conceived with different outlooks and emphases. In the sixteenth century, says Wales (2001) it was for correct pronunciation or it was treated as a part of grammar. Only in the late eighteenth century, it extended its range of meaning as versification. Abrams (2000) defines it as the systematic study of versification in poetry that is, a study of the principles and practice of meter, rhyme and stanza forms. He further adds that it is sometimes used in the extensive meaning to include the study of speech sound pattern and effects such as alliteration, assonance, euphony and onomatopoeia. By these above given statements we come to the conclusion that prosody is the scientific study for the systematic arrangement of the sound pattern in the verse lines. In the present context, the prosodic feature has a wide coverage of the linguistic activities like segmental sounds supra-segmental sounds and paralinguistic sounds; and here is the attempt to focus only on the following features in connection with the Limbu Mundhum:

### 7.8.1 Accent

It is a particular stress or force of the voice on certain syllable of words that differs than other unaccented syllables. In the case of the Mundhum verse, the accent occurs primarily on the last syllables or especially just before the caesura. Let us consider the following verse lines:
7.a je.. iksa pokse ro $k^{h} a m b e: k$ pokse ro

$$
\text { je iksa poks - } \text { ro } k^{h} a m b e: k \text { poks }-\varepsilon \text { ro }
$$

VOC earth become -PT ASS land become -PT ASS
The earth was created.
b
je... torəŋ hiPsiŋaŋ taŋsaŋ hipsiŋaŋ
je toron hip-siy-ay taysayhip-siy-ay
VOC paradise turn -REF -pfG sky turn -REF -pfG
They looked up the sky the heavenly space. 053
In the above lines, each line is made up of two short halves, separated by a pause (caesura). Each half line has two accented syllables at the end of the half usually in the same sound or letter. Each full line, therefore, has four accented syllables.

### 7.8.2 Rhythm

It is a regular sequence of stressed and unstressed syllables or vice-versa in a verse line. The rhythm of the Mundhum depends on primarily on accent and alliteration. In the above example 7.a and bcan be recited as example here too. In 7.a the last two syllables are both accented and alliterative as well. e. g. 'pokse ro.' Likewise, the example 7.b the last two syllables are both accented and alliterative. e. g. hipsiyay.

### 7.8.3. Pause

In the Mundhum verse, we can notice the distinct pause in the middle of a line however it is not marked with punctuation while written. 'Rhythmical pause is, says Cuddon (1998) used as equivalent of a caesura and thus it occurs during a line rather than at the end of it' (753). He further states that this pause or break in a line is dictated by natural rhythm of a language. Let us consider the following lines:
8.a je..teygo mendok?e $b^{h} a \eta$ jolay mendok?e $b^{h} a \eta$
je tengo men-dok-Pe bhaך jo-laŋ men-dok -?e bhaך
VOC gentle move npG-stumble-npG SUB BAL-firm step npG-stumble-npG SUB

It may not stumble over as he moves ahead.
b je...apluŋ metamme? tansep metamme?
je apluy met-amm- $\varepsilon$ ? taysep met-amm $-\varepsilon$ ?
VOC good fortune wish -2P-IMP well being wish -2P-IMP

In the example 8.a and b lines, there is no overt pause marked by the punctuation however it is noticed during the recitation. In the example ' $a$ ' the pause occurs after the last syllable ' $b$ ' $a y$ ' and in the ' b ' after the last syllable ' $\varepsilon$ ' ' respectively.

### 7.8.4 Verse

(Lat. versus < turning). The poetic lines are called verse because they are made up of certain number of syllables. When the fixed number of syllables is completed in reciting or writing, the poet turns to place under it. He does not continue to write upto the end of the paper's margin as in the prose writing. Let us see the example below:
9.a $\quad k^{h}$ uni mikki $p^{h} \varepsilon k t e t^{s h} i \quad p^{h} u \eta w a \quad p^{h} \varepsilon k t t^{s h} i$
$k^{h} u n i \quad m i k k i p^{h} \varepsilon k t-\varepsilon-t^{s h} i \quad p^{h} u \eta-w a \quad p^{h} \varepsilon k t-\varepsilon-t^{s h} i$
they life bloom-PT-dPS flower -BAL bloom-PT-dPS
They two had sexual relationship. $\mathbf{5 2 2}$
9.b abuy lar- $\varepsilon$ ro saruy lare ro
abuy lar $-\varepsilon$ ro saruy lar $-\varepsilon$ ro
conception receive -PT ASS pregnancy receive -PT ASS
She was conceived. $\mathbf{5 2 3}$

In the given example, each line consists of ten syllables excluding the offbeat $k^{h} u n i$ of example 'a'.

### 7.8.5 Rhyme

(Gk rhuthmos, from rheo < to flow) Like the most classical verse, the Mundhum verse is also blank verse. This means the Mundhum verse does not have the external rhyme that is, the rhyme extending more than two lines. Thus, the Mundhum has only the internal or intra-line rhyme which is called 'Leonine Rhyme' tending a greater acceleration. Let us have the instance as follows:
10.a samso khektulle ku-min $k^{h} e k t u l l e$
sam -so $\quad k^{h} e k t-u$-lle ku-min $\quad k^{h} e k t-u$-lle
identity -BAL tie -3P-SUB his/her name tie -3P-SUB

She/He named the baby.
b je... le?wa pege ro sumla ni pege ro
je le?wa peg-є ro sum la ni peg-єro
VOC time go -PT ASS three month CTR go -PT ASS
Three months passed.
In the given example, 10.a has ' $e$ ' sound rhyming and $10 . \mathrm{b}$ ' $o$ ' sound rhyming.

### 7.8.6 Alliteration and assonance

Repetition of sound is the basic feature of the Limbu Mundhum. It is, moreover essential element the rhythm and finally for the musical effect in the Mundhum. Have a glance the example of alliterative Mundhum verse below:
11.a $k^{h} u n \varepsilon k^{h} a m d^{z} u m$ nit the ro $k^{h} a m l \varepsilon p$ nit the ro
$k^{h} u n \varepsilon k^{h} a m-d^{z} u m$ ni $-t^{\text {th }} \varepsilon$ ro $k^{h} a m-l \varepsilon p$ ni $-t^{\text {th }} \varepsilon$ ro
s/he soil -BAL see -PUR ASS soil - clod see -PUR ASS
She looked for the soil.
336
b se:ndo tsogu ro se:lap tsogu ro
se:ndo tsog -u ro se:lap tsog-u ro
ask make-3P ASS inquire make-3P ASS
He asked and inquired of. 477

As we consider the above two lines we find that the example ' $a$ ' has the alliteration of the sounds $/ k^{h}, n$ and $r /$ and the assonance sounds are $/ u, a, \varepsilon$ and $o /$. Likewise, example b has the alliterative sounds like $/ s, t^{s}$ and $r /$ and assonance $/ e:, o, u /$.

### 7.9 Musicality

Music is so pervasive in our life but ironically it is less talked about. Nobody denies the fact that music has a power of magic. It is very easy to see why a mother sings as she is swinging her baby in a cradle. Let us ask ourselves where it is there is no music in our life. The life without music is undoubtedly, beyond the imagination. The effect of music is not limited to any age, any geography, moreover its influence extends beyond the human beings but enters into the domain of the whole living things. Yet the thing is vital and around us, it is perhaps the human nature we rarely care about it.

Say the air- it is around us and it is vital too but man seems to be less careful about it. It is seldom the subject of the discussion. The case is exactly the same especially with the music of the Mundhum. Dozens of books have been written on the Mundhum but none seems to refer to its musicality. Candidly speaking, musicality in the Mundhum is its vital aspect with which it is surviving to date. Had it not been the music in it, the Mundhum must have disappeared many centuries ago. Music thus possesses such a vital quality that can cope with lofty noble thoughts, feelings and dreams in a rhythmical melodious pattern. When the feelings are set to music it aspires to eternity. Very simple reason of being so is that it has a unique power of attraction penetrating the psyche and hence a long lasting impression on the mind. This very quality has given the Mundhum a vitality, liveliness and eternity. It is better to mention Parsons et al. (2008) view about music as:

The urge to make music is ancient; and it is essential part of all cultures. Music is thought to be the oldest form of humankind has found for expressing its feeling. It can affect emotions making people dance and cry or make repetitive work easier to bear. It is played whenever there is celebration from a harvest to wedding. Essentially, all music is made from sounds called notes organized into patterns of melody (tune/tone) and harmony, (587).

Musicality, as we commonly know about it, is a pleasing sound produced by the vibrations. The term vibration is not restricted to only vocal chords' vibration but it denotes the vibration from any instrument whether it is produced by beating, striking, pressing, friction or blowing. But the basic thing for the music is that the produced sound must be sweet, pleasing and melodious as well. About the music, in Oxford Dictionary, Hornby (1982) defines music 'as sounds that are arranged in a way that is pleasing or exciting to listen to'. Contrary to our common assumption, there are harsh sounding doggerel verses too, but their purpose is something else. In its foundation, there arose the movement popularly known as 'punk' in between 1970s and 1980s decades in America. That harsh sounding music was meant to protest against the government about the existing unemployment problem. Following years the 'punk' happened to be developed as 'punk tradition' spreading far and wide. Again there are also mythical references of music like 'The Pied Piper of Hamelin' by Robert Browning (1812-1889) and 'Orpheus' by John Fletcher (1579-1625) whose music was so powerful and enchanting not only to the human beings but also the wild beasts.

On the other, we have the example of notorious music 'The Gloomy Sunday' composed by the Hungarian writer Lazzalo Zabor and sung by Renjo Serej. It is notorious because it is blamed for provoking the young people to commit suicide and many took their own lives after hearing this music. Referring to the power of music, Frith (1987) mentions Johnson's thought that through music, dreams are unveiled, souls exorcised, tensions canalized and strength realized.'

### 7.9.1 The Mundhum and musical aspect

The realm of music in Limbu Mundhum has remained as a matter of least talked in comparison with other aspects. To talk about such untrodden path is really challenging and painstaking as well. The real intricacy that lies behind the music is that it is entirely a separate discipline. For this reason, there is a potential danger of misinterpretation in one hand, and there is likely not to have in-depth treatment to the subject on the other. The paper in this respect intends to bring out certain modes and characteristic features of the Mundhum recitation. It therefore, only discusses the variations in the recitation and what these variations signify. The way of singing markedly differs from one to another situation depending on the kind of ritual, subject matter, occasion and so forth. In other words, Dell Hymes' ethnography of communication is rightly applicable to the changing modes of the Mundhum recitation.

### 7.10 Rhetoric

Rhetoric is concerned with the way of language use. It is a skilled speaking/writing in order to persuade the audience/readers with the point or topic the speaker has taken up. According to Aristotle, rhetoric is an art of discovering all the available means of persuasion in any given case. He focused on the means and devices an orator uses to have intellectual and emotional effect on the audience which will then convince them with the subject taken by the orator. In a broad sense (Cuddon: 1998) rhetoric can be described as the study of language in its practical uses, focusing on the persuasive and other effects of language and on the means by which one can achieve those effects on audience or reader. Likewise Bose and Sterling (1997) state "rhetoric aims at the beauty and force of style". The writers use different techniques in making their expressions rhetorical. For example Plato in his writing "The Allegory of the Cave" uses the types of rhetoric as 'allegory' and 'dialogue'. By using these two rhetorical
techniques he has presented his complex thought in clear cut manner. The other writers have used different techniques as aphorism, comparison, contrast, parallelism etc.

In fact, the term 'rhetoric' has now come to be used in modern linguistic and literary theory in senses which reflect current rather than traditional perspectives. So for Leech (1963) for example, rhetoric is a set of conversational principles and maxims, interpersonal and textual and for Jordan (1984) it refers to principles of information structure and signaling strategies (rhetorical structure theory). Any discourse, either conversational or textual employs the rhetoric because the ultimate aim of discourse or text is to persuade the readers with the point taken. So the discourse may take up different types of rhetoric depending on the nature of the topic or matter.

When we turn to the Limbu Mundhum and consider about the rhetoric it has used, mainly we find 'parallelism' in terms of diction and syntactic structures. The rhetoric parallelism' refers to the equality of meaning level and sentence structures. Parallelism in terms of lexical level is maintained by presenting the words equal in their gravity of meaning and the number of syllables. For example, tutu-gen tummjahan, jakla-gen suhan-se.
(Kainla, Limbu-Nepali-English dictionary, 2059 VS)

The underlined words are base words and both words have the same meaning 'gentlemen'. The preceding terms of the base words have occurred only to raise the weight, dignity and sonority of the expression. When these preceding terms like 'tuttugen' and 'jaklagen' occur in isolation, most often, they are like bound lexemes. Even if they give meaning, the meanings are entirely irrelevant to the base words. For instance, the word 'jakla' has its literal meaning as 'small bush or 'wild arum lily'. (Kainla, Limbu-Nepali-English dictionary, 2059 VS)

Now, let us see the Mundhum's rhetorical parallelism as follows:
12.a $k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon$ tendi sisa kere?o mentsin kere?o
$k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon \quad t \varepsilon n d i \quad$ sisa $k \varepsilon r-\varepsilon$ - $-o \quad m e n t s i n ~ k e r-\varepsilon$ ? -o you (s) in the future young reach-IMP-VOC matured reach-IMP-VOC May you reach young and matured in the future!

These two lines (example 12.a and .b) appear to be parallel in terms of syllabic structure, intra-line or interline rhyming pattern as:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon ~ t \varepsilon n d i ~ s i s a ~ k \varepsilon r \varepsilon ? o, ~ & m \varepsilon n t s i n ~ k \varepsilon r \varepsilon ? o \\
\text { je.... } k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon \text { iksa } k^{h} \varepsilon m d \varepsilon \text { ?o, } & k^{h} a m b e: k k^{h} \varepsilon m d \varepsilon \text { ?o }
\end{array}
$$

The terms $j e$ and $k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon$ and tendi are generally considered to be offbeats. Leaving these offbeats, the main part of verse consists five syllables in each half. Hence, the core part of the verse becomes like:

> si-sa $k \varepsilon-r \varepsilon-$ ?o, men-tsin $k \varepsilon$-r $\varepsilon$-?o (May you(F) be matured and young !)
> $i k$-sa $k^{h} \varepsilon m-d \varepsilon-? o, k^{h} a m-b e: k k^{h} \varepsilon m-d \varepsilon-$-Po (May you suit the earth!)

The next rhetoric, the Mundhum has embodied is that most of the discourses are in the address form. This can be called as a dramatic monologue. The person is presenting his expression forcefully through monologue. He is addressing both the mortal beings as well as the supernatural beings. The expressions are short, precise and pointed. The above example is right enough to prove this rhetorical device. Basically, the poetic expression has involved partial repetition whether of metrical patterns, rhymes or sentence structures (Traugott \& Pratt, 1980). In the above example, there are words repeated in both intra-line and interline levels. e.g. ' $k \varepsilon r \varepsilon$ ?o' and ' $k$ $\varepsilon m d \varepsilon$ ?o' are the words repeated in intra-line level and ' $k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon^{\prime}$ and ' $\varepsilon$ ? -o' the examples of inter-line repetition. This kind of repetition seems to aspire towards the condition of music. The lines are precise so as to complete reciting within a single breath.

### 7.11 Figurative or language of embellishment

The Limbu Mundhum is graceful in its form and recitation. It has made use of figures of speech for its decorative purpose. The Mundhum language has been beautified as to make its form splendid and rhythm sonorous. Figures of speech are the processes of breaking away from the established standard of language. Figurative language appears
to be nonsensical from the literal level of meaning. For example William Wordsworth's poem 'My Heart Leaps Up When I Behold', in one of the lines, it is "The child is father of the man'. While considering this line from literal level, this is absurd and meaningless. But from the figurative level, this is epigrammatic expression. According to Bain, epigram is an apparent contradiction in language which, by causing a temporary shock, rouses our attention to some important meaning underneath. The Mundhum has also employed various types of figures of speech to achieve certain effect in the reader or listener. Let us consider one excerpt from Kainla (2048 VS) "Limbu Jatima Kokh Pooja" below:

```
13.a "allo koy go, tiy ti\eta mendok?e
    nahen mendok?e, miksun mendok?e ro
    koy sigi khekhu\eta sonam, mata ime?t khekhu\eta
    tho garo ta\etasa\eta khekhu\eta, jo garo iksa-a\eta khekhu\eta
    tadigen sepmay men-dmn?e, lahig\varepsilonn sepmay mendzn?e
```

Trans.
"Now jealousy and envy may not affect/come
I tie the spirit and soul with speech
I tie the sky and the earth
May the vision's head not bow down!" (by researcher)
These above lines are figurative by the use of metaphor. The abstract notions like jealousy and envy have been treated as if they have lives like ours. In one way they are metaphors in the others, they have been personified. The rest thing is that the persona 'I' is tying the spirit and soul as if they are concrete objects or animate things. Similarly the land and the sky are also being tied by the speaker (persona). The last line is essentially metaphorical expression that says the vision (dream) head should not bow down. Here, the vision is implied as human being with the head up.

When one goes through the Mundhum s/he easily comes across with the idea that Mundhum embraces varieties of clues of the language embellishment. The term 'embellishment' is one of the four elements of the stylistics. This term, according to Jefferson and Robey (1984), takes the form of assumption that writing is automatically made beautiful through the addition of certain standardized linguistic
ornaments of which are the best known are the various poetic figures: metaphor; antithesis, hyperbole and the like. Mundhum being a classical writing is filled with such linguistic ornaments. The use of ornament is viewed strictly in its relation to the tone or level required by the writing in accordance with the principle of decorum. Personification, onomatopoeia, imagery, allusion and simile have been massively used in it. Consider the following piece of Sappok chomen Mundhum by Kainla (2048 VS):-

> 13.b je.. nam-saך kattu-o thek-saך kottu-o $t^{h} a y$ ti-diy ti-diy kJttu-o $t^{h}$ ay thay jep-pit ksttu-o kan-go tho sod hay men-tsop-?e ro Wa-lok men-tsop-?e ro allo sod ${ }^{h} u y p^{h} u y$ he: ro

Translation:
"Keep it (spirit) safely and cuddle well
Up and high-up as the glowing moon
Keep it up standing firmly
Let the ocean of life not dry away
Let us fill its life's ocean with water." (by researcher)
With much care, multiplicities of linguistic ornaments have been employed in this given stanza.

### 7.12 Obscurity/ Hermeticism

Obscurity is sharply felt on the part of the Mundhum by the Limbu native speakers. This is the reason the Mundhum is aloof from the most of the native speakers. Furthermore it is limited to a handful of the Limbu 'Phedangbas' (priests) and the Mundhum 'Sabas' (persons with sound knowledge of the Mundhum). But surprisingly, these Phedangbas and the Mundhum Sabas are reluctant to share and handover the idea to the inquisitive native people. It is needless to say that the Mundhum has become as an obscure and strange for the majority of the native speakers. The priests further mystify it saying that it is the language of deity but not
the language for ordinary speech. While observing the so-called divine language, the ordinary speakers constantly trip over the stumbling blocks going through the Mundhum language. Especially, the marks of obscurity according to Cuddon (1998) are an elliptical style (loose syntax, anacoluthon, asyndeton), recondite allusion and reference archaic or ornate language and private or subjective imagery. Similarly the term 'hermeticism' also suggests obscure, difficult poetry in which the language and imagery are subjective and which the music or suggestive power of the words are of as great an importance as the sense.

### 7.13 Nonsense words and their functions

The Mundhum is believed to be the prehistorical relic of the Limbu language. This matter is further justified by some evidences. The first stricking thing is that there is massive use of archaic and obsolete dictions. The next is that it (Mundhum) consists of caesura that is, as Cuddon (1998) opines, 'a break or pause in a verse line of poetry dictated by the natural rhythm of language and/or enforced by punctuation.' In the same way, Long (1989) maintains the view that 'the rhythm of all our earliest poetry depended upon accent and alliteration that is the beginning of two or more words in the same line with the same sound or letter'. He further states that 'the lines were made up of two short halves separated by a pause'. As the views forwarded by these two persons, the Mundhum also accurately embodies these features. Just as Cuddon's (1998) claim that most classical verse is blank verse, the Mundhum has only internal rhyme that is the rhyming pattern within a line. The external rhyme is only the matter of coincidence here. Regarding the rhyme pattern, Long (1989) holds resembling view with Cuddon and says 'no rhyme was used; but a musical effect was produced by giving each half line two strongly accented syllables.' Let us consider the example herewith:
14.a je...... je...... allo andz:n sa:mdzik lodaha? mundhum lodaha?
je...... je...... allo andz:n sa:mdzik loda-ha? mundnum loda-ha?
VOC VOC now long ago narrative placement-p myth placement-p
Now, the ancient originated myths and stories,
b allo $k^{h}$ ene tengo tsokpe? jo alay tsokpe? jo
allo $k^{h}$ ne teŋgo tsokpe? jo a-laך tsokpe? jo
now you(s) feet a little bit there 1- leg a little bit there

The verse line has repeated offbeat ' $j e$ ' and temporal adverbs like allo andz:n initiating the line for it is the beginning of the Mundhum recitation, otherwise the real Mundhum verse is: ‘sa:mdzik loda-ha? mundhum loda-ha?'. It is customary that the start of recitation does not merely include verse line alone. Really, the use of offbeat in the beginning does have its own significance because it is primarily used for two purposes. The first is to draw the attention of the audience gathering around and the second is to cope with the rhythmic structure proper.

Like all other folk epics of the world, the Mundhum also belongs to oral tradition which has been handed down through oral recitation. To talk about the Mundhum verse, it includes a reasonable number of lexemes which could be either archaic, or obsolete, or even bound lexemes. Almost all headwords co-occur with apposed words or modifiers. Nearly half of the modifying words seem to be bound lexemes. Such bound lexemes or non-lexical forms are of four types. They are: offbeat, modifier (apposed word), mimetic and expressive adverb/adjective.

### 7.14 Types of nonsensical words

The term 'nonsensical' seems to be worthless to talk about because it is already nonsense that is without meaning. But in the context of oral poetry, such nonsensical words do have a reasonable significance especially for enhancing the meaning of the headword and maintaining the rhythmical balance in the verse line. Regarding the nonsense words, Crystal (1997, p. 11) opines as, "unintelligible words and phrases are commonplace in the oral poetry in many languages and can be explained only by a universal desire to exploit the sonic potential of language." Nonsensical words are also different types which are briefly discussed as follows:

### 7.14.1 Offbeat

It is, as defined by Sampson (2011), "an unstressed syllable which is normally placed at the start or end of a line of verse." According to him, when the offbeat occurs at the beginning of the verse line, it serves as the springboard that helps us lunch into the metrical scheme proper. It has also of two kinds i.e. lexical and non-lexical forms.

The lexical is that form which is meaningful or independent lexeme whereas nonlexical is bound form. In the Limbu Mundhum verse, the bound offbeat can be 'ye', 'hey', haケyu, pãyu, etc. They usually occur at the beginning. The verse final occurring offbeats are 'rollo, $p^{h} a \eta$, 'be'. The initial occurring offbeats have a high frequency than the verse final. The lexical (meaningful) offbeats usually involve adverbials like 'allo, and $\varepsilon: n, \supset k k^{h} \varepsilon, t^{h} o$ : and pronouns like $k^{h} \varepsilon n i, k^{h} u n i$, and so forth. Let us consider the example of non-lexical offbeats used in the Mundhum verse line:
15.a je... mentsham go pokse japmi go pokse je mentsham go poks-є japmi go poksVOC human then become-PT human being then become-PT It has become human anyway.
b je.. sa:ŋgu agere sokma: agere
je... sa:ทgu a-ger-غ sokma: a-ger- $\varepsilon$
VOC vitality 1 -get-PT life force 1 -get-PT
We are refreshed having rest. 003

In the above verse lines, the verse-initial offbeat ' $j e$ '..., has been employed. The offbeat ' $j e$ ' is essentially non-lexical or nonsensical.

### 7.14.2. Apposed/modifying words

The Mundhum verse is always made up of two halves of the parallel contruction. Appositive or modifying word occurs as a subject of the first half and the headword in the second half. The modifier or apposed word can be either meaningful or bound lexeme. Being the subject of a verb, modifiers are very often nominals for this reason the Mundhum is said to have binominal expressions or paired expressions. See the example below:
16.a le?wa pegzlle lidoy pegslle
le?wa peg - $\varepsilon$-lle li-doy peg - $\varepsilon \quad$-lle
time go(spend)-PT-SUB four year go(spend)-PT-SUB
Four years passed.
b woja jemsiy lo aphelli jaysiy lo
woja jem-siy lo aphelli jay -siy lo
APP stand-REF FOC catapult carry-REF FOC
He started taking catapult.
493
In the above two verse lines, the line initiating apposed words $l \varepsilon ? w a$ and woja both are bound lexemes. Moreover, the second verse has an offbeat ' $l o$ ' at its end.

### 7.14.3. Mimetic words

Mimetic words are also alternatively known as onomatopoeia which directly connect up particular feature of sound in a text with non-linguistic phenomena out side the text. To put it in another way, it is the formation and use of words to imitate sounds. This is a way of matchig up a sound with non-linguistic correlate in the 'real' world. Not all the mimetic words used in the Mundhum are lexical forms. Naturally, some of the sound imitative lexemes are bound ones. This means mimetic word onomatopoeia involves both the lexical as well as non-lexical forms. Example of the mimetic words used in the Mundhum verse is presented below:
17. a ani $t^{h} u t t^{h} u t^{h} u k t u m b a l l e ~ t^{h} u \varepsilon t^{h} u k t u m b a l l e$
ani $t^{h} u t t^{h} u \quad t^{h} u k t-u-m-b a-l l e \quad t^{h} u \varepsilon t^{h} u k t-u-m-b a-l l e$
we ONOM spit-3P-pA-NOM-SUB ONOM spit-3P-pA-NOM-SUB
We scornfully spat on to the created human.
b $k^{h} u n \varepsilon ~ h u-h u ~ l o r e ~ r o ~ p h i k-p^{h} i k l o r e ~ r o$
$k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ hu-hu lor-є ro $p^{h i k-p h i k ~ l o r-\varepsilon ~ r o ~}$
s/he hoo-hoo say-PT ASS sui-sui say-PT ASS
He whistled and uttered a war cry.
520

In the first verse, $t^{h} u t t^{h} u$ and $t^{h} u \varepsilon$ are the mimetic or onomatopoeic lexemes which are not the lexical forms. In the same way, $h u-h u$ and $p^{h} i k-p^{h} i k$ are the sound imitations and bound lexemes. The first verse's mimetic forms suggest the act of spitting. Likewise, the second verse's imitative forms suggest whistling and shouting while rushing to the forest for hunting.

### 7.14.4 Expressive or intensifying adverb

The expressive adverbs naturally occur before the verb but such adverbs are completely bound lexemes that means they cannot occur on their own or independently. They are profusely used in the ordinary speech and a bit limited to the ritual language. They may occur independently preceding the verb or get connected morphosyntactically with the verb. When they are joined to the verb, their last syllable is a duplicate of the verb root itself. Let us consider the example as follows:
 je poghem $k^{h} \varepsilon m d-u$ ro $b^{h} a \eta$ polark la:k lo $b^{h} a \eta$ VOC very suit-3P- OPT SUB sharply smart OPT SUB So that it may match very nicely.
b je... podza:k tsak lo bà poghem $k^{h} \varepsilon m d u$ ro $b^{h} a \eta$
je podza:k tsak -lo bhaך pog ${ }^{h} \varepsilon m k^{h} \varepsilon m d-u \quad-r o b^{h} a \eta$
VOC terrifically hard -OPT SUB exactly fit-suit-3P -OPT SUB So that it may be strong and well suited, 069

In the given verse lines, the lexemes like $p \supset g^{h} \varepsilon m$, polark and podza:k are bound lexemes which precede the verbs $k^{h} \varepsilon m d u$, (suit) la:k (clever) and $t^{s} a k$ (strong) respectively. These expressive lexemes occur only to expand the semantic dimension.

Having found the abundant use of such bound lexemes in the Mundhum language, both curiosity and query rise up in the mind at the same time of why the Mundhum is constantly employing the bound lexemes or derogatorily, it may be said 'nonsense words.' To appease the curiosity and query, one needs a serious looking into diverse linguistic aspects of the Mundhum. The occurrence of bound lexemes really does have a number of purposes and functions. Now it is better to have a discussion about the rationale and significance of non-lexical forms in the Limbu Mundhum verse.

### 7.15 Functions of nonsensical words

At first hearing, every one would be surprised to know about the matter that 'nonesensical words' have their significant functions in the Limbu Mundhum. From
the superficial view, such skeptical idea regarding the importance of the nonsensical words is correct to some extant however it is not so as has been thought of. The fact is that, when these nonsensical words happen to be the guest of the headwords the equal meaning is surcharged to them by their hosts. Undoubtedly, these words are nonsensical when they occur in isolation. At such time, their position is just as the position of the digit zero ' 0 '. It is because the digit zero has no value till the time it happens to follow other numericals. What happens when the zero makes the digit one (1) as its host by following close to it? The answer is straightforward that the valueless zero ' 0 ' extends ten times more value of its host, this means one ' 1 ' becomes ten ' 10 '. Similar is the case of the nonsensical words while occurring with their hosts, they definitely heighten the meaning of the headwords. The nonsensical words have following functions in the Mundhum expression:

### 7.15.1 Rhythmical balance

The Mundhum is an art of expressing the noble thoughts in melodious rhythmical language. So the balance in the rhythm is a must. The Mundhum makes use of nonlexical items in order to keep the rhythmical balance. Consider the example below:
19.a je ... minu buymaha? tipnam buymaha?
je ... тіпи buyma -ha? tipnam buŋma -ha?
VOC APP plant -p cane plant -p
Fine canes' sticks, $\mathbf{1 7 2}$
b je.. teygo mendokie $b^{h} a \eta j o l a y ~ m e n d o k ? e ~ b^{h} a \eta$
je teygo men- dok -?e be jay jo- lay men- dok -?e bhaך
VOC gentle move npG-stumble-npG SUB BAL-firm step npG-stumble-npG SUB

It may not stumble over as he moves ahead. 257
The Mundhum verse lines given in the example 19 ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ', the premodifying words minu and teygo are completely nonsensical words. Their occurrence is only to maintain the rhythmical structure of the verse.

### 7.15.2 Structural balance

It has formulaic fixed structure: ' $A+R, B+R$ '; here, $A$ and $B$ stand for adnominal (nouns) and both A and B have the identical verb conjugation. e.g.
$A+R$
$B+R$
20.a woja mebhoksu jayday mebhoksu
woja me-bhoks-u jaŋday me-bhoks-u
naming rite nsAS-perform-3P, baptismal rite nsAS-perform-3P
They performed the ritual of naming.
373
b je... le?wa pegslle lila pegslle
je le?wa peg- $\varepsilon-l l e \quad$ lila peg- $\varepsilon$-lle
VOC time go -PT -SUB four month go-PT -SUB
Four months passed.

In the given verse line, the terms jayday and lila (B) are headwords and woja and le?wa (A) are modifier/apposed words which are bound lexemes. Both A and B have the identical verbs meb hoksu and pegelle. The modifying or appositive words 'woja' and $l \varepsilon$ ? wa have occurred solely to maintain the structural balance. The verses have to convey the meanings 'they performed the naming rite' and 'four months passed'.

### 7.15.3 Alliteration and assonance

Most of apposed/modifying words occur in the verse line to make it alliterative and assonance.
21.a je... hangen roklore siktsa rok lore
je haygen rok lor- $\varepsilon$ siktsa rok lor- $\varepsilon$
VOC irritation FOC feel-PT disinterested-FOC feel-PT
She was irritated.
b je... warepthum lor-e piPthum rok lor- $\varepsilon$
je...warepthum lor- ${ }^{h}$ piPthum rok lor- $\varepsilon$
VOC a deep sigh feel-PT unwilling FOC feel-PT
She was unwilling, she was reluctant.

The terms warepthum and hangen are the apposed/modifying words of the headwords sikts $a$ and piPthum. These two pairs words have created alliteration of $/ \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{r} /$ sounds and assonance of $/ \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{\rho} / \varepsilon / \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{u} /$ sounds in the verse lines.

### 7.15.4 Expanding semantic dimension

Though the lexemes are bound, they can play a significant role to expand semantic dimension in the expression. e.g.
22. a je.. pog ${ }^{h} \varepsilon m k^{h} \varepsilon m d u$ ro $b^{h} a \eta$ polapk la:k lo $b^{h} a \eta$
je poghem $k^{h}$ cmd-u ro $b^{h} a \eta \quad$ polark la:k lo $b^{h} a \eta$
VOC very suit-3P-ASS SUB sharply smart ASS SUB
So that s/he may be well matched and smart. 060

In this verse, the terms $p_{0} g^{h} c m$ and polapk are essentially the bound lexemes yet they are adding the degree of the verb conjugation $k^{h} c m d u$ (suit/match) and la:k (clever/smart) respectively. In the same way, let us consider the following line:
22. b allo $k^{h}$ ene ande:n podnum $t^{h} u m$ lo $b^{h} a \eta p o d^{2} a k t^{s} a k l o b^{h} a \eta$ allo $k^{h}$ ne ande:n pod ${ }^{h} u m t^{h} u m$ lo $b^{h} a \eta p$ odzak tsak lo $b^{h} a \eta$ now you(s)a short while ago more laborious EMPH SUB much hard EMPH SUB

It would be strong and efficient. 218

The terms like $p \supset d^{h} u m$ and $p o d^{2} a k$ are bound lexemes while in isolation but when they occur or precede the verb they enhance the expressive quality of the following verbs.

### 7.15.5 Performance control with delight

The apposed words often create the situation of repetition of certain terms in the verse. This repetitive pattern helps to control the activity that is being performed and may offer delight to the performer. We can see a child playing alone uttering some sorts of repetitive words. Really, the utterance has a function.
23.a je... luøtstitshiri go luŋboŋba saiha? ro
je luyt ${ }^{\text {shi }}$-tshiri go luøboyba sa? -ha? ro

VOC ancient tribe -RHM TOP primitive offspring -p ASS
They are born out of the stones. $\mathbf{1 0 5}$
b je... hadzum megettu habe megettu
je ha-dzum me- gett -u habe me- gett -u
VOC teeth-BAL nsAS- insert - 3 P jaw nsAS- insert -3P
They put the teeth and the jaw.
183

In the given examples, the terms like luy and $h a$ are repetitive syllables in the respective lines.

### 7.15.6 Galvanizing the syllabic sequence

The nonsensical words have very powerful source to galvanize syllabic sequences. Let us consider the following examples:
24.a allo ettshum tsokma be eppha tsokma be allo ett ${ }^{s h} u m t^{s} o k-m a ~ b e ~ e p p^{h} a t^{s} o-m a b e$ now how make-INF INQ what make-INF INQ They were confused what to do next. 249
b allo halla mendhare bhay mikso mendhare $b^{h} a \eta$ allo halla men- $d^{h} a-? e \quad b^{h} a \eta \quad m i k \quad$-so men- $d^{h} a-? e b^{h} a \eta$ now curse npG- fall -npG SUB jealousy-BAL npG- fall -npG SUB It may not receive any cureses and scorns. $\mathbf{2 5 5}$

In the above lines, the apposed/pre-modifier words like ettshum and halla are generally nonsensical lexemes yet they have been used in the verse lines so as to galvanize the syllabic sequences

Also in the line ' $24 . a^{\prime}$ ', both the headword $e p p^{h} a$ and its apposed/modifying word ett ${ }^{s h}$ um are archaic and obsoletes.

### 7.15.7 Organizing symmetrical mirror image pattern in verse

They are extremely helpful to organize a symmetrical 'mirror image' pattern in the verse line.
25.a je... torəท hipsiyay taysay hisiyay
je toron hi?-siy-aŋ taysay hi-siy-aŋ
VOC paradise turn-REF-pfG sky turn-REF-pfG
They turned up to the sky.
053
b $k^{h}$ ne t'aygi $t^{h} a \eta-\varepsilon$ - $-o \quad t^{h} o b u n t^{h} a \eta \varepsilon ? o$
$k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon \quad t^{s} a \eta g i \quad t^{h} a \eta \quad-\varepsilon$ ? -o $t^{h} o b u n \quad t^{h} a \eta-\varepsilon$ ? $-o$
you (s) development develop -IMP-VOC growth achieve -IMP -VOC
May you grow and develop!
Both the examples 25 .a and $25 . \mathrm{b}$ have the mirror image patterns in each line. In the first line the sounds $/ \mathrm{t} /$ and $/ \mathrm{h} /$ are alliterative and though the $/ \mathrm{g} /$ sound is not in the position of alliteration, it has a higher frequency and has produced sonority in the verse.

### 7.17.8 Providing linkage

They serve the link between anaphoric as well as cataphoric references.
26.a je... ment ${ }^{\text {sh }} a m \quad t^{\text {sog }}$ og-u-ro japmi tsog-u-ro
$j e . . . m e n t^{\text {th }}$ am tsog-u ro japmi tsog -u ro
VOC human make-3P ASS human being make-3P-ASS
They created the human being.
b je... allo $k^{h} a p p u$ samale pojoy samale
je allo khappu sama -le pojoy sama -le
VOC now ashes material -ERG pile material -ERG
Now the creation with the ashes of 227

In the example 26.a, the nonsensical word ' $j e$ ' is supportive element for the anaphoric reference which indicates the previous and in the same way $26 . \mathrm{b}$ the nonsensical term ' $j e$ ' is assisting for cataphoric reference that indicates the following.

### 7.15.9 Set the language off the ordinary speech

They are the means of setting the ritual language off the ordinary speech variety.
27.a je..iksa pokse ro $k^{h} a m b e: k$ pokse ro

| je iksa poks $-\varepsilon$ | ro | $k^{h}$ ambe:k poks | $-\varepsilon$ | ro |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VOC earth become -PT ASS | land | become-PT | ASS |  |
| The earth was created. | $\mathbf{0 0 6}$ |  |  |  |

b
je... sawa melcksu e:kpha melcksu
je sawa me- leks-u e:kpha me- leks-u
VOC jungle nsAS-fell-3P bush nsAS- fell -3P
They cleared the the jungle 137

Apart from the offbeat ' $j e$ ' the apposed/pre-modifier words $i k s a$ and sawa are not only nonsensical but also they are archaic dictions which are not used in the practical language. That is why when they occur; they set the expression off the ordinary variety.

### 7.15.10 Enhancing musical quality

They can be equally helpful to enhance the musical quality in the verse line.
28.a minu medhayu thay ni mednayulle
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { minu } m \varepsilon-d^{h} a \eta-u & t^{h} a \eta & n i \quad m \varepsilon-d^{h} a \eta & -u & -l l e \\ \text { APP nsAS-filter-3P weigh } & \text { CTR nsAS- filter -3P -SUB }\end{array}$
As they filtereded the siblings through the sieve made of gold and silver, 575
b je.... pegilla pege phaŋ-la pege
je pegilla peg $-\varepsilon p^{h} a \eta l a \quad$ peg $-\varepsilon$
VOC APP go-PT nine month go -PT
Nine months were gone. 358

In the given Mundhum lines, the appositive words minu and pegilla are essentially nonsensical yet they contribute to set the verse to music. The both appositives have created the situation for alliteration in the respective lines hence they have enhanced the musical quality.

### 7.15.11 Defamiliarization/Deautomatization

Their occurrence is needed to defamiliarize the structure so as to draw the attention. In this way they can play the role to deautomatize the usual expression. Let us see how they have deautomatized the familiar expression as below:
29.a stto nokse ro jaŋnoy nokse ro
stto noks - $\varepsilon$ ro jaŋnวŋ noks- $\varepsilon$ ro
hastily return-PT ASS instantly return-PT ASS
Hastily, s/he returned. 418
b hukso meukhulle taŋe meukhulle
huk -so me- $u k^{h}-u \quad$-lle taye $m \varepsilon-u k^{h} \quad-u$-lle
hand-BAL nsAS- pull -3P -SUB arms nsAS- pull -3P -SUB
Having pulled the body from the two different sides,
580

In the line 'a' the familiar expression would merely be hara nokse ro 'quickly returned' but in the Mundhum expression, the same idea has been expressed entirely different way with unusual collocation as well as affixation. Same is the case with the line 'b'. In the ordinary saying, only meukhulle 'pulled' would have been enough.

### 7.15.12 Retaining spirit of the ritual sense

They function to reflect the close adherence to tradition and are special to set apart from everyday language since most people think that religious language should be different.
30.a je... toron hipsiyay taysay hipsijay
je toron hi? -siך -ay taysaך hi? -siy -aך
VOC paradise turn -REF -pfG sky turn -REF -pfG
They looked up the sky the heavenly space.
053
b je...... $k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon$ iksa $k^{h} \varepsilon m d \varepsilon$ ?o $k^{h} a m b e: k k^{h} \varepsilon m d \varepsilon$ ?o
$j e . . . . k^{h} \varepsilon n \varepsilon \quad i k s a k^{h} \varepsilon m d-\varepsilon$-o $\quad k^{h} a m b e: k k^{h} \varepsilon m d \quad-\varepsilon$ ? -o
VOC you(s) earth suit-IMP-VOC land suit-IMP-VOC
Oh! You should be suitable to this earth.

In the example, both apposed words $t \mathfrak{r} \eta$ and $i k s a$ are archaic and ritual words which generally show the close adherence to the tradition.

### 7.15.13 Uplifting standard

Even being bound lexemes, they are supportive to maintain the standard of ritual language.
31.a je.... samso megheksu ro jomin megheksu ro je sam-so me-gheks-u ro jo-min me-gheks-u ro

VOC identity-BAL nsAS-put/tie-3P ASS BAL-name nsAS-put/tie-3P ASS
They put the name.


APP perform naming rite-3P ASS baptismal rite perform naming rite-3P ASS She performed the ritual of naming. 438

The pair binomials samso- jomin and waja- jayday are purely ritual terms which are announced only during the ritual performances.

### 7.15.14 Maintaining cohesion and coherence

They can play a crucial role to achieve coherence and cohesion at various levels that is phonological, lexical, semantic, syntactic, rhythmic, musical melody and the like. Let us consider the following verse lines.
32.a je....... sewa $m \varepsilon-j \varepsilon b-\varepsilon$ se:ndo $m \varepsilon-j \varepsilon b-\varepsilon$
je sewa me-jcb- $\quad$ se:ndo $m \varepsilon-j \varepsilon b-\varepsilon$
VOC solicitously nsAS-stand-PT inquisitively nsAS-stand-PT
They humbly stood for ask and inquiry.
b
je.. pegi pekille phaך jen pekille
je pegi pek-ille phaךjen pek-ille
VOC ninth go-SUB nine days go-SUB
When nine days pass/go away,

### 7.15.15 Making powerful expression

They are helpful to make the expression vivid, sharp and pointed. In other words, they can assist for meaning exaltation. Just as the zero (0) has no value when it occurs in isolation, but it can extend the value of the figures ten times more if it happens to follow them. One becomes ten, and the ten becomes hundred. In the same manner, the nonsensical words can make the head word (host word) more expressive. Let us see the example below:
33.a je... minu kirik lo phimbrikwa kirik lo
je minu kirik lo phimbrikwa kirik lo
VOC APP seed EMPH soap nut seed EMPH
The seed of the soap-nut (rittha), 157
b je... $t^{h} u t t^{h} u m \varepsilon d^{h} u k t u a \eta \quad t^{h} u \varepsilon ~ m \varepsilon d^{h} u k t u a \eta$
$j e \quad t^{h} u t t^{h} u \quad m \varepsilon-d^{h} u k t-u-a \eta t^{h} u \varepsilon \quad m \varepsilon-d^{h} u k t-u-a \eta$
VOC ONOM nsAS- spit-3P -pfG ONOM nsAS-spit-3P -pfG
They spat on the image.
232

In the above cited Mundhum verse lines, the terms 'minu, $t^{h} u t t^{h} u$ and $t^{h} u \varepsilon$ ' are nonsensical lexemes. The first term minu is nominal premodifying word that has occurred with the headword phimbrikwa in order to heighten the meaning of its headword. Likewise, the terms $t^{h} u t t^{h} u$ and $t^{h} u \varepsilon^{\prime}$ are nonlexical onomatopoea however, they are able to match up sound with a nonlinguistic correlate in the 'real' world or they enact symbolically their referents outside language.

### 7.15.16 Organizing language into units

They also help to organize the language into units which are more easily perceived and thus memorized for long.
34.a le?wa pegslle thibonla pegslle
le?wa peg - $\varepsilon$-lle thiboyla peg - $\varepsilon$-lle
time go(spend)-PT-SUB ten month go(spend)-PT-SUB
While ten month passed,
b allo mentsham kepokpa japmi kepokpa

# allo mentsham ke-pok-pa japmi ke-pok -pa <br> now human AP- rise -AP man AP-rise-AP 

Now this attempt has become successful.
In these two verse lines the pre-modifying words $l \varepsilon ? w a$ and $m \varepsilon n t^{t h} a m$ are nonsensical in isolation but they play a crucial role to make the text cohesive. Such words are important in the intra-textual relations of a grammatical and lexical kind which knit the parts together into a complete unit of the expression and which therefore, convey the meaning as a whole.

### 7.16 Repetitive form and function

The Mundhum is characterized by its paired expression or binominal expression. The occurrence of single noun or headword alone is rare in the Mudhum expression. For instance, when there is the occurrence of $k^{h} a m b e: k$ (earth), it is usually preceded by the appose word/modifier iksa, thus the paired expression is iksa (-diy) $k^{h} a b e: k$ denoting the meaning 'earth or land'. Again this expression iksa (-diy) $k^{h} a b e$ : $k$ is put to the recitation, both the headword and its apposed/modifier or mirror image become the subjects of an identical verb in two different halves of a verse line. Consider the example below:
35.a je.. iksa pokse ro $k^{h} a m b e$ :k pokse ro
je iksa poks - $\quad$ ro $k^{h} a m b e: k p o k s-\varepsilon ~ r o$
VOC earth become-PT ASS land be-PT ASS
The earth was created.
006
b je... toroyhipsiyay taysayhipsiyay
je toroy hi? -siy -ay taysay hi? -siy-ay

VOC paradise turn-REF-pfG sky turn -REF -pfG
They looked up the sky the heavenly space.

This is the recital form. When in the sermonized form, the paired expression appears in real pair like $i k s a(-d i y) k^{h} a b e: k$. and toroy(-diy) taysay. Let us see the sermonized version below:
iksa (diy) khambe:k pokse (The earth was created.)

The obvious difference lies between the two types of expressions is the structure. In the sermonized expression, the headword is preceded immediately by its appositive/modifier whereas in the recitation, the headword and the modifier each forms sentence as being an independent subject of an identical verb like pokse. One line of the sermonized version has been lengthened by addition of the offbeat 'ro' in each half along with the repetition of the verb pokse. About the occurrence of the offbeat 'ro' has already been mentioned earlier. The headword and its modifier linking suffix <-diy> has been dropped in the recitation form in order to maintain syllabic as well as sonic balance.

### 7.16.1 Rationale and function of repetitive forms in the Mundhum verse

i. Linguistic items are repeated in the Mundhum verse solely with a view to maintain balance at different levels i.e. rhythmical, syllabic, semantic, lexical, sonic pattern and above all the syntactic structure. e.g.
36.a allo tho say gopp ${ }^{h_{i} \text {-gopp }}{ }^{h i}$ nawama $t^{s}$ כ $t^{t h}$ allo tho say gapphi-gopphi nawama tsy tho now above tip pleasant view point top above Now up in the pleasant place,
b liy gopphi-gวpphinawama tsy tho

high green altitude pleasant view point top above Up above in greenery.
ii. The recurring items make it easy to ensure tone -the tone of the verse like ironical, melancholic, serious and the like. e.g.
37.a peli $p^{h} a k t u s i a \eta ~ j o b a n ~ p^{h} a k t u s i a y$ peli $\quad p^{h} a k t-u-s i-a \eta \quad$ jo-ban $p^{h} a k t-u-s i-a \eta$ speech offer-3P-nsP-pfG BAL-word offer-3P-nsP-pfG He gave the words to them.
b $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ jaysa tsokabe kund ${ }^{h}$ e tsokabe $b^{h} a \eta$
$k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ jaysa tsok-a-be kundhe tsok-a-be $b^{h} a \eta$
s/he what do-1-PCLE-how do-1-PCLE SUB
She could not decide what to do the next.

Reiteration re-establishes the atmosphere being referred to in verse.
iii. Certain linguistic items are deliberately repeated in order to enhance the musical quality of the verse. Let us consider the following examples:
38.a $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ ment ${ }^{\text {sham }}$ tsogusi japmi $t^{s} o g u s i$
$k^{h} u n \varepsilon m e n t^{s h} a m$ tsog -u -si japmi tsog -u $-s i$
s/he human make-3P-nsP human being make-3P-nsP
He created human beings.
057
b jukphuy ambeknen lo saway ambeknen lo
jukphuy $a-m$-bek -nen lo saway $a-m$ - bek -nen lo forest 1-NEG-go-NEG-ASS hunting 1-NEG-go-NEG ASS

Simply, no one goes to hunting.
490
iv. The repetition serves to control the action to the right track, just like repetitive dialogue of labors at work, child bumping the ball against wall. e.g.
39.a je... sawa pekille jetjen pekille
je sawa pek-ille jet-jin pek-ille
VOC jungle go-SUB eight days go-SUB
When it passes the eight days,
127
b je.. pegi pekille phanjen pekille
je pegi pek-ille phaŋ-jen pek-ille
VOC ninth go-SUB nine days go-SUB
When, it passes nine days. 128
v. It is done specially for organizing linguistic units for easy perception and memorization.
40.a je.. sikkum pir-u isi:k nipwa pir-u isi:k je sikkum pir -u isi:k niywa pir -u isi:k

VOC thought give/PT-3P according to idea give/PT-3P according to According to idea and thought given to them, 005
b kai:k sahap-le sodhok sahaple
kai:k sa-hap-le sod hok sa-hap-le
blood relation offspring-p-ERG incestuous offspring-p-ERG
Born from the incestuous relationship, $\mathbf{5 6 2}$
vi. Repetition is essential specially for achieving cohesion on the sound as well as syntactic pattern.
41.a sikkum na:k-se-ni niŋwa na:k-se-ni
sikkum na:k-se -ni nipwa na:k-se -ni
thought beg-PUR-EMPH idea beg-PUR-EMPH
In order to have idea and thought, 113
b mudnupni məje tse?jani məje
mиd $^{h} u \eta \quad n i \quad m э j-\varepsilon \quad t^{s} \varepsilon \supsetneq j a \quad n i \quad m э j-\varepsilon$
complain CTR utter-PT back biting CTR utter-PT
To have back biting or killing other character by gossiping, $\mathbf{5 6 4}$
vii. The recurring item is to galvanize the syllabic sequences used in the verse. e.g.
42.a he?na sa:ทgu meligen sokma: meligen
he?na sa:ŋgu me- lig - $-n \quad$ sokma: $m \varepsilon$ - lig $-\varepsilon-n$
there vitality NEG-enter-PT-NEG life force NEG-enter-PT-NEG
Vitality and life force do not enter into,
082
b je.... tandik mentsham medalle japmi medalle
je tandik mentsham me-da-lle japmi me- da -lle
VOC later on human nsAS-come-SUB human being nsAS-come-SUB
When men come in the days to come,
584
viii. It is applied with the view to foreground certain items so that it may look strange and draw the attention of the listeners or readers. e.g.
43.a sendimelosuan tum-ma melosuaך
sendime- los -u -aך tum-ma me- los -u -aך
visit nsAS-perform-3P-pfG meet-INF nsAS-perform-3P-pfG
They happened to meet.
117

je ettshum ni pokk ${ }^{h}-\varepsilon$-be epp ${ }^{h} a \quad n i \quad p^{h} k^{h}-\varepsilon-b e$
VOC how CTR happen-PT-INQ what CTR happen-PT-INQ
What has happened?
384
ix. The repetition functions as to elevate the thought and emphasize the meaning.
44.a je... peli isi:k lo pand²a isi:k - lo
je peli isi:k lo pandª isi:k lo
VOC logic according to ASS statement according to ASS
According to the words given,
244
b inga tadik pine ro sepmay pine ro $b^{h} a \eta$
inga tadik pi -ne ro sepmay pi -ne ro $b^{h} a \eta$
I vision give- $1 \rightarrow 2$ ASS dream give- $1 \rightarrow 2$-ASS SUB
I make you dream.
405
x. Repetition serves as to deautomatize the usual form and to set the Mundhum away from the ordinary speech variety.
45.a ando woja phokille setlum phzkille
ando woja $p^{h}$ sk-ille setlum $p^{h}$ 勺k-ille after a while APP break-SUB after mid night break-SUB

When it is after mid night,
b $\quad k^{h} u n i \quad m i k k i \quad p^{h} \varepsilon k t \varepsilon t^{s h} i \quad p^{h} u y w a \quad p^{h} \varepsilon k t \varepsilon t^{t h} i$
$k^{h} u n i \quad m i k k i \quad p^{h} \varepsilon k t-\varepsilon-t^{s h} i \quad p^{h} u y-w a \quad p^{h} \varepsilon k t-\varepsilon \quad-t^{t h} i$
they youthful love-PT-dPS flower-BAL bloom-PT-dPS
They quenched their physical desire.

### 7.17 The Mundhum and narrative structure

The Mundhum is a cover term embodying diverse issues and aspects. This writing is especially dealing with one of the mythemes, 'Yapmi Pongma Mundhum' 'The Origin of Man' out of the Limbu Mundhum mythology.

The Mundhum myth 'Yapmi Pongma Mundhum' narrates the story of how the first human being was created in this world. The mythical story unfolds the first person plural inclusive narrator 'ani' (we ${ }^{\text {p }}$ ). Then the narrator invites the audiences to join the Mundhum recitation. Thus, the first person narrative point of view has tried to bring us psychologically much closer to the central character. The story then moves ahead referring to the earliest period when there was nothing except all pervasive darkness and void. The Supreme God Tatarama Tagerama (Tagera Ningwaphumang) wished for creating the heavenly bodies out of chaos. After creating the earth, He created stream, river, sea, water-animals like fish; plants and plant eating animals. He thought something was still lacking because the earth did not seem to be suitable. So he instructed the deities like Porokmi Yomphami (also Chakchakke and Yangyangge mangs)

As wished by the Supreme God, the deities resumed to create human being. They wanted to create an immortal being, so they blended several precious metals. When the human figure was formed put life force (sa:ygu sokma:) into it, that failed. They were harassed. They consulted the Almighty God and according to his admonition, they mixed up trivial things (i.e. fowls' shits, soil and ashes). As they completed to make the human figure they put life force. They called it to test whether that was live or not. To their surprise, it responded to their call. Having received such unexpected response, they happened to cast spiteful curse onto the newly created human being. No sooner had they cursed than the human instantly succumbed to death.

The deities again visited the Supreme God to find the way out the later buffet. As counselled by the almighty father, they created the man from the same trivial things. Then, they blew life force into it and blessed. The first creation was female named Muzingnama Kheyongnama. When she got young, she was conceived by the wind. Thus, she happened to have an asexual male baby and she named the baby Susuwoengba Lalawoengba (the son of wind and air).

Susuwoengba Lalawoengba while being young went to Sinyuk Muden Laze (Tibet) and Temen Laze (Southern plain presumamly Terai or India) despite his mother's warnings. He met maidens on either places and made love with them. As a result, both were pregnant. One bore a male (Suhampheba) and the other a female (Tetlara Lahadongna) respectively. Those babies born at different places grew young. Coincidently, they happened to meet and started to settle together as conjugal for they were unknown about their relation.

From their incestuous relation, they had seventeen children. Their illicit relation was informed to the Supreme God by a pet bitch which was badly beaten by Tetlara Lahadongna with a broomstick causing dislocation of her rib. Having heard such matter, the Almighty came down to the incident. He filtered those seventeen offspring through a golden sieve. Of seventeen, eight dropped down the sieve and they were handed over to Tetlara Lahadongna. She was told to take those eight children with her and separate forever. Those eight are called as 'Sawa Yet Hangs’ (eight kings) and are believed to be the forefathers of the present Limbu.

The above presented story is termed as logos by the most influential theorist Gerard Genette in his classic text 'Narrative Discourse' (Genette, 1972).

Now, we move on to his basic component lexis which will discuss the manner or means that the logos (plot) are narrated. The lexis (discourse) has six different components which are briefly discussed in relation to the Mundhum myth 'Yapmi Pongma Mundhum' 'The Origin of Man' underneath:

### 7.17.1 Textual medium

The usual narrative medium of the Mundhum is oral recitation. It is recited in special occasions as in the ritualistic performances.

### 7.17.2 Sociolinguistic code

The code employed in the Mundhum is essentially distinct from every day speech variety. It is mostly classical and ritual language. In this regard, it can be categorized as a diglossic form or High Variety (HV) of the Limbu language. In other words, the Mundhum is speech poetry because it is often expressed through recitation.

### 7.17.3 Characterization

It involves two aspects - (i) actions and events and (ii) point of view.
i. Actions and events: Being a mythical subject, super human figures play significant role in the story. When the heavenly figures accomplish their mission, the human characters are also seen in the position of active participants. Though this myth is only a very small portion of the whole corpus of the Mundhum, it runs more than seven hundred lines in writing (Elan software record in the appendix proves it). This extensive body of myth has naturally comprised numerous minor actions and events but only six major actions and events have been referred to in this writing because they are initiatives to the new course and dimension in the myth. Also they have far reaching implication in the Limbu people.

The Mundhum myth Yapmi Pongma Mundhum 'The Origin of Man' seems to base on the 'trial and error' structure. The major actions and events are as follows:

- The superhuman beings involve creating ideal and immortal human being out of precious metallic blending but failed.
- The success is achieved in the second effort and the created man is not of precious metals this time but of trivial things. Having realized it as sheer humiliation, the creators happened to curse. Eventually, the newly created figure dies instantly and it establishes the tradition of death.
- In the third attempt, the first human mother Muzingnama Kheyongnama is produced asexually.
- Muzingnama Kheyongnama conceives by dint of a gentle breeze and bears a male baby named Susuwoengba Lalawoengba.
- Susuwoengba Lalawoengba's polygamous life-style origins the tradition of jealousy and also it proves to be a nightmare to him because he dies of hunger not getting the logistic support from his wives (at least four) on his way to hunting.
- Susuwoengba Lalawoengba's offspring's incestuous relation leads to a controversy on the matter of consanguine relation. The God Tatarama Tagerama intervenes this case for decision. He divides eight children out of seventeen and hands over to Tetlarama Lahadongna (the half-sister and wife of Suchchuru

Suhampheba) so that they are to be reared by her. By that, the law and order is set on the matrimonial issue.
ii. Point of view: The Mundhum myth has used multi mode narratives (i.e. the first person, second person and third person) with the predominance of the third person. The narration in the first and second persons is extremely limited. The narrative mode in the first person (homodiegetic) has special purpose in the story telling. This mode of narration makes the readers/audiences share with his/her actions and events. Moreover, it also brings psychologically much closer to the central character. e.g.
46.a ani $t^{h} u t t^{h} u t^{h} u k t u m b a l e ~ t^{h} u: \varepsilon t^{h} u k t u m b a l e$ ani $t^{h} u t-t^{h} u t^{h} u k t-u-m-b a-l e \quad t^{h} u: \varepsilon \quad t^{h} u k t-u-m-b a-l e$ we ONOM spit-3P-PA-NOM-SUB ONOM spit-3P-PA-NOM-SUB

We scornfully spat on the newly created man. 247
b inga tadik pine ro sepmay pine ro bhay
inga tadik pi -ne ro sepmay pi -ne ro ban
I vision give- $1 \rightarrow 2$ ASS dream give- $1 \rightarrow 2$ ASS SUB
I shall make you dream. 405
On the other hand, the Mundhum story is narrated in the third person (heterodiegetic) with a view to an extended access to the thoughts and feelings of individual characters. This mode is held from a detached, invisible, external situated outside to the action of the story.
47.a je..... $k^{h} u n i ~ e k k u ~ m \varepsilon d e s u ~ j o ว i \eta ~ m e d e s u ~$ je $k^{h} u n i \quad e k k u \quad m \varepsilon-d \varepsilon s-u \quad$ joPiŋ $m \varepsilon-d \varepsilon s-u$

VOC they crushingly nsAS-hurld/PT-3P damage nsAS-hurld/PT-3P
They smashed it.
077
b
je... $k^{h} y$-ha? go mentsham tumbasi ro japmi tumbasi ro
je $\quad k^{h} \varepsilon \eta-h a$ ? go mentsham tumba-si ro japmi tumba-si ro
VOC that-p then human earliest-nsP ASS human being earliest-nsP ASS
They are the first human creations. 272

Regarding the speech and thought presentation, the Mundhum is narrated through free direct model (diegesis not mimesis for mimesie is mostly employed in dramatic presentation) whether the narrative is in the first person or the third person.
48.a peli phaktusiay joban phaktusiay
peli $p^{h} a k t-u-s i-a \eta$ jo-ban $p^{h} a k t-u$-si-aך
speech offer-3P-nsP-pfG BAL-word offer-3P-nsP-pfG
He gave the words.
130
b $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ sendo tsoguro selap tsoguro
$k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ sendo $t^{s} o g-u$ ro selap $t^{s} o g-u$ ro
s/he ask make-3P ASS inquire make-3P ASS
He asked and inquired of.
459
While analyzing the model of point of view, it involves four different componential aspects (Uspensky 1973; Fowler 1996). They are briefly elucidated as follows:
a. Point of view on ideological plane: This model is about the interpretation of the world as it represents. In other words, it refers to the matrix of beliefs people use to understand the world and to the value systems with which they interact in the society.
49.a samdzik kedzogu mundum kedzogu
samdzik ke- $d^{z} o g-u \quad m u n d u m ~ k \varepsilon-d^{2} o g-u$
story 2-make/PT-3P myth 2-make/PT-3P
You made story (trend). 481
b je...kai:k sendusi sodhok sendusi
je kai:k send -u - si sod ${ }^{h} o k$ send $-u \quad-s i$
VOC blood relation separate-3P-nsP incestuous separate-3P-nsP
Specified the relationship,
568
b Point of view on the temporal plane: It is used for the indication of time relationships in the narratives. It is also important for relating to a temporal span of a story and accounting for narrator's impression of a way certain events may be accelerated or decelerated.
50.a le?wa peg-ع-lle thiboŋla peg-ع-lle
le?wa peg - - -lle thiboŋla peg $-\varepsilon \quad$-lle
time go(spend)-PT-SUB ten month go(spend)-PT-SUB
Ten months passed.
b
abuy ni kottu saruyni kottu
abuy ni kott -u saruy ni kott -u
baby CTR possess-3P kid CTR possess-3P
She bore a baby. 532
c. Point of view on spatial plane: It is concerned primarily with location in relation to the narrator and it is reflected in the grammatical exponents of deixis and in locative expressions.
51.a je.. эsa: mebege tho lam mebege
je osa: me- peg- $t^{h} o$-lam me- peg -
VOC quickly nsAS-go-PT above MED nsAS-go-PT
They went up there.
199
b tho sujokmaren sisarimaren
$t^{h} O \quad$ sujokma $-r \varepsilon \quad-n \quad$ sisarima $-r \varepsilon \quad-n$
above suyokma-GEN-ABS Sisarima-GEN-ABS
Up above Suyokma Sisarima's
thinday poraben kusa? poraben
$t^{h} \mathrm{inday}$ pora $-b \varepsilon \quad-n \quad k u-s a ?$ pora $-b \varepsilon \quad-n$
baby grown-NOM-ABS his/her-child grown-NOM-ABS
Male baby
540
d. Point of view on psychological plane: It is the model that refers to the psychological perspectives of the narrator that is, the narrator's senses, feelings, thoughts and the like.
52.a je... lok-le-lok lok-le peli rok pant ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ in
je lok-le-lok lok-le peli rok pan-tshin
VOC emotional pattering speech FOC speak-REF

She was carried by her imagination.
b $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ keruy rok nen-lo ku-him-rok nen-lo
$k^{h}$ une keruy rok nen lo kuhim rok nen lo s/he sadness FOC exist ASS her worry FOC exist- ASS She only felt dreary. 330

In the example ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ' the mode of narration is relayed in the third person $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ 's/he'. Here the person deixis ' $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ ' refers to Muzingna, the first human and relates her psychological state as she is stepping at the threshold of her youth. The term $l o k$ - $l \varepsilon$ - lok 'incoherent speech' is the reflector of her psychic condition. The next line keruy rok nen-lo ku-him-rok.... 'sadness and worry' marks the further shift into the conscious thought process of Muzingna.

### 7.18 The Mundhum and textuality

The concept of textuality is entirely appropriate to express the property of 'being a text'. This textual property distinguishes it from something is not a text. In this way the term texuality refers to the way how individual narrative units are arranged and organized in a text. According to Katie (2001, p. 390) 'it is commonly used in linguistics and stylistics to refer to a sequential collection of sentences and utterances which form a unity by the reason of their linguistic cohesion and semantic coherence.' Though de Beauggrade and Dressler ( (1981) have proposed other five more features of textuality except these aforementioned ones, these five (i.e. intentionality, acceptability, situationality, informativity and intertextuality) features come under these two features (cohesion and coherence) in some way. When the Mundhum is conceived as a text, it is necessary for a linguist to look into its texture or textuality. So in order to investigate its texture, here only the two features (cohesion and coherence) are precisely dealt with as follows:

### 7.18.1 Cohesion

"Cohesion, as defined by Krishnaswamy et al. ( (2000, p. 119) means sticking together." Likewise, Carter and McCarthy (2006) define as "cohesion refers to grammatical and lexical means by which written sentences and speakers' utterances are joined together to make a text." It is obvious matter that there is difference
between poetic and prosaic texts in terms of the linguistic elements used for analyzing cohesion. The Mundhum being a poetic text, it is better to discuss its cohesion in terms of repetition (parallelism), rhythm, alliteration, assonance, repeated meaning in lexical items and other similar devices. The recurring linguistic items at different levels have played a crucial role in the Mundhum to maintain its cohesion. See the example bellow:

53 .a lidzum menne ro libhok menne ro
li -dzum menne ro li-bhok menne ro arrow-BAL without ASS bow-BAL without ASS

Without bow and arrow.
b te:ydzum menne ro te:yhap menne ro
te:ク -dzum menne ro te:y-ha? menne ro
fellow-BAL beings without ASS mate-p without-ASS
Without fellow and friend.

When we consider the above given two verse lines, we find the parallelism at least three levels, that is syntactic, lexical and phonological level. If we consider, only the first line:

## 'lidzum menne ro libºk menne ro'

It is made up of two halves. These two halves are of equal length consisting five syllables in each half. From the lexical level, the words in the two halves are parallel lid ${ }^{z} u m \leftrightarrow$ lib $^{h} o k ;$ menne $\leftrightarrow m \varepsilon n n \varepsilon$ and $r o \leftrightarrow r o$. Likewise, from the phonological level, the sounds $/ \mathrm{l} /, / \mathrm{m} /$ and $/ / \mathrm{r} /$ are repeated hence they are alliterative sounds and the assonant forming sounds are $/ \mathrm{i} /$, $/ \varepsilon /$ and $/ \mathrm{o} /$. Moreover, the verse line(s) is semantically as well as rhythmically parallel. As we consider these two lines putting togeter, they are also parallel in terms of syllabic structure, syntactic, rhythmic features. They both have internal rhyme and as an external rhyme so they are rhyming patterns. Let us see internal as well as external rhyme in the two verse lines as:

[^0]In these two lines, the same sound $/ \mathrm{o} /$ functions both internal as well as external rhyme marker. Likewise the identical verb occurs with four different subjects. Of four subjects, lidzum and te:yd ${ }^{z} u m$ are modifier or apposed words and lib ${ }^{h} o k$ and te:yha? are the headwords respectively.

### 7.18.2 Coherence

It is concerned with the connectivity of the text in terms of its content or semantic aspect. It analyzes how the linguistic exponents are semantically interrelated or relevant to each other. So, unlike the cohesion, it is obviously the matter of interanl patterning of the narrative units. In this regard, Krishnaswamy et al. (2000, p. 120) state "coherence is concerned with the mutual accessibility and relevance of concepts and relations that underlie the surface text". This means that coherence is not related to the lexical as well as grammatical properties. In this regard, Carter and McCarthy (2006, p. 242) specify as, "a text is coherent if its semantic and pragmatic meanings make sense in its real world context to readers/listeners." Now let us consider three serial verse lines from the text and how the exponents are coherently presented or not. See herewith:
54.a je.. tsilli thaje ro nara thaje ro
je tsilli $t^{h} a j-\varepsilon$ ro nara $t^{h} a j-\varepsilon$ ro
VOC charm fall-PT ASS appearance fall-PT ASS
The curse fell upon.
b je... tsilli thaclle nara thaclle
je tsilli $t^{h} a-\varepsilon-l l e \quad n a r a \quad t^{h} a-\varepsilon \quad-l l e$
VOC charm fall-PT-SUB appearance fall-PT-SUB
Accursed in this way,


VOC s/he breath go-PT-NOM liveliness go-PT- NOM
He happened to die.
The first verse line je.. tsilli thaje ro nara thaje ro
translates as 'divine curse fell upon the human life'

The second verse line je... tsilli thazlle nara thaclle
translates as 'accursed man's life'
The third line $\quad j e . . k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ jogu pegebe tsopsi pegebe
translates as 'succumbed to death'

The given three verse lines presentation shows that they are semantically related and relevant as well. It seems every consequent line becomes the result of its preceding line. In this way, the second line is the result/effect of the first preceding line. The first line says 'the divine curse fell upon the man' and cures made the man's 'life accursed' one. The same accursed life gave/yielded the result of 'death'. The lines have been arranged in such a structural manner just as the bricks are placed one upon another to make a building.

### 7.19 Symbolism

The use of symbol is one of the characteristic features of the Mundhum language. When we go through the Mundhum text or listen to the recitation, we come across number of symbols used in it. But, it is better to discuss what actually they are and why they are used. Symbols are essentially words which are not merely connotative, but also they are evocative as well as emotive. Besides their usual meanings, they also call up before the mind's eye a host of associations connected with them and they are also rich in emotional significance in this regard, Tilak (1993, p. 70) defines, "it is an oblique or indirect mode of expression which suggest much more than is actually described or asserted." Likewise, W. B. Yeats opines that symbol gives dumb things voices and bodiless things bodies. Let us consider how symbols perform such functions in linguistic expression.

### 7.19.1 Pair birds

The Mundhum refers to pair birds in which one is black and the other is white. One day, child Susuwoengba happens to kill the white one (Phagia pu) and brings in front of his mother Muzingnama Kheyongnama to be sure whether that is edible or not. His mother tells him that the killed bird is edible but he has committed a blunder which
originated the tradition of widow or widower in the conjugal life. Thus the couple of birds symbolize smooth, warmth and loving conjugal. Again the white is considered to be the purity. This is the reason the Limbu regard the Phaktanglung (Nep Kumbhakarna Himal) as the holy place and it is constantly referred to in the Mundhum because it is white, inspiring to hold head high and majestic as well. Let us see the reference of Phaktanglung as:
55.a allo phoktaŋluŋma tho pembeluyma tho $^{\text {h }}$
allo p弓ktayluyma $t^{h} O$ pembeluyma $t^{h} O$
now Phoktanglungma above Pembelungma above
Now over the Kumbhakarna and Pembelungma,
$\mathrm{b} \quad$ je.. may-dziri-?o tho may-le-n thame-?o $t^{h} o$
je may -dziri -?o tho maŋ -le -n $t^{h} a m \varepsilon ~-? o ~ t^{h} o$
VOC god - dwelling-LOC above god-GEN-ABS residence-LOC above Above the dwelling place of the god,

028

Referring to the pair birds, the Mundhum goes on to say:
56.a tak pu $k^{h} \varepsilon:$ :Rmen lo teŋbu $k^{h} \varepsilon$ :3k men lo
 couple friend bird break/split-NOT ASS pair bird break/split-NOT ASS The couple should not be split/separated.480
b samdzik kedzogu mundum kedzogu
samdzik ke- dzog -u mundum ke- dzog -u
story 2-make/PT-3P myth 2-make/PT-3P
You have made a trend/ convention.
481

In the given example (56 a-b) suggests that the friend birds (pair) should not be separated. Here tak or $t \varepsilon \eta$ denotes friend and $k^{h} \varepsilon: 3 k$ 'break or split' and strongly suggests as men lo 'not to be done'. The term $l o$ is for high emphasis or the assertive marker. The Mundhum also refers to what happens when the pair bird is separated. It specifies that such action establishes a trend of becoming a widow/widower. In this way, the pair birds have been used as a symbol of conjugal life.

### 7.19.2 The wind

It symbolizes masculanity and potency. The first human mother Muzingnama Kheyongnama is conceived by the wind. Her son is also named as Susuwoengba because he has been born after the pregnancy caused by the wind. His mother says to him, 'you are the son of the wind.' In the word Susuwoengba, the first two syllables 'susu' suggest the process of air blowing. The Limbu people name the place Susuwaden where it keeps the air blowing. About the potency of the air, the Mundhum narrates it as:
57.a je... sammetle muttuaŋ kezoŋle muttuan
je sammet-le mutt -u -aך kezכŋ-le mutt-u -aך
VOC breeze-ERG blow-3P-pfG wind-ERG blow-3P-pfG By that way, the wind blew her 326
b $k^{h} u n \varepsilon a b u \eta$ larero saruy larero
$k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ abuy lar $-\varepsilon$ ro saruך lar $-\varepsilon$ ro
$\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ conception receive-PT ASS pregnancy receive-PT ASS
She became pregnant.
328

### 7.19.3 The mountain/hill

The Mundhum constantly makes its reference of mountain because the mountain symbolizes as purity, calm, inspiring and life-giving. Even the Supreme God Tagera Ningwa Phumang is believed to dwell in the high mountain. This fact is inferred from the Mundhum because gods and deities including Muzingnama Kheyongnama are referred to be going up and above to visit the God whenever they need admonition and blessings. In the native term 'Yak or Yakpe means hill or mountain. So the 'Yak + Thungba' suggests as 'mountain + cultivator'. In this way, the Limbu people have a strong conviction that the term 'Limbu' is not their native term for they designate themselves as 'Yakthungba or Yakthumba'. This can be the reason that the Limbu people have a profound spiritual attachment with the mountain.
58.a je... tagara him tho niywap ${ }^{h}$ u him tho
je tagara him $t^{h} O$ niywaphu him $t^{h} O$
VOC Almighty home above God Father home above
They went to the almighty god father's dwelling.
b

> je koi jep -me -?o jo phaŋďว $\quad$ jep $-m \varepsilon \quad-? o \quad j o$
> VOC hill stand-INF-LOC there on top of mountain stand-INF-LOC there They went to the up hills.
> 133

### 7.19.4 The dog

Whichever literature it might be, there are many stories or references about dogs. They occupy much place in the stories than any other animals. In the Limbu Mundhum also, the dogs are refered to as the symbol of honesty and intimacy. Muzingnama Kheyongnama constantly advises her son Susuwoengba to take dogs while in hunting. Not only this, a bitch has played a crucial role to bring a case of incestuous relationship between brother and sister (Suhampheba and Tetlarama) to a hot discussion. And to decide this case, the Supreme God, Tagerama Ningwaphuma participates and sets certain codes and conducts regarding the matrimonial affairs. This is an wonderful coincidence that 'god' and 'dog'have quite opposite spelling but most often they co-occur, that means when there is god, there is dog.

```
59.a je..... kotso menne-ro k khija menne-ro
    je kotso men- ne-Pe ro khija men- ne -?e ro
    VOC dog npG-be-npG ASS hound npG-be-npG ASS
```

Without dog,
b saway ambeknen jukphuy ambeknen
saway $a-m-b \varepsilon k$-nen jukp ${ }^{h} u \eta$ a-m-bek-nen prey 1-NEG-go-NEG hunting 1-NEG-go-NEG
One does not go hunting.

### 7.19.5. The hunting

Towards the end of this Mundhum myth, the reference of hunting frequently recurs. From this recurrence, it is inferred that this has definitely to symbolize something else. It is not being constantly used just for the sake of mere hunting. Hunting is being associated with the increasing maturity especially in the male. When Muzingnama's son Susuwoengba is small child, he is seen killing small-sized reptiles like lizards, frogs and so on. Whenever he kills small creatures, he never forgets to bring them to his mother and to know whether they are edible or not. As he gradually grows up, his
hunting range expands proportionately. He asks for bow and arrow with his mother. At the age of 'teen' he expresses his wish to go for hunting a long distance. Having known his desire to go a long distance, his mother counsels him not to go to the north and south because he may meet with young maidens and they may mislead him much. Despite his mother's suggestion, he sets out for hunting to the same places where he is not supposed to go.

Through, this symbol, a very important philosophical idea is also tacitly being expressed that is, the world is made up of two groups i.e. the hunter and the hunted. It is the circumstances that can decide who is hunter and who is the hunted. The irony is that Susuwoengba rushes for hunting with some dogs but he is hunted by the young maidens. Nevertheless, the hunting is the symbol of one's maturity. Let us see the reference of hunting in the Mundhum below:
60.a $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ hu-hu lore ro $p^{h i k-p h i k ~ l o r e ~ r o ~}$
$k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ hu-hu lor - $\varepsilon$ ro $p^{h i k-p^{h i k} l o r-\varepsilon ~ r o ~}$
s/he hoo-hoo say-PT ASS sui-sui say-PT ASS
b saway thatshiy lo jukphuy $t^{h}$ hatshiy lo
saway $t^{h} a \quad-t^{\text {tshin }}$ lo jukp ${ }^{h} u \eta \quad t^{h} a \quad-t^{s h i} i \eta \quad$ lo
forest deport-REF- ASS hunting deport-REF- ASS
By whistling and roaring he started to the forest for hunting. 517

### 7.19.6 Bow and arrow

These both combine symbolize the ethnic weaponry. There are many sayings as well as writings the word 'Limbu' has been developed from the native terms like ' $l i$ ' + ' $a b u$ ' $=L i a b u$; then gradually changed into present form 'Limbu.' Here, 'li' means 'bow' and 'abu' suggests 'hit'. This denotes that the Limbu in the past were archers. Furthermore, it is also said that the land gained by archery is 'Limbuwan'. But these sayings are only hypothetical because there is no concrete proof to support or justify this claim. Again there is no debate that the bow and arrow are the Limbu's ancient weapons since there is recurring reference of bow and arrow in the Mundhum. Let us consider the example below:
61.a lidžum menne?e-ro libhok menne?e-ro
Li dzum men-ne-?e ro li-bhok men-ne-?e ro
Bow-BAL npG-be-npG ASS bow-BAL npG-be-npG ..... ASSwithout bow,489
b $\quad k^{h} u n \varepsilon t^{h} O$ toŋd²um jaytshinay tonja jantshinay$k^{h} u n \varepsilon t^{h} O$ toy-dzum jay-tshin-aך toŋ -ja jaך-tshin-aךs/he above arrow-BAL take-REF-pfG stick-BAL take-REF-pfGHe took the arrow and its stick.510

### 7.19.7 Flower

The flower is associated as the life or particularly the youth in many languages. The Mundhum also takes the flower as the symbol of life in general and the prime youth in particular. Phungwa changma 'rituals performed during Tongsing Mundhum for power, fortune and welfare especially for adolescent and young women' (Kainla, 2059 VS p. 302), literally means to make the withered flower bloom and figuratively connotes as making life more vigor, energetic or adding the youthful hue in life.

Though, this particular myth of the Mundhum has very limited reference of flower, it has been widely used in other contexts of the Mundhum. Let us see the context of flower in this Mundhum myth below:

```
62.a sido me-lor-\varepsilon tum-ma? me-lor-\varepsilon
    sido me- lor - & tum-ma? me- lor - \varepsilon
    immediate nsAS-look-PT meet-INF nsAS-look-PT
    They met.522
b mikki p pekttstsi phu\etawa p}\mp@subsup{}{}{h}\varepsilonkt\varepsilontshia
    mikki p}\mp@subsup{p}{}{h}kt -\varepsilon -t tshi phu\eta-wa phckt -\varepsilon -tshi -a\eta
    life bloom-PT-dPS flower-BAL bloom-PT-dPS-pfG
    They quenched their carnal desire. 530
```

In the above given example, (mikki - 'life'; $p^{h} u \eta w a ~-' f l o w e r ' ~ a n d ~ p^{h} ع k t e t^{s h} i-$ 'bloomed'), the literal translation is 'life flower bloomed'. This seems quite absurd from the point of view of ordinary language use but it is symbolizing the abstract notion of 'life' or 'youth' through the medium of concrete object flower. In this regard, W. B. Yeats views that bodiless abstract notions get bodies in symbolism.

### 7.19.8 Sieve

The sieve has been used as the symbol of social justice. Let us see the example below:
63.a je.... kai:k sendusi sodhok sendusi
je kai:k send-u-si sodhok send -u -si
OC blood relation separate-3P-nsP incestuous separate-3P-nsP Specified the relationships,
b allo sammjay tsillinge-?o juppa tsilliyge-?o
allo sammjan tsillinge-?o juppa tsillinge-?o
now gold sieve-LOC silver sieve-LOC
Now, in the angelic sieve made of gold and silver

In the above two verse lines i.e. $a$ and $b$ the wholesale meaning is that the almighty God Father specified the consanguine relationships and for this decision, he used the sieve made of gold and silver. The referential context is that there were seventeen children born out of incestuous relationship between the brother and sister (Suhampheba and Tetlara Lahadongna who were the offspring of the same father, Susuwoengba and different mothers Phiyaklungma and Mukkumlungma respectively). When the God came to know the matter from a dog, He decided the case by filtering the seventeen children through the sieve. In the process of filtration, eight children dropped down the sieve and they were handed over to their mother Tetlara Lahadongna and ordered for permanent separation from Suhampheba.

### 7.19.9 Spit

It has been conceived as a symbol of eternal curse. When the creators happened to create the human being out of very trivial things and against their conviction, unknowingly they spat on to the face of that newly created being. Eventually, the accursed man succumbed to death. That spite proved to be eternal curse to the man and it made human life mortal. Let us consider the following Mundhum verse how the spite of the creator gods had a far reaching effect on man's life. Example:
64.a ani $t^{h} u t t^{h} u t^{h} u k t u m b a l l e ~ t^{h} u: \varepsilon \quad t^{h} u k t u m b a l l e$
ani $t^{h} u t t^{h} u \quad t^{h} u k t-u-m-b a-l l e \quad t^{h} u: \varepsilon \quad t^{h} u k t-u-m-b a-l l e$
we ONOM spit-3P-pA-NOM-SUB ONOM spit-3P-pA-NOM-SUB

We scornfully spat on to the created human.
b je... $k^{h} u n \varepsilon j \jmath g u$ pege ro tsopsi pege ro
je $k^{h} u n \varepsilon$ jogu peg-є ro tsoysi peg - $\varepsilon$ ro
VOC s/he breath go-PT ASS liveliness go-PT ASS
By dint of that it happened to die.

The above verse lines say that the created man happened to die because of the spiteful curse cast upon it.

### 7.19.10 Fire

The reference of 'fire' in the Mundhum may be the matter of private symbol because its mention is not found in the universal archetype image. The term private in the sense that, it may symbolize differently from one community to another and from one place to another place. For instance, T. S. Eliot in 'The Waste Land' uses the 'fire' as to symbolize the destructive element. But in some place, the same fire symbolizes as the divine force. In the Mundhum it has been used as a means of renewal of new life clearing agent. The Supreme God counsels the deities to set fire in the cane jungle and the ashes to be used as one of the elements to create the human. This is the reason, the Limbu people believe that the body consists some portion of ashes and when we scratch the body the grey color (ashes) comes off from skin. The Mundhum refers to the context of fire as follows:
65.a mukkum meleru semik meleru
mukkum me- ler-u semik me- ler -u
fire nsAS- set -3P sparkle nsAS-set-3P
They set the fire.
b je... ladhappumare joŋjoŋ rok ne ro
je ladhappuma -re јэŋjэŋ rok ne ro
VOC ashes -GEN light heap FOC lie ASS
There were only ashes.

### 7.20 Concept of up and down

In the Mundhum text, one can come across the locative marker terms like $t^{h} o$ : 'up' and jo 'down' many times. Apart from their usual meaning, they have been used
metaphorically and they also reflect the psychology of the speaker. The structural elements like up vs. down are termed as image schema by Lakoff (1990). He maintains the view as 'such schemas particularly important evidence for the claim that abstract reason is a matter of two things: a) reason based on bodily experience and $b$ ) metaphorical projections from concrete to abstract domains' (275). As referred to by Lakoff, the locative terms like $u p$ and down have been used for the both purposes that is, bodily experience as well as metaphorical projection. When the deities find it difficult to create the human being, they look up the sky and beg for blessings from the sun, the moon and the stars. They visit upward to the Supreme God Tagerama's dwelling. Similarly the first human mother Muzingnama constantly goes up to visit the Almighty Father and comes down. The seventeen children born out of the incestuous relationship are divided through filtering by a sieve. The eight children drop down and they are supposed to be our forefathers to whom Mundhum addresses as 'sawa yet hangs' (eight kings) but those who do not drop and remain on or above the winnow, they are considered to be the deities.

On the contrary, the curse falls down on the newly created man. Infuriated creator gods hurl down the human images to the pits and puddles as they are not satisfied with their creations. When the divine curse falls down on the man it drops down dead. In this way, we find these locative markers in the text.

These two terms $t^{h} o$ : 'up' and jo 'down' are genenrally used to denote the things vertically up and vertically down. But their metaphorical use is to signify quality and quantity. The quality and quantity may refer to both abstract and concrete. The deities and mortal beings go up to the God's dwelling is the example of concrete because we can see them physically going upward. Whereas the concept that the Gods are by any rate up and above us because they are superior to us. This concept is the example of abstract one.

The term $t^{h} o$ up usually connotes good, virtuous, great, beautiful, and respectable and the like. Our gods are always thought to be dwelling in the high above maybe, on top of the mountain, cloud or so. On the contrary, bad, vice, mean, ugly, discarded and of low quality and quantity, etc., are conceived to be in the low or downy.

The use of these opposite point markers $t^{h} 0$ : 'up' and jo 'down' have also made it easier to analyze the human psychology. In this particular text, there are only seven verse lines using the term ' $j o$ ' 'down' and thirty lines using $t^{h} O$ : 'up'. It use of $t^{h} O$ : 'up' seems to be proportionately five times more than the use of $j o$ 'down'. Even in these seven lines using the sense jo 'down', there only two lines directly using jo 'down' and the rest are inferred from the contexts.

This kind of expression reveals that the man is, by nature favors betterment, prosperity and progress. This conscious or unconscious desire for up is termed as upglide psychology. The ancient people could by no means be the exception of this psychology- the psychology of up-gliding. And they did not use these terms $t^{h} o$ 'up' and jo 'down' merely as preposition or adverb, but they were also reveling their upglide psychology by using $t^{h} o$ up five times more than jo ‘down’.

### 7.21 Ambiguity in the text and its rationale

Language does possess some universal characteristics that is, the characteristic shared by all human languages. Ambiguity is also one of such characteristics which is of a particular interest to linguists. It results in language from the fact that there is no always a one-to-one correspondence between expressions and meanings. In this regard, language is not just like the mathematics in which the symbol or sequence of symbols are carefully constructed for absolute single meaning. On the contrary to the mathematical notion, language involves two or more distinct meanings for one word, phrase, or sentence. But the ambiguity and vagueness are never the same because vagueness arises from the lack of specificity whereas ambiguity results form the multiplicity of meanings. Suppose these English expressions like 'It is a long sentence' and 'She is my old friend' are really ambiguous unless they are interpreted in the context. The 'sentence' of the former expression can be interpreted as either 'imprisonment' for the prisoner or syntactic construction out of words'. Likewise 'old friend' from the later expression can readily mean either 'aged person' or 'friendship for a long time'.

### 7.22 Causes of ambiguity

Whoever encounters the Mundhum text for the first time, s/he is startled by the linguistic feature it has employed. The ambiguity is aroused by the following ways:

### 7.22.1 Multiple terms/forms for the same subject/object

The ritual language often uses multiple forms for the same thing. For example, the 'sun' in ordinary speech form is 'nam' but this 'nam' in the ritual language is referred to in five different forms just as:

| Ordinary speech form | Mundhum (Ritual) form |
| :--- | :--- |
| nam (sun) | miwa lغn-dzoma |
|  | miwa ku-nam |
|  | len-day-gहn nam-ba |
|  | nam-dziri nam-la:k |
|  | nam-dhuy nam-la:k |

tanam (morning)
tant ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ o-diy ta? ${ }^{2}$ am
tant ${ }^{\text {sho }}$ o sap nam
talelle ta nam
tage tak nam

### 7.22.2 Multiple appositive/modifier words for the same headword

The Mundhum language rarely uses the headword without its appositive/modifier word. This means the headword most often co-occurs with modifying word. Again the same headword takes multiple modifiers. Let us see the example below:

| Ordinary speech form | Mundhum (Ritual) form |
| :---: | :---: |
| mikwa (tear) | tsomen-diy mikwa |
|  | isen-din mikwa |
|  | meriy-gen mikwa |
|  | sa-meriy mikwa |
| $t^{h} 3 k$ (body) | tsomsay-dil jam-be |
|  | abu-diy/gen ku-dhok |
|  | kappa ja:m-be |
|  | nasiy-gen thok-la |

The variation of the modifier indicates the variation in the context. The all modifying words of the headword mikwa 'tear' cannot occur in the same context. The modifier
'meriy' refers to sorrow or lamentation. Likewise, 'isen' presumably denotes reminiscence or symbol or souvenir and ' $t$ s $\partial m e n$ ' literally means sharp or critical.

### 7.22.3 Deviation of the usual meaning

The Mundhum uses the ordinary lexical forms too, but they are semantically deviated from their usual meaning. Let us consider the following example:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Ordinary speech form } & \text { Mundhum (Ritual) form } \\
\text { jaysa kund }{ }^{h} e \leftrightarrow \text { wealth, property } & \text { jaysa kund }{ }^{h} e \leftrightarrow \text { what, how } \\
\text { jayben } \leftrightarrow \text { a kind of moss } & \text { jajben } \leftrightarrow \text { lap } \\
{\mathrm{s} \varepsilon \mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrow \text { beer in its initial stage, }} \quad & s \varepsilon d^{2} o \leftrightarrow \text { move or turn } \\
\text { p } h i \leftrightarrow \text { placenta } & \text { pchi } \leftrightarrow \text { dance in circle/fly }
\end{array}
$$

### 7.22.4 Use of nonsensical words

The ambiguity arises by the use of nonsensical words in the Mundhum. The nonsensical words occur as the modifier or appositive. Such words really create much ambiguity to make out the meaning of the expressions. Let us see the use of nonsensical words in the Mundhum verse below:
66.a je...hukso kstturo taye kstturo je huk-so kott -u ro tayekstt -u ro VOC hand-BAL possess-3P ASS arm possess-3P ASS She took the baby. 371
b tengo tsokpe? jo kulay tsokpe? jo teŋgo tsokpe? jo ku -lan tsokpe? jo feet a little bit there his/her-leg a little bit there Near his legs,

In the given examples, the terms like taye and tengo are fully nonsensical words but they have been glossed the same term as the respective counter words hukso and kulay assuming that they have also similar meaning like the free lexemes.

### 7.22.5 Repetition

The repetitive structure has often contributed to make the verse line quite ambiguous. If this occurs in the ordinary speech form, it may be considered as redundancy because it violates the normal rules of usages by over frequency. Example below:
67.a je... mentstam kedºkpaha? japmi kedzokpaha? je ment ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ am $k \varepsilon$ - $d^{2} o k-p a-h a ? ~ j a p m i ~ k \varepsilon-d^{2} o k-p a-h a ?$

GF human AP-make-AP-p human being AP-make-AP-p
You are human being creators.
114
b je...peli isi:k lo pandza isi:k lo
je peli isi:k lo pand²a isi:k lo
VOC logic according to ASS statement according to ASS
According to the advice,
244

In the example ' a ' and ' b ' the headwords japmi and pandza are similar in meaning with their respective premodifiers/appositives mentsham and peli. Not only that both headwords and premodifiers have identical/common collocations like $k \varepsilon d^{z} o k p a h a ?$ and isi:k.

### 7.22.6 Frequent use of archaic and obsolete dictions

This is one of the most obvious cases to push the Mundhum language to the region of ambiguity. Let us see the example below:
68.a je... iksa me-ghcmdun $k^{h} a m b e: k m^{h} g^{h} \varepsilon m d u n$
je iksa me-k ${ }^{h} \varepsilon m d-u-n \quad k^{h} a m b e: k m \varepsilon-k^{h} \varepsilon m d-u-n$
VOC earth NEG-suit-3P-NEG land NEG-suit-3P-NEG
The earth was not suited.
017
b allo ettshum tsokmabe epp ${ }^{h}$ a tsokmabe
allo ettshum tsok-ma-be eppha tsok-ma-be
now how do-INF-PCLE what do-INF-PCLE
We now got lost as to how and what to do the next. 249

In the above given example ' a ', iksa and $k^{h} a m b e: k$ are synonymous terms. In the ordinary speech only $k^{h} a m$ or $k^{h} a m b e: k$ is enough to denote both of these terms i.e. $i k s a$ and $k^{h} a m b e: k$. The term iksa is essentially archaic term only used in the special purpose. Likewise, in the example 'b' the terms $e t t^{s h} u m$ and $e p p^{h} a$ are obsolete words never used in the ordinary speech situation.

### 7.22.7 Unusual affixation

The Mundhum language is especially marked with use of unusual affixation. The affixations are unusual in the sense that they never occur in the ordinary speech variety. Let us consider the example below:
69.a je.. hukso medaruaך taךع medaruaך je huk-so me- tar -u -aך taךع me- tar -u -aך
VOC hand-BAL nsAS-fetch-3P-pfG arm nsAS-fetch-3P-pfG
They brought. 154
b $\quad k^{h}$ हyha? go $k^{h a m t s h i t s h i r i ~ g o ~} k^{h} a m b o \eta b a ~ s a h a ? ~ r o ~$
$k^{h} \varepsilon \eta-h a$ ? go $k^{h} a m t^{s h i} i-t^{s h} i r i \quad g o k^{h} a m b o \eta b a \operatorname{sa-ha?~ro~}$
that-p then aborigines-RHM then indigenous baby-p ASS
They are the siblings/offspring born out of the land. 270

In the above example ' a ', the word 'hukso' has the suffix <-so> and in 'b' the word $k^{h} a m t^{s h} i^{t s h} i r i$ has the suffix <-tshiri>. Such affixations are unusual occurrence which is likely to make the expression ambiguous and obscure as well.

### 7.23 Rationale and function of ambiguity

The ambiguous construction seems deliberate in the Mundhum expression. The ambiguous structure may be considered as worthless from the point of view of ordinary speaking context however it has own significance in the field of special speech variety. Here, same is the case with the Mundhum language. Now, the function of ambiguity is precisely elucidated underneath:

- The first function of ambiguous expression is to set the Mundhum language away from the ordinary kind of speech variety. For example, in the ordinary expression, it is enough to say 'mesoru' in order to suggest 'they mixed'. But in the Mundhum it expressed as:
70.a je... hukso mesoru taךع mesoruaך
je huk -so me- sor -u taŋع me- sor -u-aך
VOC hand-BAL nsAS-mix-3P arm nsAS-mix-3P-pfG
They mixed.
In the ordinary speech, it is only ' $k$ hambe: $k$ ' to denote the 'earth' but the same thing in the Mundhum is iksa-diy $k^{h} a m b e: k$. For example:
70.b je.. iksa pokse ro $k^{h}$ ambe:k pokse ro
je iksa poks - $\varepsilon$ ro khambe:k poks $-\varepsilon$ ro
VOC earth become -PT ASS land become -PT ASS
The earth was created.
006
- The second function of ambiguous expression is to defamiliarized or making structure markedly different from the ordinary version. And to do so is to draw the attention of the audience. e.g.
71.a je.... sendi melosuaך summa? me-los-u-aך

Je.... sendi me- los -u -aך sum-ma? me- los -u -aŋ
VOC separation nsAS-perform-3P-pfG collect-INF nsAS-perform-3P-pfG
They collected. $\mathbf{1 4 8}$
b tadik ni piru sepmay ni piru
tadik ni pir -u sepmay ni pir -u vision CTR give/PT-3P dream CTR give/PT-3P

He granted the dream vision.
413

When we consider these above two verse lines, they do not seem to be the ordinary type. There are two reasons to make them different from the ordinary type. The first reason is that the syntactic structure is repeated with the identical verb and the next is that the use of lexical items are not of familiar type.

- The third function is to lay emphasis on the particular point or aspect that is being expressed.
72.a ando tantsho tareal saknam tareay
ando tantsho tar $-\varepsilon$-ay saknam tar $-\varepsilon-a \eta$
after a while morning come-PT-pfG dawn come-PT-pfG
Later on, it was morning. 416
b toŋďum menne?e go topja menne?e go
toŋďum men-ne -3e go top-ja men-ne - -3 e go
arrow npG-be-npG then stick-BAL npG-be-npG then
Without arrow and stik then.
501

In the given example $a$ and $b$, the emphasized aspect is every second part of the verse saknam tareay ( it was morning) and tonja menne?e go (without arrow). Hence, the importent part or the main message carrier is the second part or half of the verse. The first part is premodifier of the second and its occurence is a must.

- The fourth function is that such expression contribute to the stylistic effect or that is to make the saying lofty and sublime.
73.a je.. peli mebantshiy joban mebantshiy
$j e$ peli me- ban -tshiy jo-ban me- ban -tshiy
VOC speech nsAS-discuss-REF BAL-word nsAS-discuss-REF
They discussed to each other. $\mathbf{1 3 5}$
b se:ndo tsogu ro se:lap tsogu ro
se:ndo tsog -u ro se:lap tsog -u ro
inquisitively make-3P ASS inquire make-3P ASS
He asked and inquired of.
477

The example 73.a can be expressed by only saying tadzeyma? (discuss) and 73.b as saying se:lapma? (ask) in the ordinary speech form. But the given verse lines are highly formal expressions so that the structures are longer and dictions are different.

- It helps to maintain close adherence to religion and tradition.
74.a je... ande:n tatarame-lle tagarame-lle je ande:n tatarame-lle tagarame-lle VOC long ago Almighty God-ERG almighty- ERG Long ago the almighty god 004
b je.. sikkum piru isi:k niywa piru isi:k je sikkum pir -u isi:k niywa pir -u isi:k VOC thought give/PT-3P according to idea give/PT-3P according to According to the admonition given. 005

It is a general expectation that people want the religious or ritual language be different from the day-to-day conversational language. The Mundhum language has rightly addresed the demand of the people by using archaic as well as obsolete dictions.

- Foregrounding certain expression.


The given examples are for showing how expressions are foregrounded. Foregrounding is essentially a technique for making strange in language. It is acieved mainly through two ways: one is by deviation and the other is by repetition. The above verse lines are foregrounded through repetition or parallelism.

### 7.24 The Mundhum myth and archetypal reading

It has been already mentioned that the Yapmi Pongma Mundhum 'The Origin of Man' is essentially a myth which narrates how things including man first came to exist. Through this myth, we are acquainted with the core of human instincts for it reflects the inner meaning of the universe and human life. Although myths are primarily
rooted to certain communities and limited to specific places, they tend to appear universal since they have common meaning and similar cultural functions. It is very usual notion that similar motifs or themes may be found among many different mythologies. Furthermore certain images recur in myths of peoples widely separated in time and place. 'Such common images and motifs, according to Guerin et al. (1999, p. 160) are called archetypes'. They can be summed up as common because they tend to elicit similar psychological responses and to serve same cultural functions.

The Limbu Mundhum myth, by nature consists of primordial images which C. G. Jung terms as 'archetypes' psychic residue 'motifs' of the life of our ancient ancestors. The Mundhum in this sense serves as a means to acquaint with the deepest instinctual life of the prehistoric peoples. In this context, it is worth noting the opinion of Northrop Frye (1970), one of the outstanding figures in the field of mythological approach. He claims that 'mythology as whole provides a kind of diagram....an imaginative survey of human situation from the beginning to the end, from height to the depth what is imaginatively conceivable (1970, p. 102).'Likewise as the opinion of Guerin et al. (1999), the Mundhum myth reflects three different aspects as given below:
i) 'pre-historical as well as biographical notes of gods (supernatural beings)
ii) the inner spirit which gives that form its vitality and its enduring appeal, and
iii) the manifestation of vitalizing integrative forces arising from the depth of humankind's collective psyche' (p. 167).

It is utterly wrong to conceive the myth as merely a primitive fiction, illusion, or the opinion based on the false reasoning but rather it is the true representation of our deepest instinctual life, of a primary awareness of man in the universe and capable of many configurations upon which all particular opinions and attitudes depend. For this reason, it is quite impossible to pass the analytical comment on the myth through unitary disciplinary approach. It is because mythology tends to be both the speculative and philosophical as well. According to Guerin et al. (1999, p. 159), 'it has close affinity with the diverse disciplines like religion, anthropology and cultural history.' The recent trend in critical approach shows that mythological criticism is carried out through two basic approaches namely anthropological and psychological approach
with cover term 'archetypal criticism'. Thus, the archetypal critical theory makes use of both the issues related to psychology as well as anthropology in combine. Now let us consider the archetypal images and motifs prevalent in the Limbu Mundhum.

### 7.25 Archetypal images in the Mundhum

It is better to have a precise discussion over the matter 'archetype'. What is the archetype any way? The archetype, as Tyson (2006) states, "is a kind of supertype or model, different versions of which recur throughout the history of human production: in our myths, literature, dreams, religions and rituals of social behavior." In a simple way we can say that an archetype is related to the attitudes and behaviors of the first humans. So it is universal and the product of 'collective unconscious' which is supposed to be inherited from our ancestors. Regarding this, Cuddon (1998) clarifies it as "the fundamental facts of human existence are archetypal: birth, growing up, love, family and tribal life, dying, death, not to mention the struggle between children and parents and fraternal rivalry." Along with certain personality type, some creatures, natural objects and plants are also the archetypes. Let us now consider the following archetypal emblems used in the Mundhum myth:

### 7.25.1 Water

water can symbolize diverse notions as the mystery of creation; birth-deathresurrection; purification and redemption; fertility and growth. According to C. G. Jung, water is also conceived as the commonest symbol for the unconscious.

In the Mundhum, the archetype image 'water' recurs associating multiple meanings depending on the context. The Mundhum refers to the context of creation of ponds, lakes and seas for the first time. Likewise, the first human figure was said to have created from the water collected in the tree trunk and the rock pit. Let us see the following verse line referring to the archetype 'water' as follows:
76.a je.. tumbun pokse ro worsk pokse ro
je tumbun poks - $\varepsilon$ ro worok poks - $\varepsilon$ ro
VOC pond become -PT ASS lake become -PT ASS
Lake and pond were formed.
b
je... siydum pokwanu luydum pakwaha?

```
je siy-dum pokwa-nu luy-dum pakwa-ha?
VOC tree-RHM bowl -COM stone-RHM bowl -p
```

The water from the bowls of rock and the tree trunk, $\mathbf{1 5 3}$
a. The sea: the mother of all life; spiritual mystery and infinity; death and rebirth; timelessness and eternity; the unconscious and so forth. The Mundhum refers to this image by the native term teme:n $\operatorname{worə\eta }(k)$ indicating the Indian ocean.
b. The river: the river image may suggest death and rebirth; the flow of time into eternity; transitional phases of the life cycle; incarnation of deities and so on.
c. The lake and pond: they are referred to suggest creation; the life; incarnation of deities and the like.

### 7.25.2 Sun

It may suggest as creative energy; law in nature; consciousness (thinking, enlightenment, wisdom, spiritual vision); father principle; passage of time and life.
a. Rising sun: birth; creation; enlightenment; good omen
b. Setting sun: death; end.

In the Mundhum, the archetypal image 'sun' suggesting as creative energy with which the creator deities are supposed to beg the power to create human being. For this they offer the prayer to the sun as follows:
77.a je... teme:n hipsijay wor刀khipsiyay
je teme:n hir -siy -ay worok hi? -siy -ay
VOC southern part turn -REF-pfG lake turn -REF-pfG
They turned to the south. 051
b je... mija medesu kunam medesu
je mi -ja me-des -u ku-nam me- des -u
VOC mi -BAL nsAS- beg -3P its- sun nsAS- beg -3P
They asked for the power with the sun.
052

### 7.25.3 Moon

It denotes erenity; purity; happiness; luck; enlightenment (most of other myths conceive the moon as feminine or mother principle but the Mundhum seems to take it as masculine).

The archetypal symbol moon is also recurring image in the Limbu Mundhum myth. The following verse lines present the moon as inspiring source of enlightenment as:
78.a allo topi hiPsiyay nam-ge?t hipsiyay
allo toPi hi? -siŋ -an nam-ge?t hip -siך -aך
now east turn -REF -pfG sun-rise turn -REF-pfG
They turned towards the east.
049
b je... senday laksu ro kula: laksu ro
je sen-daך laks-u ro ku- la: laks-u ro
VOC night-master ask -3P ASS its- moon ask -3P ASS
They asked for the power with the moon.
7.25.4 Mountain/Hill: stability; height; loftiness; open and charming.

The reference of the mountain/hill occurs very often in the Mundhum. This archetypal image may associate multiplicity of references depending on the context. Let us consider the lines below:
79.a je.. phoktanluŋ?o $t^{h}$ o pembelun?o $t^{h} O$
je phoktanluy -?o tho pembeluy-?o $t^{h} O$
VOC Phaktanglung-LOC above Pembelung -LOC above
Up the above Phaktanglung and Pembelung, 047

je koi jep -me -?o $t^{h} o \quad p^{h} a \eta d^{2} כ \eta \quad j \varepsilon p \quad-m \varepsilon-? o \quad t^{h} o$
VOC hill stand-INF -LOC above on top of mountain stand -INF -LOC above They went to the up hills. 133

### 7.25.5 Color

a. White: highly multivalent, signifying in its positive aspects, light, purity, innocence and timelessness; in its negative aspects, death, terror, the supernatural and the blinding truth of an inscrutable cosmic mystery.
b. Black (darkness): chaos, mystery, the unknown; death; primal wisdom; the unconscious; evil; melancholy.
c. Red: blood; sacrifice; violent passion; disorder.

In regard of the color archetype, the Mundhum makes use of the two basic colors i.e. white and black suggesting or symbolizing quite contrary to each other. Let us consider the following lines:
80.a phgija pu ro magija pu ro
phgija pu ro magija pu ro white bird ASS black bird ASS White and black birds, 470



APP bird -NOM-ABS TOP white bird -NOM ABS TOP
The white bird,
473
7.25.6 The archetypal woman (Great Mother mystery of life, death, transformation)
a. The Good Mother (positive aspects of the Earth Mother): associate with the life principle, birth, warmth, nourishment, protection, fertility, growth, abundance.
b. The Terrible Mother (including the negative aspects of the Earth Mother): the witch, sorceress, siren, whore, female fatale - associated with sensuality, sexual orgies, fear, danger, darkness, dismemberment, emasculation, death; the unconscious in its terrifying aspects.
c. The Soul Mate: the Sophia figure, Holy Mother, the princess or beautiful ladyincarnation of inspiration and spiritual fulfillment (the Jungian anima).

The Mundhum myth regarding the origin of man, it refers to that the female was created for the first time. Then the Mundhum goes on to describe her whole life
activities from the childhood to her motherhood. Her name is Muzingnama Kheyongnama and she has been projected both as the good mother and holy mother respectively. About the first created female's naming rite and her name, the Mundhum refers to as follows:
81.a je....samso megheksu ro jo-min megheksu ro je sam -so me- gheks-u ro jo- min me- gheks-u ro VOC identity-BAL nsAS- put -3P ASS BAL- name nsAS-put -3P ASS The creators put the name to the newly created being.274
b alls mudziynama ro $k^{h}$ ejoŋnama ro
alls mudzinnama ro $k^{h}$ ejopnama ro
now Muzingnama ASS Kheyongnama ASS
Her name was Muzingnama Kheyongama.

### 7.25.7 Tree

In its most general sense, the symbolism of the tree denotes the life of the cosmos; its consistence, growth, proliferation, generative and negative processes. It also stands as inexhaustible life and is therefore equivalent to a symbol of immortality.

In the Mundhum, the reference of the primordial archetype 'tree' denotes the meaning of generative or life giving to the animals which totally depend on it. The Mundhum narrates that the creators caused the tree germinate and soon after that fowls and beasts were created to feed on the plants. This context is expressed through the following Mundhum lines:
82.a je... thabu liysusi siyla liysusi
je $t^{h} a b u$ liys $-u$-si sipla liys $-u$-si
VOC forest grow -3P -nsP plant grow -3P -nsP

The jungle and the plants were grown.
b je.. thabu jaktusi thoksa jaktusi pusa jaktusi.
je thabu jakt-u -si thoksa jakt-u -si pusa jakt-u -si
VOC forest put -3P -nsP animal put -3P -nsP birds put -3P -nsP

### 7.26 Archetypal motifs or patterns

The archetypal motifs refer to very easily seen or noticed elements. The element may be a type of incident, device, reference or formula which occurs frequently in the the myth, story, or in the work of art. Motif may be one of dominant ideas in the story. It may be a main part of the theme. If we look into the Mundhum myth we may find multiplicity of motifs interwoven there. One of the main motifs of the Mundhum might be creation of a perfect human being. Likewise the next motif might be the accursed human life.

### 7.26.1. Creation

It is perhaps the most fundamental of all archetypal motifs-virtually almost every mythology is built on some account of how the cosmos, nature and the mankind were brought into existence by some supernatural Being or beings.

### 7.26.2. Immortality

It is also another fundamental Mundhun archetypal motif in which The Mundhum narrates that the creator gods had first intended to create strong, beautiful and immortal humankind with the blending of different precious metals but that created figure failed to respond to his/her Makers' call. About the failure of creating the immortal mankind, the Mundhum narrates that it was irony for not to enter the life (soul) into the created idol. Eventually, the creator gods happened to create the humankind out of the mixture of trivial matters, hence it happened to the mortal.
7.26.3. Hero archetypes (archetypes of transformation and redemption):
a. The quest: the hero undertakes some long journey during he/she must perform impossible tasks, battle with monster, solve unanswerable riddles and overcome insurmountable obstacles in order to save the kingdom.
b. Initiation: the hero undergoes a series of excruciating or deals in passing from ignorance and immaturity to social and spiritual adulthood that is, in achieving and becoming a full-fledged member of his/her social group.
c. The sacrificial scapegoat: The hero, with whom the welfare of the tribe or nation is identified, must die to atone for the people's sins and to restore the land to fruitfulness.

The Mundhum is essentially a prehistoric text. It is the integrated body of both myth as well as ritual that means it is myth in the conceptual level and ritual in the activity level. In this regard, Ruthven (1976, p. 37) states, 'myth and ritual are the siblings of the same parent'. The myth can be analysed by applying different critical approaches such as structural, archetypal, psychoanalytical and the like.

Though the structural approach has been founded upon the Saussurean Linguistic Theory, the Mundhum can be best analyzed through Levi-Strauss's Linguistic plus anthropological approach because he has developed structural theory in consideration with myth, ritual and kinship. Seldon et al. (2007, p. 78) state, 'Levi-Strauss analyzed the myth using structuralist theory in the light of linguistic model'. Analogous to linguistic, Levi-Strauss terms the units of myth 'mytheme' (compared to phonemes and morphemes in as linguistics). These units are arranged in binary opposition like the basic linguistic units. According to Levi-Strauss's structural theory, the Mundhum also falls in one of the groups between the two opposite views of the origin of human beings: 1. They are born from the earth. 2. They are born from the coition. The Mundhum myth is structured as the former 'mytheme (unit). Regarding the kinship he has developed the binary opposition as: 1 . The overvaluation of the kinship ties. 2. The undervaluation of the kinship ties. These binary opposition of the kinship ties can rightly be applicable in the Mundhum myth because Levi-Strauss ( (1964, p. 41)) says 'the phenomena of kinship are the phenomena of the same type as linguistic phenomena'. For instance, Muzingnama's (the first mother) son Susuwengba sets out the journey for Tibet (Sinyuk Muden) and the southern plain (Temen Worok) despite his mother's prohibition. This is apparently the example of undervaluation of the kinship ties. Similarly, brother Suhampheba and sister Lahadangna stay as a conjugal and have several offspring. This is, according to Levi-Strauss, an example of overvaluation of the kinship ties.

As we turn towards the archetypal motifs or patterns, we encounter the universally common motifs in the Mundhum myth. At first we can notice the archetypal motif is the creation of earth, then gradually water, plants, living animals of both the water and
land and finally human being. In the process of creating human, the structural pattern of the myth involves both the binary opposition i.e. human beings are born from the earth/ from copulation; and trial and error structure. The later 'trial and error' structure suggests that human creation was not successful in the first attempt but it was only the third effort that the creator deities become successful. So within the binary opposition (the first human was fashioned from the blending of precious metals like diamond, pearls, gold, silver and iron; the second was from the mixture of trivial matters and the third was also from the same matters as the second), it embodies the structure of trial and error. In the third attempt, the first mother Muzingnama was created. After her creation, the consequent structure involves again the binary opposition of sexual/asexual progeny. As she was created single, there was no question of having sexual progeny. She bore a male baby, Susuwengba asexually.

The next fundamental archetypal motif is immortality. The Mundhum narrates that the creator gods had first intended to create the strongest, the most adroit, most beautiful and immortal human being. To create such human, the creator gods blended the precious metals like diamond, pearls, gold, silver and the iron. But as they completed making the human figure and blew the life (soul) into the figure, there was no life. Thereafter, without caring much, they happened to create the human out of the mixture of trivial matters. To their surprise, the created human figure happened to be live and responded their call too. Infuriated deities from the unexpected outcome, all of a sudden they happened to cast spiteful curse onto the newly created human. No sooner had they violently cursed than the human withered away and dropped dead. From this, we can discern that the immortality is human psychic projection, an escape from time to enter the paradisal state. Also from this, humans have found the way of consoling themselves from the realization that their body is merely made of very trivial and perishable matters which must return to the same mass with which it was supposed to have been composed of. (The Mundhum believes that human body was created out of the mixture of fowls' shits, soil, ashes (fire), water and air).

The hero archetype is the third fundamental and ubiquitous in all places and times. Thus the Mundhum also obviously embodies this archetype. The hero archetype is especially for carrying out the plot formulas that is, conflict-resolution; strugglereconciliation; and separation- union. According Tyson (2010, p. 225), 'the plot formulas are carried out by means of actants or character functions which are slots
filled by actual characters in a given story.' In the Mundhum, we find 'hero archetype' with primarily quest motifs that is, he/she readily under takes journey or action to achieve intended goal or desire. This particular Mundhum myth involves three steps hero archetypes ranging from supernatural beings to human beings to carry out the plot formulas. In the early part of the Mundhum myth (Yapmi Pongma Mundhum), the deities have motif to create an immortal human being. During that period, they visit to the Supreme God Tagera Ningwaphuma. Finally, though they are not successful to create the human being as they have desired earlier, they create the first human, Muzingnama.

Muzingnama gradually begins to grow and is reaching her maturity. She begins to feel that she is being dragged by an unknown force. Eventually, she starts to wander far and wide with the quest for finding out the solution of her longing of the opposite sex. The Mundhum refers to it that she gets quenched her carnal desire through the wind (surit/sammet) and thus she is pregnant. Her pregnancy fills her mind with mystery. She wants to know what has happened to her. She thinks it is really an ordeal time to her. The next ordeal time is that when her baby, Susuwengba gradually begins to be lean and thin even after her genuine care. She gets her problem solved as she receives necessary instruction from the Almighty Father Tagerama Ningwaphuma.

In the same Mundhum myth, the other hero archetype is Susuwengba himself. As he gradually develops from childhood to adulthood, he comes across the 'initiation motif. As being a boy, he appears to be ignorant and innocent. But as the time is gone by, he slowly gets maturity. His mother plays a dominant role as helper/giver and he is receiver. He is seen fully dependable on his mother. His mother also helps him in whatever way she can. But his denial of his mother's sincere advice, he unconsciously turns towards the 'sacrificial scapegoat.' He happens to have sexual relationship with at least four women which leaves him in the situation that he is the husband of none. As he asks his wives for the logistic support for hunting purpose, all of them seem to turn deaf ear to him. Anyway, he leaves for hunting but he starves to death. Thus, the thematic heart of this myth is the ancient archetypal mystery of the life cycle itself. The quest most often leads the hero to deep down the hellish situation reflecting the human mystery, and the only mystery of human life and his predicament.

From the structural principle prescribed in Northrop Frye's monumental work 'Anatomy of Criticism' (1957), it seems that only the earlier part of the Mundhum myth possesses the characteristic of the mythical plot because the protagonists (i.e. the creator deities and the first mother Muzingnama) have been projected superior in kind to both men and their environment. But as we come to the later part of the myth, it feels no more like myth but like a romance simply because the hero is merely a human and he superior only in degree.

### 7.27 Some special archetypes: Shadow, persona and anima

In 'The Archetypes and the Collective Unconsciousness,' Jung (1968) has mentioned various types of archetypal patterns. Some of them have been discussed above. These three kinds of archetypal patterns are especially for emphasizing on the psychological aspects rather than anthropological ones. The theory of 'individuation' is considered to be Jung's one of the major contributions. The archetypal patterns i.e. shadow, persona and anima are the basic components of the individuation or personality development. According to Guerin et al. (1999), 'individuation is a psychological growing up, the process of discovering those aspects of one's self that make one individual different from other members of the species'. It is essentially a process of self recognition which requires courage and honesty to become a well balanced individual.

As we go through the Mundhum myth, we may encounter the symbolic projections of these archetypes (shadow, persona and anima). The shadow is the darker side of the unconscious self, the inferior and less pleasing aspects of personality which one wants to suppress. Likewise, persona is the actor's mask that we show to the world or that is our social personality which is sometimes different from our true self. About the term 'anima' Jung gives it as a feminine designation in the male’s psyche. In this sense, anima can be assumed as the contra-sexual part of a man's psyche. To exemplify these terms persona, anima and shadow in a simple way is that the persona is projected in our hero, anima in heroine and shadow in the villain respectively.

If we put the Mundhum to test in the light of these archetypal patterns, we can find the creator deities as the personas. Actually, they are not showing their true self what they appear to be in the outward situation. They want to create female because their contrasexual part is sheer lacking but pretend to create male human. They fail in the first
two times to create human because their inner self is quite contrary to their outer self. They confront with shadow by being angry, this means they are in the process of maturity. In this way, their pretence is not to come to be true. While creating the female (Muzingnama) they are fully success because they are determined both from inwardly as well outwardly.

The existence of the first female creation Muzingnama is essentially the symbolic projection of 'anima' just as Milton's Eve in Paradise Lost, Helen of Troy, Dante's Beatrice in Divine Comedy, etc. of various fictions. Muzingnama is shocked at her various psychological changes in the process of growth (individuation) because she is unable to confront her shadow. She suffers much from a failure of personality integration. This is her process of individuation or the process of self- recognition. It is also the indication of ordeal situation towards the maturity. As she comes across these all ordeal situations, she establishes herself as a good inspiring mother. She is seen in the role of an ideal mother.

### 7.28 Summary

The Mundhum language is found to have deviated primarily in three aspects namely phonic level, semantic level and grammatical (syntactic) level. This deviation has definitely set the Mundhum language off the ordinary speech. Moreover, there are other features like diction, prosody, symbol, music, rhetoric, etc which have made the Mundhum language markedly different from the commoners' language. From the narrative structure, we find that the characters are akin to us breathing the same emotions no matter how remote they lived in terms of time and place. The archetypal reading, it readily reflects the fundamental facts of human existence like birth, love, hatred, family and tribal life, personality types, emblems of creatures and themes or motifs.

## CHAPTER EIGHT

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

### 8.1 Summary

The Limbu are one of the principal ethnic people of Nepal. They reside in the hilly region traditionally known as Pallo Kirant or Far Kirant. The term Limbu, however is considered as the Nepali ethnonym and thus their home land in the eastern region is known in Nepali Limbuwan. But they prefer to be called as by the name Yakthungba and their language Yakthungba Pan. Actually their original speaking area spreads from the Arun River in the west to the Tista River in the east which includes the hilly districts of Koshi and Mechi Zones of the eastern Nepal. But now some of them have migrated from their original abodes to different places and they are found in the eastern Terain districts as well as in Kathmandu valley. The CBS Report (2012) shows that the total population of the Limbu is 387,300 which is $1.46 \%$ out of total population $(26,494,504)$ of the country. Of that number, 343,603 are the native speakers that is $1.29 \%$ out of nation's population.

The Limbu is one of the dominant languages of the Tibeto-Burman language family. It is also one of the national languages of Nepal with rich linguistic and cultural tradition. The Limbu have their own script known as Sirijanga which is believed to have evolved by the great king Sirijanga ( $880-915 \mathrm{AD}$ ) hence 'Sirijanga script'. While considering the development of language and its literature, it is ranked the second to Newari - the indigene of Kathmandu valley. The Limbu language comprises the four geographical dialects namely Panchthare (including Choubise), Taplejungge (comprising Mewa/Maiwa Khole and Yanggrakke), Phedappe and Chhathare.

Analysizing the Limbu language in terms of purpose and use, there overtly two types of language variety that is, ordinary language (practical) variety and the Mundhum language (ritual) variety. This Mundhum variety does not have any variation all over the Limbu speaking area. The practical language variety refers to that variety which is used in the ordinary or daily communicative purpose whereas the Mundhum variety is used only in the special occasions and ritual performances. There is a vast difference between these two language forms.

From the linguistic point of view, the Limbu language is complex pronominalized agglutinating language that means the subject and object marking pronouns are fully or partially affixed to the verb roots. The next complication with verb conjugation is that most verb roots have more than one form. So it always poses a serious challenge to the linguists. In this way, the verbal morphology appears to be more complicated than other word classes such as nominal, adjectival or adverbial morphology. While discussing about the Mundhum morphology, most of the nominal and affixal morphologies seem to be different from the ordinary speech variety. On the verb morphology, there is not so great difference between the ordinary and the Mundhum language variety.

### 8.2 Conclusion

The Mundhum is the religious scripture of the Limbu people. The Mundhum language is classical and mostly allegorical which is heavily laden with rhetoricity. Unlike the ordinary speech form, the Mundhum is in poetic version and often recited in a certain rhythm and tune by the Limbu priest locally known as Samba, Phedangba, Yeba or Yema. The pervasive use of archaic and obsolete diction has really set this variety away from the ordinary speech and created difficulty to understand it properly. Actually, the complexity arises right from the sound level because it is expected to recite the verse accurately on the part of the singer. Obviously, the recital is not so easy as to speak. Its lexical level again proves to be the stumbling block on the way to smooth understanding. The dictions are not only unusual but most often there are bound nonsensical lexemes too. The same object or notion may be denoted by multiple terms depending on the context and situation. The basic word becomes quite confusing by affixing or by using pre-modifiers and post modifier. The word combination or syntagmatic form is unusual and it is deviated from the ordinary norms of the grammar. Many words are seen to be used in order to express a few matters which may be thought as redundant in the case of daily communication. In this way, circumlocution, tautology and repetitive forms are very common in the Mundhum expression.

The analysis has revealed that the Limbu language consists of sixteen primary consonant phonemes ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{s}}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{j}$ ) and the rest nine ( g , $g^{h}, b, b^{h}, d, d^{h}, d^{\text {z }}, t^{\text {sh }}, r$ ) are only the variants (allophones) of the primary phonemes.

There are seven vowel phonemes (i, e, $\varepsilon, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{\rho}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{u}$ ) and each has its counterpart vowel length which is marked by $\operatorname{dot}(\cdot)$ or colon (:). Diphthong sound in Limbu is very rare case except derivationally obtained sound sequences like $\varepsilon i$ and $\partial i$ in seigja (to die) and waigja (to be). So far as the the morphology is concerned, the verb morphology appears to be very much complicated. For this reason, the Limbu language is considered to be the complex pronominalized language that is, the verb agreement for both agent and goal actants.

To talk about particularly the Mundhum language in terms its characteristic features, the study has revealed four distinct features which set the Mundhum language markedly different from the ordinary language variety. The first distinct feature is concerned with the phonic texture. Although the basic sounds are the same in the Mundhum language, the recurrence use of prsodic feature marks it distinctly different. The prosodic features like rhyme, rhythm, alliteration, assonance, etc are the fundamental aspects of the Mundhum language. The second distinct feature is concerned with the morphological make-up as well as lexical form. In the morphological level, especially the affix system has been found out quite unusual in the sense that this kind of affixal system is not found in the ordinary language variety. The affixes are of three types on the basis of their function viz. rhyming, balancing and linking. Regarding the lexical items, the Mundhum makes use of entirely different kind of diction which are not used in the practical language. The lexicons used in the Mundhum language variety are archaic and obsolete. The Mundhum language also employs premodifiers or apposed words both in the recital as well as sermonized forms. Such modifying/apposed words have been found out of four types in terms of their sense relation. They are synonymous, antonymous, bound and echo/copied lexemes. The same apposed words are of four kinds on the basis of their frequency of occurence. They are namely fixed or limited, generic, common and stock.

The third distinct feature of the Mundhum language can be attributed to its syntactic feature. The syntactic structure of the Mundhum is the verse form designed to be sung. The verse lines are short and they are separated by caesura (pause) that means there are two halves in a line in a formulaic structure $A+R, B+R$. Every verse line has a internal rhyme scheme techically called as Leonine rhyme. The fourth apparent distinctive feature of the Mundhum language is related to the semantic aspect. For the Mundhum, a flower is not merely a flower but it may associate the meaning of
delicacy, innocence or even life. The Mundhum uses many kinds of the figures of speech which is in a way deviation from the ordinary mode of language. Thus it (the Mundhum) leaves the primary (denotative/literal) meaning of the word and activates the secondary (connotative/figurative) meaning.

The fact revealed by the study is that it is facing extremely critical condition. Being a ritual language, it is limited to a hanful people especially the Limbu priests. Most of them are illiterate and often found to be reluctant to share the idea with other people. Recitation of the Mundhum is limited to some occasional ritual performances. It is said that the Mundhum is only transferrable from one Samba to another Samba because they are authentic persons to possess the knowledge of the Mundhum. No Limbu native can be the Samba on his own will or desire. To become a Samba involves certain shamanic rules and rituals. One should be favoured or possessed by the past spirits otherwise there is no practical way out of being a Samba. Whatever the reason might be, the obvious matter is that the pre-historic heritage - the Mundhum language is at the verge of extinction. Therefore, the people concerned should take firm and solid steps in order to save the ancestral souvenir before it is too late. When it is over, the lamentation with the salty tears will have no meaning as the romantic poet Tennyson's saying 'Tears Idle Tears'- no more than that.

It has been found that the significance and value of the Mundhum among the Limbu community is very great because it is employed simply from the illness healing to the spiritual dealing. It has gained the status of diaglossia in the Limbu community just as the Sanskrit and classical Arabic. Undoubtedly, the Mundhum has bound the Limbu natives together in common psychological and spiritual activities. It has also promoted a profound sense of togetherness of feeling, of action and of wholeness of living. It is ubiquitous in all time and place spreading the message of unity among the Limbu natives. It is, thus a dynamic factor everywhere in the Limbu society; it transcends time, uniting the past (traditional modes of beliefs) with the present (current values) and reaching towards the future (spiritual and cultural aspirations).

The Limbu language also exhibits some distinct characteristic features just as monosyllabic verb root, placing the verb at the end of the sentence, closed syllables or nasal and plosive consonants at the end, profuse use of glottal stop as a basic phoneme, absence of third-person singular number, three number system-singular,
dual and plural with inclusive and exclusive distinctions in dual and plural first person pronouns, vowel length in closed syllable, absence of gender and the prominence of $\eta$ sound.

## APPENDIX A: MUNDHUM TEXT

 (Yapmi Pongma Mundhum: The Origin of Man)```
001
ये----- ये----आल्ल आन्देन् साम्जिक् लदाहा मुन्धुम् लदाहा।
je.....je...... allo and\varepsilon:n samdzik lodaha?
je je allo and\varepsilon:n samdzik lodaha?
VOC VOC now long ago story commencement-p
mund}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}}um\mathrm{ lodaha?
mund}\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathrm{ h}
myth commencement-p
Now, the ancient story's commencements, myth's commencements
ये--- अस्ति उत्पति भएका शास्त्र
002
साम्जिक् पच्छेबेन् मुन्धुम् पच्छेबेन्।।
samdzik pots}\varepsilon\mp@code{\mathrm{ th men mund }
samdz}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{i}k
story be -PT-NOM-ABS myth be-PT-NOM-ABS
साँगुचि आनाप्सि सक्माचि आनाप्सी।
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
sa:ngu & \(t^{\text {si }}\) & anapsi & sokma: \(t^{\text {tsi }}\) & anapsi \\
sa:ngu & \(t^{s} \mathrm{i}\) & a- nap-si & sokma: & \(t^{s i}\) & a-nap-si
\end{tabular}
vitality some 1- feel -nsP life force some 1-feel-nsP
Retell the mundhum that were being told for some rest has been taken.
मुन्धुम भन्दै जाउँ किनकि धेरै विश्राम गर्यौँ ।
    003
ये--- साँगु आगेरे सक्मा आगेरे।
je.. sa:\etaguager\varepsilon sokma: ager\varepsilon
je sa:ygu a- ger-\varepsilon sokma: a-ger-\varepsilon
VOC vitality 1- get-PT life force 1-get-PT
We have taken the complete rest.
अब थकाइ मन्यो, सास आयो।
004
ये-- आन्देन् तातारामेल्ले तागारामेल्ले।
je... and\varepsilon:n tataramelle tagaramelle
je and\varepsilon:n tataram\varepsilon-lle tagaram\varepsilon-lle
VOC long ago Almighty God-ERG Almighty-ERG
Long ago the Almighty God
उहिल्यै सर्व शक्तिमान ईश्वरले।
    005
ये----- सिक्कुम् पिरु इसिक निङ्वा पिरु इसिक
je.. 
VOC thought give -3P according to idea give-3P according to
According to the admonition given
ज्ञान र बुध्दि दिए अनुसार
```

006
ये--- इक्सा पोक्सेरो खाम्बेक् पोक्सेरो
je.. iksa pokse ro $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ambe:k poks $\varepsilon$ ro
je iksa poks $-\varepsilon$ ro khambe:k poks $-\varepsilon$ ro
VOCland become-PT ASS earth become -PT ASS
The earth was created.
यो धरणीको सृष्टि भयो।

007
ये-- तुम्बुन् पोक्सेरो वरङ् पोक्सेरो
je.. tumbun poks $\varepsilon$ ro worok poks $\varepsilon$ ro
je tumbun poks $-\varepsilon$ ro worrk poks $-\varepsilon$ ro
VOC pond become-PT ASS lake become -PT ASS
Lake and pond were formed.
पोखरी र तलाउ बनिए।
008
ये--आल्ल तुम्बुन् खेम्मेङ् ग वरङ् खेम्मेङ् ग।
je.... allo tumbun $k^{\text {h}} \varepsilon m m e n$
je allo tumbun $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m-m \varepsilon-\mathrm{y}$ go worok $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m-\mathrm{m} \varepsilon-\mathrm{y}$ go
VOC now pond suit -INF-ABS TOP lake suit-INF-ABS TOP
To suit the lakes and the ponds,
अब पोखरी र तलाउ सुहाउँनको लागि त।
009
ये--- पाधुङ् याक्तुसी लेत्ना याक्तुसी।
je.. pad ${ }^{h} u y$ jaktusi le:?na jaktusi
je pad huy jakt -u-si le:?na jakt -u-si
VOC creature put -3P-nsP fish put -3P-nsP
Then, he put the water animals.
त्यस पछि जलचर जीवहरु राखे।
010
आल्ल ग इक्सा खेम्मेङ् ग खान्बेक् खेम्मेङ् ग
allo go iksa k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m m e \eta$ go
allo go iksa $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m$-m $\varepsilon-\mathrm{y}$ go
now TOP land suit-INF-ABS TOP
Now, to suit the land or the earth,
अब जमीन वा धरती सुहाउने पार्न
011
ये-- थाबु लिङ्सुसी सिङ्ला लिङ्सुसी
je... thabu liysusi siyla liysusi
je thabu lins-u -si sigla lins -u - -si
VOC forest grow-3P-nsP plant grow -3P -nsP
The jungle and the plants were grown.
रुख, विरुवा र जंगल उमारे।

012
ये---थाबु चामा ग सिङ्ला चामा ग।
je.. thabu tsama? go sipla tsama? go

| je | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ abu | tsa -ma? go | sipla | tsa-ma? go |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOC | forest | eat -INF TOP | plant | eat-INF TOP |

013
ये-- तातारामेल्ले तागारामाल्ले


The chak-chakke and yong younge deities
चकचके देबले यङ्यङ्गे देवले

015
ये--थाबु याक्तुसि थक्सा याक्तुसि पुसा याक्तुसि
je.. thabu jaktusi thoksa jaktusi pusa jaktusi.
je thabu jakt-u-si thoksa jakt-u-si pusa jakt-u-si
VOC forest put-3P-nsP animal put-3P-nsP birds put-3P-nsP
Put the animals, birds and other creatures.
त्यो बन जंगलमा चरा चुरुङ्गी राखी दिए।
016
आल्ल थक्सा याक्तुसिआङ् पुसा याक्तुसिआङ्
allo thoksa jaktusian pusa jaktusian
allo $t^{\text {thoksa jakt-u }}$-si -ay pusa jakt-u-si-aŋ
now animal put-3P -nsP -pfG birds put-3P-nsP-pfG
Now putting these all creatures,
अब ती सबै चराचुरुङ्नी राखिदिएर(पनि)
017
ये----इक्सा मेखेम्दुन् खाम्बेक् मेखेम्दुन्
je... iksa m $\varepsilon g^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m d u n \quad \mathrm{k}^{\text {hambe:k }} m \varepsilon g^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m d u n$
je iksa me- ghemd-u-n khambe:k me-g ${ }^{\text {h }} \varepsilon m d-u-n$
VOC land NEG-suit-3P-NEG earth NEG-suit-3P-NEG
The earth did not become suitable.
यो धरती सुहाएन पृथ्वि सुहाएन।
018
आल्ल मेन्छाम् चोगुङ् फाङ् याप्मी चोगुङ् फाङ्
allo mentshamtsoguy $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ay japmitsoguy $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ay

now human make-3P-1sA SUB human being make-3P-1sA SUB
Now he wished to create the human being
अब मनमा मान्छे बनाउँछु भनेर

019
खुनी सिक्कुम् मेदेसे निङ्वा मेदेसे
$k^{\text {h }} u n i$ sikkum medes $\varepsilon$ niŋwa m $\varepsilon$ des $\varepsilon$
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ uni sikkum me-des- $\varepsilon$ nijwa m $\varepsilon$-des- $\varepsilon$
they thought nsAS- think-PT idea nsAS-think-PT
They started to think over the matter.
उनीहरु(सृष्टिकर्ताहरू) विचार विमर्श गर्न थाले।
020
आल्ल साम्जिक् च? युरो मुन्धुम् च? युरो
allo.... samdzik tso?uro mund ${ }^{h} u m$ tso?u ro
allo samdzik tso? -u ro mund ${ }^{\text {h}} u m$ tsop-u ro
now story join -3P ASS myth join-3P ASS
Now let us continue the mythical story
अब पूरा कथालाई जोडौं।
021
ये---अक्खे चक्तुम्लो ताजेङ् चक्तुम्लो


VOC in this way add-3P-pA ASS talk add-3P-pA ASS
Let us relate the matter further.
अब कुराकानीलाई यसरी जोडौं।
022
आल्ल सिन्युक् पाङ्बुरे चक्मा यान्दुम्लो
allo sinjuk paybure ${ }^{\text {tr}}$ okma? jandum lo
allo sinjuk paybu -re tsok-ma? jand -u-m lo
now high land woolen thread-INS join-INF be able-3P-pADH ASS
Let us join the matter like the woolen thread.
अब लेकको ऊनको धागो काते झैं (कुरो यसरी) गाँसौँ ।
023
तेमेन् खिबोरे चक्मा यान्दुम्लो
je.. teme:n khibore tsokma? jandum lo
je teme:n $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ jbo -re tsok-ma? jand -u -m lo
VOC southern part cotton thread-INS join-INF be able-3P-pADH ASS
Let us join the matter like the cotton thread.
औलाको कपासको धागो काते झैं (कुरो) कातौँ
024
ये-- मेन्छाम् पोङ्मा ग याप्मि पोङ्मा ग
je.. ment ${ }^{\text {tham }}$ ponma? go japmi poŋma? go
je mentham pon -ma? go japmi pon -ma? go

VOC human EXIG be-INF TOP man EXIG be -INF TOP
The origin of the human being
मान्छेको उत्पत्तिको कुरो (अब)

ये-- ले?वा थक्तुम्लो चम्योक थक्तुम्लो
je.. le?wa thoktum lo tsomjok thoktum lo
je le?wa thokt -u -m lo tsomjok thokt -u -m lo VOC undisturbed describe-3P-pADH ASS unhindered describe-3P-pADH ASS Let us describe without any mistake.
नबिराइ वर्णन गरौं।

026
आल्ल फक्ताङ्लुङ्मा थो पेम्बेलुङ्मा थो
allo $\quad p^{\text {h }}$ oktayluymat ${ }^{\text {th }} \quad$ pembeluyma $t^{\text {h }} \mathbf{o}$
allo $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ oktaŋlunma $\quad \mathrm{t}^{\text {h }} \mathbf{O} \quad$ pembeluyma $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }} \mathbf{o}$
now Phoktanglungma above Pembelungma above

Now, over the Kumbhakarna and Pembelungma,
अब कुम्भकर्ण (हिमाल) र पेम्बेलुङ्मा माथि
027
ये--माङ्जिरिओ थो माङलेन् थामे थो


VOC god -RHM-LOC above god-GEN-ABS residence-LOC above
Above the dwelling place of the god
(अर्थात) भगवानको वासस्थान (लोक) माथि
028
ये--सा?माहा? मेलाङ्लो माङहा? मेलाङ्लो
je.. saPmaha? mela:ylo mayha? mela:y lo
je sapma -ha? me- la:y lo may-ha? me- la:y lo
VOC deity -p nsAS- dance EMPH god-p nsAS-dance EMPH
The deities and gods dance
देवताहरु नृत्य गर्दछन् ।
029
ले?वा थाक्तुम्लो चम्योक् थाक्तुम्लो
je.. le?wa thoktum lo tsomjok thoktum lo
je le?wa thokt -u -m lo tsomjok thokt -u $\quad$-m lo
VOC undisturbed describe-3P -pADH ASS unhindered describe-3P -pADH ASS
Let us describe the matter in detail.
तिनै (देउताहरू) को सविस्तार वर्णन गरौं है।

030
ये--ले?वा मेम्माए चम्योक मेम्माए
je... lع?wa m\&mmape tºmjok m\&mmaPe
je le?wa mem- ma -Pe tsomjok mem-ma-Pe
VOC undisturbed npG- lose -npG unhindered npG-lose-npG
Let us not make any mistake and lose the serial.
कही कतै नबिराइकन।
031
आल्ल मेन्छाम् केजोक्पाहा याप्मि केजोक्पाहा
allo ...ment ${ }^{\text {sham }} \mathrm{k} \varepsilon \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}}$ okpaha? japmi k $\varepsilon \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}}$ okpaha?
allo mentsham ke-dzok -pa-ha? japmi ke-dzok-pa-ha?
now human AP-make-AP-p human-being AP-make-AP-p

Now the human being creators!
अब मान्छे बनाउँनेहरु।

032
ये--- चक्चक्के माङ्सिरो यङ्यङ्गे माङ्सिरो
je.. tsok-tºk maysi ro jonjonge maysi ro
je tsok-tsoke may -si ro jonjonge may-si ro
VOC Chakchake god -dPS EMPH Yongyongge god-dPS EMPH
The chak chakke and yang yangge are the deities.
चकचक्के र यङ्यङ्गे देवहरु नै हुन है।
033
ये--सिङ्माङ् लुङ्माङ् सि रो य?इ लुङ्माङ्सि रो
je... siy-man luy maysi ro jopiluy maysi ro
je sin-man luy man -si ro jo?i lun man -si ro VOC wood-god stone god -dPS ASS image stone god -dPS ASS Who create the image or the model.
चराचर जगतका देवहरु हुन, जसले (सृष्टि गर्ने) साँचो बनाउँछन्।
034
ये--नावालुङ्माङ्सि चोसाप् लुङ्माङ्सि
je... nawa luy maysi tsosap luy mansi
je nawaluy may -si tºsap luy may -si
VOC face stone god -dPS form stone god -dPS
The deities of crators
रुपाकृति बनाउने देवहरु।
035
ये--थाप्साङ् मेलन्दे लदेन् मेलन्देआङ
je... thapsay melond $\varepsilon$ loden melond ${ }^{\text {tha }}$
je thapsay me- lond $-\varepsilon$ loden me- lond $-\varepsilon \quad-\mathrm{an}$
VOC suddenly nsAS- come out-PT quickly nsAS- come out-PT -pfG
Came up suddenly /produced themselves
उत्पन्न भए, देखा परे।
036
आल्ल खुनि सिक्कुम् मेदेसे निङ्वा मेदेसे
allo khuni sikkum medes $\varepsilon$ nijwa m $\quad$ des $\varepsilon$
allo $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h} u n i}$ sikkum m $\varepsilon$ - des $-\varepsilon$ niywa m $\varepsilon$ - des- $\varepsilon$
now theythought nsAS- think -PT idea nsAS- think-PT
Now they started to think over the matter
अब तिनीहरु सोचविचार गर्न थाले।

037
आल्ल सुहेन् मेधान्दु मिक्सो मेधान्दु
allo suhen med ${ }^{\text {handu mikso med }}$ handu
allo suhen me- dhand -u mik -so m $\varepsilon^{\text {h }} \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}}$ and-u
now observation nsAS- heed-3P view-BAL nsAS- heed-3P
They saw and guessed the matter
अब तिनीहरुले हेरे अनि अनुमान लाउन थाले।

आल्ल इक्सा खेम्मा ग खाम्बेक् खेम्मा ग
allo iksa $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m m a$ ? go $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ambe:k $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m m a ?$ go
allo iksa $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m$-ma? go $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ambe:k $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m$-ma? go
now land suit -INF TOP earth suit -INF TOP

Now to suit the earth
अब यो धरालाई सुहाउने पार्न
039
ये--मेन्छाम् ्मेन्ने रो याप्मि मेन्ने रो
je... ment ${ }^{\text {thammenne?ero japmi menne?e ro }}$
je ment ${ }^{\text {th }} a m$ men-n - -?e ro japmi men-n $\varepsilon$-?e ro
VOChuman npG-be-npG ASS man npG-be-npG ASS
Without the human being,
मानव नभैकन

040
ये--माङ्जिरिओ थो माङ्लेन् थामे थो
je... mandziripo $t^{\text {h }} \mathbf{O}$ maylen thame?o tho

VOC god -RHM -LOC above god -GEN -ABS residence -LOC above
Up the dwelling place of the deities
माथि ईश्वरको लोकमा ( बासास्थानमा)

041
ये-- सिक्कुम् मेदेसे निङ्वा मेदेसे
je.. sikkum medes $\varepsilon$ nijwa medes $\varepsilon$
je sikkum me-des- $\varepsilon$ nijwa m $\varepsilon$ - des $-\varepsilon$
VOC thought nsAS-think-PT idea nsAS- think-PT
They thought seriously.
उनीहरुले गहिरिएर विचारे

042
आल्ल मेन्छाम् चोगुक्लो याप्मि चोगुम्लो
allo mentsham tsogum lojapmi tsogum lo

now human make -3P -pADH-ASS man make-3P-pADH -ASS
Now they wished to create he human being
अब उनीहरुले मानव बनाउने विचार गरे।

043
ये--- चक्चक्के माङ्ले यङ्यङ्गे माङ्ले
je.. tsok-tsoke manle jonjonge mayle
je tsok-tºke may-le jonjonge man-le
VOC Chakchake god-ERG Yongyongge god-ERG
The chak-chakke and yang-yangge deities
चक्चक्के देवले यङ्यङ्गे देवले

044
ये---सिङ्माङ् लुङ्माङ्ले यइ्? लुङ्माङ्ले
$\begin{array}{lclllll}\text { je... } & \text { siy-may luy mayle } & \text { jopi } & \text { luy } & \text { mayle } & \\ \text { je } & \text { siy-may } & \text { luy } & \text { may } & \text { le } & \text { jo?i } & \text { luy }\end{array}$ may-le

VOC wood-god stonegod-ERG image stone god-ERG
The god of image maker
रुपाकृति बनाउने देवहरु
045
ये--नावालुङ् माङ्ले चोसाप्लुङ् माङ्ले
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { je... } & \text { nawaluy } & \text { mayle } & \text { tsosapluymanle } \\ \text { je } & \text { nawaluy } & \text { may-le } & \text { tsosap luy }\end{array}$ may-le
VOC face-making god-ERG image-making god-ERG
The creator god
मुखाकृति र रुपाकृति बनाउने देवहरु
046
आप्चारे फिन्दु पोरोक्मि माङ्हा
je... aptsare $p^{\text {hindu }}$ porokmi mayha?
je aptsare $p^{\text {h}}$ indu porokmi may -ha?
VOC hunting deity porokmi god -p
The hunter, the striker god porokmi
शिकार हानेर हिडने भगवान पोरोक्मी

047
ये-- फक्ताङ्लुङ्ओ थो पेम्बेलुङ्ओ थो
je. $\quad p^{h}$ oktayluy?o $t^{h} o \quad$ pembeluy?o $t^{\text {h }} \mathbf{O}$

VOC Phaktanglung -LOC above Pembelung-LOC above
Up the above Phaktanglung and Pembelung.
कुम्भकर्ण र कन्चनजङघा माथि
048
ये--सुहेन् मेधान्दे मिक्सो मेधान्दे
je... suhen m $\varepsilon \mathrm{d}^{\text {hand }} \varepsilon$ mikso m $\varepsilon \mathrm{d}^{\text {hand }} \varepsilon$
je suhen me- dhand - $\varepsilon$ mik-so me- dhand - $\varepsilon$
VOC observation nsAS-heed-PT view-BAL nsAS- heed -PT
These all gods thought seriously and deeply
यी सबै देवहरुले गहन विचार विमर्श गरे।

049
आल्ल त?इ हिसिङ्आङ् नाम्गेत् हिसिङ्आङ्
allo toPi hiPsiyay nam-ge?t hi?siyan
allo to?i hip-siy -ay nam-g 2 tt hip-siy -ay
now east turn-REF -pfG sun-rise turn-REF -pfG
They turned towards the east
(अनि) उनीहरु पूर्वतर्फ फर्के।
050
सेन्दाङ् लाक्सु रो कुला: लाक्सु रो
je... senday laksu ro kula: laksu ro
je sen-day laks-u ro ku-la: laks-u ro
VOC light-master ask-3P ASS its-moon ask-3PASS
They asked for the power with the moon
(उनीहरूले) जूनसंग भाग्य र संमृध्दि मागे।

051
ये---तेमेन् हिसिङ्आङ् वरङ् हिसिङ्आङ्
je... tєme:n hiPsinan worok hipsinan
je teme:n hi? -siy -an worok hi? -siy-ay
VOC southern part turn-REF -pfG lake turn-REF-pfG
They turned to the south
(त्यस पछि) उनीहरु तलतिर सम्म मैदान र समुन्द्र तर्फ फर्के।
052
ये--मिया मेदेसु कुनाम् मेदेसु
je... mija med $\varepsilon s u$ kunam m $\varepsilon d \varepsilon s u$
je mi -ja m $\varepsilon$ - d $\varepsilon s$-u ku- nam me- d $\varepsilon s-u$
VOC mi -BAL nsAS- beg-3P its-sun nsAS- beg-3P
They asked for the power with the sun
उनीहरुले घामसंग बल मागे।

053
ये-- तरङ् हिसिङ्आङ् ताङ्साङ् हिसिङ्आङ्।
je... tron hipsiyay taysay hipsinay
je toron hip -siy -ay taysay hip-siy -ay
VOC paradise turn-REF -pfG sky turn-REF-pfG
They looked up the sky, the heavenly space.
तिनीहरुले स्वर्गलोक तर्फ दृष्टि दिए।

054
आल्ल मुधोङ् अमेत्तु खेसे आङ् अमेत्तु

allo mud ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} u \boldsymbol{\eta}$ omett -u $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon s e$-an omett -u
now heaven look -3P star -also look -3P
They looked up at the stars and the planets
तिनीहरुले आकाशीय पिण्डहरु तिर हेरे।

055
आल्ल आनी मेन्छाम् चोगि रो याप्मि चोगि रो
allo ani mentsham tsogi rojapmi tsogi ro
allo ani ment ${ }^{\text {th }}$ am $t^{5 t s}$ sog -i ro japmi tsog -i ro
now we human make -pADH ASS man make -pADH ASS
Now we are to the human being
अब हामी मानव बनाउने है।

056
ये--माङ्जिरिओ थो माङ्हा येप्मेओ थो
je... maydziriro tho mayha? jepme tho
je may -dziri -?o tho may-ha? jep-me tho VOC god -RHM -LOC above god-p stand-INF above
Up the above dwellings of the gods
माथि देव लोकमा।

057
खुने मेन्छाम् चोगुसि याप्मी चोगुसि
$k^{\text {h }} u n \varepsilon \quad m \varepsilon n^{\text {th }}$ am $\quad t^{\text {tsogusi }}$ japmi tsogusi
$k^{\text {hun }} \varepsilon$ ment ${ }^{\text {tham }}$ tsog-u-si japmi tsog-u-si
s/he human make-3P-nsP man make-3P-nsP
The god created the human being.
(अनि) ती देवताहरू मानिसको सृष्टि गर्दछन्।

## 058

हा-------हिलि नुमाहा मति नुमाहा
ha.... hilli nu:maha? moti nu:maha?
ha hilli nu:ma -ha? moti nu:ma-ha?
VOC diamond beauty -p pearls beauty-p
The gems like diamond and pearls

## बहुमूल्य हिरा र मोतीहरु

059
ये---मुधिङ् नुमाहा सकङ् नुमाहा
je... mudhinnu:maha? sokon nu:maha?
je mudhin nu:ma-ha? sokon nu:ma-ha?
VOC gems beauty-p crystal beauty-p
The crystal and other gems
सुन्दर बहुमूल्य मणिमाणिक्यहरु
060
ये--पघेम् खेम्दुरो भाङ् पलाक्लाक्लो भाङ्
je.. pog ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m k^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m d u$ ro $b^{\mathrm{h}}$ aŋ pola२k la:k lo $b^{\mathrm{h}} a \eta$
je pog ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m$ k $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m d-\mathrm{u}$ ro $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an polapk la:k lo $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an
VOC nicely uit -3P ASS SUB sharply smart EMPH SUB
Thinking that these gems would match perfectly
ती बहुमूल्य रत्नहरुले (मान्छेलाई) खुबै सुहाउँछ भनेर
061
ये--मेन्छाम् चोगुरो याप्मि चोगुरो
je mentsham tsog -u ro japmi tsogu ro
je ment ${ }^{\text {tham }} \mathrm{t}^{\text {sog }}$-u ro japmi $\mathrm{t}^{\text {sog }} \mathrm{og}$-u ro
VOC human make-3P ASS man make-3P ASS
He created the human being
सृष्टिकर्ताले मानवको सृष्टि गरे

062
ये-- मेन्छाम् चोगुआङ् याप्मि चोगुआङ्
je... ments ${ }^{\text {tham }}$ tsoguan japmi tsog-u-ay
je ment ${ }^{\text {sham }}$ tsog -u-an japmi tsog-u-an
VOC human make-3P-pfG man make-3P-pfG
After creating the man
मान्छे बनाए पछि (अर्थात् मान्छेको सृष्टि गरे पछि)

063
साँगु सिक्तुरो सक्मा सिक्तुरो
je... sa:ŋgusiktu ro sokma: siktu ro
je sa:ygu sikt-u ro sokma: sikt-u ro

VOC vitality put-3P ASS life force put-3P ASS
He put the life and soul
भगवानले प्राण र आत्मा भरी दिए,
064
ये---साँगु मेलिगेन् सक्मा मेलिगेन्

| je... | sa:ygu | mعligen | sokma: | m ligen |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| je | sa:ngu | $m \varepsilon-l i g-\varepsilon-n$ | sokma: | m $\varepsilon$ - lig- $\varepsilon-n$ |  |
| VOC | vitality | NEG-enter-PT-NEG | life force | NEG-enter-PT-NEG |  |

NEG-enter-PT-NEG
The life wasnot entered into the image
(तर त्यो मणिमाणिक्यका मान्छेमा) जीवन र आत्मा नै प्रवेश गरेन।

```
    065
आल्ल पेलिरक् पान्छिन् योबानरक् पान्छिन्
allo peli rok pant shin joban rok pantshin
allo peli rok pan -tshin jo- ban rok pan -tshin
now speech FOC speak -REF BAL- complain FOC speak -REF
He started to grumble himself
(त्यसो हुँदा) सृष्टिकर्ता गुनासो गरेर फतफताइ मात्र रहे।
    066
आल्ल साक्याङ् नुमाहा अम्लेङ् वामाहा
allo sammjay nu:maha? omley wamaha?
allo sammjay nu:ma-ha? omlen wama-ha?
now gold beauty-p yellowest hue-p
Now in the golden colour of the gold
अब सुन्दर पहेंला सुन
```

    067
    ये--युप्पा नुमाहा फरेङ् वामाहा
je... juppanu:maha? $p^{\text {h}}$ ərey wamaha?
je juppa nu:ma-ha? $p^{\text {h}}$ эrey wama-ha?
VOC silver beauty-p whiteness hue-p
The whitiest colour of copper
सुन्दर सेतो रंगको चाँदी(मा)
068
खुने मेन्छाम् चोगुरो याप्मि चोगुरो
$\mathrm{k}^{\text {hun }} \varepsilon \quad \mathrm{m} \varepsilon \mathrm{nt}^{\text {tham }}$ tsoguro japmi $\mathrm{t}^{\text {sogu }}$ ro
$k^{h} u n \varepsilon \quad m e n t{ }^{\text {tsham }}$ trog -u $^{\text {sog }}$ ro japmi tsog -u ro
s/he human make-3P ASS man make -3P ASS
He created the human being
उनले बहुमूल्य धातु जडित मान्छे बनाए।
069
ये पजाक् चाक्लो भाङ् पघेम् खेम्दुरो भाङ्
je... podza:k tsaklo b ${ }^{\text {han }} \operatorname{pog}^{h} \varepsilon m k^{\text {h }} \varepsilon$ mduro $\quad b^{h} a y$
je podza:k tsak-lo b ${ }^{\text {han }} \operatorname{pog}^{\text {h }} \varepsilon m \quad k^{\text {h }} \varepsilon m d-u-r o \quad b^{\text {ha }}$
VOC terrifically hard -OPT SUB nicely suit-3P-OPT SUB
The created man should be strong and suitable
(किन भने) सृष्टि गरिएको मानवले त पूर्ण रुपले दर्बिलो र सुहाउँदिलो हुनु पन्यो नि!

070
ये--सिङ्माङ् ग ते?ये यइ?क् क ते?ये
je.. sinmay go te? jopik ko te?
je sigmay go te? - $\quad$ g jopik ko te? $-\varepsilon$
VOC form- TOP become -PT figure TOP become-PT
The figure was accurate, the form was correct
भगवानले सोचे, (यसको) रुपाकृति (वा बान्की) त पूर्णरुपमा (मान्छेको) आयो नै।

071
ये--नावा ग ते?येरो चोसाप् क ते?येरो


The creation was possible.
(अब त) सृष्टि संभव होला ।
072
ये---साँगु सिक्तुरो सक्मा सिक्तुरो
je... sa:ŋgu sikturo sokma: sikturo
je sa:ygu sikt -u ro sokma: sikt-u ro
VOC vitality put -3P ASS life force put -3P ASS
He put the life into the created image.
(ईश्वरले त्यस मान्छेमा) जीवन भरे, प्राण भरे।
073
ये-- साँगु मेसिक्तेन् सक्मा मेसिक्तेन्
je... sa:ŋgu mesikten sokma: mesikten
je sa:ygu me- sikt - $\varepsilon$-n sokma: m $\varepsilon$-sikt- $\varepsilon$-n
VOC vitality NEG- put-PT-NEG life force NEG-put-PT-NEG
But the life wasnot fit into the image
(तर अँहँ) निर्मित देहमा प्राण त भरिएन जीवनै भरिएन।
074
आल्ल पेलि मेबारे योबान् मेबारे
allo peli mebare joban mebarean
allo peli me-bar - $\varepsilon$ jo- ban me- bar- $\varepsilon$-an
Now pray nsAS-speak-PT BAL-complain nsAS- speak-PT -pfG
The creators were sad and discussed on it.
(दोस्रो असफलताले गर्दा) सर्जकहरु प्रार्थना र गुनासो एकै पटक गर्न थाले।
075
ये-- हिमेन् मेदेसु लेप्मु मेदेसु
je.. him $\varepsilon$ n m $\varepsilon$ d $\varepsilon s u$ l lepmu m $\varepsilon d \varepsilon s u$
je himen me- d $\quad \mathrm{s}$-u lep -mu me- d $\quad \mathrm{m}$-u
VOC hurling nsAS-dispatch-3P cast -INF nsAS- dispatch -3P
Then they threw it away
तिनहरूले त्यो निर्मित मानवाकृतिलाई हुर्याइ दिए फाली दिए।

076
ये-- साङ्मेन् मेमेत्तु फयो मेमेत्तु
je,......sanmen memettu phojo memettu


## 079

मेन्छाम् मेजुगुसि याप्मि मेजुगुसि
je... ment ${ }^{\text {sham }} \quad \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{o}}$ ogusi japmi med $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}}$ ogusi
je mentsham me- dzog -u-si japmi me- dzog-u-si
VOC human nsAS-make -3P-nsP man nsAS-make-3P-nsP
They created the human being.
तिनीहरुले मान्छेको सृष्टि गरे।
080
मेन्छाम् मेबोक्सेन् याप्मि मेबक्सेन्
je... ment ${ }^{\text {sham }}$ meboksen japmi meboksen
je ment ${ }^{\text {sham }} \mathrm{m} \varepsilon$ - boks $-\varepsilon-\mathrm{n} \quad$ japmi m $\varepsilon$-boks- $\varepsilon-\mathrm{n}$
VOC human NEG-become-PT-NEG man NEG-become-PT-NEG
But the human being was not possible
तर (फेरि पनि) मान्छे बन्न संभव भएन।

081
आल्ल साँगु मेसिक्तु सक्मा मेसिक्तु
allo sa:ŋgu mesiktu sokma: mesiktu
allo sa:ygu me- sikt-u sokma: me- sikt-u
now vitality nsAS-put-3P life force nsAS-put-3P
Now they put the life into it
तिनीहरुले आत्मा र जीवन स्थापित गरी हेरे।

082
हे?ना साँगु मेलिगेन् सक्मा मेलिगेन्
he?na sa:ŋgu meligen sokma meligen
he?na sa:ygu me-lig - $\varepsilon$-n sokma me-l ig $-\varepsilon-n$
there vitality NEG-enter -PT-NEG life force NEG-enter-PT-NEG
There was no life in the image.
(तर) त्यहाँ आत्मा र जीवनै स्थापित भएन।

083
ये- मेन्छाम् केजोक्पा ग याप्मि केजोक्पा ग
je ment ${ }^{\text {sham }}$ kedzokpa go japmi ked ${ }^{\text {zokpa }}$ go
je mentsham ke-dzok-pa go japmi k ${ }^{\text {th }}$ - dzo $\mathrm{d}^{z}$ ok -pa go
VOC human AP-AP-make-AP TOP man AP- make -AP TOP
The creator of the human being
मान्छे बनाउने हुन त

084
चक्चक्के माङ्लो यङ्यङ्गे माङ्लो
je...tsok-tsoke man lo jonjonge may lo
je $t^{\text {s}} 0 \mathrm{k}$-tºke may lo jonjonge man lo
VOC Chakchake god EMPH Yongyongge god EMPH
The chak chakke and jong jonge deities
चक्चके र यङ्यङ्गे देवहरु नै हुन है।
085
थो सिङ्माङ् लुङ्माङ् लो य?इ लुङ्माङ् लो

| $\mathrm{t}^{\text {tho }}$ sinmanlunman | lo | joPi luymay | lo |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t^{\text {h }}$ o sinmayluy may | lo | jopi luy | may | lo |
| above the creator god | ASS | image creating | god | EMPH |

Up above the image making deities
सृष्टिकर्ता देवहरु नै हुन है।
086
ये--नावालुङ् माङ्लो चोसाप् लुङ् माङ् लो
je.. nawaluy may lo tsosaplung-may lo
je nawaluy may lo tºsaplung-may lo
VOC face-making god- ASS form making god ASS

The god, the creator
आकृति र स्वरुप बनाउने तिनै भगवानहरु नै हुन।
087
सिक्कुम् तेसेरो निए्वा तेसेरो
sikkum tese ro niŋwa tese ro
sikkum tes $-\varepsilon$ ro nipwa tes- $\varepsilon$ ro
thought think-PT ASS idea think-PT ASS
They thought
तिनीहरुले गहन रुपले सोचविचार गर्न थाले।

088
सिन्युक् मेदेसु मुदेन् मेदेसु
je...sinjuk medesu muden medesu
je sinjuk me-des -u muden me- d $\quad$ d $-u$
VOC Tibet nsAS-beg-3P Bhot nsAS- beg -3P
They took the matter to the north.
यही कुरालाई उनीहरुले तिब्बत भोटमा पनि लिएर गए।
089
खेङ् ग थक्ले रक् पोक्से साम्माङ् रक् पोक्से
$\mathrm{k}^{\text {hey }}$ gəth${ }^{\text {thkl }}$ rok poks samman rok poks $\varepsilon$
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon$ g g thokl rok poks $-\varepsilon$ sammay rok poks $-\varepsilon$ s/he/it TOP human body FOC become-PT deity FOC become -PT That became only deity having human body
त्यो त केवल देहधारी देवता मात्र बन्यो।

090
ये--तेमेन् मेदेसु हङ्घङ् मेदेसु
je... teme:n medzsu hэŋg ${ }^{\text {h}} \supseteq$ m m $\quad$ d $\varepsilon s u$
je teme:n me- des-u hoygh me- des ou
VOC southern part nsAS-dispatch-3P rivulet nsAS- dispatch -3P
They hurled it to the southern rivulet (त्यसैले) तिनीहरूले त्यसलाई दक्षिण तिरका खोला नाला तिर फ्याँकी दिए।

091
चभत् लरेल्ले थुड्वा लरेल्ले
$t^{\text {ts }}{ }^{\text {b }}{ }^{\text {hot lorelle }} t^{\text {hu} u y w a ~ l o r e l l e ~}$
ts $^{5} b^{\mathrm{h}}$ ot lor $-\varepsilon$-lle $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ uywa lor- $\varepsilon$-lle
water desire -PT-SUB drinking desire-PT-SUB
That sank down in the water.
त्यो फ्याँकिएको मानवाकृति पानीमा डुबेकाले
092
खेङ ग चभत्आङ् माङ्लो थुङ्वाआङ् माङ्लो


That became the water deity.
त्यो त जल देवता पो भयो।

093
ये- त?इ मेदेसु लाङ्गेन् मेदेसु
je... to?i medesu laygen medesu
je toPi me- d $\varepsilon s-u$ laygen me- d $\varepsilon s-u$
VOC depth nsAS-dispatch-3P deep down nsAS-dispatch-3P
They threw it to the deep down the earth.
तिनीहरुले त्यसैलाई गहिरो ठाउँमा फ्याँके

094
खेङ ग थक्ले रक् पोक्से साक्माङ् रक् पोक्से

| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \eta$ | g | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }} \mathrm{k}$ kl $\varepsilon$ | rok | poks $\varepsilon$ | sammay r |  | pokse |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \eta$ | go | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h}} \mathrm{okl}$ ¢ |  | rok | poks - | - | sammay rok | poks | - $\varepsilon$ |
| that | TOP | human | body | FOC | become - | -PT | deity FOC | become | -PT |

That was only the deity
त्यो त देहधारी देवता पो भयो

095
तहे मेदेसु नाम्धा मेदेसु
je tohe medesu nam-dha med $\varepsilon s u$
je tohe me-d $\varepsilon$ s -u nam-dha m m - d $\varepsilon s$-u
VOC west nsAS-dispatch-3P sun setting nsAS- dispatch -3P
They threw it to the west.

तिनीहरुले सूर्यअस्ताउने (दिशा) तर्फ फालि दिए।

096
खेङ् ग खेबोक् रक् पोक्से लिङ्माङ् रक् पोक्से

| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{y} \mathrm{g}$ g | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ ¢bok | rok | pokse | linman rok | k poks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \mathrm{m}^{\text {g }}$ go | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ cbok | rok | poks- $\varepsilon$ | linmay | rok | poks - $\varepsilon$ |
| that TOP | il spirit | FOC | become-PT | ghost | FOC | become-PT |
| That too, became evil spirit and ghost. त्यो पनि भूत र प्रेत मात्र बन्यो। |  |  |  |  |  |  |

097
ये-- सिगिले थाम्मा यङ्सङ्ले थाम्मा
je... sigile thamma?jonsonle thamma?
je sigi -le tham -ma? joysoy -le tham -ma?
VOC spirit -GEN knock down-INF ghost-GEN knock down-INF
They strongly threw on the ground
तिनीहरुले त्यसलाई बल बलजफ्ती भुईँमा पछारे
098
ये-- हिमेन् मेदेसु लेप्मु मेदेसु
je... himen med $\varepsilon s u$ lepmu m $\varepsilon d \varepsilon s u$
je himen me- d $\quad$ es -u lep-mu m $\varepsilon$ - d $\varepsilon s$-u
VOC hurling nsAS-dispatch-3P cast-INF nsAS- dispatch -3P
They swung it away.
यसलाई उनीहरुले टाढा हुत्याइ दिए।
099
ये-- सिगिले थाम्मा यङ्सङ्ले थाम्मा
je... sigile thamma? jonsoyle thamma?
je sigi-le tham -ma? joyson-le tham -ma?
VOC spirit -GEN knock down -INF ghost-GEN knock down-INF
They hurled into the stream.
तिनीहरुले खोला नाला तिर मिल्काइ दिए।

100
आल्ल मेन्छाम् मेबोक्सेन् याप्मि मेबोक्सेन्

now human NEG-become -PT-NEG man NEG- become -PT -NEG It did not become the human being.
(तर) त्यो केही गर्दा पनि मान्छे बनेन।

101
सिङ्माङ्लुडइन् य?इ लुङ्माङ्इन्
je.. siŋmaŋluymanin jo?i luymayin
je sinmayluy may -in jopiluy may -in
VOC creator god -ABS image creating god -ABS
The creator of the image
मानवाकृति निर्माण गर्ने भगवान

102
ये--नावालुङ्माङ् इन् चोसाप् लुङ्माङ् इङ्
je...nawaluymayin tsosapluy-mayin
je nawaluymay-in tsosapluy-may-in
VOC face making god-ABS form making god-ABS
God, the creator
भाग्य र स्वरुपको निर्माताहरू

103
तागारा हिम् थो निङ्वाफु हिम् थो
je... tagarahim $t^{\text {h }}$ oninwap $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathbf{u}$ him $\mathrm{t}^{\text {th }}$
je tagara him tho nijwap ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathbf{u}$ him $t^{\text {th }} \mathbf{o}$
VOC almighty home above god father home above
They went to the almighty god father's dwelling.
माथि परमेश्वरको लोकमा (वसास्थानमा)

104
खुनि वजिक् मेबेगे लाङ्थुङ् मेबेगे
$\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }} u \mathrm{ni}$ wodzik mebege laythuy mebeg $\varepsilon$
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ uni wodzik $^{2} \mathrm{~m} \varepsilon$ - beg- $\varepsilon$ lanthuy $\mathrm{m} \varepsilon$ - beg- $\varepsilon$
they wet land nsAS-go-PT foot trail nsAS-go-PT
They walked on a foot trail through the marshy land.
तिनीहरु चिसेनी ठाउँको गोरेटो हुदै हिडदै गए।

105
ये--असा मेबेगे थोलाम् मेबेगे
je.... эsa: mebege tho-lam mebeg $\varepsilon$
je osa: m $\varepsilon$-beg- $\varepsilon \quad t^{\text {h }}$ o-lam $m \varepsilon$-beg $-\varepsilon$
VOC quickly nsAS-go-PT up road nsAS-go-PT
They walked up leading road.
तिनीहरु झट्टै माथितिर जाने बाटोमा लागे

106
ये-- सेवा मेयेबे सेन्दो मेयेबे
je....... sewa mejeb $\varepsilon$ se:ndo m $\varepsilon j \varepsilon b \varepsilon$
je sewa m $\quad$ - jeb $-\varepsilon$ se:ndo $m \varepsilon-$ j $\varepsilon b-\varepsilon$
VOC solicitously nsAS-stand -PT ask nsAS-stand-PT
They requested for the help
तिनीहरुले (अरूसँग) सहयोगको लागि अनुरोध गरे
107
खुनि चुप्साङ् लाम् तेम्लो हुक्सो हुक् फाक्नु
$k^{\text {huni }} \mathrm{t}^{\text {supsay }}$ lay temb hukso huk phaknu

they right (side) leg catch -prG hand -BAL hand -fold-COM
They touched the god's leg and folded their hands humbly.
तिनीहरुले परमेश्वरको पाउ छोइ हात जोडेर

108
ये--- चुप्साङ् सेवा मेयेबे आङ् सेन्दो मेजोगे खासेन् मेजोगे
je.. tsupsay sewa mejebeay se:ndo medzog $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{as} \varepsilon \mathrm{n}$ m $\mathrm{md}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{og} \varepsilon$
 VOC right (side) solicitously nsAS-stand-PT-pfG ask nsAS-make-PT justice nsAS-make-PT They humbly begged for admonition, instruction and knowledge.
(तिनीहरुले) भक्तिपूर्वक अर्ति, उपदेश र ज्ञान मागे।
109
ये--तागारामारे निङ्वाफुमाल्ले
je tagaramare nijwap ${ }^{\text {h }} u m$ clle
je tagarama -re niŋwap ${ }^{\text {h }} u m \varepsilon$-lle
VOC almighty -ERG god father -ERG
The almighty god father
जगत्पिता परमेश्वरले

110
आल्ल ते?ले मेन्छासे आबु मेन्छासे


111
खेनि मेन्छाम् केजोगि याप्मि केजोगि

You create the human being?
के तिमीहरू मान्छे बनाउँछौ त ?
112
ये-- सिङ्माङ् ग होप् लो यइक् क होप् लो
je.. sigmay go hoplojori ko hop lo
je sigmay go hop lo jo?i ko hop lo
VOC figure TOP not to be EMPH image TOP not to be EMPH
This does not bear the figure
यसको रुपाकृति नै छैन है
113
सिकुम् नाक्सेनि निङ्वा नाक्सेनि
sikkum na:kseni niywa na:kseni
sikkum na:k -se -ni nijwa na:k -se -ni
thought beg -PUR-EMPH idea beg -PUR -EMPH
In order to ask for the remedy,
उपाय बारे सोध्नको लागि
114
ये-मेन्छाम् केजोक्पाहा याप्मि केजोक्पाहा
je... ment ${ }^{\text {th }} a m$ k $\varepsilon d^{\text {z }}$ okpaha? japmi kedzokpaha?
je ment ${ }^{\text {sham }} \mathrm{k} \varepsilon-\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}}$ ok -pa-ha? japmi $\mathrm{k} \varepsilon$-d ${ }^{\mathrm{z} o k}$-pa-ha?
VOC human AP- make -AP-p man AP-make-AP-p
You are human being creators.
तिमी मानिस बनाउँनेहरु

115
हे--- चक्चक्के माङ्हा यङ्यङ्गे माङ्हारे
he.... tsok-tsoke manha? jonjonge manha?re
he tsok-tsoke may-ha? jonjonge man-ha?-re
VOC Chak Chakke god -p Yongyongge god-p-ERG
The deities called Chak-chakke and Yangyangge.
चक्चके र यङ्यङ्गे देवहरुले

116
हे--- तागारा माङ् लो निङ्वाफु माङ् लो
he... tagara may lo niywap ${ }^{h} \mathbf{u}$ may lo
he tagara man lo nipwap ${ }^{h} u$ man lo
VOC almighty god EMPH god father god EMPH
The almighty god, Tagara Ningwaphu
सर्वशक्तिमान परमेश्वरसंग

117
सेन्दि मेलोसुआङ् तुम्मा मेलोसुआङ्
sendi melosuay tum-mamelosuan
sendi me- los -u -an tum-ma me- los -u -an
separation nsAS-perform-3P-pfG meet-INF nsAS-perform-3P-pfG
Wished to meet
भेटने इच्छा गरे

118
सिक्कुम् मेनाक्ते निङ्वा मेनाक्ते
he... sikkum menakte nipwa menakte
he sikkum me- nakt - $\varepsilon$ nijwa me-nakt $-\varepsilon$ VOC thought nsAS-ask for -PT idea nsAS-ask for -PT
They asked for knowledge and wishdom.
तिनले ज्ञान र विवेक मागे।

119
ये-ते?ले मेन्छासे आबु मेन्छासे
je... t teple ment ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ ase $a b u \quad m \varepsilon n t^{\text {tsh }}$ ase
je tعPle mentsha -se abu mentsha-se
VOC loving grand children-VOC akin baby like grand children-VOC
Hey! My grandsons!
हे मेरा नातिनातिनाहरु हो!

120
खेनि मेन्छाम् केजोगि नाम् याप्मि केजोगि


you human then 2-make -pPS sun -man 2-make-pPS
You create the human being.
तिमीहरु मानवको सृष्टि गर्धौ!
121
ये--सिङ्माङ् मेबोक्सेन् याप्मि मेबोक्सेन्
je..... siŋmay meboksen japmi meboksen

| je sinmay | $m \varepsilon-$ | boks | $-\varepsilon$ | $-n$ | japmi | $m \varepsilon-$ | boks | $-\varepsilon$ | $-n$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VOC figure | NEG- | become | -PT | -NEG | man | NEG- | become | -PT | -NEG |

The image of the human being is not right, not correct.
मानवको रुपाकृति नै भएन।

```
122
आल्ल नावा मेदेनेन् चोसाप् मेदेनेन्
allo nawa medenen t`osap medenen
allo nawam\varepsilon- de-n\varepsilonn tsosap m\varepsilon- de -n\varepsilonn
now face NEG- come-NEG form NEG- come -NEG
The process of creation is not correct.
यसको रुपै भएन रंगै भएन।
```

123
खेनि थो सियाक्खामे थो मेयाक्खामे थो

| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


you ${ }^{p}$ above damp -NOM above wet -NOM above
You go to the damp and wet place up there.
तिमीहरु माथि ओसिलो चिसेनी ठाउँमा
124
हे--सिमिक्ला युङ्लो पक्मिक्ला युङ्लो

| he $\ldots$ | simikla | juy | lo |  | pokmikla | juy | lo |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| he | simikla | juy |  | lo | pokmikla | juy | lo |

There are the two small species of bamboo called Malingo and Nigalo.
(त्यहाँ) मालिङ्गो र निगालाको घारी छ।

125
हे---सिमिक्ला युङ्मिओ पक्मिक्ला युङ्मिओ
hey...... simikla juymi?o pokmikla juymi?o
hey simikla juy -mi-?o pokmikla juy -mi -?o
VOC cane be situated-INF-LOC reed be situated-INF-LOC
The place where the canes are found
मालिङ्गो र निगालो भएको ठाउँमा

## 126

ये खेनि सावा लेक्साम्मे ए:प्फा लेक्साम्मे
je.. $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon n \mathrm{n}$ sawa leksamm $\varepsilon$ ? e:kp ${ }^{\mathrm{h} a}$ leksamm $\varepsilon$ ?
je $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h}} \varepsilon n i$ sawa l leks $-\mathrm{amm}-\varepsilon$ ? e:kp ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ l l kss-amm- $\varepsilon$ ?
VOC you ${ }^{p}$ all fell-2P -IMP bush fell-2P-IMP
You go there and clear the jungle.
तिमीहरु (त्यहाँ) जाओ र झाडी फॉॅड!
127
ये-- सावा पेकिल्ले येत् येन् पेकिल्ले
je... sawa pekille jet jen pekille
je sawa pek -ille jet jen pek-ille
VOC all go -SUB eight day go-SUB
After eighth day
आठ दिन पछि

128
ये पेगि पेकिल्ले फाँङ्येन् पेकिल्ले

| je.. | pegi pekille | $p^{\text {ha }}$ | jen | pekille |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| je | pegi | pek -ille | p $^{\text {han }}$ | j $\varepsilon n$ | pek | -ille |
| VOC ninth | go-SUB | nine | day | go | -SUB |  |

In the same way, after ninth day.
(अथवा) त्यस्तै नै नौ दिन पछि
129
खेनि मुक्कुम् लेरान्मे सेमि लेराम्मे
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \mathrm{ni}$ mukkumleramm $\varepsilon$ ? s ? mi leramm $\varepsilon$ ?
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon n \mathrm{n}$ mukkum $1 \varepsilon \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{amm} \quad-\varepsilon$ ? $\mathrm{s} \varepsilon \mathrm{mi}$ l $\varepsilon \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{amm}-\varepsilon$ ?
you $^{p}$ fire set -2P -IMP sparkle set-2P $\quad$-IMP
You set the fire.
तिमीहरु डढेलो लगाओ!

130
पेलि फाक्तुसिआङ् योबान् फाक्तुसिआङ्
peliphaktusiay jobanphaktusiay
peli $\quad p^{\text {hakt }}-\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{si}-\mathrm{ay}$ jo- ban $\mathrm{p}^{\text {hakt }}-\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{si}-\mathrm{ay}$
speech offer -3P-nsP-pfG BAL-word offer-3P-nsP-pfG
He gave the words.
यसरी उनले बोली बचन दिए।

131
ये -- तागेरा इङ्घङ् निङ्वाफु इङ्घङ् इसिक्

je tagara ing ${ }^{\text {h }}$ y $\quad$ nipwap ${ }^{\text {h } u ~ i \eta g ~}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \neq \eta \quad$ isi:k
VOC almighty admonition god father admonition according to
As the direction of the almighty father,
यसरी परमेश्वरको सल्लाहा मुताविक
132
ये--- असा मेधासिङ्आङ् थोलाम् मेधासिङ्आङ्
je.. osa: medhasinay tholam med ${ }^{\text {hasinan }}$
je osa: me- dha -siy-ay tho -lam m $\quad \mathrm{d}^{\text {h }} \mathrm{d}^{\text {ha }} \quad-\sin \quad-a y$
VOC quickly nsAS-depart-REF-pfG above-MED nsAS-depart-REF-pfG
They came down to their usual place.
तिनीहरु झट्टै माथिबाट झरे

133
ये-- कोइ येप्मेओ यो फाङ्जङ् येप्मेओ यो


VOC hill stand -INF-LOC above on top of mountain stand-INF -LOC above
They went to the up hills
तिनीहरु डाँडा (काँडा) पर्वतको माथि तिर
134
ये सियाक्खामा रो मेयाक्खामा रो
je... sijak ${ }^{\text {hama }}$ ro mejak ${ }^{\text {hama ro }}$

| je | sijak |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hama | ro | m $\varepsilon j^{\text {hak }}$ hama | ro |
| VOC dampened land ASS | marshy land | ASS |  |
| They went to the wet lands |  |  |  |
| ओसिलो चिसेनी ठाउँमा |  |  |  |

135

ये--पेलि मेबान्छिन् योबान् मेबान्छिन्


They discussed to each other.
तिनीहरुले एक आपसमा छलफल गरे।

136
ये-- सिमिक्ला फात्लाङ् पक्मिक्ला फात्लाङ्
je.. simikla $p^{\text {ha:tlen }}$ pokmikla $p^{\text {ha:tlen }}$
je simikla pha:tley pokmikla $p^{\text {ha:tle }}$

VOC cane cleaned land by setting fire reed cleaned land by setting fire
The jungle of the bamboos/canes,
त्यो निगालो र मालिङ्गोको झाडी

137
ये-- सावा मेलेक्सु एक्फा मेलेक्सु
je... sawa meleksu e:kpha meleksu
je sawa me-leks-u e:kpha me-lcks-u
VOC jungle nsAS-fell-3P bush nsAS-fell-3P
They cleared the jungle
फुस्रेका रुख सहित सारा जंगल ढालफाँड गरे।
138
सावा पेगेल्ले ए?नाम् पेगेल्ले
sawa pegellejet nam pegelle
sawa peg- $\varepsilon-1 l e$ j jt nam peg- $\varepsilon$-lle
All/complete go -P-SUB eight day go-PT-SUB
After the eighth day
पूरा आठ दिन बिते पछि,
139
मुक्कुम् मेलेरु सेमिक् मेलेरु
mukkum meleru semik meleru
mukkum me- ler-u semik me- ler -u
fire nsAS- set -3P sparkle nsAS- set -3P
They set the fire.
तिनीहरुले डढेलो लगाए।

140
ये--लाधाप्पुमारे यङ्यङ् रक् ने रो
je... lad ${ }^{\text {happumare jonjon rok ne ro }}$
je ladhappuma-re joŋjэy rok n $\varepsilon$ ro
VOC ashes -GEN light heap FOC lie ASS
There were only ashes

## त्यहाँ केवल खरानीको मात्र थुप्रो भयो।

141
ये--नाम्धाप्पुमा रे यङ्यङ् रक् नेस्से
je... namd ${ }^{\text {happumare }}$ jэŋjэy rok nesє
je namd ${ }^{\text {happuma-re jonjon rok nes- }}$
VOC ashes -GEN light heap FOC be-PT
There was only the heap of the ashes.
खरानी सिवाय केही बाँकी रहेन।

## 142

आल्ल ताँदिक् सेन्दि मेलोसुआङ् सुम्मा मेलोसुआङ्
allo ta:ndik sendi melosuay summa melosuan
allo ta:ndik sendi me-los-u -ay summa me-los-u-an
now later on separation nsAS-perform-3P-pfG collection nsAS-perform-3P-pfG
And they collected the ashes in one place
र तिनीहरुले खरानीलाई एक ठाँउमा बटुले।

143
ये--लाइ?काङ् साधिक फेनइकुम् साधिक्

| je.. | lairkan sa? | $\mathrm{d}^{\text {hik }}$ | $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ::ni?kum | sa? d ${ }^{\text {hik }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| je | laipkan sa? | $\mathrm{d}^{\text {hik }}$ | $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ e:ni?kum | sa? |
| VOC | male offspring | one | loin | ffs |

One the figure of the male
एक पुरुष
144
ये तुङ्गुलिमा साधिक् सिम्बोना साधिक्
je... tuyuli sa? dhik simbona sa? $d^{\text {hik }}$
je tuyulis a? dhik simbona sa? d ${ }^{\text {hik }}$
VOC female offspring single sari wearer offspring single
One the figure of the female
र एक स्त्री
145
ये मेन्छाम् मेजोगुसि याप्मि मेजोगुसि
je.. ments ${ }^{\text {sham }} \quad \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}}$ ogusi japmi med ${ }^{\text {zogusi }}$

VOC human nsAS- make -3P -nsP man nsAS- make -3P -nsP
They created the human beings.
तिनीहरुले एक पुरुष र एक स्त्रीको सृष्टि गरे।

$$
146
$$

आल्ल लाधाप्पु गर यङ्यङ् नेमाहा
allo ladhappugors jonjon nєmaPha?
allo ladhappu goro jonjon ne-ma?-ha?
now ashes if light heap lie-INF-p
They put the ashes carefully.
अब यदि खरानीको थुप्रोछ भने सुरक्षित राखौं।

ये--- नामधाप्पुमारो यङयङ नेमाहा
je..... namd ${ }^{\text {happuma ro jonjon nema?ha? }}$
je namd ${ }^{\text {happuma ro joŋjэn } n \varepsilon-m a ? ~-h a ? ~}$
VOC ashes ASS light heap lie-INF-p
They put it firmly.
तिनीहरुले खरानीलाई सुरक्षित राखे।

148
ये---सेन्दि मेलोसुआङ् सुम्मा मेलोसुआङ्
je..... sendi melosuay summa? melosuay
je sendi me-los-u-an sum-ma? me- los -u $-a y$
VOC separation nsAS-perform-3P-pfG collect-INF nsAS- perform -3P -pfG
They collected.
तिनीहरुले बटुलबाटल गरे।
149
ये-- याङ्सा मेत्मा ग कुन्धे मेत्मा ग
je.. jaysa metma? go kund ${ }^{\text {h}} \varepsilon$ metma? go
je jaysa met -ma? go kund ${ }^{\text {he }} \varepsilon$ met-ma? go
VOC wha say to -INF then how say to-INF then
Now what is to be called!
अव यसलाई के भन्ने कसो भन्ने?
150
ये--सेनिङ्आङ् हिनु कुवाआङ् हिनु
je... senipan hinu kuwaPaphinu
je senin -ay hi -nu ku- wa? -ay hi-nu
VOC chicken -too shit-COM its- chicken -too shit-COM
Now, with the shit of the chicken,
अब कुखुराको सुली लगायत

151
हे----काराङ्वा हिनु साम्दाङ्वा हिनु
he.. karaywa? hinu samdaywa? hinu
he karaywa? hi -nu samdaywa? hi-nu
VOC crane shit-COM impeyan pheasant (Danphe)shit-COM
The shit of lophophoros and the crane
डाँफे र कराङ्कुरुङ्(को) सुलीसंग
152
आल्ल तिक्वारे हिनु खेक्वारे हिनु

| allo tikware | hinu $k^{\text {he }}$ :kware |  |  |  | hiha? |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| allo | tikwa-re | hi | -nu $\mathrm{k}^{\text {he }}:$ kwa | -re |  | hi-ha? |

now partridge(kalij)-GEN shit-COM water cock(sim kukhura)-GEN shit-p
And now the shits of partridge and water cock...
र अब कालिज $₹$ सिम कुखुराको सुली
153
ये-- सिङ्दुम् पक्वा नु लुङ्दुम् पक्वा हा?
je... siydum pokwanu luydum pakwaha?
je sindum pokwa -nu luydum pakwa-ha?

VOC tree trunk bowl like hollow-COM stone bowl-p
The water from the bowls of stone and the tree
रुख र ढुँङगाको टोडकाको पानी
154
ये--- हुक्सो मेदारुआङ् ताँङे मेदारुआङ्
je.. hukso medaruan tay $\varepsilon$ medaruan
je huk-so me- dar -u-an tane me- dar -u -an
VOC hand-BAL nsAS-fetch-3P -pfG near by nsAS- fetch -3P -pfG
was brought
ल्याए (र)

155
ये--हुक्सो मेसरुआङ् ताडे मेसरुआङ्
je... hukso meso?rutaye meso?ruay
je huk -so me- so?r-u tay $\varepsilon$ me-so?r -u-an
VOC hand -BALnsAS- mix-3P nearby nsAS-mix-3P-pfG
They mixed up the water and the ashes
ती चीजहरुलाई हातले मुछे।
156
ये-- मेन्छाम् ्मेजोगुसि याप्मि मेजोगुसि
je... m\&ntsham ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ m $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}}$ ogusi japmi m $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}}$ ogusi
je m $\quad$ nt ${ }^{\text {th }} a m \quad m \varepsilon-d^{z} o g-u-s i \quad$ japmi $m \varepsilon-d^{z} o g-u-s i$
VOC human nsAS-make-3P-nsP man nsAS-make-3P-nsP
From that clay they created the human being.
त्यहि मुछिएको हिलोबाट मानवको सृष्टि गरियो।

157
ये मिनु किरिक् लो फिम्ब्रिक्वा किरिक् लो
je... minu kirik lo $p^{\text {himbrikwa kirik lo }}$
je minu kirik lo $p^{\text {himbrikwa kirik lo }}$
VOC APP seed EMPH soap-nut seed EMPH
The seed of the soap-nut (rittha)
रिट्ठाका गेडा(दाना)
158
ये--सुहेन् ्मेगेतु कुमिक् मेगेतु
je... suhen megettu ku- mik megettu
je suhen me- gett -u ku-mik me-gett -u
VOC optical aids nsAS- insert-3P his/her-eye nsAS-insert-3P
They fixed the eyes with that of soap nut seed.
तिनीहरुले रिटाको गेडाका आँखा राखिदिए।

159
आल्ल सिक्कुम् मेदेसु निङ्वा मेदेसु
all sikkum medes $\varepsilon$ nijwa m $\varepsilon$ des $\varepsilon$
allo sikkum m $\varepsilon$ - des $-\varepsilon$ nijwa $m \varepsilon-$ des $-\varepsilon$
now thought nsAS- think -PT idea nsAS- think -PT

Now, they started to think and satisfied
तिनीहरु अब खुव विचार गर्नथाले।

160
ये--- तागेरा बिजो निङ्वाफु बिजो
je... tagarabid $^{\mathrm{z}} \boldsymbol{0}$ nigwap ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u} \quad$ bid $^{\mathrm{z}} \boldsymbol{0}$

VOC almighty head dress god father head dress
From the god's head dress
परमेरश्वरको कल्कीबाट

161
खुनि मिधुङ् मेभत्छु मिक्खुङ् मेभत्छु

$k^{\text {h }} u n i \quad \operatorname{mid}^{\text {h }} u \eta \quad m \varepsilon-b^{\text {h }}$ tt $^{\text {sh }}-u \quad m i k k^{h} u \eta \quad m \varepsilon-b^{h}{ }^{\text {htt }}{ }^{\text {sh }}-u$
they brightness nsAS-install-3P eye brow nsAS-install-3P
They put the eye-brow
उनीहरुले चमकदार आँखीभौं राखिदिए।

162
आल्ल साक्योक् चेक्सिङ्मेन् लिन्योक चेक्सिङ्मेन्
allo samjo $t^{\mathrm{s}} \varepsilon k s i \eta m e n \quad$ linjok $t^{\mathrm{s}} \varepsilon k s i \eta m e n$
allo samjo $\quad t^{s} \varepsilon k \quad-\sin \quad-m \varepsilon \quad-n \quad$ linjok $t^{s} \varepsilon k \quad-\sin \quad-m \varepsilon \quad-n$
now path of piety interact-REF-NOM-ABS origin interact -REF -NOM -ABS
Now, they desired to talk about origin and the religious piety.
अब सृष्टि गर्ने देवहरु(ले) धर्म र उत्पत्तिको बारेमा छलफल गर्न चाहे।
163

ये---पेलिआङ् सिङ्लेन् पान्जाआङ् सिङ्लेन्
je... peli ay siylen pand ${ }^{z a}$ ay siylen
je peli ay $\sin \quad-l \varepsilon-n \quad$ pandza ay $\sin -l \varepsilon \quad-n$
VOC type of tree too wood-GEN-ABS type of plant too wood-GEN-ABS
From the wood of the peli and panza
पेली र पान्जा काठको

164
ये--वया मेआक्तु लेसुप् मेआक्तु
je... woja meakttu lesup meakttu
je woja me- aktt-u lesup me-aktt-u
VOC saliva nsAS-put -3P tongue nsAS-put-3P
They put the saliva and tongue.
उनीहरुले राल र जिब्रो लगाइदिए।

165
आल्ल सिङ्माङ् ग ताइ यइक् क ताइ
allo siymay go tai jopi tai
allo sinmay go ta-i jo?i ta-i
now figure TOP come-Q image come-Q
Now, whether the figure would be complete or not,
कतै रूप र आकृति त आइहल्छ कि
166
ये--- सिङ्माङ् मेबोक्सेन् य?इ मेबोक्सेन्
je.. siŋmay meboksen jo?i meboksen


173
ये साक्यो चेक्सिङ्मा लिङ्योक् चेक्सिङ्मा

je samjo ts $\varepsilon k-\sin \quad-m a ?$ linjok $t^{s} \varepsilon k \quad-s i \eta \quad-m a ?$
VOC path of piety interact-REF -INF origin interact -REF -INF
Thinking that the figure could speak sweetly
उत्पति र देव भक्तिको कुराकानी हुन्छ कि भनेर
174
ये मिनु बुङ्माहा तिङनाम् बुङ्माहा
je...minu buymaha? tinnam buymaha?
je ... minu bunma-ha? tinnam bunma-ha?
VOC APP plant-p cane plant-p
Fine canes' sticks
बेत र यस्तै अन्य विरुवाहरु(बाट)

175
ये---पिम्बा मेगेत्तु थाक्पा मेगेतु
je pimba megetu thakpa megetu
je pimba m $\varepsilon$ - get-u thakpa m $\varepsilon$-get-u
VOC rib nsAS-put-3P bone nsAS-put-3P
The ribs and other bones were fixed into the chest.
छातीका करङ र अन्य हाडहरु पनि जडियो।
176
खुनि थुम्बो मेगेत्तु हुक्फो मेगेत्तु
$k^{\text {h }} u n i t^{\text {h }} u m b o$ megettu hukp ${ }^{\text {ho }}$ megettu
$k^{\text {h }}$ uni $t^{\text {h }} u m b o m \varepsilon$ - gett $-u$ hukp ${ }^{\text {ho }} \mathrm{m} \varepsilon$ - gett -u
they knee nsAS- insert -3P elbow nsAS- insert -3P
They put the knees and elbows.
उनीहरुले घुँडा र कुइना लगाइदिए।

177
आल्ल सिङ्माङ् ग ता?इ य?इ ग ता?इ

| allo | sigman | go | tai | jo?i | tai |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| allo | sigman | go | ta-i | jo?i | ta-i |
| now | figure | TOP | come-Q | image | come-Q |

Is the figure complete?
अब त कतै रुप र आकृति ठीक भयो कि?
178
आल्ल नावा ग पोक्से चोसाप् ग पोक्से
allo nawa go pokse tsosap go pokse
allo nawa go poks - $\varepsilon$ ťosap go poks $-\varepsilon$
now face-TOP become-PT form TOP become -PT
Now most of creation was complete.
अब सृजनाका धेरै कामहरु पूरा भएका छन्।
179
ये सिङ्माङ् मेदेनेन् य?इ मेदेनेन्
jesigmay medenen joPi medenen
je $\operatorname{sigma\eta } m \varepsilon-d \varepsilon$-nen jo?i me-d $\varepsilon$-n $\varepsilon$ n

VOC figure nsAS-come-NEG image nsAS-come-NEG
Yet the image wasnot fully completed.
तथापि रुपाकृति पूरा भएन।
180
ये--नावा मेबोक्सेन् चोसाप् मेबोक्सेन्
je... nawa meboksen tsosap meboksen
je nawa me-boks- $\varepsilon$-n tsosap me-boks- $\varepsilon-n$
VOC face NEG-become-PT-NEG form NEG-become-PT-NEG
The appearance was not good and suitable.
आकृति अझै पनि राम्रो र सुहाउँदो थिएन।
181
आल्ल नावा मेयाक्नेन् खेम्सिङ् मेयाक्नेन्


182
ये याभो?लुङ्मेओ थो से?लुङमेओ थो


VOC white stone -NOM-LOC above hard white stone-NOM-LOC above
With the water containing hard rock
सेता कडा चट्टानमा भएको पानी र दर्सनढुङ्गो ल्याएर।

183
ये--- हाजुम् मेगेत्तु हाबे मेगेत्तु
je... hadzum megettu habe megettu
je hadzum me-gett-u hab me-gett-u
VOC teeth nsAS-insert-3P jaw nsAS-insert-3P
They put the teeth and the jaw.
उनीहरुले दाँत र बंगारा राखिदिए।

184
आल्ल नावा ग यात्तुइ खेम्सि, ग यात्तुइ
allo go nawa go jattui $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m \sin \mathrm{~g} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { jattui }}$
allo go nawa go jatt-u-i $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \mathrm{m}-\sin$ go jatt-u -i
now TOP face TOP be good-3P-Q matching-REF TOP be good-3P-Q
Now would it be suitable or not?
अब चाहिं सुहाउँछ कि कतै?
185
ये--ले?वा थक्तुम् लो चम्योक् थक्तुम् लो
je...lع?wa thoktum lo tsomjok thoktum -lo
je le?wa thokt lo tsomjok thokt-u-m lo
VOC undisturbed describe-3P-pADH EMPH unhindered describe-3P-pADH EMPH
Now let's describe in detail.
अब सविस्तार वर्णन गरौं।

| allo minu | po?naha? | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {simb }}{ }^{\text {hin }}$ po?naha? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| allb minu | po?na-ha? | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {simb }}{ }^{\mathrm{h} \text { in po?na-ha? }}$ |
| now APP | herbal plant-p | herbal plant medicinal plant-p |
| With various herbal plants |  |  |
| धेरै किसिमका जडीबुटीहरु बाट |  |  |

    187
    ये लुङ्मा मेगेत्तु सोमा मेगेत्तु
je... luyma megetu soma megetu
je luyma me-get-u soma me-get-u
VOC heart nsAS-put-3P liver nsAS-put-3P
They put the heart and the liver.
तिनीहरुले मुटु र कलेजो राखिदिए।
188
ये नावालुङ्माङ् रो चोसाप् लुङ्माङ् रो
je... nawaluy may ro tsosapluymay ro
je nawaluy may ro tsosapluy may ro
VOC face-making-god ASS image-making-god ASS
The god, creator
ती सृष्टिकर्ता भगवान(ले)
189
ये--नावा यान्दुम् लो खेम्सिङ् यान्दुम् लो
je... nawa jandum lo $k^{\text {h }} \varepsilon m \sin j a n d u m$ lo $b^{h} a \eta$
je nawa jand -u-m lo $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h } \varepsilon m-s i n ~ j a n d-u-m ~ l o ~} \mathrm{~b}^{\text {han }}$
VOC face be able-3P-pADH EMPH matching-REF be able-3P-pADH EMPH SUB
Let us be successful to create suitable face and figure.
अब रुपाकृति सुहाउँदिलो बनाउँन सकौं।
190
हे----नावा मेवारेन् खेम्सिङ् मेवारेन्
hey...nawa mejaren $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m \sin$ mejaren
hey nawa me- jar- $\varepsilon$-n $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m-\mathrm{sin} \quad m \varepsilon-\mathrm{jar}-\varepsilon-\mathrm{n}$
VOC face NEG-be worthy-PT-NEG matching-REF NEG-be worthy-PT-NEG
However, the image was not matching nicely.
तर सुहाउँदिलो चाहिं भएन।
191
थक्लुङ् मेबोक्सेन् काबे मेबोक्सेन्
je...... je.... thokluy meboksen kabe meboksen
je je thokluy me-boks - $\varepsilon$-n kabe me-boks $-\varepsilon-n$
VOC VOCbody structure NEG-become-PT -NEG fitness NEG-become-PT -NEG
Its body figure wasnot perfect.
शारीरिक बनावट नै ठीक भएन।
192
आल्ल मिनुपत्ना रो चिम्भिन् पत्ना रो
allo minu potna ro $t^{s i m b}{ }^{\text {h }}$ in potna ro
allo minu potna ro tsimb ${ }^{\text {hin }}$ potna ro
now APP herb containing ASS herbal plant ASS Again with many kinds of herbs अब फेरि धेरैखाले जडीबुटीहरुबाट

193
ये---- मेन्छाम् नि पोङ् इ नाम् याप्मि पोङ् इ
je... mentshamni? poni nam japmi poyi
je mentsham ni? pon -I nam japmi pon -i
VOC human- CTR become-Q sun-man become-Q
Whether could it be human being or not?
मन मान्छे पो हुन्छ हुदैन नी?
194
ये---मेन्छाम् ग पोक्से नाम् याप्मि ग पोक्से

| je |  | $m e n t{ }^{\text {sham }}$ |  | go | pokse | japmi | go |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $m e n t{ }^{\text {sh }}$ am | go | poks | - $\varepsilon$ | japmi | go | poks | - $\varepsilon$ |
| VOC | human | TOP | becom | e-PT | m | TOP | beco | -PT |

That became human being.
तर जे होस मान्छे त भयो है।

195
ये----मेन्छाम् केजोक्पाहा याप्मि केजोक्पाहा
jement ${ }^{\text {sh }} a m \quad k \varepsilon d^{z} o k p a h a ? ~ j a p m i ~ k \varepsilon d d^{z} o k p a h a ? ~$
je ment ${ }^{\text {sham }} \mathrm{k} \varepsilon$-d ${ }^{\text {zok }}$-pa-ha? japmi k -d ${ }^{\text {zok } o k a-h a ? ~}$
VOC human AP-make-AP-p human being AP-make-AP-p
The human being creators!
मान्छे बनाउनेहरु!
196
आल्ल खुनि सिक्कुम् मेदेसे निङ्वा मेदेसे
allo $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ uni sikkum medes $\varepsilon$ nijwa $m \varepsilon \operatorname{des} \varepsilon$
allo $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h} u n i}$ sikkum me-des- $\varepsilon$ nijwa m $\varepsilon$-des- $\varepsilon$
now they thought nsAS-think-PT idea nsAS-think-PT
They thought over the matter
तिनीहरुले खुब सोचविचार गरे।
197
ये--- सुहेन् लुङधधुङ्ना मिक्सो लुङ्धुङ्ना
je....suhen luyd ${ }^{\text {h }} u$ nna mikso luyd ${ }^{\text {h } u n n a ~}$
je suhen luyd ${ }^{\text {h }}$ unna mik-so luyd ${ }^{\text {h }}$ unna
VOC observation state view-BAL state
They observed the figure very closely.
अब तिनीहरु निरीक्षण गर्ने अबस्थामा पुगे।
198
ये-- मेन्छाम् चोक्से भाङ् याप्मि चोक्से भाङ्
je... ment ${ }^{\text {sham }}$ thokse $^{\text {shan }}$ japmi tsokse $b^{\text {ha }}$ a
je mentsham tsok-se $b^{\text {hhay }}$ japmi tsok -se $b^{\text {ha }}$ a
VOC human make-PUR SUB human being make-PUR SUB
To create the human being
मान्छे बनाउनको लागि

199
ये--असा मेबेगे थोलाम् मेबेगे
je.. osa: mebege tho lam mebege
je $\quad$ ssa: $m \varepsilon$-beg - $\varepsilon \quad t^{\text {ho }}$ lam $m \varepsilon$-beg- $\varepsilon$
VOC quickly nsAS- go-PT above MED nsAS-go-PT
They went up there.
तिनीहरु माथि तिर लागे।
200
हे--- मेन्छाम् मेजोगे याप्मि मेजोगे।
he.... ment ${ }^{\text {sham }} \quad \mathrm{m} \varepsilon \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{O} \varepsilon$ japmi $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{O} \varepsilon \varepsilon$
he $\quad \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{t}^{\text {th }}$ am $\mathrm{m} \varepsilon-\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{og}-\varepsilon \quad$ japmi $\mathrm{m} \varepsilon-\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{og}-\varepsilon$
VOC human nsAS-make-PT man nsAS-make-PT
They created the human being.
तिनीहरुले मान्छे बनाए।

201
आल्ल सिङ्माङ् ग पोक्से य?इक् क पोक्से
allo sigmay go poks $\varepsilon$ jopi ko poks $\varepsilon$
allo sigmay go poks - $\varepsilon$ jopi ko poks $-\varepsilon$ now figure then become-PT image TOP become -PT Now its figure was correct
अब रूप त बनियो; आकृति त बनियो।

202
ये--- मेन्छाम् थाङ्मे थो याप्मि थाङ्मे थो
je... mentsham thaym $\varepsilon \quad t^{\text {th }} \mathbf{O}$ japmi thanm $\varepsilon t^{\text {th }} \mathbf{o}$
je ment ${ }^{\text {th }} a m t^{\text {hay }}-\mathrm{m} \varepsilon \quad \mathrm{t}^{\text {h }} \mathbf{O}$ japmi $\mathrm{t}^{\text {thay }}-\mathrm{m} \varepsilon \quad \mathrm{t}^{\text {h }} \mathbf{O}$
VOC human weigh-INF above man weigh-INF above
But to weigh the man on that place
तर मानिस तौलने (मानिसको मूल्याङ्कन गर्ने) त्यो माथिको लोकमा
203
ये तागारा हिम् थो निङ्वाफु हिम् थो
je...tagara him $t^{\text {h }}$ oninwap ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathbf{u}$ him $t^{\text {th }}$
je tagara him tho nijwap ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathbf{u}$ him $t^{\text {th }} \mathbf{o}$

VOC almighty home above god father home above
In the dwelling place of the god,
माथि परमेश्वरको लोकमा (बासास्थानमा)
204
मेन्छाम् ्मेबाङ्सुसि याप्मि मेबाड्सुसि
ment ${ }^{\text {sham }}$ mebaysusi japmi mebaysusi
ment ${ }^{\text {sham }} \mathrm{m} \varepsilon$-bays -u -si japmi me-bays-u-si
human nsAS-send-3P-nsP man nsAS-send-3P-nsP
They weighed the newly created beings.
तिनीहरुले सृजना गरेका मानिसलाई पठाए।
205
सिक्कुम् मेदेसे निड्वा मेदेसे
sikkum medese nijwa medes $\varepsilon$

| sikkum | me-des - $\varepsilon \quad$ nijwa | me-des- $\varepsilon$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| though | nsAS-think-PT idea | nsAS-think-PT |
| They h | d a lot of discussion. |  |
| तिनीहरु | यथोचित छलफल गरे। |  |

206
सुहेन् मेधान्दु मिक्सो मेधान्दु

| suhen | $\mathrm{m} \varepsilon \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}}$ andu | mikso | $\mathrm{m} \varepsilon \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}}$ andu |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| suhen | m - | $\mathrm{d}^{\text {hand -u }}$ | mik-so | me-dhand-u |

optical aids nsAS- heed-3P view-BAL nsAS-heed-3P

They guessed and thought mindfully.
तिनहरु हेरेर गमखान थाले।
207
पेलि मेबान्छिन् योबान् मेबान्छिन्
peli mebant ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ in joban mebants ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ in
peli me-ban -tsh in jo-ban me-ban-tsh in
logic nsAS-argue-REF BAL-matter nsAS-argue-REF
They argued each other.
तिनीहरु तर्क र वितर्क गर्न थाले।

208
ये---सागु आनाप्से सक्मा आनाप्से
je.. sa:ngu anaps $\varepsilon$ sokma: anaps $\varepsilon$
je sa:ngu a-naps- $\varepsilon$ sokma: a-naps- $\varepsilon$
VOC relief 1 -sense-PT life force 1 -sense-PT
Now we have sensed tiredness.
अब हामीले केही थकान महसुस गर्यों।
209
ये साँगु चि नाप्सि सक्मा चि नाप्सि
je... sa:ngu $t^{\text {si }}$ napsi sokma: $t^{\text {tsi }}$ napsi
je sa:ngu tsi naps -i sokma: tsi naps -i
VOC fatigue some regain-pADH life force some regain-pADH
Therefore let's have a rest for a while.
त्यस कारण केही छिनको लागि आराम गरौं।

210
आल्ल साँगु तादा रो सक्मा तादा रो

| allo | sa:ngu ta-da ro | sokma: | ta-da | ro |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| allo | sa:ngu ta-da | ro | sokma: | ta-da | ro |
| now | vitality till come | ASS | freshness | till come | ASS |

Have a rest till we feel fresh.
ताजा नहोइन्जेलसंम थकाइ मारौं।

211
ये--- सागु नाप्सिरो सक्मा नाप्सिरो
je... sa:ŋgu napsi ro sokma: napsi ro
je sa:ngu naps-i ro sokma: naps -i ro
VOC vitality regain-pADH ASS life force regain -pADH ASS
We've taken rest
अब थकाइ मारौं।

212
ये थाबे यम्बासे पानु यम्बासे
je... thabe jombase pa nu jombase
je thabe jomba-se pa nu jomba-se
VOC male parent great-VOC father COM great-VOC
The gentlemen as honorable as father!
समादरनीय भद्रजनहरु!

213
ये--थामे यम्मासे मानु यम्मासे
je.... thame jommase ma nu jommase
je thame jomma -se ma nu jomma -se
VOC female parent great(f)-VOC mother COM great(f) -VOC
Ladies as respectable as mother!
आमा भन्दा पनि महान भद्र महिलाहरु!

214
आल्ल साम्जिक् चक्तुम् लो मुन्धुम् चक्तुम् लो

| allo | samd ${ }^{\text {zik }}$ tsoktum lo | mund ${ }^{\text {h }}$ um | tsoktum lo |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| allo | samdzik tsokt-u-m | lo | mund ${ }^{\text {h }}$ um | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {s }} \mathrm{skt-u}-\mathrm{m}$ | lo |
| now | knowledge join-3P-pADH | EMPH | myth | join-3P-pADH | EMPH |
| Now rejoin the story/discourse |  |  |  |  |  |
| अब फेरि कथावाचनलाई लगातार बनाउँ-जोडौं। |  |  |  |  |  |

215
आल्ल साँगु आगेरे सक्मा आगेरे
allo sa:ngu age?re sokma: age?re
allo sa:ngu a-ge?r- $\varepsilon$ sokma: a-g $\varepsilon$ Pr- $\varepsilon$
now fatigue 1-achieve-PT life force 1 -achieve-PT
We are now refreshed.
अब थकाइ मारियो।

216
आन्देन मेन्छाम् आजोगुम् याप्मि आजोगुम्
and $\varepsilon: n \quad m \varepsilon n t^{\text {sh }} a m \quad \operatorname{ad}^{\mathrm{z}}$ ogumjapmi ad $^{\mathrm{z}}$ ogum
and $\varepsilon: n \quad$ m $\varepsilon n^{\text {th }}$ am $\quad$ a-dzog-u -m japmi a-dzog-u-m
long ago human 1-make-3P-pADH man 1-make-3P-pADH
We created the human being a short while ago.
(हामीले) केही अघि मात्र मान्छे बनायौं।

217
आल्ल मेन्छाम् ग पोक्से नाम् याप्मि पोक्से

| allo | ment ${ }^{\text {tham }} \mathrm{am}$ | go | poks $\varepsilon$ | nam | japmi poks $\varepsilon$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| allo | ment ${ }^{\text {sham }} \mathrm{am}$ | poks | $-\varepsilon$ | nam | japmi poks | $-\varepsilon$ |

now human TOP become -PT sun man become -PT

Now the human being creating became successful.
अब मान्छे बनाउने कर्म त सफल भयो।

218
आल्ल खेने आन्देन् पधुम् थुम्लो भाङ् पजाक् चाक्लो भाङ्


| allo $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \mathrm{n}^{\prime} \varepsilon$ ande:n | podh ${ }^{\text {um }}{ }^{\text {th}}$ um | lo | $b^{\text {hay }}$ | podzak | tsak | lo | ay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| now you(s) a short while ago | more laborious | EMPH | SUB | much | hard | EMPH | SUB |
| It would be strong and efficient. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| तिमी अघि त धेरै परिस्रमि मेहनति हुन्छो भनेर सोच्यौं |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 219 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ये---पघेम् खेम्दु रो भाङ् पल्लाक्लाक्लो भाङ् |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| je... pog ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ¢m $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m$ duro | $\mathrm{b}^{\text {hay }}$ pola?k | la:k lo | $\mathrm{b}^{\text {hay }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| je pog ${ }^{\text {h }}$ m k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ¢md-u-ro | $\mathrm{b}^{\text {hay }}$ polapk | la:k | lo | $\mathrm{b}^{\text {hay }}$ |  |  |  |
| VOC nicely suit-3P-OPT | SUB sharply | smart | MPH | SUB |  |  |  |
| That created man would be claver सिर्जित मानिस चलाख र सुहाउँदो होस। | and suitable. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

220
आन्दे:न् हिल्लि नु:मारेन् मति नु:मारेन्
and $\varepsilon$ :n hilli nu:maren moti nu:maren
and $\varepsilon$ :n hilli nu:ma -re-n moti nu:ma -re-n
a short while ago diamond better-GEN-ABS pearls better-GEN-ABS
Fine diamond and pearls
अघि राम्रा हीरा र मोती

221
आन्दे:न् मुधिङ् नु:माहा सकङ् नु:माहा
and $\varepsilon:$ n mudhiy nu:malen sokon nu:malen
and $\varepsilon$ :n mudhin nu:ma-l $\varepsilon$-n sokon nu:ma-l $\varepsilon$-n
a short while ago gems beauty-GEN-ABS crystal beauty-GEN-ABS
Fine gems and crystals
अघि राम्रा पत्थर र माणिकहरू

222
ये-- साम्याङः नु:मालेन् अक्लेङ्वामालेन
je... sammjaŋ nu:melen omleywamalen
je sammjay nu:m $\varepsilon-l \varepsilon-n \quad$ ml $\varepsilon$ nwama-l $\varepsilon-n$
VOC gold nice-GEN-ABS yellowest-GEN-ABS
The fine yellow gold
पहेंला स्वर्ण

223
ये--युप्पा नुःमालेन् परेङ्वामालेन्
je... juppa nu:melen ${ }^{\text {b }}$ 万reŋpamelen

VOC silver nice -GEN -ABS white -GEN -ABS
The fine white silver

```
सेता चाँदी
```

224
हे---- मेन्छाम् चोक्मेल्ले याप्मि चोक्मेल्ले
he... mentsham tsokmelle japmi tsokmelle
he mentsham tsok-me-lle japmi tsok-me-lle
VOC human make-INF-SUB human being make-INF-SUB
To create the human being
मान्छे बनाउनको लागि

225
आन्दे:न् साङ्गु मेलिगेन् सक्मा मेलिगेन्
ande:n sa:ngu meligen sokma: meligen
and $\varepsilon$ :n sa:ygu me-lig- $\varepsilon$-n sokma: me-lig- $\varepsilon-n$
a short while ago vitality NEG-enter-PT-NEG life force NEG-enter-PT-NEG
In the past, it was impossible to the life into the image
अघि सास पसेन, प्राण पसेन।

226
ये--साँगु मेसिक्तेन् सक्मा मेसिक्तन्
je... sangu mesiktensokma: mesikten
je saygu me-sikt- $\varepsilon$-n sokma: m $\varepsilon$-sikt- $\varepsilon$-n
VOC vitality NEG-enter-PT-NEG life force NEG-enter-PT-NEG
There was no vital force of life and was no soul at all.
त्यहाँ न जीवन छ न त आत्मा नै।
227
ये आल्ल खाप्पु सामाले पयङ सामाले
je... allo khappu samale pojon samale
je allo khappu sama-le pojon sama -le
VOC now ashes material-ERG pile material -ERG
Now the creation with the ashes of
अब खरानीको थुप्रो र अरु
228
ये---सेनिङ्वा हिरेन् कुवारे हिरेन्
je.... seniŋwahiren kuwaPre hiren
je senipwa hi-re-n ku-wa?-r $\varepsilon$ hi-r $\varepsilon-n$
VOC chicken shit-GEN-ABS 3-hen-GEN shit-GEN-ABS

ति?वा हिमेल्ले खे?वा हिमेल्ले
tikwa himelle ke:kwa? himelle
tikwa hi -me -lle ke:kwa? hi-me-lle partridge shit-NOM-INS water cocks hit-NOM-INS
The different varieties of shits of hen like fowls; portidge water cook and so on.
कुखुरा लगायत विविध चराहरु जस्तै कालिज, सिम कुखुरा आदिका सुलीले

## 229

ये---मेन्छाम् चोगुम्बारे याप्मि चोगुम्बारे
je..ment ${ }^{\text {sham }} \quad$ t'sogumbar $^{\text {som }} \quad$ japmi tsogumbar $\varepsilon$
je ments ${ }^{\text {sham }} \quad t^{\text {sog }}$-u-m-ba-re $\quad$ japmi tsog-u-m-ba-r

VOChuman make-3P-pA-NOM-GEN man make-3P-pA-NOM-GEN
We are supposed to create human beings.
हामीहरु मानिस बनाउन गैरहेका छौं।
230
आल्ल मेन्छाम् केपोक्पा याण्मि केपोक्पा
allo mentsham kepokpa japmi kepokpa
allo ment ${ }^{\text {sham }}$ k $\varepsilon$-pok-pa japmi k $\varepsilon$-pok-pa
now human AP-rise-AP man AP-rise-AP
Now this attempt has become successful.
अब मानिस भएर जाग्ने बिउँझिने

231
ये मेन्छाम् केबोङ्बा याप्मि केबोङ्बा
je... ments ${ }^{\text {tham }}$ keboyba japmi keboyba
je ment ${ }^{\text {sham }} \mathrm{k} \varepsilon$-boy-ba japmi k $\varepsilon$-bon-ba
VOC human AP-become-AP man AP-become-AP
Now it is supposed to be human being.
अब यो चाहिँ मानिस हुँने भयो।

232
ये---थुत्थु मेधुक्तु आङ् थुए मेधुक्तुआङ्
je.... $t^{h} u t^{h} u \quad m \varepsilon d^{h} u k t u a \eta \quad t^{\text {h }} u \varepsilon m^{2} d^{h} u k t u a \eta$
je $t^{\text {h }} u t^{\text {h }} u \quad m \varepsilon$-dhukt-u-aŋ $\quad t^{\text {h }} u \varepsilon \quad m \varepsilon$-dhukt-u-ay
VOC ONOM nsAS-spit-3P-pfG ONOM nsAS-spit-3P-pfG
They spat on the image.
(उनीहरूले) त्यस (नव) सिर्जित मानवाकृतिमा थुके।
233
ये----साक्मुरा ग मु खिङ्गा मेधासुल्ले
je... sakmura go -mu kinga medhasulle
je sakmura go -mu khinga me-d ${ }^{\text {has-u-lle }}$
VOC curse then-REP evil word nsAS-fall-3P-SUB
In this way this was accursed life creation.
त्यसैले यो अभिशप्त मानवको सृजना हुन पुग्यो।
234
ये---मेन्छाम् केबोङ्बा याप्मि केबोड्बा
je.... ment ${ }^{\text {th }} a m$ keboyba japmi keboyba
je ments ${ }^{\text {sam }} \mathrm{k} \varepsilon$-bon-ba japmi k $\varepsilon$-boy-ba
VOC human AP-become-AP man AP-become-AP
In this way, it became the human being.
यसरी मानिस बनेको हुँदा

235
खुने यगु पेगेरो चङ्सि पेगेरो

$\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ un $\varepsilon$ jogu peg- ro $\mathrm{t}^{\text {º }} \boldsymbol{y}$-si peg- $\varepsilon$ ro
s/he breath go-PT ASS BAL-die go-PT ASS
But the accursed being was condemned to die.
(तर) त्यो अभिशप्त मान्छे, मरेर गयो।

236
ये चिल्लि थाए रो नारा थाए रो
je.. $t^{\text {sillli }} \mathrm{t}^{\text {th }} \mathrm{a} \varepsilon$ ro nara tha ro
je tsilli tha nara $-\varepsilon$ tha $\begin{aligned} & \text { tha } \\ & \text { ha } \\ & \text { no }\end{aligned}$
VOC charm fall -PT ASS appearance fall-PT ASS
The curse fell upon the hunman life.
मानव जीवनमा सराप पर्न गयो।

237
ये-- चिल्लि थाएल्ले नारा थाएल्ले
je... tsilli thacllenara thaclle
je tsilli tha nara $-\varepsilon$-lle tha $-\varepsilon$-lle
VOC charm fall -PT -SUB appearance fall-PT-SUB
This way, the condemned/ accursed human life,
यसरी अभिशप्त मानव जीवन

238
ये-- खुने यगु पेगेबे चङ्सि पेगेबे

| je.. | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{un} \varepsilon$ | jogu | pegebe |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| je | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ un $\varepsilon$ | jogu | peg- $\varepsilon$-b $\varepsilon$ | ts ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | si peg | - | -b |
| VOC | s/he | breath | go-PT-NOM | Bals | die go | -PT |  |

Succumbed to death.
मरणशील भयो।

239
आल्ल मेन्छाम् केजोक्पाहा याप्मि केजोक्पाहा

| allo | ment ${ }^{\text {th }} \mathrm{am}$ | ked²okpaha? japmi | kedzokpaha? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| allo | $m e n t{ }^{\text {th }} \mathrm{am}$ | ke-dzok-pa-ha? | japmi | k $\varepsilon$ - ${ }^{\text {z }}$ ok |
| ow | human | AP-make -AP-p | human | AP-make-AP-p |

The human creators
मानव सृष्टि कर्ताहरु

240
खुनि सिक्कुम् मये रो निङ्वा मये रो
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ uni sikkum moje ro niŋwa məje ro
$k^{\text {huni }}$ sikkum $\operatorname{moj}-\varepsilon$ ro niywa mっj $-\varepsilon$ ro
they (p) thought lose -PT ASS idea lose -PT ASS
They were very sad and hopeless.
तिनीहरुको आस मन्यो।
241
ये--- थो तागारा हिम् थो निङ्वाफु हिम् थो
je... tho tagara him thinwap ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathbf{u}$ him $t^{\text {h }} \mathbf{o}$
je $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }} \mathbf{o}$ tagara him $\mathrm{t}^{\text {th }}$ nijwap ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathbf{u}$ him $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }} \mathbf{o}$ VOC above almighty home above god father home above And there up the dwelling of the almighty god
अनि माथि सर्वशक्तिमान प्रभुका लोकमा
242
खुनि थो सेन्दो मेपेगे सेलाप् मेपेगे
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{uni}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{o}$ se:ndo mebegesellap m m beg $\varepsilon$
$k^{\text {h}}$ uni $^{\text {tho }}$ se:ndo $m \varepsilon$ - beg- $\varepsilon$ sellap me-beg- $\varepsilon$ they above ask nsAS-go-PT inquire nsAS-go-PT
They headed to the dwelling place to ask about the newly created being.
तिनीहरु परमेश्वरको समीप बिन्तीपूर्वक सोधनी गर्न गए।
243
तागारामा ए निङ्वाफुमा ए
tagarama-e nijwap ${ }^{\text {h }}$ uma-e
tagarama-e niŋwap ${ }^{\text {h }}$ uma-e
almighty-VOC god father-VOC
Oh almighty god father!
हे परमात्मा परमेश्वर!
244
ये पेलि इसि:क् लो पान्जा इसि:क् लो
je... peli isi:k lo pandza isi:k lo
je peli isi:k lo pandza isi:k lo VOC speech according to EMPH suggestion according to EMPH
According to the advice,
सल्लाहा र सुझाब मुताबिक
245
आनि मेन्छाम् चोगुम्बारे याप्मि चोगुम्बारे
ani ment ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ am $\quad t^{\text {sog ogumbare }}$ japmi tsogumbare
ani mentsham japmi tsog-u -m-ba-re tog-u-m-ba-re
we human make-3P-pA-NOM-SUB mankind make-3P-pA-NOM-SUB
We created the human being.
हामीले सृजना गरेको मान्छे
246
ये-- मेन्छाम् ग पोक्से याप्मि ग पोक्से
je... ment ${ }^{\text {sham }}$ go poks japmi go poks $\varepsilon$
je mentsham go poks - $\varepsilon$ japmi go poks $-\varepsilon$
VOC human TOP become-PT man TOP become -PT
It has become human anyway.
जे जसरी होस मान्छे चाहिं भएको छ।
247
आनि थत्थु थुक्तुम्बाले थुए थुक्तुम्बाले
ani $t^{h} u t^{h} u \quad t^{h} u k t u m b a l l e ~ t^{h} u: \varepsilon \quad t^{\text {h }} u k t u m b a l l e$
ani $\quad t^{h} u t t^{h} u \quad t^{\text {h }} u k t-u \quad-m$-ba -lle $\quad t^{\text {h }} u: \varepsilon \quad t^{\text {h }} u k t \quad$-u-m-ba -lle
We ONOM spit -3P -pA-NOM -SUB ONOM spit-3P-pA-NOM-SUB
We scornfully spat on to the created human.
(तर) हामीले घृणा पूर्वक सिर्जित मान्छेलाई थुकेको हुनाले
248
ये---खुने यगु पेगेरो चङ्सि पेगेरो
je... $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hunc }}$ jogu pege ro $\mathrm{t}^{\text {tronsi }}$ pege ro
je $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{un} \varepsilon$ jogu peg- $\varepsilon$ ro $\mathrm{t}^{\text {son }} 0$-si peg- $\varepsilon$ ro
VOC $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ breathe go-PT ASS BAL-die go-PT-ASS
By dint of that it happened to die.
त्यही कारणले त्यो मर्न पुग्यो।

## 249

आल्ल एच्छुम् चोक्माबे एप्फा चोक्माबे
allo ettsh epp $^{\text {ha }}$ tsokmabe ${ }^{\text {tsokmabe }}$
allo ettsh tsok-ma -be epp ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ tsok -ma -be
now how do-INF -INQ what do -INF -INQ
We, now are lost as how and what to do the next.
अब रनभुल्लमा परियो; अब कसरी र के गर्ने होला?

250
ये--भाङ् ग सिक्कुम् मेनाक्ते निङ्वा मेनाक्ते
je... $b^{h} a y$ go sikkum menakt $\varepsilon$ nipwa menakt $\varepsilon$

| je | $b^{\text {han }}$ go | sikkum $m \varepsilon-n a k t-\varepsilon$ | nipwa | $m-$ nakt | $-\varepsilon$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VOC | SUB |  |  |  |  |

By that, they sought the remedy/knowledge and wisdom.
त्यसो भनेर उनीहरुले (प्रभुसँग) अर्ति बुध्दि मागे।

251
खुनिआङ् तागेरामेल्ले निड्वाफुमेल्ले

| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ uni ay | tagaramelle | nijwap ${ }^{\text {h }}$ umelle |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {huni }}$-ay | tagarame -lle | nijwap ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ume | -lle |
| they-also | almighty -ERG | god father | -ERG |

To them, the almighty god also
तिनीहरुलाई पनि परमेश्वरले
252
ये सिक्कुम् पिरुसि निङ्वा पिरुसि
je... sikkum pirusi niywa pirusi
je sikkum pir -u -si nijwa pir-u-si
VOC thought give-3P-nsP idea give-3P-nsP
Granted the solution.
समाधानका उपाय दिए।

253
ये-- आल्ल हाङ्वा थासाम्मे मुइ थासाम्मे
je... allo haywa thasamm $\varepsilon$ ? mui thasamm ?
je allo haywa thas -amm - $\varepsilon$ ? mui thas-amm $-\varepsilon$ ?
VOC now blessing bestow upon-2P-IMP wishes for longevity bestow upon-2P-IMP
If so now you bless the human
अब त्यसो हो भने (तिमीहरू) मानिसलाई आशीर्वाद देओ।

254
ये--तिङ्निङ् मेन्तोके भाङ् नाहेन् मेन्दोके भाङ्
je.... tijdin mendokPe $b^{h}$ ay nahen mendokPe $b^{h} a \eta$

VOC envy npG-stumble-npG SUB jealousy npG- stumble -npG SUB
So that it may not get any jealousy and envy
जसले गर्दा उसमाथि आँखीडाइ नपरोस्।
255
आल्ल हाल्ला मेन्दोके भाङ् मिक्सो मेन्दोके भाङ्

now curse npG- fall -npG SUB jealousy-BAL npG- fall -npG SUB

It may not receive any cureses and scorns.
यसलाई कहीं कतैबाट गाली र सराप नपरोस् !

256
ये --- साक्फक् मेल्लए चिरेप् मेल्लए
je... sakp ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ok mello?eb ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ay tsircp mello?e $b^{\text {ha }}$ ay


VOC defamed npG-to be-npG SUB inferior feeling npG- to be -npG SUB So that its head may not bow down.
जसले गर्दा यस मान्छेको शिर नझुकोस्!

257
ये--- तेङ्गो मेन्दोके योलाङ् मेन्दोके
je.. teygo mendokPe $b^{h} a \eta$ jolay mendokPe $b^{h} a \eta$
je tengo men-dok -?e $b^{\text {han }}$ jo-lay men- dok - Pe $b^{\text {han }}$
VOC gentle move npG-stumble-npG SUB BAL-firm step npG- stumble -npG SUB
It may not stumble over as he moves ahead.
मान्छेले कुनै पनि प्रकारको गोता खानु र हैरानी भोग्नु नपरोस्।

258
ये--- आप्लुङ् मेताम्मे ताङ्सेप् मेताम्मे
je... apluy metamm $\varepsilon$ ? tays $\varepsilon p$ metamm $\varepsilon$ ?
je apluy m $\quad$-amm- $\varepsilon$ ? taysep met-amm- $\varepsilon$ ?
VOC good fortune wishes -2P-IMP well being wish-2P -IMP
Wish the newly created man be fortunate and prosperous.
नव सृजित मानिस संमृध्द र भाग्यमानी बनोस्।

259
ये --- सिङ्वा मेताम्मे पेरुङ् मेताम्मे
je... sijwa metamm $\varepsilon$ ? peruy metamm $\varepsilon$ ?
je sipwa met-amm - $\varepsilon$ ? peruy met-amm- $\varepsilon$ ?
VOC protection wish -2P -IMP security wish-2P -IMP
Look after it for its protection and shelter carefully.
(यिनीहरुको) सुरक्षा र आश्रयको खुब ध्यान दिनु।
260
आल्ल मेन्छाम् ग पोङ्लो याप्मि ग पोङ्लो
allo ment ${ }^{\text {sham go poy lo japmi go poy lo }}$
allo ment ${ }^{\text {sham }}$ go pon lo japmi go pon lo
now human TOP EXIG be EMPH man TOP pon lo
Now it really becomes human being.
अव पक्कै पनि यो मानिस बन्छ।

261
आल्ल खुने थिक्साए लाखा नि सय पोति
allo $k^{\text {hun }}$ e thik-soe lak ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ ni-soe poti
allo $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ une thik-soe lak ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ ni-soe poti
now $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ one hundred thousand two-hundred million oil lamp Now he could receive abundent of blessings.
अब उसले कोटीकोटी आशीर्वाद प्राप्त गर्ने छ।

262
आसिया खाम्लेप् ओ सितो खाम्लेप् ओ

je a- si -ja kham-lep -?o si-to kham -lep-Ro
VOC 1- die-BAL soil-clod-LOC die-BAL soil-clod-LOC
But he was bound to back to the ground while after death.

तथापि (यसले) मृत्यु पश्चात पुन: यसै धराधाममा फर्किन पर्ने भयो।

263
ये तान्दिक मेन्छाम् मेदाल्ले याप्मि मेदाल्ले
je... tandik mentsham medalle japmi medalle
je tandik mentsham me- da-lle japmi me-da -lle
VOC later on human nsAS-come-SUB man nsAS-come-SUB
If humans come to exist later on,
पछिल्ला दिनमा मानिसहरु आउँदा,

264
ये---मेन्छाम् मेसि रो याप्मि मेसि रो
je... mentsham mesi ro japmi mesi ro
je ment ${ }^{\text {sham } m \varepsilon-s i ~ r o ~ j a p m i ~ m e-s i ~ r o ~}$
VOC human nsAS-die ASS man nsAS-die ASS
They too must die.
तिनीहरुले पनि अवश्य मर्नु पर्ने छ।

265
ये-----पेलि पिरु रो पान्जा पिरु रो
je... peli piru ro pandza piru ro
je peli pir -u ro pandza pir-u ro
VOC speech give-3P ASS statement give-3P ASS
In this way, he instructed them.
यसरी भगवानले बोली बचन दिए।

266
तागारामेल्ले निङवाफुमेल्ले

| tagaramelle | ninwap ${ }^{\text {h }}$ umelle |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tagaram | -lle | nijwap ${ }^{\text {h }}$ um $\varepsilon$ | -lle |
| almighty | -ERG | god father | -ERG |
| The almighty god father |  |  |  |
| परमेश्वर भगवानले |  |  |  |

267
सक्वामुत्तु रो मुइङ् मुत्तु रो
sokwa muttu ro muPin muttu ro
sokwa mutt -u ro murin mutt -u ro message announce-3P ASS good news announce-3P ASS
In this way, the god announced the message and news.
यसरी (ईश्वरले) खबर सन्देस प्रबाह गरे।

268
आल्ल खेड्हा ग साम्जिक् हाङ्गामेन् मुन्धुम् हाङ्गामेन्
allo $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \emptyset h a ? \mathrm{~g} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { s a m d }}{ }^{2} \mathrm{ik}$ hayamenmundum hayamen
allo $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \eta$-ha? go samdzik hayamen mundum hajamen now that-p TOP knowledge remaining part myth remaining part Now the rest part of the story,
अब शास्त्र मुन्धुमको बाँकि अध्याय

269
अक्खे हाङ्गा रो ताजेङ् हाङ्गा रो
je... okk ${ }^{\text {h }} \varepsilon$ haygaro $\operatorname{tad}^{z} \varepsilon \eta$ hayga ro
je $\quad \mathrm{kk}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon$ hayga ro $\operatorname{tad}^{\mathrm{z}} \varepsilon y$ hayga ro
VOC in this way rest ASS talk rest ASS
The rest part of the discussion,
बोली बचनको बाँकि अध्यायमा

270
खेक्हा ग खाम्छिछिरि ग खाम्बोङ्बा साहा रो

| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h}}$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {cha? }}$ go | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {ham }}{ }^{\text {th }} \mathrm{it}^{\text {sh }}$ iri | go | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hamboyba sapha? }}$ | ro |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \underline{\mathrm{y}}$-ha? go | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {ham }}{ }^{\text {sth }} \mathrm{l}$ - $\mathrm{t}^{\text {th }} \mathrm{iri}$ | go |  | ro |
| that-p TOP | aborigine-RHM | TOP | indigenous offspring-p | ASS |
| They are the siblings/offspring born out of the land. |  |  |  |  |
| तिनीहरु धरती पुत्र हुन्। |  |  |  |  |

271
लुङ्छिछिरि ग लुङ्बोङ्बा साहा रो
je... luyts ${ }^{\text {sh }} t^{\text {sh }}$ iri go luyboyba sapha? ro
je lunt thi -tshiri go luybonba sa? -ha? ro $^{\text {th }}$ go

VOC ancient tribe -RHM TOP primitive baby-p ASS
They are born out of the stones.
तिनीहरु त ढुङ्गा माटोसंगै जन्मेका सन्तान हुन्।
272
खेड्हा ग मेन्छाम् तुम्बासि याप्मि तुम्बासि

| je... | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \underline{\mathrm{l}}$ ha? go | ment ${ }^{\text {sham }}$ tumbasi | ro | japmi | tumbasi ro |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| je | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ¢ $¢$-ha? go | ment ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ am tumba -si | ro | japmi | tumba -si |
| VOC | that-p TOP | human earliest(m)-nsP | ASS | man | earliest(m)-nsP |

They are the first human creations.
तिनीहरु आदिम मानव सृजनाहरु हुन्।
273
आल्ल हे?ना याम्मो मेन्छाम् मेजोगुसि याप्मि मेजोगुसि
allo he?na jammu mentsham medzogu japmi medzogu
allo he?na jammu mentst ${ }^{\text {tham }} \mathrm{m} \varepsilon$-d $^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{og}-\mathrm{u}$ japmi m $\varepsilon-\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{og}-\mathrm{u}$
now there again human nsAS-make-3P man nsAS-make-3P
Again they would be creating human beings.
फेरि त्यही मानिसहरुको सृजना गरिनेछन्।
274
ये-- साक्सो मेघेक्सु रो योमिङ् मेघेक्सु रो
je.... samso megheksu ro jo-min m $\varepsilon g^{\mathrm{h}}$ eksu ro
je sam -so me-gheks-u ro jo-min me-gheks-u ro
VOC identity-BAL nsAS-put-3P ASS BAL-name nsAS-put-3P ASS
The creators put the names to the newly created beings
नवसिर्जित मान्छेको नाम राखियो।

275
आल्ल मुजिड्नामा रो खेयोङ्नामा रो

| alls | mudzinnama | ro | $k^{\text {hejonnama }}$ | ro |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| allo | mudzignama | ro | $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ ejonnama | ro |

now Muzingnama ASS Kheyongnama ASS
Her name was Muzingnama Kheyongama.
न्वारान अनुसार तिनको नाम थियो- मुजिङ्नामा खेयोङ्नामा ।
276
ये-- साम्सो मेवान्दु कुमिङ् मेवान्दु आङ्
je.. samso mewandu kumin mewanduan
je sam -so me-wand-u ku- min me-wand-u-ay
VOC identity-BAL nsAS-baptize-3P his/her-name nsAS-baptize-3P-pfG
After having named the child,
यसरी नवजात शिशुको नाम राखिसकेपछि
277
ये--- तुङ्गुलि साधिक सिम्बोमा साधिक

| je... | tuyuli | sa? | $\mathrm{d}^{\text {hik }}$ | simboma sa? | $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h} i \mathrm{k}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| je | tupuli | sa? | $\mathrm{d}^{\text {hik }}$ | simboma sa? | $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ik}$ |
| VOC | female | baby | one | sari wearing baby one |  |

A female child was created.
एक स्त्रीजातको बच्चाको सृजना गरियो।
278
ये-- मेन्छाम् ्मेजोगु याप्मि मेजोगु
je.... ment ${ }^{\text {shamm }} \varepsilon^{d^{z} o g u ~ j a p m i ~ m e d ~}{ }^{z} o g u$
je ment ${ }^{\text {sham }} \quad m \varepsilon-d^{\mathrm{z}}$ og-u japmi me-dzog $-u$
VOC human nsAS- make-3P man nsAS-make-3P
They created a human being.
यसरी तिनले मानव सृष्टि गरे।
279
ये----खेने मेन्छाम् या साए नाम् यप्मि साए

je $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }} \varepsilon$ n $\varepsilon$ ment ${ }^{\text {th }}$ am ja sap-e nam-japmi sap-e
VOC you(s) human EMPH baby-VOC sun -man baby-VOC
Thou are the offspring of the sun and the human being.
तिमी घाम र मानवका बच्चा हौ !

280
खेने सिसा केरेओ मेन्छिन् केरेओ

$\mathrm{k}^{\text {h}} \varepsilon$ n $\varepsilon$ tendi $\quad$ sisa $\mathrm{k} \varepsilon \mathrm{r}-\varepsilon$ ? -o mentsin ker $-\varepsilon$ ? -o
you(s) in the future young reach-IMP-VOC matured reach-IMP-VOC
May you reach young and matured in the future!
तिमी पछि जवान र परिपक्व बन।

281
ये-- खेने इक्सा खेम्देओ खाम्बेक् खेम्देओ
je...... $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon n \varepsilon \quad$ iksa $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m d \varepsilon$ ?o $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ambe:k $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m d \varepsilon$ ?o
je $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon$ n $\varepsilon \quad$ iksa $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m d-\varepsilon$ ? -o $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ambe:k $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m d-\varepsilon$-o
VOC you(s) land suit-IMP-LOC earth suit-IMP-VOC
May you be suitable being for the earth!
तिमी यो धरतीमा सुहाउने बनेर रहनु।

खेने तान्दिक् इक्सा तक्तेओ खाम्बेक् तक्तेओ
 you(s) later on land hold up-IMP-VOC earth hold up-IMP-VOC SUB You should retain the tradition of this earth in the coming future.
तिमीले यस धर्तीको परम्परालाई थान्नु पई।

283
ये सक्वा मेबिरु मुइङ् मेबिरु
je.... sokwa mebiru muin mebiru
je sokwa me-bir -u muin me-bir-u
VOC message nsAS-give-3P wishes for longevity nsAS-give-3P
They bestowed the blessing upon her.
(सृष्टिकर्ताहरूले) उनलाई अर्ति उपदेश दिए।

284
आल्ल ये-- मुजिङ्नामा ग खेयोङ्नामा ग


She survived well.
तिनी त जीवितै पो रहिन्।

286
ये---चुक्मु लरेआङ् हिङ्मु लरेआङ्
je.... tsukmu loreay higmu loreay
je tsukmu lor- $\varepsilon$-an hinmu lor- $\varepsilon$-aŋ
VOC liveliness seem-PT-pfG alive seem-PT-pfG
In this way, she began to survive.
यसरी तिनी त सहज ढंगले बाँचिन्।

287
ये---मेन्छयाङ् जाङ्मे ग चाङ्वा मेन्ने ग
je.... ment thay tsaymen go tsajwa menne go
je mentshan tsay-me -n go tsaywa men-ne go
VOC grown up girl puton dress-INF ABS TOP wearing clothes NOT-be TOP
Without the wearing clothes for a grown up girl,
हुर्की सकेकी केटीलाई पोसाक विना त (हुँदैन !)
288
ये---नावा आन्यानेन् खेम्सिङ् आन्यानेन्
je.. nawa anjanen $k^{\text {h }} \varepsilon m s i \eta$ anjanen $b^{\text {han }}$


295
आल्ल मुजिड्नामा ग खेयोङ्नामा ग

| allo | mudzinnama | go | $k^{\text {h }}$ jojnama | g |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| allo | mudzinnama | go | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ jojnama | go |
| now | Muzingnama | TOP | Kheyongnama | TO |

Now Muzingna Khejongna
अब मुजिङ्नामा खेयोङ्नामा
296
तान्दि खुने सिसा केरेरो मेन्छिन् केरेरो
ta:ndi $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ une sisa kere roment ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ in ker $\varepsilon$ ro
ta:ndi $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h} u n \varepsilon}$ sisa $\mathrm{k} \varepsilon r-\varepsilon$ ro ment ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ in $\mathrm{k} \varepsilon \mathrm{r}-\varepsilon$ ro
in the futures/he young reach-PT ASS matured girl reach-PT ASS
Gradually the child got young and matured.
(नामकी बालख) क्रमशः किशोरी बन्दै गइन्।

297
आल्ल खुने साङ् गण्फिगण्फि नावामा चङ्थो

allo $k^{\text {h }} u n \varepsilon$ go say gopp ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ gopp ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ nawama $t^{\text {ts }} 0$ y $t^{\text {th }} 0$
now s/he TOP open round round pleasant top above
Now she was lost up there in the beautiful places.
उनी त माथि मनोरम दृश्यावलि तिर

298
ये--- लिङ् गप्फि गप्फि नावामा चङ्थो

je lin gopp ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ gopp ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ nawama $t^{\text {ts }} \boldsymbol{y}$ y $t^{\text {h }} \mathbf{o}$
VOC high green altitude round round pleasant top above
In the attractive greenary environment in the high altitude,
अल्गो लेकको आकर्षक हरियालीमा

299
ये काइत् लाङ्घेक्लो अत्तो थासिङ्लो
je...... kapi:t layghek lo stto thasin lo
je kapi:t layghek lo otto tha-siy lo

VOC fast walk EMPH hastily depart-REF EMPH
She kept on wandering here and there.
उनी त्यतातिर नै डुलफिर गर्न थालिन् ।
300
ये ---तोदो लाङ्घेक्वा सान्े थासिङ् लो
je... todo langhekwa sannon thasin lo
je todo langhek -wa sannoy tha -sin lo
VOC wildbuffalo walk -NOM immediately depart-REF EMPH
She kept walking up to the hill
उनी माथि तिर प्रस्थान गरिन्
301
ये---सिसा केरेरो मेन्छिन् केरेरो
je... sisa kere ro mentsh in kere ro

| je sisa $\quad$ ker | $-\varepsilon$ | ro | mentshin | ker- $\varepsilon$ | ro |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VOC young | get-PT ASS | matured girl | get-PT | ASS |  |

She got younger.
तिनी तरुनी भइन्।
302
आल्ल खुने केसिङ्आङ् मेहोप्ते कुम्बाआङ् मेहोप्ते

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| allo $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hun }} \varepsilon$ kesinay mehopte kumbaay mehopt $\varepsilon$ <br> allo khune kesin -an me-hopt- $\varepsilon$ ku-mba -an |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

now s/he male parent-also nsAS-not to be-PT his/her-father-also nsAS-not to be-PT
But she didnot have her father.
तिनीसंग पिता वा कुनै पुरुष अभिभावक थिएनन्।
303
खुने थामेआङ् मेहोप्ते कुम्माआङ् मेहोप्ते।

s/he female parent-also nsAS-not to be-PT his/her mother-also nsAS-not to be-PT
She didnot have her mother either.

She was but lonely young girl.
तिनी त एकलासकी तरुनी थिइन्।
305
ये खुने याङ्सिआङ् मेहोप्ते कुन्छङ्बाआङ् मेहोप्ते।


VOC $\mathrm{s} /$ he cousin-also nsAS-not to be-PT his/her brother-also nsAS-not to be-PT
She did not have cousins and brothers.
तिनीसंग दाजुभाइ कोही पनि थिएनन्।
306
ये-- फुङ्याङ् आङ् मेहोप्ते कुन्धान्दि आङ् मेहोप्ते
je... $p^{\text {hu} u j j a ŋ a ŋ ~ m e h o p t ~} \varepsilon$ kundhandiaŋ mehopt $\varepsilon$
je phunjan -an me-hopt- $\varepsilon \quad$ ku-nd ${ }^{\text {handi }} \quad-\mathrm{ay}$ m $\varepsilon-$ hopt- $\varepsilon$
VOC mate-also nsAS-not to be-PT his/he colleague -also nsAS-not to be-PT
She didnot have friends.
साथी सङ्गाती (पनि) कोही थिएनन्।
307
ये---याक्कुआङ् मेहोप्ते कुदाक्साङ् मेहोप्ते।
je... jakkuan mehopte kudakay mehopte
je jakku-ay me-hopt- $\varepsilon$ ku- dak-an me-hopt - $\varepsilon$
VOC kins -also nsAS-not to be-PT his/her-kiths-also nsAS-not to be-PT
Nor had she got any comrade.

```
न त कुनै हितैषी इष्टमित्रहरु नै (थिए)।
```

308
ये--सुकुला मेहोप् कुन्जुमाङ् मेहोप्
je... sukula mehop kund ${ }^{\text {zuman }}$ mehop
je sukula me- hop ku- nd ${ }^{2} u m$-ay me-hop
VOCpal nsAS- not to be his/her-chum -also nsAS-not to be
She had not any intimate friends.
तिनका मन मिल्ने कुनै साथी पनि थिएनन्।
309
खुने ग साङ् गप्फिगप्फि नावामा चङ्थो


VOC s/he TOP open round round pleasant top above
She was in the open pleasant places.
तिनी त खुल्ला मनोरम ठाउँ तिर पो डुल्न थालिन्।

310
ये--लक्लेलक् लक्ले पेलिरक् पान्छिन्
je.... lok-l $\varepsilon-l o k$ lok-l peli rok pantshin
je lok-l $\varepsilon$-lok lok-l peli rok pan-t ${ }^{\text {shin }}$
VOC incoherent discourse emotional pattering speech FOC speak-REF
She was carried by her imginations.
उनी आफ्नै कल्पनाले जता लग्यो त्यतै जान्थिन् (उनी आफूमात्र फतफताइ रहिन्)।

311
ये--- थक्लुङ् मेबोङ्नेन् काबे मेबोङ्नेन्
je...t ${ }^{\text {th }}$ okluy meboynen kabe meboŋnen
je thokluy me-boy-nen kabe me-boy-nen
VOC body structure NEG-be right-NEG appearance NEG-be right-NEG
She happened to feel that her body was not so suitable.
उनलाई आफ्नो जिउडाल अलिक बेछन्द्याइलो लाग्यो ।

312
आल्ल नावा मेयाक्नेन् खेम्सिङ् मेयाक्नेन् भाङ्

now face NEG- look good -NEG match-REF NEG-look good-NEG SUB
The body felt to be unsuitable
शरीर सुहाउँदिलो भएन भनेर
313
ये-- खुने थो सुराबे तेम्बे खुन्दुला तेम्बे
je... $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} u n \varepsilon \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{o}$ surabe tembe $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ undula tembe
je $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} u n \varepsilon \mathrm{t}^{\text {th }}$. surabe tembe $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} u n d u l a$ tembe
VOC $\mathrm{s} /$ he above Surabe low land Khundula low land She was lost in the Surabe and Khundula tembe plains.
उनी माथि सुराबे र खन्दुला भन्ने मैदानमा

314
ये--- थोसु इङ्बेन् लो खाङ्ला इङ्बेन् लो योसु इङ्बेन् लो सुरा इङ्बेन् लो
je... thosu iyben lo khayla iyben lo je... josu iyben lo sura iyben lo je tho -su iyben lo khayla ijben- lo je jo-su iyben lo sura ijben lo VOC above-BAL Ingben-ASS Khangla Ingben-ASS VOC below-BAL Ingben-ASS sura Ingben-ASS Sometimes, she was in the up hills and sometimes she was fuound in the yonder fields.
कहिले उनीमाथि डाँडामा र कहिले उनी तल पाखामा
315
खुने लक्लेलक् लक्ले पेलिरक् पारे
$\begin{array}{llllll}\mathrm{k}^{\text {hun }} \varepsilon & \text { lok-l } \varepsilon-l o \mathrm{k} & \text { lok-l } \varepsilon & \text { peli rok par } \varepsilon & & \\ \mathrm{k}^{\text {hun }} \varepsilon & \mathrm{lok}-l \varepsilon-l o \mathrm{k} & \text { lok-l } \varepsilon & \text { peli } & \text { rok } & \text { par- } \varepsilon\end{array}$
$\mathrm{s} /$ he incoherent discourse emotional pattering speech FOC talk-PT
She kept on pattering on her own way.
उनी आफ्नै तरिकाले फतफताइ मात्र रहिन् ।

316
ये---थो सिन्युक् पिबारो मुदेन् पिबारो
je..... tho sinjuk piba ro muden piba ro
je tho sinjuk piba ro muden piba ro
VOC above north direction ASS China/Tibet direction ASS
She went upto the north direction-Tibet.
उनी उत्तर दिशा तिब्बततिर गइन्।

317
ये--- सुराबे तेम्बे पाम्फु?ना तेम्बे
je.... surabe tembe pamp ${ }^{h} u$ ?na tembe
je surabe tembe pamp ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ u?na tembe
VOC Surabe low land Pamphuna low land
In the Surabe and Pamphu?na plains
सुराबे र पाम्फु?ना मैदान तिर
318
ये--थोसु इङ्बेन् लो खाङ्ला इङ्बेन् लो योसु इङ्बेन् लो सुरा इङ्बेन् लो
je....thosuipben lo k kayla ijben lo josu ijben lo sura ifben lo
je tho-su ijben lo khayla igben lo jo-su ijben lo sura inben lo
VOC above-BAL Ingben-ASS Khangla Ingben-ASS there-BAL Ingben ASS Sura Ingben-ASS
Different plains like khangla and Sura.
खाङला र सुरा भन्ने मैदानहरुमा
319
ये--- लक्लेलक् लक्ले पेलिरक् पारे
je... lok-l $\varepsilon-l o k \quad$ lok-l $\varepsilon$ peli rok par
je lok-l $\varepsilon$-lok lok-l $\varepsilon \quad$ peli rok par $-\varepsilon$
VOC incoherent discourse emotional pattering speech FOC talk -PT
She was carried by her own imagination.
उनी आफ्नै सुरले बरालिई मात्र रहिन् (उनी आफ्नै तरिकाले फतफताइ मात्र रहिन)।
320
आल्ल थो साङ् गप्फिगम्फि नावामा चङ्थो लिङ् गप्फिगप्फि नावामा चङ्थो

 now above open round view point top above high green altitude round view point top above Now she wandered in the beautiful places.
उनी खुल्ला रमणीय ठाँउहरुमा भौंतारिन लागिन्।
321
ये-- मुजिङ्नामाग खेयोङ्नामाग
je..... mudzinnama go khejonnama go
je mudzinnama go kejonnama go

VOC Muzingnama TOP Kheyongnama TOP
Muzingna khejongna
मुजिङ्नामा खेयोड्नामा त
322
खुने खे?यो केरुङ् तोसेमुबे कुहिम् तोसेमुबे
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{un} \varepsilon \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon$ ?jo keruy tos $\varepsilon m u b e$ kuhim tos $\varepsilon m u b e$
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} u n \varepsilon \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon$ ?jo keruy tos- $\varepsilon$-mu-be kuhim tos- $\varepsilon$-mu -be
s/he upthere sadness feel-PT-REP-PCLE worriness feel-PT-REP-PCLE
She only felt dreary and sad.
उनलाई उराठ लाग्यो।

323
ये--सोलुङ् रक् हिम् लो लुङ्मा रक् हिम् लो
je soluy rok him lo lunma rok him lo
je soluy rok him lo luyma rok him lo
VOC unpleasant FOC experience EMPH dreary FOC experience EMPH
She was saddened.
उनी उदास भइन्
324
आल्ल मुजिड्नामा ग खेयोङ्नामा ग

| allo | mudzinnama | go | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ejonnama | go |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| allo | mudzinnama | go | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ejoynama | go |
| now | Muzingnama- | TOP | Kheyongnama | TOP |

Now muzingna khejongna,
अब मुजिङ्नामा खेयोङ्नामा त
325
साम्मेत् रक् मुक्ते केजङ् रक् मुक्ते
je... sammet rok mukt k kzoŋ rok muktean
je sammet rok mukt $-\varepsilon$ kezoy rok mukt $-\varepsilon$-an
VOC breeze FOC blow -PT wind FOC blow -PT -pfG
She was constantly blown by the wind.
उनलाई हावा र बतासले मात्रै फुकिरहयो।

326
ये--साम्मेत् ले मुत्तुआङ् केजङ् ले मुत्तुआङ्
je... sammetle muttuay kezople muttuay
je sammet -le mutt -u-ay kzzon-le mutt-u -ay
VOC breeze -ERG sweep-3P-pfG wind-ERG sweep-3P-pfG
By that way, the wind blew her
हावा बतासले यसरी फुके पछि

ये---मुजिङ्नामाग खेयोङ्नामाग
je... mudzinnama go kejoŋnama go
je mudzinnama go khejonnama go
VOC Muzingnama- TOP Kheyongnama TOP
The maiden Muzingna Kheyongna
षोडशी मुजिङ्नामा खेयोङ्नामा त
328
खुने आबुङ् लारे रो सारुङ् लारे रो
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ une abuy lare ro saruy lare ro
$\mathrm{k}^{\text {hun }}$ abuy lar $-\varepsilon$ ro saruy lar $-\varepsilon$ ro
$\mathrm{s} /$ he conception receive -PT ASS pregnancy receive-PT ASS
She became pregnant.
(उनी) त गर्भवती पो भइछ्छन् ।

329
ये---ले?वा पेगेरो थिक् ला पेगेरो


One month passed
समयक्रम एक महिना भयो

330
खुने केरुङ् रक् नेन् लो कुहिम् रक् नेन् लो
$\mathrm{k}^{\text {hune }}$ keruy rok nen lo kuhimrok nen lo
$k^{\text {hune }}$ keruy rok nen lo kuhim rok nen lo
s/he sadness FOC be EMPH wariness FOC be EMPH
She only felt dreary.
उनलाई उराठमात्रै लागिरहयो।

331
ये---ले?वा पेगे रो निला नि पेगे रो
je...le?wa pege roni:lani pege ro
je le?wa peg- $\varepsilon$ ro ni:la ni peg- $\varepsilon$ ro
VOC time go-PT ASS two month CTR go-PT ASS
Two months passed.
दुई महिना बिते
332
ये--आबु ग थाङ् ग सारुङ् ग थाङ् ग
je...abu go thay go saruy go thay go
je abu go thay go saruy go thay go

VOC fetus TOP develop TOP pregnancy TOP develop TOP
The fetus gradually developed.
भूण क्रमश विकास हुदै गयो।
333
ये--- ले?वा पेगे रो सुम् ला नि पेगे रो
je... $1 \varepsilon$ ?wa peg $\varepsilon$ rosumla ni peg $\varepsilon$ ro

| peg- $\varepsilon$ ro sumla |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOC time go-PT ASS | me go-PT ASS three | month | CTR | go-PT | ASS |
| Three months passed. तीन महिना बिते |  |  |  |  |  |
| 334 |  |  |  |  |  |
| खुने हाङ्गेन् रक् लरे सिक्चा रक् लरे |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ une haygen rok | haygen rok lore | siktsa | rok lo |  |  |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ une haygen rok | haygen rok lor-e | siktsa |  |  | - $\varepsilon$ |
| s/he irritation FOC | irritation FOC feel-PT | disinter | ested F | feel | -PT |
| She was only irritated. |  |  |  |  |  |
| तिनलाई झिंजो मात्र लागिरहयो | झिंजो मात्र लागिरहयो। |  |  |  |  |

    335
    ये--ले?वा पेगेल्ले लिला पेगेल्ले

| je... | le?wa | pegclle lila | pegzlle |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| je | le?wa | peg-e -lle | lila | peg- $\varepsilon$-lle |
| VOC time |  | go -PT-SUB | four month | go-PT-SUB |
| Four months passed. |  |  |  |  |
| चार महिना बित्यो। |  |  |  |  |

336
खुने खाम् जुम् निच्छे रो खाम् लेप् निच्छे रो
$\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ un $\varepsilon \quad \mathrm{k}^{\text {hamd }}{ }^{\text {² }} u m \quad$ nits $\varepsilon$ ro $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hamlep nit }}{ }^{\text {th }} \varepsilon$ ro
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ un $\varepsilon \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{am}-\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{um}$ ni $--^{\text {tsh }} \varepsilon$ ro $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} a m-\mathrm{l} \varepsilon \mathrm{p}$ ni-ts ${ }^{\text {th }} \varepsilon$ ro
s/he soil -BAL see -PUR ASS soil-clod see-PUR ASS
She looked for the soil.
उनी माटाको डल्लाहरु हेर्न पो थालिन् ।
337
ये--ले?वा पेगेल्ले ङाला पेगेल्ले
je... le?wa pegelle yala pegelle
je le?wa peg- $\varepsilon$-lle yala peg- $\varepsilon$-lle
VOC time go -PT-SUB five month go-PT-SUB

Five months went on.
पाँच महिना बित्यो।
338
खुने याङ्सा चोकाबे कुन्धे चोकाबे भाङ्

s/he what do $-1 \quad$-INQ how do
She could not decide what to do as she had nothing at all.
उनी के गरौं कसो गरौं भनेर भन्न थालिन् ।
339
ये-- हाङगेन् ्क्् लरे सिक्चा रक् लरे
je... hangenrok lore sikts ${ }^{\text {sa }}$ rok lore
je hangen rok lor- $\varepsilon$ siktsa rok lor- $\varepsilon$
VOC irritation FOC feel-PT disinterested FOC feel-PT
She was irritated
तिनलाई झिंजो मात्र लागिरहयो।

340
ये--वारेप्थुम् लरे पि?थुम् रक् लरे
je... warepthum lorepi?thum rok lore
je warept ${ }^{\text {h }} u m$ lor- $\varepsilon$ piPt ${ }^{\text {h }} u m$ rok lor- $\varepsilon$
VOC a deep sigh feel-PT unwilling FOC feel-PT
She could not do her duty properly.
तिनी अनिच्छा पूर्वक सुस्केरा हाल्थिन्।
341
आल्ल मुजिड्नामा ग खेयोङ्नामा ग

| allo | mudzinnama | go | $k^{\text {h }}$ ejoynama | go |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| allo | mudzinnama | g | $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ ejoynama | go |
| now $\quad$ Muzingnama | TOP | Kheyongnama | TOP |  |
| Now Muzingna Kheyongna |  |  |  |  |
| अव मुजिङ्नामा खेयाङ्नामा त |  |  |  |  |

342
ये---ले?वा पेगे रो तु?क्ला नी पेगे रो

| je... | l $\varepsilon$ Pwa | peg $\varepsilon$ | ro tuPla | ni | peg $\varepsilon$ | ro |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| je | l $\varepsilon$ Pwa | peg- | - ro | tuPla | ni | peg- $\varepsilon$ | -ro |
| VOC | time | go-PT | -ASS | six month | CTR | go-PT | -ASS |

Six months passed.
छ महिना बित्यो।

343
आल्ल पेलि पान्छिन् लो योबान् पान्छिन् लो
allo peli patt ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ in lo joban pats ${ }^{\text {sh } i n ~ l o ~}$
allo peli pat -tshin lo joban pat -tshiy lo now speech speak -REF- EMPH reason speak -REF EMPH
She talked at her mind.
उनी मनमनै कुरा गर्न थालिन् ।

344
सिक्कुम् ्रक् तेसे निङ्वा रक् तेसे
je... sikkum rok tes $\varepsilon$ niywa rok tes $\varepsilon$
je sikkum rok tes- $\varepsilon$ nijwa rok tes $-\varepsilon$
VOC thought FOC brood-PT idea-FOC brood -PT
She kept on brooding over the matter.
उनीसोच विचार गर्न थालिन् ।

345
ये---थिन्दाङ् पो हेक्ते सादुङ् पो हेक्ते
je... thinday po-hekte saduy po-hekt $\varepsilon$
je thinday po-hekt $-\varepsilon$ saduy po-hekt- $\varepsilon$
VOC fetus grow-begin-PT pregnancy grow-begin-PT
The foetus began to grow bigger.
भुजुण क्रमशः बढदै गयो।

346
ये थिन्दाङ् मुन्दे रो सारुङ् नि मुन्दे रो
je thinday mund $\varepsilon$ rosaruy ni mund $\varepsilon$ ro
je thinday mund $-\varepsilon$ ro saruy ni mund- $\varepsilon$ ro
VOC fetus move-PT ASS pregnancy CTR move-PT ASS
That foetus began to move.
गर्भको बच्चा हलचल गर्न थाल्यो।

347
आल्ल याङ्सा चोकाबे कुन्धे चोका भाङ्

| allo | jaysa | $t^{\text {sokabe }}$ ku | e tso | $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | jaysa | ok -a -be | kund ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $t^{\text {s }}$ Ok | -a | $\mathrm{b}^{\text {han }}$ |
| w | what | do -1-INQ | how | do | -1 | SU |

She was helpless to solve the problem.
अब के गरौं कसो गरौं भनेर
348
खुने सिक्कुम् तेसे रो निङ्वा तेसे रो
$\mathrm{k}^{\text {hun }} \varepsilon$ sikkum tes $\varepsilon$ ro nijwa ni tes $\varepsilon$ ro
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ un $\varepsilon$ sikkum tes $-\varepsilon$ ro nijwa ni tes $-\varepsilon$ ro s/he thought brood-PT ASS idea CTR brood-PT ASS
She pondered upon the matter.
तिनी गहन विचार गर्न थालिन् ।

349
आल्ल सुसुम् हेक्ते रो लिलम् हेक्ते रो


## 350

ये-- खाम् जुम् नि हेक्ते खाम् लेप् नि हेक्ते
je... $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{amd}^{\text {z }} u m$ ni hekt $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hamlep ni hekt } \varepsilon}$
je k ${ }^{\text {ham }}$-dzum ni hekt- $\varepsilon$ k ${ }^{\text {ham-lep ni hekt }-\varepsilon}$ VOC soil -BAL CON begin-PT soil-clod CON begin -PT
She began to eat the soil.
तिनी त माटो पो खान थालिन् ।

## 351

ये---ले?वा पेगेल्ले नुला पेगेल्ले
je.... l 1 ?wa pegelle nula pegelle
je l l ? wa peg - $\quad$-lle nula peg- $\varepsilon$-lle
VOC time go -PT -SUB seven month go -PT-SUB

When the seven months passed,
जब सात महिना बित्यो,

352
ये-- सुङ्जि निछे रो लुङ्घाक् निछे रो


VOC testy look for -PUR ASS salt look for -PUR ASS
She wanted to have the salt.
तिनी नुनिलो चखिलो चीज रुचाउन थालिन्
353
ये--ले?वा पेगेल्ले येत्ला पेगेल्ले
je... lع?wa pegelle jetla pegelle
je l le?wa peg - $\varepsilon$-lle jetla peg- $\varepsilon$-lle
VOC time go-PT-SUB eight month go-PT-SUB

When eight months passed.
आठ महिना बित्यो।

354
ये-- खाम् जुम् लत्छे रो खाम् लेप् लच्छे रो
je... $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hamdz }} \mathrm{um}$ lott ${ }^{\text {th }} \varepsilon$ ro $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\text {haml }} \varepsilon \mathrm{p} \quad$ ltt ${ }^{\text {th }} \varepsilon$ ro
je $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} a m-\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}}$ um lot -th $^{\text {sh }} \varepsilon$ ro $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} a m-l \varepsilon p$ lot -th $^{\text {sh }}$ ro
VOC turf -RHM take out-PUR ASS soil-clod take out-PUR ASS
She was eager to dig out the soil.
तिनी माटो खन्न थालिन् ।

355
मुजिए्नामा ग खेयोङ्नामा ग

| mudzinnama | go | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ejonnama | go |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mudzinnama | go | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ejonnama | g刀 |

mudzinnama go $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ejonnama go

Muzingnama- TOP Kheyongnama TOP
Muzingna Khejongna
मुजिङ्नामा खेयोङ्नामा त
356
खुने सिक्कुम् मये रो निङ्वा मये रो
$\mathrm{k}^{\text {hun }}$ s sikkum moje ro nijwa moje ro
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} u n \varepsilon$ sikkum məj - $\varepsilon$-ro nijwa məj- $\varepsilon$ ro
s/he thought lose-PT-ASS idea lose-PT -ASS
She was harassed.
तिनको होस हवास उड्यो।

357
आल्ल ले?वा पेगेल्ले फाङ्ला पेगेल्ले
allo le?wa pegelle phapla pegelle
allo le?wa peg- $\varepsilon$-lle phayla peg- $\varepsilon$-lle
now time go-PT-SUB nine month go-PT -SUB
When nine months passed.
जब नौ महिना बित्यो,
358
ये---पेगिल्ला पगे फाङ्ला पेगे
je.... pegilla peg $\varepsilon$ phay-la peg $\varepsilon$
je pegilla peg $-\varepsilon$ phay-la peg- $\varepsilon$
VOC APP go -PT nine month go-PT
Nine months were gone.
नौ महिना बित्यो

359
ये--आबु पोरेआङ् सारुङ् पोरेआङ्
je.. abu poreay saruy porean
je abu por $-\varepsilon-$ an saruy por- $\varepsilon$-aŋ
VOC foetus grow-PT-pfG pregnancy grow-PT-pfG
The infant inside her got bigger.
पेटको बच्चा ठूलो भयो।

360
हे---- मेरिङ्ला पेगेल्ले थिबोङ्ला पेगेल्ले
he... meriyla pegelle thiboyla pegelle
he meriyla peg- $\varepsilon$-lle thiboyla peg - $\varepsilon$-lle

VOC distressful period go-PT-SUB ten month go -PT -SUB
In the tenth month
दशौं महिनामा
361
थिन्दाङ् सादुङ् ले सायो सादुङ् ले
je.. thinday saduyle sa?jo saduyle
je thinday saduy -le sap-jo saduy -le
VOC fetus pregnancy pain-GEN baby-BAL pregnancy pain-GEN
She was suffered by the delivery case (child labour)
तिनलाई सुत्केरी बिमार ले चाप्यो।
362
ये-- मुजिङ्नामा ग खेयोङ्नामा ग
je... mudzinnama go kejonnama go

| je mudzinnama | go | k | hejoynama | go |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

VOC Muzingnama- TOP Kheyongnama- TOP
Muzingna khejongna
मुजिङ्नामा खेयोङ्नामा त

363
ये--- सिदि खे?नाम् ले फेक्कानामा ले
je... sidi $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h} a \text { ?namle }} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ekanamale
je sidi $\quad k^{\text {hapnam-le }} \quad p^{\text {hekanama-le }}$
VOC a high altitude cane rope-INS hanging rope-INS
The rope made up of the high altitude cane.
लेकाली बेतको डोरीमा

364
ये--- मादि खेप्नाम् ले फेक्कानामा ले
je.. madi kha?namle phekanamale
je madi kha?nam -le phekanama -le
VOC cane of marshy land rope-INS hanging rope-INS
The rope made up of the bamboo of the low land
औलोको बेतको डोरीमा

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

$k^{\text {hun }}$ e huk -so khen -sin lo taye khen -sin lo s/he hand-BAL tie up -REF EMPH arms tie up-REF EMPH She hung down to those ropes.
(उनी डोरीमा) समाएर झुण्डिन्
366
ये-- लाइक्कुम् साधिक् फेनिया साधिक्
je... laikkum sa? dhik phenija sa? dhik
je laikkum sa? dhik $p^{\text {henija sa? }} \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ik}$
VOC male baby one loin cloth wearer baby one

She had a male baby.
तिनले छोरो पाइन् ( पुरुष जातको बच्चा जन्माइन्)।
367
ये--नासागेङ्बा ग चम्लेङ्केङ्बा ग नाम्सागेङ्बा चम्लेक्बा साधिक्
je... nasageyba go tºmlekeyba go namsageyba go tsomleyba sa? dhik

VOC bright ( m ) TOP tall TOP smart( m ) TOP weighty $(\mathrm{m})$ baby one The infant was bright, healthy and of full weight.
शिशु उज्यालो स्वस्थ र पूर्ण तौलको थियो।
368
ये--खुने थाप्साङ् नि कत्तु लदेन् नि कत्तु
je.... k k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ne thapsan ni kottu loden ni kottu
je khune thapsay ni kott-u loden ni kott-u
VOC s/he suddenly CTR have-3P expulsion CTR have-3P
She bore such an infant.
तिनले त त्यस्तो शिशु पो जन्माइन्।

369
ये--याङबेन् केदिम्बेन् फक्तुम् केदिम्बेन्
je.... jayben kedimben $p^{h}$ oktum kedimben
je jayben ke-dim -b $\varepsilon \quad$-n phoktum ke-dim -be-n
VOC arm 3-be full-NOM -ABS lap 3-be full-NOM -ABS
He was lapful and armful infant.
त्यो शिशु अंगालो र काख भरिको थियो।

370
ये--- लाइक्काङ् साधिक् फेनिया साधिक्
je.. laikkay sa? dhik phenija sa? dhik
je laikkay sa? dhik phenija sa? dhik
VOC masculine baby one loin cloth wearing baby one
The male baby
पुरुष जातको शिशु
371
ये---हुक्सो कत्तुरो ताँङे कत्तुरो
je... hukso kotturo taye kottu ro
je huk -so kott-u ro taye kott-u ro

VOC hand -BAL have-3P-ASS near by have-3P ASS
She took the baby.
उनले शिशुलाई लिइन्।
372
आल्ल ले? वा पेगेल्ले लियेन् पेगेल्ले

| allo | lع?wa | pegelle | li-jen pegelle |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| allo | lع?wa | peg- $\varepsilon$-lle | li-jen | peg-c-lle |
| now | time | go-PT-SUB | fourth-day | go-PT-SUB |
| The fourth day of the birth, चार दिन पुगे पछि |  |  |  |  |

373
वया मेभोक्सु याङ्दाङ् मेभोक्सु
woja meb ${ }^{\text {hoksu }}$ jaydan meb ${ }^{\text {hoksu }}$
woja me- bhoks-u jayday me- boks -u
APP nsAS- perform-3P baptismal rite nsAS-perform-3P
The naming ritual was held.
न्वरानको कर्मकाण्ड सम्पन्न भयो।

374
साम्सो मेवान्दु योमिङ् मेवान्दु
samso mewandujomiy mewandu
sam -so me- wand-u jo-min me-wand -u identity-BAL nsAS-baptize-3P BAL- name nsAS-baptize-3P The baby was given the name for his formal identity.
औपचारिक परिचयको लागि शिशुको नाम लगाइदिए।

375
ये---याङ्बेन् केदिम्बेन् फक्तुम् केदिम्बेन्
je.... jaŋben kedimben $p^{h}$ oktum ke- $\operatorname{dim}-b \varepsilon \quad-n$
je jayben ke- dim -be -n phoktum ke- dim -be -n VOC arm AP- be full-NOM -ABS lap AP- be full -NOM -ABS
The baby full of the lap and arms.
त्यो शिशु अंगालो र काख भरिको थियो।

376
ये---लावोतिबा रो लाघेम्याङ्बा रो
je... la:otiba ro lag ${ }^{\text {hem }}$ jayba ro
je la:otiba ro laghem jayba ro $^{\text {hem }}$
VOC lunar beam like(m) ASS sun rays same ASS
The bright like the sun and the moon.
जून र घामजस्तै उज्यालो
377
ये---साम्सो मेघेक्तु योमिङ् मेघेक्तु
je... samso meghektu jomin meghektu
je sam -so me-ghekt -u jo-min m $\varepsilon$-ghekt-u VOC identity -BAL nsAS- put-3P BAL -name nsAS-put-3P They named the baby.

```
शिशु नाम लगाइदिए।
```

378
आल्ल याङ्बेन् केदिम्बेन् फक्तुम् केदिम्बेन्
allo jayben kedimben $p^{\text {hoktum kedimben }}$
allo jayben $k \varepsilon$ - $\operatorname{dim} \quad-b \varepsilon \quad-n \quad p^{\mathrm{h}}$ oktum $\mathrm{k} \varepsilon$-dim -be-n
nowarm AP- be full -NOM -ABS lap AP-be full-NOM-ABS
Now the baby full of the lap and arms.
अब अँगालो र काख भरिको

379
ये--सिमायोमा रे चप्मा रक् पेरो
je... simajomma?re tsopma? rok pe ro
je simajom-ma? -re tsop -ma? rok pe ro
VOC weaken -INF-SUB dry up-INF FOC go/PT ASS
Began to be lanky day after day.
दिन दिनै दुब्लाउदै गएर सुक्तै गयो ।
380
ये--- थानायोमारे युम्मा रक् पेआङ्
je... thenajommaPre jomma? rok pean
je thenajom -ma? -re jom -ma? rok pe-ay
VOC minimize-INF -SUB weaken-INF FOC go/PT-pfG
The baby happened to be smaller and smaller.
बच्चा गल्दै सानो हुदै गयो।
381
ये--- वया तिम्सेरो सोलाक् रक् तिम्से

| je | $\ldots$ | woja | tims | ro | solak | rok tims $\varepsilon$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| je ... | woja | tims | $-\varepsilon$ | ro | solak | rok tims | $-\varepsilon$ |
| VOC | APP | cover | -PT | ASS | palmful | space FOC | Pover |

AS cover palmful space FOC cover -PT
By then the baby came to fill up only the palm.
(अब) शिशु त केबल अँजुली भर्ने मात्रको भयो।

382
ये---सोलाक् केयामा युम्मा रक् पेगे
je...... solak kejama jomma? rok pege
je solak ke-ja-ma? jom-ma? rok peg $-\varepsilon$
VOC palmful 3-big-INF weaken-INF FOC go -PT
He became as big as the hand-cup.
उ त खिएर हत्केला जत्रो मात्रको बन्यो।

383
आल्ल खुने मुजिङ्नामा ग खेयोङ्नामा ग

| allo | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h} u n \varepsilon}$ | mudzinnama | go | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hejonnama }}$ | g |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| allo | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{un} \varepsilon$ | mudzinnama | go | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hejonnama }}$ | g |
| now | s/he | Muzingnama- | TOP | Kheyongnama- | TOP |

Now muzingna khejongna
अब 3 मुजिङ्नामा खेयोङ्नामा त

384
ये--एच्छुम् नि पोखेबे एप्फानि पोखेबे
je... ettsh ni pok $^{\text {h }} \varepsilon$ be epp ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ ni pok ${ }^{\text {h }} \varepsilon$ be
je ett ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ um ni pok $^{\text {h }} \quad-\varepsilon \quad$-be epp $^{\text {ha }}$ ni pok $^{\text {h }} \quad-\varepsilon \quad$-be
VOC how CTR happen-PT -INQ what- CTR happen -PT -INQ
What had happened to the baby?
बच्चालाई के चाहिं भएको होला?
385
सिक्कुम् नि मए निङ्वा नि मए

je sikkum ni moj- nipwa ni moj-
VOC thought CTR lose-PT idea CTR lose-PT
Thoughtless and surprised,
(भनेर) विचार शून्य र चकित भइन्।
386
थो तागारा हिम् थो निड्वाफु हिम् थो

above almighty dwelling above god father dwelling above
Up above the dwelling, the almighty god
माथि परमेश्वरको लोकमा

387
खुने सेन्दो पेगे रो सेलाप पेगे रो

| khun $\varepsilon$ | sendo peg $\varepsilon$ | ro | se:lap | peg $\varepsilon$ | ro |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hun }} \varepsilon$ | sendo peg $-\varepsilon$ | ro | se:lap | peg | $-\varepsilon$ | ro |

s/he inquisitively go -PT ASS inquire go -PT ASS

She went in order to ask
तिनी सोधखोज गर्न गइन्।

388
आल्ल तागेरामा ए निङ्वाफुमा ए

| allo | tagaramae | nijwap ${ }^{\text {h }}$ uma-e <br> allo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tagarama-e | ni引wap ${ }^{\text {uma-e }}$ |  |
| now | tagarama-VOC | god father-VOC |

Oh my lord! almighty father!
हे परमेश्वर!

389
याङ्सा पोक्सेआङ् कुन्धे पोक्सेआङ्
jaysa pokseay kundhe pokszay
jaysa poks- - -ay kundhe poks $-\varepsilon \quad$-ay
what happen-PT-pfG how happen -PT -pfG
What was the reason/what happened?
के कसरी भएर हो?
390
ये--- थिन्दा पोरेबेन् आसा पोरेबेन्


VOC baby grow-PT-NOM-ABS 1-baby grow-PT-NOM-ABS
My growing son
मेरो बढ्दो छोरो त
391
सोलाक्ले चामा युम्मा रक् पेरो
solak-le tsama? jomma? rok pe ro $b^{\text {han }}$ an
solak -le tsa-ma? jom-ma? rok pe ro $b^{\text {han }}$
palmful space-ERG eat-INF weaken-INF FOC go/PT ASS SUB
Became as big the size of the palm
हत्केलो जत्रो मात्र पो भयो त!

392
ये सेवा येबे रो सेन्दो येबे रो
je... sewa jebe ro se:ndo $j \varepsilon b \varepsilon$ ro
je sewa jeb - $\varepsilon$ ro se:ndo jeb- $\varepsilon$ ro
VOC solicitously stand-PT ASS ask stand-PT ASS
Asked humbly to god
(तिनले) विनयपूर्वक सोधनी गरिन्।

393
ये--तागेरामेल्ले निङ्वाफुमेल्ले
je tagaramelle nijwap ${ }^{\text {h }} u m$ clle
je tagarame -lle nijwap ${ }^{\text {h }}$ um $\varepsilon$-lle
VOC almighty -ERG god father -ERG
The god
परमेश्वरले

394
आल्ल सिक्कुम् पिरुमुबे निङ्वा पिरुमुबे
allo sikkum pirumube niywa pirumube

| allo | sikkum | pir | -u | -mu | -be | niŋwa pir | -u | -mu | -be |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| now | thought | give/PT | -3P | -REP | -e | idea give/PT | -3 P | -REP | -e |

now thought give/PT -3P -REP -e idea give/PT -3P -REP -e
Granted the knowledge and wisdom.
(तिनले) (उनलाई) ज्ञान र विवेक प्रदान गरे।

395
मुजिङ्नामा ए खेयोङ्नामा ए
mudzinnamae $k^{\text {hejonnamae }}$
mudzinnama-e khejonnama -e
Muzingnama-VOC Kheyongnama -VOC
Addressing to Muzingna Khejongna!
हे मुजिङ्नामा खेयोङ्नामा !
396
खेने पक्लारे यम्मा खेने सिक्कुम् केहोप्मे
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \mathrm{n} \varepsilon$ poklare jomma $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon n \varepsilon$ sikkum kehopm $\varepsilon$
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \mathrm{n} \varepsilon$ pokla-re jomma $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \mathrm{n} \varepsilon$ sikkum $\mathrm{k} \varepsilon$ - hop -m $\varepsilon$
you(s) neck-GEN $\operatorname{big}(\mathrm{F})$ you(s) thought AP- not to be-AP
You have a big neck but you lack the thought.
तिमी घाँटी चाहिं ठूलो भएकी तर बुध्दि नभएकी (रहिछौ)

```
397
हुक्मारे यम्मा खा मेन्लेम्नामा ए
hukmarejomma ka mellemnamae
hukma -re jomma ka mel-l\varepsilon-mna-ma-e
hand(F) -GEN big(F) method NEG-know-PP-NOM-VOC
You have big arms but lack the sense.
हात त ठूलै छन् तर सुझबुझ नभएकी रहिछौ।
398
लाङ्मारे यक्मा लाक्लो मेल्लेम्नामा ए
laymare jomma lamlo m&ll\varepsilonmnamae
layma-re jomma lamlo mel-l\varepsilon-mna-ma-e
leg(F)-GEN large(F) ahead/leading NEG-know-PP-NOM-VOC
Having big legs but not knowing for leading
खुट्टा त ठूलै (छन) तर अघि बढन नसक्ने (रहिछौ)
399
थेगेक्ले यक्मा सिक्कुम् केहोप्मे
th}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{l}}{}{\mathrm{ tgekle jomma sikkum k&hopm&}
th}\varepsilongek -le jomma sikkum k\varepsilon-hop -m\varepsilon
head-GEN large(F) thought AP-not to be-AP
Big head but without mind
टाउको त ठुलै (छ), तर दिमाग नभएकी (रहिछौ)
4 0 0
सिक्तुम्ले केम्मे निङ्वा केहोप्मे
siktumle kemm}\varepsilon k\mp@subsup{k}{}{\textrm{h}}\varepsilonn\varepsilon ni\jmathwa k\varepsilonhopm
siktum-le k\varepsilonmm\varepsilon k
neck-GEN long(F) you(s) idea AP-not tobe-AP
Long neck but no mind
गर्दन त लामै छ तर ज्ञान नभएकी (रहिछौ)
4 0 1
ये--थेगेक्ले यक्मा नेसिक् मेन्दिम्नामा
je.....th}\varepsilongekle jomma n\varepsilonsik mendimmnama
je thegek-le jomma n\varepsilonsik men-dim -mna -ma
VOC head -GEN large (F) brain NEG- get full-PP-NOM
Big headed but not the brain filled with ideas.
टाउको त ठुलै (छ), तर दिमाग नभरिएकी (रहिछौ)
4 0 2
आल्ल खेने यो तेङ्गो चक्पेक् यो आलाङ् चक्पेक् यो
allo khen\varepsilon tengo t`okp\varepsilon?jo alay tsokp\varepsilon? jo
allo k
now you(s) feet a little bit there 1-leg a little bit there
Now you near by my legs
अब तिमी मेरो गोडा छेउमा
4 0 3
मिक्खुङ् पेगे ए ईमाङ् पेगे ए
mikk}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}uy peg\varepsilon? i:may peg\varepsilon?
mikk}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}}u\boldsymbol{y}\mathrm{ peg -&? i:ma\ peg -&?
```

```
asleep go- -IMP sleep go -IMP
Fall asleep.
निदाउ!
4 0 4
आन्दो वया फक्किल्ले सेत्लुम् फक्किल्ले
ando woja p
ando woja phok-ille sctlum pob b
after a while APP break-SUB after mid-night break-SUB
After at dawn or the day break
भरे मध्य रात पछि
4 0 5
इङ्गा तादिक् पिनेरो सेप्माङ् पिनेरो भाङ्
inga tadik pine ro sepma\etapine ro b b
inga tadik pi-n\varepsilon ro sepman pi -n\varepsilon ro b b
I vision give-1 }->2\mathrm{ ASS dream give- 1 
I(God) make you (mortal mother) dream
म तिमीलाई सपना दिलाउँछु।
```

406
खुने सक्वा युक्तुरो मुइङ युक्तुरो

s /he message put forward-3P ASS good news put forward-3P-ASS
He instructed
उनले निर्देशन गरे।
407
आल्ल तागेरामाले निङ्वाफुमाले

The god,Tagarama Ningwaphuma
अव भगवान तागेरामा निएवाफुमाको
408
पेलि इसि;क् लो पा:न्जा इसि:क् लो खुने
peli isi:k lo pa:nd ${ }^{\text {za }}$ isi:k lo $k^{\text {hun }}$ e
peli isi:k -lo pa:nd ${ }^{\text {za }}$ isi:k lo $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hun }}$
speech according to-EMPH suggestion according to-EMPH $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$
According to the words/instruction
बोली बचन (निर्देशन) अनुसार
409
तागेरामारेन् निङ्वाफुमारेन्
tagaramaren nijwap ${ }^{\text {h }}$ umaren
tagarama -re-n nijwap ${ }^{\text {h }}$ uma -r $\varepsilon$-n
almighty-GEN-ABS god father-GEN-ABS
Of the god, Tagara Ningwaphuma
तागेरामा निङवाफुमाको

410
तेङ्गो चक्पेक् यो कुलाङ् चक्पेक् यो


```
4 1 1
मिखुङ् नि नेसे इमाङ् नि नेसे
mikkhuy ni nes\varepsilon i:may ni nes\varepsilon
mikk}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}u\eta ni n\varepsilons - & i:ma\eta ni n ms - 
asleep-CTR lie -PTsleep CTR lie-PT
Had a sleep.
(उनी) निदाउन पुगिन्।
```

412
वया फक्से रो सेत्लुम् फक्से रो
woja $p^{\mathrm{h}}$ oks $\varepsilon$ ro $\mathrm{s} \varepsilon$ tlum $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ כks $\varepsilon$ ro
woja $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ วks- $\varepsilon$ ro setlum $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{oks}^{2}-\varepsilon$ ro
APP break-PT ASS after mid night break-PT ASS
It was dawn
मध्य रात कटे पछि
413
तादिक् नि पिरु सेप्माङ् नि पिरु
tadik ni piru sepmayni piru
tadik ni pir -u sepmay ni pir -u
vision CTR give/PT-3P dream CTR give/PT -3P
He granted the dream vision.
(प्रभुले) सपना दिए
414
तादिक् नुरे रो सेप्माङ् नुरे रो
tadik nure ro sepmay nure ro
tadik nur- $\varepsilon$ ro sepmay nur $-\varepsilon$ ro
vision be all right-PT ASS dream be all right-PT ASS
The dream was nice.
सपनाले शुभ संकेत गर्यो।
415
तादिक् युत्तु रो सेप्माङ् युत्तु रो
tadik juttu ro sepman juttu ro
tadik jutt-u ro sepman jutt-u ro
vision hint at-3P ASS dream hint at-3P ASS
The dream hinted at something good
सपनाले रामो बतायो।
416
आन्दो तान्छो तारेआङ् साक्नाम् तारेआङ्
ando $\operatorname{tant}^{\text {sh }} \mathbf{O}$ tarean saknam tareay
ando $\quad \operatorname{tants}^{\text {th }} \mathbf{O}$ tar $-\varepsilon$-ay saknam tar $-\varepsilon$-ay
after a while morning come-PT-pfG dawn come-PT-pfG Later on as the sun rises,
घाम झुल्किए पछि,
417
मुजिङ्नामा ग खेयोङ्नामा ग
je... mudzinnama go khejonnama go
je mudzinnama go khejonnama go
VOC Muzingnama TOP Kheyongnama TOP
Muzingnama Khejongnama
मुजिङ्नामा खेयोङ्नामा
418
अत्तो नोक्से रो याङ्नोङ् नोक्से रो
otto nokse ro jannoy
noks $\varepsilon$ ro
otto noks $-\varepsilon$ ro jaŋnən noks- $\varepsilon$ ro hastily return-PT ASS instantly return-PT ASS
Instantly, she turned back.
तुरुन्तै फर्कि हाली
419
तोदो नोक्से रो सान्नोङ् नोक्से रो
todo nokse ro sannoy nokse ro
todo noks $-\varepsilon$ ro sannon noks $-\varepsilon$ ro
wild buffalo return-PT ASS immediately return -PT ASS
And came back like wild buffalo.
(उनी) अर्ना झैं फरक्क फर्केर आइन्।
420
आल्ल तोदोक् लाङ्घेक् आङ् सान्नोङ् थासिङ् आङ् खुने चुहेक्ले पाङ्भे चिफयङ्ले पाङ्भे

 now wild buffalo walk-pfG return depart-REF-pfG s/he knitters-GEN village basket makers-GEN village
She turned as the cape buffalo and went to the bamboo basket makers village.
उनी अर्नाझैं फनक्क फर्किएर डोको डालो बुन्नेहरुको गाउँमा गइन्।
421
ये-- सिदि याङ्दाङ्मा मादि याङ्दाङ्मा
je... sidi jaŋdayma madi jaydaŋma
je sidi jaydayma madi jaydayma
VOC a high altitude cane cradle cane of marshy land cradle
The cradle of two types canes
लेकाली र औलाली दुईखालका बेतहरुको कोक्रो

$$
422
$$

नालि केत्तुआङ् कुजेन् केत्तुआङ्
je... nali ketuay $\operatorname{kud}^{z} \varepsilon n$ piruay
je nali ket-u -ay ku- dz $\varepsilon$ pir-u -aŋ
VOC wage pay-3P-pfG its- price give-3P -pfG
Having paid the reasonable price.
दरमाहा तिरेर

423
ये--वया युरु रो योलाम् युरु रो


425
ये--मिनु फेक्वाहा केक्यक्ना फेक्वाहा ये-- थिन्दाङ् सेत्लोलो सायु सेत्लोलो
je... minu $p^{\text {h }}$ हkwaha? kekjokna $p^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon k w a h a ? ~ j e . . . \mathrm{t}^{\text {hinday }}$ setlo lo saju setlo lo je minu $p^{\text {h }} \varepsilon k w a-h a ? ~ k \varepsilon k j o k n a p^{\text {h }} \varepsilon k w a-h a ? ~ j e ~ t^{\text {hinday }}$ sttlo lo saju sttlo lo VOC APP leaf-p wild turmeric leaf-p VOC baby bed EMPH offspring bed EMPH The leaves of different plants for the baby's bed गुजरगानो पातहरु नानीको ओछयानको लागि

426
ये--वसा फेत्तु रो थो लाम् फेत्तु रो

| je... | wosa | $\mathrm{p}^{\text {h }}$ ¢ttu ro | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ | lam | $\mathrm{p}^{\text {h }}$ ¢ttu | ro |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| je | wosa | $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ¢tt -u | ro | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ O | lam | $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ tt | -u | ro |
| VOC | APP | bring-3P | ASS | above | MED | bring | -3P | ASS |

She brought down
तिनले ल्याइन्

427
मुजिङ्नामेल्ले खेयोङ्नामेल्ले ये--- थिन्दाङ् यक्सु रो कुसा नि यक्सु रो mudzinnamelle kejonnamelle je..... thinday joksu ro kusa? ni joksu ro mudzinname-lle kejoŋname-lle je thinday joks-u ro ku- sa? ni joks-u ro Muzingnama-ERG Kheyongnama-ERG VOC APP shake-3P ASS his/her-babyCTR shake-3P ASS Muzingna Khejongna swung her son in the cradle.
मुजिङ्नामा खेयोड्नामाले उनको नानीलाई (बेतको त्यसै कोक्रामा) हल्लाइन्।
428
ये--- थिन्दाङ् यक्सुआङ् कुसा यक्सुआङ् साक्सो खेक्सु रो कुमिङ खेक्सु रो
je...thinday joksuay ku-sa? joksuay samso $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{eksu}$ ro jomin $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eksu ro
je thinday joks-u -ay ku-sa? joks-u-ay sam-so $k^{\text {heks-u ro }}$ jo-min k ${ }^{\text {heks }}$ en ro
VOC baby shake-3P-pfG his/her- baby shake-3P-pfG identity-BAL put-3P ASS BAL-name put -3P ASS
Swinging her son in the cradle, she named her baby too.
(उनले) कोक्रोमा हल्लाउदै बच्चाको न्वारान गरिदिइन्।

आल्ल खेने ग सुसुवेङ्बा ए लालावेङ्बा ए

| allo | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \mathrm{n} \varepsilon$ | go | susuwenba-e lalaweybae |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| allo | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \mathrm{n} \varepsilon$ | go | susuwenba-e | lalaweyba | -e |
| now | you(s) | TOP | Susuwengba-VOC | Lalawengba | -VOC |
| Now you are Susuwengba Lalawengba. |  |  |  |  |  |
| अब तिमी सुसुवेङ्बा हौ लालावेङ्बा हौ। |  |  |  |  |  |

430
खेने मेन्छाम् केबोक्से याप्मि केबोक्से
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \mathrm{n} \varepsilon$ ment ${ }^{\text {sham }}$ k $\varepsilon$ boks $\varepsilon$ japmi keboks $\varepsilon$
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \mathrm{n} \varepsilon \mathrm{m} \varepsilon \mathrm{nth}^{\text {sham }} \mathrm{k} \varepsilon$ - boks $-\varepsilon \quad$ japmi k $\varepsilon$-boks $-\varepsilon$
you(s)human 2- become -PT man 2-become -PT

You have become human being.
अब तिमी मान्छे बनेउ।

431
आल्ल खेने ग केजङ्ले साने बा सुरित् ले साने बा


VOC now you(s) TOP storm-GEN baby -be-NOM breeze-GEN baby-be-NOM
Hey! now you are the son of air and wind.
ए, (तिमीलाई थाह छ?) तिमी त वायु पुत्र हौ; हावा बतासका छोरा हौ।

432
खेने आल्ल चाँगि थाडेओ थोबुन् थाडेओ तिङ्दिङ् मेन्दोके नाहेन् मेन्दोके

 you(s) now development achieve-IMP-VOC growth achieve-IMP-VOC thorn like envy npG-stumble-npG jealousy npG- stumble -npG
Now may you grow and develop without jealously and envious feeling!
(कसैको) ईर्प्या र आँखीडाइ नलागी तिम्रो वृद्धि र विकास होस!
433
ये हाल्ला मेन्धाए मिक्सो मेन्धाए
je... halla mend hape mikso mend ${ }^{\text {haPe }}$
je halla men-d ${ }^{\text {ha }}-$ Pe mik -so men- $d^{\text {ha }}$-?e
VOC curse npG-fall -npG jealousy -BAL npG- fall -npG
May there be no curse and ill remarks.
(तिमीलाई कसैको) गाली र सरापहरु नपरुन्।

434
साक्फाक् मेन्लए चिरेप् मेन्लए
sakp ${ }^{\text {hak }} \quad$ menlope tsircp menlo?e
sakp ${ }^{\text {hak }}$ men-lo-?e tsirep men- lo-?e
harassed npG-look-npG inferior feeling npG-look-npG
May there be no demoralizing cases.
मन्टो निहुँराउनु (शीर झुक्ने )पर्ने कुनै कुरो नहोस् !

435
ये फुङ्फाक् मेम्बेके यक्नाम् मेन्बेके
je... $p^{\text {h }} u \eta p^{\text {hak }}$ membekPe joknam m mbekPe
je phunp ${ }^{\text {hak }} \quad$ mem- bek -?e joknam mem-bek-Pe
VOC flowerpetal npG-happen -npG dislocation npG-happen-npG
May your defame never be spread like the petals of flower.
बेइज्जत र दुर्नाम नफैलिओस्।
436
खेने चाँगि थाडेओ थोबुन् थाडेओ

you(s) development develop-IMP-VOC growth achieve -IMP-VOC
May you grow and develop!
तिम्रो वृद्धि र विकास होस!
437
खुने ये--- वया वान्दुरो याङदाङ वान्दुरो
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ une je.... woja wanduro jayday wandu ro
$k^{\text {hune }}$ je woja wand-u ro jayday wand -u ro
s/he VOC APP baptize-3P-ASS baptismal rite baptize-3P ASS
She swung the cradle.
उनले न्वरानको रीतानुसार कोक्रो हल्लाइन्।

438
वया फोक्सुरो याङ्दाङ् फोक्सुरो

| woja | $p^{\text {h }}$ oksu | ro | janday | $p^{\text {h }}$ oksu | ro |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wja | $\mathrm{p}^{\text {h }}$ oks | -u | ro jayday | $\mathrm{p}^{\text {h }}$ oks-u | ro |
| APP | perform | $-3 P$ | ASS baptismal rite | perform | $-3 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{ASS}$ |

She performed the ritual of naming.
उनले न्वारनको कर्म संम्पन्न गरिन्।

439
साम्सो वान्दुरो कुमिङ् वान्दुरो सुसुवेक्बा ए लालावेङ्बा ए भाङ्
samso wandu ro kumin wandu ro
sam -so wand -u ro ku- min wand-u ro
identity-BAL shake-3P-ASS his/her- name shake-3P-ASS
susuweybae lalaweybae $b^{\text {h }}$ ay
susuwenba-e lalaweyba-e $\quad b^{\mathrm{h}}$ ay
Susuwengba-VOC Lalawengba-VOC SUB
She named as Susuwoengba Lalawoengba.
सुसुवेङबा हौ लालावेङबा हौ भन्दै (उनले) न्वारन गरिदिइन्।
440
मुजिए्नामेल्ले खेयोङ्नामेल्ले कुसा पोरा ग थिन्दाङ् पोरा ग mudzinnamelle $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ejoŋnamelle ku-sa? pora go thinday pora go $\operatorname{mud}^{\text {zinname }}$-lle $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hejonname }}$-lle ku-sa? pora go thinday pora go Muzingnama-ERG Kheyongnama-ERG his/her- baby growing TOP baby growing TOP Muzingnama Khejongnama's son began to grow.
मुजिङ्नामा खेयोड्नामाको छोरो बढ्न थाल्यो।

हे--सुसुवेड्बा लालावेङ्बा भाङ् साम्सो नि खेक्तु योमिङ् नि खेक्तु
he.....susuweyba $b^{\text {ha }}$ a lalaweyba $b^{\text {h }}$ a samso ni kektu kumin ni $k^{\text {h }}$ ektu
he susuweyba $b^{\text {ha }} a y$ lalaweyba $b^{\text {ha }}$ ay sam-so -ni $k^{\text {hekt-u }}$ ku-min -ni $k^{\text {hekt-u }}$ VOC Susuwengba SUB Lalawengba SUB identity-BAL-CTR tie-3P his/her-name CTR tie-3P She named the baby as Susuwoengba Lalawoengba.
सुसुवेङ्बा लालावेङ्बा भनेर (उनले छोराको) नाम राखी दिइन्।

442
साम्सो खेक्तुल्ले कुमिङ् खेक्तुल्ले सुसुवेङ्बा ग लालावेङ्बा ग samso kektulle ku-min khektulle susuweyba go lalaweyba go sam-so ke kt-u-lle ku-min $\mathrm{k}^{\text {he ekt-u-lle susuweyba go lalaweyba go }}$ identity-BAL tie -3P-SUB his/her name tie-3P-SUB Susuwengba TOP Lalawengba TOP As named Susuwoengba Lalawoengba
त्यस अनुसार (उनका छोराको) नाम सुसुवेङ्बा लालावेङ्बा भयो।

443
ये-- सोलाक्ले केजामा युम्मा रक् केबेक्पेन्
je..... solak-le kedzama jomma? rok kebekpen
je solak-le ke-dza-ma jom -ma? rok ke-bek-pe -n
VOC palmful space-ERG AP-eat-AP weaken -INF- FOC AP-go-AP -ABS
The lean and thin in the size of palm
अँजुली भरि (मात्रै) को (उनको छोराको)
444
चाँगि थाङेरो थोबुन् थाङेरो आल्ल

development achieve-PT ASS growth achieve-PT-ASS now
Now began to grow and develop.
अब क्रमशः वृद्धि र विकास हुन थाल्यो।
445
याङ्मेन् नि तिम्से फक्तुम् नि तिम्से
je....... jaymen ni timse phoktum nitims $\varepsilon$
je jaymen ni tims $-\varepsilon$ phoktum ni tims $-\varepsilon$
VOC container-CTR cover -PT lap CTR cover -PT
Filled the lap and the arms
(उनको छोरो अब) काख र अँगालो भरिको भयो।
446
आल्ल याम्मु ले?वा पेगे रो थिक् तङ् पेगे रो


Now one year passed.
(यसरी) एक वर्षबित्यो।
447
ये-- लुङ्धुङ्मा हेक्ते पाङ्वामा हेक्ते
je...... luydhumma? hekte paywa ni hekt
je luyd ${ }^{\text {h }} u \boldsymbol{y}$-ma? hekt- $\varepsilon$ paywa ni hekt- $\varepsilon$
VOC enjoy -INF begin-PT play CTR begin-PT
Began to play
(बिस्तारै त्यो केटो) मनोरञ्जन गर्न र खेल्न पो थाल्यो।
448
ले?वा पेगेल्ले नेदङ् पेगेल्ले खुने वसा पेहेक्ते थोलाम् पेहेक्ते
l $\varepsilon$ ?wa pegelle ned py pegelle $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h} u n \varepsilon}$ wosa pe-hekt- $\varepsilon$ tho-lam pehekt $\varepsilon$
 time go -PT-SUB two years go-PT-SUB s/he APP go/PT-begin-PTup-MED go/PT-begin -PT
Two years passed, he started to go up the side.
दुई वर्षको हुँदा उ उँभो तिर जान थाल्यो।
449
ले? वा दाङ्मारे चम्योक् दाङ्मारे चाँगि रक् पेगे थोबुन् रक् पेगे
l $\varepsilon$ ?wa daymare $t^{\text {ts}}$ omjok daymalle tsangi rok peg $\varepsilon$ thobun rok peg $\varepsilon^{\text {thob }}$
l $\varepsilon$ ?wa danma-re tsomjok danma-lle tsangi rok peg- $\varepsilon$ thobun rok peg $-\varepsilon$ time goddess-ERG unhindered goddess -ERG developme FOC go-PT growth FOC go -PT The goddess of growth and development made him grow and develop.

```
वृद्धि र विकासकी देवीले उसलाई छिटो बढाइन्।
```

450
सुसुवेङ्बा ग लालावेङ्बा ग ले?वा पेगेरो सुम् दङ् पेगेरो

| susuweyba |  | lalaweyba | go | lع?wa pege | ro | sum-doy peg $\varepsilon$ | ro |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| susuwejba |  | lalaweyba | go | l $\varepsilon$ ?wa peg- $\varepsilon$ | ro | sum-doy peg | - $\varepsilon$ | ro |
| Susuwengba | TOP | P Lalawengba | TOP | time go-PT | ASS | three years | -PT | AS |

```
सुसुवेङ्बा लालावेङ्बा (अब) तीन वर्षको भयो।
```


## 451

लुङ्धुङ्मा देन् ओ पाङ्वामा देन् ओ खुने सेजो येम्सिङ् लो पेहि येम्सिङ् लो
luyd ${ }^{\text {h }}$ umma? den?o paywama? den?o $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h } u n \varepsilon ~} \mathrm{sed}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{j}$ jemsin lo pehi jemsin lo
 enjoy-INF place-LOC play-INF place-LOC s/he round stand-REF EMPH circle stand-REF In the place of play ground, he began to jump and dance in a circle.
खेल्ने ठाउँमा उ उफ्रिन र नाच्न थाल्यो।

452
ले? वा पेगेल्ले लिदङ् पेगेल्ले वया याङ्सिङ् लो आफेल्लि याङ्सिङ् लो
le?wa pegelle li-dəy pegelle woja jaysin lo ap ${ }^{\text {helli }}{ }^{\text {jansin } \text { lo }}$ le?wa peg- $\varepsilon$-lle li-doy peg- $\varepsilon$-lle woja jay-siy lo ap ${ }^{\text {helli }}$ jajay-sin lo time go-PT-SUB four year go-PT-SUB APP carry-REF EMPH catapult carry-REF EMPH In the four years age, he started to have catapult and to wander about.
चार वर्षको भए पछि 3 फित्कौली लिएर हिंड्न थाल्यो।

453
ये---सुसुवेङ्बा ग लालावेङ्बा ग सिक्कुम् तेसे रो निङ्वा तेसे रो।
je.... susuweyba go lalaweyba go sikkum tese ro nijwa tese ro
je susuweyba go alaweyba go kum tes $-\varepsilon$ ro nijwa tes- $\varepsilon$ ro VOCSusuwengba TOP Lalawengba TOP thought brood-PT ASS idea brood-PT-ASS Susuwoengba Lalawoengba resumed to think and feel.

[^1]मिनुआङ् साहा नाम्योक्काङ् साहा हन्दिगेक्काङ् साहा

| minuan | saPha? | namjokan | saPha? hondigekay | sapha? |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| minu ay | sa? -ha? | namjok -an | sa?-ha? | hondigek | -ay | sap-ha? |
| APP also baby-p | lizard -also | baby-p | red headed lizard-also | baby-p |  |  |
| The small babies of different reptiles like lizard, chameleon and so on. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (उसले) छेपाराका बच्चाहरु, भालेमुङग्राका बच्चाहरु, |  |  |  |  |  |  |

455
पदक्काङ् साहा चुयेक्काङ् साहा नल्लेकाङ् साहा यगु तेसुसिआङ् चङसि तेसुसिआङ्
podokay saPha? tsuyckay saPha? nollekay saPha? jogu tesusiay tson- si tesusian
podok-an sap-ha? tsunck an sap-ha? nollek-an sap-ha? jogu tes-u-si-an tson-si tes-u-si -an
frog-also baby-p toad also baby-p small lizard-also baby-p finish send-3P-nsP-pfG BAL-die send
-3P -nsP -pfG
These reptiles were killed by the child.
पाहाका, भ्यागुताका र माउसुलीका बच्चाहरुलाई मार्न थाल्यो।
456
खुने थामे युङ्मेओ कुम्मा युङ्मेओ

s/he parent $(\mathrm{F})$ be situated -INF-LOC his/her- mother sit-INF-LOC
He, in his sitting mother,
उसले आफ्नी आमाको समीपमा,
457
हुक्सो तारुआङ् ताङे तारुआङ् आक्मा यम्मा ए थामे यम्मा ए
hukso taruan tay $\varepsilon$ taruay amma jommae thame jommae
huk -so tar -u-aŋ tanc tar-u-an a-mma jomma-e thame jomma -e
hand-BAL bring-3P-pfG near by bring-3P-pfG 1-mother great(F)-VOC parent(F) great(F)-VOC
Brought and addressed the mother as 'Oh respectable mum!
(तिनीहरूलाई) ल्याएर भन्यो, ‘हे माता’ !
458
कङ् ग चामेना साइ मेन्जामा साइ सिक्लेङ्मा साइ युन्धोङ्मा साइ
koy go tsamena sai mendzama sai sikleyma? sai jund ${ }^{\text {honma? sai }}$
koy go tsa -me-na sa-i men-dza-ma sa-i sikley-ma? sa-i jund ${ }^{\text {hon }}$-ma? sa-i
this TOP eat-INF-PP meat-Q NEG-eat-INF meat-Q abhor-INF meat-Q hate -INF meat-Q
Are these edible or unedible flesh or hateful or to be thrown away?
यो मासु खान हुने खालको हो कि घिनाउनु पर्ने मासु हो कि फ्याक्नु पर्ने मासु हो हँ?
459
खुने सेन्दो चोगु रो सेलाप् चोगु रो
$\mathrm{k}^{\text {hun }}$ se:ndo tsogu ro sellap tsogu ro
$k^{\text {h}} u n \varepsilon$ se:ndo tsog -u ro sellap trog -u ro
s/he ask make-3P ASS inquire make -3P ASS
He asked and enquired of
सोधिखोजी गर्यो।

460
थामे यम्माले कुम्मा यम्माले
thamejommelle kumma jommelle
thame jomm $^{\text {ham -lle ku- mma jomm }}$-lle
parent (F) great(F) -ERG his/her- mother great(F) -ERG
His respectable mother
उसकी आमाले

461
कङ् ग मेन्जामान् सारो युन्धोङ्मा सारो आपेक्मा सारो भाङ् पेलि पिरु रो पान्जा पिरु रो

koy go men-dª -maP-n sa ro jund ${ }^{\text {h }}$ on-ma? sa ro $a: p^{\mathrm{h}}$ ek -ma? sa ro $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} a \boldsymbol{y}$ thisTOP NEG-eat-INF-NEG meat ASS strike-INF meat ASS throw -INF meat ASS SUB
peli piru ro panza piru ro
peli pir-u ro panza pir -u ro speech give-3P ASS suggestion give-3P ASS
Persuaded that this is not edible flesh and to be thrown.
यो त सबै नखाने खालको घिनाउनु पर्ने र फ्याल्नु पर्ने खालको मासु हो भनी बताइ दिइन्।

462
हेक्के मेत्तुरो ताजेङ् मेत्तुरो

| okk ${ }^{\text {he }}$ | mettu ro | $\operatorname{tad}^{z} \varepsilon \eta$ mettu | ro |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| okk ${ }^{\text {he }}$ | mett-u ro | $\operatorname{tad}^{2} \varepsilon \underline{1}$ | mett-u | ro |
| this way | say to-3PP-ASS | matter | say to-3P | ASS |

In that way, she told.
(उनले कुरो) यसरी बताइ दिइन्।

463
ये--हेक्याङ् ग खुने खिमेन् नि तेसु लेप्मु नि तेसु
je....... hekjaygo khune $\mathrm{k}^{\text {himen }} \mathrm{ni}$ tesu lepmu ni tesu
je hekjay go khune khimen ni tes-u lep-mu ni tes -u
VOC after that TOP he cast CTR give up-3P throw-INF CTR give up-3P
Therefore, he threw away.
त्यसकारण उसले फ्याँकिदियो।

464
एक्कु नि देसु योइङ् तेसु
ekku nitesu join ni tesu
ekku ni tes-u join ni tes-u
Crushingly CTR give up -3P hurl CTR give up-3P
Hurled down.
हुर्याइ दिए।
465
आल्ल ले?वा पेगे रो नादङ् पेगेल्ले
allo le?wa pege ro na-don pegelle
allo le?wa peg- $\varepsilon$ ro na-doy peg- $\varepsilon$-lle
now time go-PT ASS five years go-PT-SUB
Now five years passed
(अव) 3 पाँच बर्षको हुँदा

466
कुने वया याङसिङलो आफेल्लि याङसिल्लो
$k^{\text {h }}$ une woja jaysin lo aphelli jaysin lo
khune woja jay-siy lo ap ${ }^{\text {helli }}$ jay-siy lo s/he APP carry-REF EMPH catapult carry-REF EMPH He took catapult.
3 फित्कौली (गुलेली) लिन थाल्यो।

467
ले?वा पेगेल्ले तुक्तङ् पेगेल्ले
le?wa pegelle tuk-toy pegqlle
l $\varepsilon$ ?wa peg - $\varepsilon$-lle tuk-ton peg- $\varepsilon$-lle
time go -PT -SUB six years go-PT -SUB
Six years passed.
(उ) छ वर्ष बित्दा खेरि
468
साङ गप्फि गप्फि नावामाचङ् थो

| say | gэpp ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ | gэpp ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ | nawama ts ${ }^{\text {s }}$ y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | gэpp ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ | gэpp ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ | nawama tson |
| pe | rou | round | pleasant top |

Up in the pleasant places
माथि रमणीय ठाँउमा

469
खुने थो फङ्दुरा चङ् थो वोएड्दुरा चङ् थो


470
फगिया पु रो मागिया पु रो
$p^{\text {h}}$ ogijapu ro magija pu ro
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ogija pu ro magija pu ro
white bird ASS black bird-ASS
White and black birds
एउटा सेतो चरो र एउटा कालो चरो
471
लिङ्धित्मे युङे पेम्बेत्मे युङे
liŋbitme juŋ $\varepsilon$ pembetme juŋ $\varepsilon$ linbitme juy- $\varepsilon$ pembetme juy- $\varepsilon$ restful sit-PT closely sit-PT
Sat closely in a couple
जोडी भएर बसेका थिए।

472
आल्ल सुसुवेङ्बाल्ले लालावेङ्बाल्ले ले?वा पेगेआङ् तुक्तङ् पेगेआङ्
allo susuweyballe lalaweyballe le?wa pegean tuk-toy pegean
allo susuweyba-lle lalaweyba-lle le?wa peg- $\varepsilon$-ay tuk-toy peg- $\varepsilon$-ay
nowSusuwengba-ERG Lalawengba-ERG time go-PT-pfG six years go-PT-pfG Now, Susuwoengba Lalawoengba was six years old.
सुसुवेङ्बा लालावेङ्बा समय चक्रमा चक्रमा छ वर्षको भयो।
473
मिनु पुमेङ् ग फोगिया पुमेङ् ग यगु तेसुआङ् चङसि तेसुआङ्

APP bird-NOM-ABS TOP white bird-NOM-ABS TOP finish give up-3P -pfG BAL diegive up-3P-pfG
The white bird was killed.
(उसले) सेतो चाहिं चरालाई मारेर

474
ये-- असा तारुआङ् यो लाम् तारुआङ्
je... wosa taruan $t^{\text {h }} \mathbf{o}$ lam taruan
je wosa tar -u -ay tho -lam tar-u-ay
VOC APP bring -3P-pfG above MED bring-3P-pfG
Brought down
तल(आफ्नी आमा भएका ठाउँमा) ल्यायो।
475
ये--- थामे यम्मा ए आम्मा यम्मा ए
je.... tham jommae amma jomma-e
je thame jomma -e a-mma jomma-e
VOC parent(F) great(F)-VOC 1-mother large(F)-VOC
Oh! my mother!
हे मेरी पूजनीय आमा!
476
कङ् ग चामेना साइ सिक्लेङ्मा साइ युन्धो्डमा साइ


Is this edible flesh or hateful or to be thrown?
के यो खाने मासु हो कि घिनाउनु पर्ने मासु हो कि फ्याँक्नु पर्ने मासु हो?
477
सेन्दो चोगु रो सेलाप् चोगु रो
se:ndo tsogu ro se:lap tsogu ro
se:ndo tsog-u ro se:lap tsog-u ro
ask make-3P ASS inquire make-3P ASS
He asked and inquired of
भनेर सोध्यो (सोधनी गर्यो)।

478
थामे यम्माल्ले कुम्मा यम्माल्ले कङ् ग यगु केदेसु चङ्सि केदेसु खेने
$t^{\text {ham }}$ jommalle kumma jommalle koy go jogu kedesu tsoy- si kedesu khen $t^{\text {tham } \varepsilon ~ j o m m a ~-l l e ~ k u-m m a ~ j o m m a-l l e ~ k ə ~ g o ~ j o g u ~ k e-d e s-u ~ t s o n-s i ~ k \varepsilon-d e s-u ~ k h e n ~}$ parent great(F)-ERG his-mother large(F)-ERG this-TOP finish 2-send-3P BAL die -2-send-3P you(s) Mother told that you killed this.
आमाले भनिन्, ए, तिमीले यसलाई मारेछौ त।

कङ् ग चामेना सारो कर तान्दिक् याप्मि मेदाल्ले मेन्छाम् मेदाल्ले

482
लेक्वा थाक्केप्मो चम्योक् थाक्केप्मो ताक्पु खेक्मेन्लो तेङ्बु खेक्मेन्लो

le?wa thak kepmo tsomjok thak kepmo takpu $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }} \varepsilon \mathrm{k}$ men loteybu $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }} \varepsilon: \mathrm{k}$ men lo
time generation unhindered generation friend bird break/split-NOT-EMPH pair bird
break/split-NOT-EMPH
In this way generations pass, the couple may not be separated or parted
यसरी जोडी फुटाउन हुदैन।
483
ये आप्मे मेत्तुसि युन्धोङ् मेत्तुसि
je..... a:pme mettusi jund ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ on mettusi
je a:pme mett-u-si jund ${ }^{\text {h }}$ on mett-u-si
VOC hit kick-3P-nsP strike kick-3P-nsP
She kicked them off.
(यति भनेर आमाले त्यो मरेको चरो) मिल्काई दिइन्।
484
ले?वा दङ् केत्तु थिबोड्दङ् केत्तु
le?wa dəy kettu thiboy-dəy kettu
le?wa don kett -u thibon-don kett-u
time decade reach -3P ten years reach-3P
He was ten years old
(अब) 3 दश वर्षको भयो।

485
थामेरा माए मानु यक्माए
$t^{\text {thamera mae manu jomma-e }}$
thamera ma -e ma-nu jomma-e respectful mother -VOC mother-COM large(F)-VOC
Oh mother!
आदरनीय माता !

486
इङ्गा सावाङ् पेका रो युक्फुङ् पेका रो
inga saway peka ro jukp ${ }^{\text {huy peka ro }}$
inga saway pek-a ro jukp ${ }^{\text {h }}$ u pek-a ro

I forest go-1 ASS hunting go-1 ASS
'I want to go to the forest for hunting.
(अब) म शिकार गर्न जंगल जान्छु।
487
नावा याक्नाइ ग खेम्सिङ् याक्नाइ ग

| nawa | jaknai |  | go | $k^{\text {h }} \varepsilon m \min$ | jaknai | go |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nawa | jak -na | -i | go | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m-\sin$ | jak-na-i | go |  |

face lookgood-PP-Q TOP match-REF look good-PP-Q then
' Am I suitable or not?
मलाई सुहाउँछ कि सुहाउँदैन हँ?
488
थिन्दाङ् पोरा ए आसा पोरा ए
$t^{\text {thindan porae asa? porae }}$
$t^{\text {thinday }}$ pora-e a-sa? pora -e
baby grown -VOC 1-baby grown-VOC
' Oh my son!
मेरो प्यारो छोरा!

489
लिजुम् मेन्ने रो लिभोक् मेन्ने रो
lidzummennere ro lib ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ok mennere ro te:ydzum

bow-BAL npG- be -npG ASS bow-BAL npG-be-npG ASS friend-BAL
तेङ्जुम् मेन्ने रो तेङ्हा मेन्ने रो
te:ydzummennz?e ro te:yha? menne?e ro
te: 1 - $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}} u m$ men- $\mathrm{n} \varepsilon-\mathrm{Pe}$ ro te:y-ha? men-n $\varepsilon-\mathrm{Pe}$ ro
friend-BAL npG- be -npG ASS friend-p npG-be-npG ASS
Without bow and friends
धनुष काँड र साथीसँगी विना त
490
युक्फुङ् आम्बेक्नेन् लो सावाङ् आम्बेक्नेन् लो
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { jukp } & \text { ambeknen } & \text { lo } & \text { saway } & \text { ambeknen } & \text { lo } \\ \text { jukp } \\ \text { juy } & \text { a-m-bek } & \text {-nen } & \text { lo } & \text { saway } & \text { a-m-bek-nen } & \text { lo }\end{array}$
hunting 1-NEG-go -NEG EMPH forest 1-NEG- go-NEG EMPH
It is not possible to go to the forest for hunting.
जंगलमा शिकार खेल्नु जानु संभव हुदैन।

491
खेने ये--नावा केन्याक्नेन् लो खेम्सिङ् केन्याक्नेन् लो
$k^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon$ n $\varepsilon$ je.......nawa kenjaknen lo $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m \sin$ kenjaknen lo
$k^{\text {h}} \varepsilon n \varepsilon$ je nawa $k \varepsilon-n-j a k-n \varepsilon n \quad$ lo $k^{\text {h }} \varepsilon m-\sin$ k $\varepsilon$ - n-jak $-n \varepsilon n$ lo you(s) VOC face 2-NEG-be suitable-NEG EMPHmatch-REF 2-NEG-look good-NEG EMPH It does not suit you.
(त्यो) तिमीलाई सुहाउँदैन।

492
लिजुम् लेक्सु रो लिभोक् लेक्सु रो उसिन् नावा याक्नेइ ग खेम्सिम् याक्नेइ ग
lidzum leksu ro lib ${ }^{\text {hok }}$ leksu ro usiy nawa jaknai go kemsin jaknai go li-dzum leks -u ro li-b ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ok leks-u ro usiy nawa jak-na-i go $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \mathrm{m}$-siy jak-na-i go bow-BAL make-3P ASS bow-BAL make-3P ASS now face be suitable-PP-Q then suit-REF be suitable -PP-Q then
He made the bow and asked his mother whether it suited him or not
उसले धनु काँण बनायो र सोध्यो, "(अब) मलाई सुहायो कि सुहाएन हँ?"
493
आल्ल मिनु पोक्मेना तिङमा पोक्मेना लिजुम् नि लतु लिघेक् नि लतु
allo minu pokmena tinma pokmena lidžum ni lotu ligh ${ }^{\text {che }}$ ni lotu
allo minu pokmena tịma pokmena li-dzum ni lot -u ligh $\varepsilon$ k ni lot-u now APP fibre plant fibre bow-BAL CTR draw-3P bow string CTR draw-3P Now from the fibre of the nettle plant, he made tier of the bow
(उसले) अल्लोबाट रेसा निकाली धनुको जोँज (ताँदो?) बनायो।
494
लिजुम् नाम् मेत्तु रो लिभोक् नाम् मेत्तु रो साजारा तेम्बे लुन्धोबे तेम्बे
lidzum nammettu ro lib ${ }^{\text {h}}$ ok nammettu ro sadzara tembe lund ${ }^{\text {h }}$ obetembe li-dzum nam mett-u ro li-b ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ok nam mett-u ro sad ${ }^{\text {zara }}$ tembe lund ${ }^{\text {hobe }}$ tembe bow-BAL tie strap-3P ASS bow-BAL tie strap-3P ASS Sazara plain Lundhobe plain He tied the tier to the bow and the plain of sazara and lundhobe.
उसले धनुमा परिञ्जो लगायो र साजारा लुन्धोबे भन्ने मैदानमा
495
सिक्वा तेन् ओ चिम्जिवा तेन् ओमु

| sikwa | ten?omu | ${ }^{\text {tsimd }}$ imwa | ten?omu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sikwa | -?o-mu | $t^{\text {sim }}$ imdzimwa | ten-?o -mu |
| wet | LOC-REP | marshy | place-LOC-REP |

In the wet places (marshy land)
चिसेनी ठाउँमा (लेकमा?)।।

496
वया नि फेन्दु लिनाम नि फेन्दु
wəja ni $\quad p^{\text {h }}$ endu linam ni $\quad p^{h} \varepsilon n d u$
woja ni $p^{\mathrm{h}}$ end-u linam ni $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ हnd-u
APP CTR take off-3P bow strip CTR take off-3P
The bow's strip was taken off then it was hung.
धनुलाई फुकाइ झुन्ड्यायो।

497
थामेरा मा ए मानु यम्मा ए
thamera mae ma-nu jommae

```
tham\varepsilon-ra ma-e ma-nu jomma-e
respectful-LNK mother-VOC mother-COM large(F)-VOC
Oh!my mother !
हे माता !
4 9 8
सावाङ् पेकाल्ले युक्फुङ् पेकाल्ले
saway pekallejukp}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}}uy pekall
saway pek-a-lle jukp}\mp@subsup{}{}{h}uy pek -a-lle
forest go-1-SUB hunting go -1-SUB
going to forest for hunting
जंगलमा शिकार जाँदाखेरि
4 9 9
नावा याक्नाइ ग खेम्सिङ् याक्नाइ ग सेन्दो चगु रो सेलाप् चोगु रो
Nawa jaknai go k k}\varepsilonmsin jaknai go se:ndo tsogu ro se:lap tsogu ro
Nawa jak-na-i go knem-si\eta jak-na-I go se:ndo tsog-u ro se:lap tsog-u ro
Face be suitable-PP-Q TOP suit-REF be suitable-PP-Q TOP ask make-3P ASS inquire make-3P ASS
Asked whether it was suitable or not
सोधनी गर्यो, "अब मलाई सुहाउँदिलो भयो कि भएन?"
500
आल्ल खेने थिन्दाङ् पोरा ए आसा पोरा ए
allo k
allo k
now you(s) baby growing-VOC 1-baby growing-VOC
Oh my son!
हे मेरा छोरा, तिमी !
```

501
तोङ्जु मेन्ने ग तोङ्या मेन्ने ग

Without arrow and stick then
धनु र काँड विना त
502
नावा केन्याक्नेन् खेम्सिङ् केन्याक्नेन्
nawa kenjanen $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hemsin }} k \varepsilon n j a n \varepsilon n$
nawa ke- n- ja -nen k ${ }^{\text {hem }}$-siy $k \varepsilon$-n-ja-nen
face 2 -NEG- fit -NEG match-REF 2 -NEG-fit-NEG
It is not suitable to you.
तिमीलाई यो सुहाउँदैन।
503
थो ओ मिनु तेम्बे रो साजङ् तेम्बे रो
$t^{\text {h }} \mathbf{0}$-?o minu tembero $\operatorname{sad}^{2} \supset \eta$ tembe ro
$t^{\text {tho }}$-?o minu tembe ro $\operatorname{sad}^{z}$ эy tembe ro
aboveLOC APP plain ASS Sazong plain ASS
Up above the Sazong plain,

504
ये--- फेन् केधक्पाहा फेन्दुतिबाहा
je... $p^{h} \varepsilon n \quad$ ked ${ }^{h} \circ k p a h a ? ~ p^{h} \varepsilon n d u t i b a h a ?$

VOC iron AP- blend -AP-p iron blending -( M)-p
There are the iron mongers,
फलाम कमाउने, कामीहरु छन्।
505
सावा मेयुङ् लो तुक् हिम् मेयुङ् लो
sawa mejuplotuk-him mejuy lo
sawa me- juy lo tuk-him me-juy lo
all/complete nsAS- live ASS six-house nsAS-live ASS
Dwelling six houses there.
(त्यहाँ) सबै गरेर छ घर बस्तछन्।

506
खे?ना लाम् खुने ले?वा मेयुरु
je..... $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon$ Pnalam $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} u \mathrm{n} \varepsilon$ l le?wa m$\varepsilon j u r u$
je $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon$ ?na -lam $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h} u n \varepsilon}$ lع?wa me- jur -u
VOC there -MED s /he time nsAS- bring down-3P
from there he brought them
त्यहाँबाट उसले तिनीहरुलाई ल्यायो।

507
ये--- तासैबु चोगुआङ् लिसैबु चोगुआङ्
je... tasaibu tsoguan lisaibu tsoguan
je tasaibu tsog-u -an lisaibu tsog-u-ay
VOC friendship make-3P -pfG comradely relation make-3P-pfG
He befriended them.
उसले तिनीहरुसंग मित्रता बनायो।

508
तोङ्जुम् थक्पाइ्खु आङ् तङ्या थक्पाङ्खु आङ्
tondzum thok-paykhuay tonja thok-pank ${ }^{\text {h }} u a \eta$
ton-d²um thok-payk ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{u}$-aŋ ton-ja $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}>\mathrm{k}-p a \eta k^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{u}$-ay
arrow-BAL get prepared-3P-pfG stick-BAL get prepared-3P-pfG
He got the arrow made ready.
उसले धनुकाँण तयारी पार्न लगायो।
509
सुसुवेङ्बा ग लालावेङ्बा ग
susuweyba go lalaweyba go susuweyba go lalaweyba go
Susuwengba-TOP Lalawengba-TOP
Susuwoengba Lalawoengba
सुसुवेङ्बा लालावेङ्बा तब

510
खुने थो तोङ्जुम् याङ्छिन्आङ् तोङ्या याङ्छिन्आङ् लिजुम् याङ्छिन्आङ्

| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ un $\varepsilon$ | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ | toydzum jaytshinay | tonja jaytshinay li | $\mathrm{lid}^{\text {² }}$ um | jaytshinay |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{un} \varepsilon$ | '0 | $y-d^{\text {z }}$ um jay-tshin-ay | ton-ja jay-tshin-ay |  | li-dzum | jay-tshin-ay |

s/he above arrow-BAL take-REF-pfG stick-BAL carry-REF-pfG bow-BAL carry-REF-pfG
लिभोक याङ्छिन्आङ्
lib ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ok jay ${ }^{\text {sh }}{ }^{\text {in }}$ an
li -b ${ }^{\text {hok }} \quad$ jay -tshin $-a \eta$
bow-BAL take-REF -pfG
Took bow and arro,
धनु र काँणहरु आफूसंग लिएर
511
खुने थामे यम्मा ए आम्मा यम्मा ए नावा याक्नाइ ग खेम्सिङ् याक्नाइ ग भाङ्
 $k^{\mathrm{h}} u n \varepsilon$ tham $^{\text {ha }} \varepsilon$ jomma-e a-mma jomma-e nawa jak-na-I go $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon m-\sin j a k-n a-\mathrm{i}$ go $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}} a \eta$ s/he parent(F)large(F)-VOC my-mother large(F)-VOC face be suitable-PP-Q TOP matching-REF be suitable -PP-Q TOP SUB
He called on mother saying if it iwere suitable or not.
आमालाई पुकार्दे भन्यो, " मलाई सुहाएको छ कि छैन"?
512
सेन्दो चोगु रो खासेम् चोगु रो
se:ndo tsog-u ro $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ asem $\mathrm{t}^{\text {s }} \mathrm{og}$ - u ro
se:ndo tsog-u ro $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hasem }} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{og}-\mathrm{u}$ ro
ask make-3P ASS discussion make-3P ASS
Asked his mother.
(उसले) खुल्दुली (सोधिखोजी) गन्यो।
513
ये--- कोचो मेन्ने रो खिया मेन्ने रो सावाङ् आम्बेक्नेन् युक्फुङ् आम्बेक्नेन्

 VOC dog npG-be-npG ASS hound npG-be-npG ASS prey 1-NEG-go-NEG hunting 1-NEG-go-NEG Without the dogs going hunting is not done.
कुकुर नलिई शिकार खेल्न गइँदैन है।
514
पेलि मेत्तुरो पान्जा मेत्तुरो
pelimettu ro pa:ndza mettu ro
peli mett -u ro pa:ndza mett-u-ro
speech say to -3P ASS suggestion say to-3P-ASS
He was advised.
सल्लाहा सुझाब दिइयो।

515
आल्ल खुने सुसुवेङ्बा ग लालावेङ्बा ग

| allo | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ un $\varepsilon$ | susuweyba | go | lalaweyba | go |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| allo | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ un $\varepsilon$ | susuweyba | go | lalaweyba | go |
| now | s/he | susuwengba | TOP | lalawengba | TOP |

Now Susuwoengba Lalawoengba

अब 3 सुसुवेड्बा लालावेड्बा
516
ये -- थिक्चो कुगोचो तेक्केदड्ना ग थिक्चो कुगोचो बरादड्ना ग
je........ thiktso $^{\text {the }}$ kugotso tekke-doŋnna go thiktso kugotso bora-donna go
je thik-tso ku-gotso tekke-donna go thik -tso ku-gotso bora-donna go
VOC one -BAL his/her-dog pet like TOP one -BAL his/her dog wolf like TOP
थिक्चो कुगोचोमा?युदड्ना ग थिक्चो कुगोचो किधिदड्ना ग तरङ् खियानु ताइ्साङ् खियानु
$t^{\text {thiktso }}$ kugotso małju-dəyna go thiktso ku gotso kidhi-donna go toron khijanu taysan khija-nu $t^{\text {hik }}$-tso ku-gotso ma?ju-donna go thik-tso ku-gotso kidhi-donna go toroy khija-nu taysay khija-nu one-BALhis/her dog bear like TOP one-BAL his/her dog marten like TOP paradise hound-COM skyhound-COM
One dog home reared, the next was like wolf, the other was like bear and the next one was like marten the dogs from the land and the sky
एउटा घर पालुवा, अर्को ब्वॉसो जस्तो, भालु जस्तो र मलसॉप्रो जस्ता कुकुरहरु आकाश र पातालबाट

517
खुने हुहु लरेरो फिक्फिक् लरेरो सावाङ् थसिङ् लो युक्फुङ् तासिङ् लो
$k^{\text {h }} u n \varepsilon$ hu-hu lor- $\varepsilon$-ro $p^{\text {hik- }}{ }^{\text {hik }} \operatorname{lor}-\varepsilon$ ro saway $t^{\text {ha-tshin }}$ lo jukphuy thathin lo
 s/he ONOM say-PT ASS ONOM do-PT ASS forest depart-REF EMPH hunting depart-REF EMPH By whistling and roaring he started to the forest for hunting.
सुइसुइ र साइसाइ गर्दै ( 3 ) जंगलतिर शिकार गर्न हिड्यो।
518
ये----मुजिङ्नामारे खेयोङ्नामारे
je.....mudzinnamare $\quad k^{\text {h }}$ ejoynamare
je mudzinnama-re $\quad k^{\text {h}}$ ejoŋnama-re
VOC Muzingnama-ERG Kheyongnama-ERG
Muzingnama Khejongnama
मुजिए्नामा खेयोङ्नामाले (छोरालाई भनिन)
519
खेने सिन्युक् मेबेगेन्ने मुदेन् मेबेगेन्ने सिसा मेयेप्लो मेन्छिन् मेयेप्लो
$k^{\text {h }} \varepsilon n \varepsilon$ sinjuk m\&begenn $?$ muden mebegenn $\varepsilon$ ? sisa mejep lo mentshin mejep lo
 you(s) north NEG-go-NEG-IMP Bhot NEG-go-NEG-IMP young(F) nsAS- stand-EMPH mature girl nsAS- stand-EMPH

तेङ्गो केम्भोत्लो केलाङ् केम्भोत्लो
tengo kemb ${ }^{\text {hotlo }}$ kelay kemb ${ }^{\text {hot }}$ lo
tengo $\mathrm{k} \varepsilon$ - $\mathrm{m}-\quad \mathrm{b}^{\text {hot }}$ lo $\mathrm{k} \varepsilon$-lay $\mathrm{k} \varepsilon-\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ot}$ lo
step 2- nsAS- mislead EMPH 2-leg 2-nsAS- mislead EMPH
You should not go to sinjuk land there are maidens who can interfere your step.
"तिमी चीन र भोटतिर नजाउ; त्याहा नवयौवना छन्; तरुनी छन्; तिमीलाई भुल्याउँने छन्, अल्मल्याउँने छन्"।
520
पेलि मेत्तुसाङ् पाञ्जा मेत्तुसाङ् खुने हुहु लरेरो फिक्फिक् लरेरो

peli mett-u-san pa:ndza mett-u-san $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} u n \varepsilon$ hu-hu lor- $\varepsilon$ ro $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{ik}} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$ lor- $\varepsilon$ ro
speech say to-3P-CONJ suggestion say to-3P-CONJ s/he ONOM do-PT ASS ONOM do-PT ASS

| खुने सिन्युक् पेग्रो मुदेन् पेग्रो |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h} u n \varepsilon}$ | sinjuk peg $\varepsilon$ | ro muden | peg $\varepsilon$ | ro |  |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h} u n \varepsilon}$ | sinjuk peg- | ro | mud | peg- $\varepsilon$ | ro |
| s/he | north go-PT | ASS | Bhot | go-PT | ASS |

Even though her suggestion, he headed towards Sinjuk land whistling and roaring.
आमाको सल्लाहा सुझाव नटेरी 3 भोट र चीन गएरै छाड्यो।

521
खुने थो थोसुलुङ्मेन् लो फियाक् लुङ्मेन् लो
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} u n \varepsilon \mathrm{t}^{\text {tho }} \mathrm{t}^{\text {thosu }}$ luymen lo $\mathrm{p}^{\text {hijakluymen }}$ lo
 s/he above above-BAL sweet heart -ABS ASS phiyaklungma ASS

## खुने सिदो मेदुमे तम्मा मेदुमे

$\mathrm{k}^{\text {hun }} \varepsilon$ sido medume tumma? medum $\varepsilon$

s he immediate nsAS-meet-PT meet-INF nsAS- meet -PT
He met sweet heart Phijak lungma up there in the sinjuk land.
उसले त्यहाँ माथि भोटमा प्रेयसी फियाकलुङमालाई भेट्यो।

522
खुनि मिक्कि फेक्तेछि फुङ्वा फेक्तेछि
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ uni mikki $\quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon k t t^{\text {th }} \mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ uywa $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon k t^{\text {tsh }} \mathrm{i}$
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ uni mikki $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \mathrm{kt}-\varepsilon$-tshi $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{y}$-wa $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon k t-\varepsilon$-tshi
they life bloom-PT-dPS flower-BAL bloom-PT-dPS
They two had sexual relationship.
उनीहरु बीच समागम भयो।

523
आबु लारे रो सारुङ् लारे रो

| abuy lar- $\varepsilon$ | rosaruylare ro |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| abuy | lar | $-\varepsilon$ | ro | saruy | lar | $-\varepsilon$ |$\quad$ ro

She conceived.
ती कन्या गर्भवती भइन्।
524
ले?वा पेगेल्ले थिबोङ्ला पेगेल्ले थोसु लुङ्मेल्ले मुक्कुम् लुङमेल्ले
le?wa pegelle thiboyla pegelle thosu luymelle mukkum luymelle
l $\varepsilon$ ?wa peg- $\varepsilon$-lle thiboyla peg- $\varepsilon$-lle tho -su luym $\varepsilon$-lle mukkumluym $\varepsilon$-lle
time go-PT-SUB ten month go-PT-SUB above-BAL sweet heart-ERG Mukkum lungma -ERG
In ten months period Thosulungma Mukkumlungma
दश महिनामा ती माथिकी प्रेयसी मुक्कुमलुङमाले
525
खुने थिन्दाङ् कत्ते रो सारुङ् कत्ते रो सुसुरुबेन् लो सुहाम्फेबेन् लो

$k^{\text {h }}$ un $\varepsilon t^{\text {h }}$ inday kott- $\varepsilon$ ro saruy kott- $\varepsilon$ ro susurube- ro lo suhamp ${ }^{\text {h }} \varepsilon$ be -n lo s/he baby have-PT ASS kid have-PT ASS Susuruba-ABS EMPH Suhamphemba-ABS EMPH She had a baby Susuru Suhampheba.
सुसुरु सुहाम्फेबा नामको शिशु जन्माइन्।

साम्सो मेवान्दु कुमिङ् मेवान्दुआङ्

| samso | mewandu | kumin | mewanduan |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sam-so | me- | wand | -u | ku- | min | me-wand-u-aŋ |
| identity-BAL | nsAS- | baptize | -3 P | his/her- name | nsAS-baptize-3P-pfG |  |
| They named |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| तिनीहरुले (उसको) | न्वारान गरिदिए। |  |  |  |  |  |

527
आल्ल युक्फुङ् केम्बेन् ग सावा केम्बेन् ग सुसुवेङ्बेन् ग लालावेङ्बेन् ग
allo jukp ${ }^{\mathrm{h} u y-k e m b e n ~ g o ~ s a w a-k e m b e n ~ g o ~ s u s u w e j b e n ~ g o ~ l a l a w e y b e n ~ g o ~}$ allo jukp ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ uy-kembe-n go sawa-kembe-n go susuweybe-n go lalaweybe-n go now Yukphung-kemba-ABS TOP Sawa-Kemba-ABS TOP Susuwengba-ABS TOP Lalawengba-ABS TOP Now Jukphung kemba Sawa kemba Susuwoengba Lalawoengba

## युक्फुङ्केम्बा सावाकेम्बा अर्थात सुसुवेङ्बा लालावेङ्बा

## 528

तेमेन् नि पे रो वरक् नि पे रो

| t $\varepsilon$ me:n | ni | pe | ro worok | ni | pe | ro |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| t $\varepsilon$ me:n | ni | pe | ro | worsk | ni | pe | ro |
| low southern plain-CTR | go/PT | ASS | sea | CTR | go/PT | ASS |  |
| (He) went to the southern sea. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| दक्षिणी भाग (सागर )तिर पो लाग्यो। |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

529
यो खुने योसुलुङ्मेन् नु मुक्कुम् लुङम्मेन् नु
Jo khune josu luŋmennu mukkum luymennu
jo khunc jo-su luyme-n-nu mukkumlunme-n-nu
there s/he below-BAL sweet heart-ABS-COM Mukkumlungma-ABS-COM
He with the sweetheart Mukkum lungma below there,
त्यहाँ 3 तलकी प्रेयसी मुक्कुमलुङमासंग
530
सिदो मेलरे तुम्मा मेलरे मिक्कि फेक्तेछि फुङ्वा फेक्तेछि

sido me-lor- $\varepsilon$ tum-ma? me-lor- $\varepsilon \quad$ mikki $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon k t-\varepsilon$-tshi $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{uy}$-wa $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon k t-\varepsilon-$ tshi-an $^{\text {sh }}$
immediate nsAS-look-PT meet-INF nsAS-look-PT life bloom-PT-dPS flower-BAL bloom-PT-dPS-pfG
Met and copulated
भेटेर सहवास गज्यो।

531
आबु मेलारे सारुङ् मेलारे
abuy me-lar- $\varepsilon$ saruy melar- $\varepsilon$
abuy $\mathrm{m} \varepsilon$ - lar $-\varepsilon$ saruy $\mathrm{m} \varepsilon-\operatorname{lar}-\varepsilon$
conception nsAS-receive-PT pregnan nsAS- have-PT
She was pregnent.
तिनी पनि गर्भवती भइन्।

532
ले? वा पेगेल्ले थिबोङ्ला पेगेल्ले आबु नि कत्तु सारुङ् नि कत्तु
le?wa pegelle thiboyla pegelle abuy ni kottu saruy ni kottu
le?wa peg- $\varepsilon$-lle thiboyla peg- $\varepsilon$-lle abuy ni kott-u saruy ni kott-u
time go-PT-SUB ten month go-PT-SUB baby CTR have-3P kid CTR have-3P After ten months she bore a baby
दश महिना पछि तिनले शिशु पाइन्।

533
ये---तुङ्गुलि साधिक् सिम्बोलिमा साधिक्
je...tuyguli sa?-dhik simbolima sa? dhik
je tunguli saP-dhik simbolima sa? -dhik
VOC female baby-one sari(Mekkli) wearing baby -one
The baby was female.
शिशु स्त्री जातकी थिइ।

534
ले? वा पेगेल्ले सुम्यान् पेगेल्ले साम्सो मेवान्दु कुमिङ् मेवान्दु
l $\varepsilon$ ?wa pegelle sum jen pegelle samso mewandu kumin mewandu
l $\varepsilon$ ?wa peg- $\varepsilon$-lle sum jen peg- $\varepsilon$-lle sam-so me-wand-u ku-min me-wand -u time go-PT-SUB three day go-PT-SUB soul-BAL nsAS-baptize-3P its-name nsAS-baptize-3P In three days, they named the baby.
(उनीहरूले) तीन दिनका दिनमा शिशुको न्वारान गरिदिए।
535
तेत्लारामा रो लाहादङ्ना रो साम्सो मेवान्दु योमिङ् मेवान्दु
tetlarama ro lahadonna ro samso mewandu jomin mewandu
tetlarama ro lahadonna ro sam-so me-wand-u jo-min me-wand-u
Tetlarama-ASS Lahaangna-ASS identity-BAL nsAS-baptize-3P BAL-name nsAS-baptize-3P
They named-Tetlarama Lahadongna.
तिनीहरुले (छोरीको नाउँ) ‘तेत्लारामा लाहादङना’ भन्ने नाम राखिदिए।

```
536
तान्दिक् थो छुछुलुबेन्आङ् छुहाम्फेबाआङ् नालि केरेरो थाङ्बेन् केरेरो
ta:ndik tho thuthulubena\eta tshuhamphebana\eta nali kere ro thayben ker\varepsilon ro
ta:ndik tho tshutshulube-n-a\eta tshuhamp }\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathrm{ h}
later on above Chuchuluba-ABS-also Chuhampheba-ABS-also young get-PT ASS young reach-PT ASS
Later on Chu-chulu chuhampheba also got young.
पछि छुछुलुवेन सुहामफेवा पनि जवान भयो।
537
योसु लुङ्मालेन् फियाक्लुङमालेन् थिन्दाङ् पोरामा कुस्सा पोरामा
josu luymalen phijamluymalen thinday porama ku-sa? porama
```



```
below-BAL beloved-GEN-ABS Phiyamlungma-GEN-ABS baby female his/her- baby female
The darling Phijamlungma's daughter below there
ती तलकी प्रेयसी फियामलुङमाकी छोरी
```

    538
    तेत्लारामाआङ् लाहादङ्नाआङ्
tetlaramaay lahadoynaay
tetlarama -an lahadonna -an
Tetlarama -also Lahadangna -also
Tetlara lahadongna also
तेत्लारा लाहादङ्ना पनि

539
तान्दिक् सिसा केरेरो मेन्छिन् केरेरो


Later on became young.
पछि तरुनी भइन्।
540
थोसु योक्मारेन् सिसारिमारेन् थिन्दाङ् पोराबेन् कुसा पोरावेन्
thosu jokmaren sisarimaren thinday poraben ku-sa? poraben
$t^{\text {h }} \mathrm{O}$-su jokma-re-n sisarima -re-n thinday pora-be-n ku-sa? pora-be-n above-BAL partner-GEN-ABS Sisarima-GEN-ABS baby grown-NOM-ABS his/her-babygrown-NOM -ABS
On above, the partner Sisarima's son
माथिकी संगिनी सिसारिमाको छोरा

541
थोसु खेक्वा ग साम्दाङ् खेक्वा ग योसु लुङ्मेन् मुक्कुम् लुङ्मेन् ग

| $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ Osu | samday-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ kwa go | josu luymen | muk | m-luymen | go |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ O-su | samday-ktkwa go | jo-su | ¢ $\varepsilon$-n | mukkum | unme |
| abov | P Samdang khekwa-TOP | below-BAL s | hear | BS Mukk | $m$ lun |
| Uppe | hewa and Josulungmen M | kkum-lungma's |  |  |  |
| माथि | मलुङमाको |  |  |  |  |
| 542 |  |  |  |  |  |
| योसु | थिन्दाए् पोराबेन् कुसा पोराबेन् |  |  |  |  |
| josu | $\mathrm{p}^{\text {h}}$ ondarimar $\mathrm{t}^{\text {thinday }}$ | poraben ku-s | pora |  |  |
| jo-su | $0 \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ondarima-re $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ inday | pora-be-n | -sa? | pora-be-n |  |
| below | P Phandarima-GEN baby | grown-NOM-A | his/h | ffspring grow | own-N |
| Susar |  |  |  |  |  |
| सुसारि |  |  |  |  |  |

543
योसु खेक्वारो लिङ्दाङ् खेक्वारो

| josu | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ kwwa | ro | lindan-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ kkwa | ro |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| jo -su | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \mathrm{kw}$ | ro | liyday-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ kwa | ro |
| below- | L Khek | AS | Lingdang khek | ASS |
| Lingda | khekwa | $n$ |  |  |
| तलको | एखेवा हो |  |  |  |

544
तन्दिक् योसु खेक्वानु थोसुखेक्वाहा साङदाङखेक्वा नु लिङ्दाङ्खेक्वाल्ले
ta:ndik josu k ${ }^{\text {h }} \varepsilon k w a n u$ thosu $k^{\text {h }} \varepsilon k w a h a ? ~ s a y d a y-k^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon k w a n u$ linday- $k^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon k w a l l e$
 later on below-BAL Khekwa-COM above-BAL Khekwa-p Sangdang Khekwa-COM Lingdang khekwa -INS
Later on josu khe? wa and thosu khe? wa Samdang khe? wa Lingdang khe? wa
माथिको खेक्वा साङदाङखेक्वासंग तलको खेक्वा लिङदाङखेक्वा ले

ये--पान्जिरि भेल्ले पान्भोयाङ् भेल्ले खुनि
je..... pandziri b ${ }^{\text {h}}$ clle panb ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ojan $b^{\text {h }}$ lle $k^{\text {huni }}$
je pan-dziri $b^{\text {h }}$ elle pan -b ${ }^{\text {h}} 0 j a \eta b^{\text {h }}$ हlle $k^{\text {h }}$ uni
VOC gossip-RHM SUB gossip-exchange SUB they
They exchanged/interacted the matters.
(उनीहरुले) अन्तरक्रिया गरे, छलफल गरे।
546
सक्वा मेभोतु मुइङ् मेभोतुआङ्


They mixed up their matters each other
खवर सन्देश मिसमास गरे।

547
ये---याङ्धिन् कुम्बानु कुन्छङ्बानु मेरिङ् कुम्वानु कुमाङ्गेना ग
je.....jantsh kumbanu kunts ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ 甲ybanu meriy kumbanu kumangena go
je jantsh ku-mba -nu kunt ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ oyba-nu meriy ku-mba-nu ku-maygena go
VOC sibling his/her-father-COM his/her brother-COM consanguine his/her-father-COM his/herbrother TOP
The brother and sister
हाडनाताका चेलीमाइतीहरु
548
छुछुलुमानु छुहाम्फेबा ग खुनि
$t^{\text {th }}$ ut ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ulumanu $t^{\text {th }}$ uhamp ${ }^{\text {heba }}$ go $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ uni
$t^{\text {th }}$ ut ${ }^{\text {th }}$ uluma-nu $t^{\text {th }}$ uhamp ${ }^{\text {heba }}$ go $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ uni
Chuchuluma-COM Chuhampheba TOP they
They, Chhu-chulu Chhuhampheba
छुछुलु छुहामफेबासंग त उनीहरु
549
तेन्धाम् चोक् मेवाङ्खु मेक्खिम् चोक् मेवाङखुमुबे
tend ${ }^{\text {ham }} t^{\text {tsok }} \quad m \varepsilon b a y k^{h} u \quad m e: k k^{h} i m t^{\text {sok }}$ m mank ${ }^{\text {h }} u m u b e$

marital relation get nsAS-performe-3P marriage get nsAS-performe-3P-REP-e
Had them married (between brother and sister)
विवाह लग्न गराइदिए (चेलीमाइती बीचमा)

## 550

काइक्काङ् साहा सोधोक्काङ् साहा
kai:k-ay sap-ha? sod hokay sa?-ha?
kai:k-ay sap-ha? sod hok -ay sap-ha?
relation -also offspring-p kinsman-also offspring-p
Offspring within the blood relation
हाडनाताभित्रका सन्तानहरु(बाट)
551
तान्दिक् खुनि थिन्दाङ् मेगत्ते सायो मेगत्ते
ta:ndik $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ uni $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hinday }}$ megotte sa?jo megott $\varepsilon$

```
ta:ndik k}\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathrm{ h}uni thinday me-gott - \varepsilon saP-jo m m- gott-\varepsilon
later on their baby nsAS-have-PT baby-BAL nsAS-have-PT
Later on they had children.
पछि तिनीहरुले बच्चा जन्माए।
    552
तान्दिक् छुछुलुमानु छुहाम्फेबारेन्
ta:ndik tshuts
ta:ndik tshuthuluma-nu tshuhampheba -r\varepsilon -n
later on Chuchuluma-COM Chuhampheba -GEN -ABS
In the time, Chhu-chhulu Chuhampheba
पछि समयकालमा छुछुलुलु छुहामफेबा
553
मिनु हिजानु खुन्छि साहा हिजानु
minu ccllllll
APP shit having-COM they (d) baby -p shit having-COM
Their children have shit having dog.
उनीहरुका बालखको दिसा खाने
554
थिक्चो कोचो खिया सामे ग
je.....thiktsokugotso k'ija same go
je thik-tso ku-gotso k
VOC one-BAL his/her- dog hound bitch then
One dog bitch
एउटी कुकुर्नी त
555
हुक्सो युडेरो ताङे युडेरो
hukso juy\varepsilon ro tay\varepsilon juycro
huk -so juy-\varepsilon ro tay\varepsilon juy-\varepsilon ro
hand-BAL sit-PT ASS near by sit-PT ASS
Sat near by,
छेवैमा बस्यो।
556
तान्दिक् तेत्लारामाले लाहादड्नारे
ta:ndik tetlaramale lahadonnare
ta:ndik tetlarama-le lahadonna-re
later on Tetlarama-ERG Lahadangna-ERG
After some times, Tetlarama Lahadongna
पछि तेत्लारा लाहादङनाले
5 5 7
तान्छिछिरिल्ले ताम्दुखेल्ले।। हुक्सो हिप्तुल्ले ताँडे हिप्तुल्ले
tant }\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathrm{ sh}}\mp@subsup{i}{}{\mathrm{ th }}\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathrm{ irille tamdukk}
tantshi -tshiri -lle tamdukk}\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathrm{ h}}\varepsilon\mathrm{ -lle huk-so hipt-u-lle taje hipt-u-lle
broom stick -RHM -INS weeping brush-INS hand-BAL beat-3P-SUB arms beat-3P-SUB
Beat with a broomstick
कुचोले हिर्काउँदा खेरि
```

 $k^{\text {hija }}$ sama-l $\varepsilon$-n kotso $^{\text {so }}$ sama-l $\varepsilon-n \quad$ woja piks- $\varepsilon$ ro pimba piks- $\varepsilon$ ro hound bitch -GEN-ABS dog bitch-GEN-ABS APP dislocate-PT ASS rib dislocate-PT ASS The bitch's rib was broken.
(कुकुरको) करङ भाँचियो।
559
आल्ल खुने थो तागारा हिम् थो निङ्वाफु हिम् थो


Now there up in the almighty god's dwelling
माथि परमेश्वरको लोक ( बासास्थान) तिर

560
सेवा पेगेरो सेन्दो पेगेरो
sewa pege ro se:ndo pege ro
sewa peg- $\varepsilon$ ro se:ndo peg- $\varepsilon$ ro
solicitously go-PT ASS ask go-PT ASS
Went to ask.
(कुकुर) सोधनी गर्न गई।
561
इङ्गा एच्छुम् चोकाबे एप्फा चोकाबे
inga ettsh $u m t^{\text {so}}$ okabe epp ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ tsokabe
inga ettsh ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ tsok -a-be epp $^{\text {ha }}$ tsok-a-be
I how do-1-INQ what do-1-INQ
What should I do?
म कसरी र के गरौ?

562
काइक् साहाले सोधोक साहाले
$\begin{array}{lcrc}\text { kai:k } & \text { sahaPle } & \text { sod }^{\text {h }} \text { ok } & \text { sahaPle } \\ \text { kai:k } & \text { sa-haP-le } & \text { sod }^{\text {h }} \text { ok } & \text { sa-haP-le }\end{array}$
consanguine offspring-p-ERG kinsman offspring-p-ERG
The offspring of the blood relation
हाडनाताका सन्तानहरुले

563
काइक् केभेक्पेल्ले सोधोक् केभेक्पेल्ले।। हुक्सो हिप्ताङ् लो ताँडे हिप्ताङ् लो
 kai:k ke-b ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{p} \varepsilon$-lle sod $^{\mathrm{h}}$ ok ke-b ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{p} \varepsilon$-lle huk-so hipt-an lo tay $\varepsilon$ hipt-aŋ lo relation AP-spoil-AP-ERG blood relation AP-spoil -AP-ERG hand-BAL beat-1sPS/PT ASS cruelly beat-1sPS/PT ASS
The incestuous persons beat me.
पातकीहरुले म (कुकुर)लाई कुटे है।
564
मुधुङ् नि मये चे? या नि मये
$\operatorname{mud}^{\text {h }} u \boldsymbol{y}$ ni moj $\varepsilon \quad \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{s}} \varepsilon$ ? ja ni mっj $\varepsilon$


566
काइक् सोधोक् तेन्धाम् मेक्खिम् मेन्ने वामा मेन्लो भाङ् खुने
kai:k sod ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ok tend ${ }^{\text {ham me:kk }}{ }^{\text {him }}$ menne?ewama? men lo $b^{\text {han }} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} u n \varepsilon$
 relation kinsman marital relation marriage npG-be-npG stay-INF NOT ASS SUB s/he Without marriage persons should not stay.
विवाह लग्न नगरी हाडनाता यसरी संगै रहन हुदैन है।
567
तेङ्गो नि तेरो योलाङ् नि तेरो


Counsels showered down.
माथिबाट उपदेश प्राप्त भयो।

568
ये काइक् सेन्दुसि सोधोक् सेन्दुसि
je....kai:k sendusi sod ${ }^{\text {hok }}{ }^{\text {k }}$ sendusi
je kai:k send -u -si sod hok send-u-si
GF relation separate-3P-nsP kinsmans eparate-3P-nsP
The god specified the relationships.
(ईश्वरले) नाता साइँनो छुट्याइ दिए।
569
आल्ल साम्याङ् चि?लिङ्गेओ युप्पा चि?लिङ्गेओ

| allo | sammjay | $t^{\text {siPlinge? }}$ | juppa | $t^{\text {siPlinge?o }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| allo | sammjan | $t^{\text {siPlinge-Po }}$ | juppa | $t^{\text {siPlinge-?o }}$ |
| now | gold | sieve-LOC | silver | sieve-LOC |

Now, in the angelic sieve made of gold and silver
सुन र चाँदीले निर्मित दैवी चाल्निमा(हालेर)
570
वया मेधाङसु थाङनि मेधाङसु
woja medhaysu thay ni medhaysu
woja me-d dhays-u thay ni me-dhays-u
APP nsAS- filter-3P weigh CTR nsAS-filter-3P
They filtered.
तिनीहरुले (चाल्निमा) चाले।

मो केहबेन् ग लाहादङ्नारेन्

above AP-remain-AP ABS then ChuchChuchuluba-ABS SUB
Siblings on the winnow were Chu-chuluba's
माथि अडिने चाहिँ छुछुत्रुबाको भनेर
573
खुनि सिक्कुम् मेदेसे निङ्वा मेदेसे
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ uni sikkum medes $\varepsilon$ nijwa medes $\varepsilon$
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ uni sikkum $\mathrm{m} \varepsilon$ - des- $\varepsilon$ nijwa $m \varepsilon$-des- $\varepsilon$
they thought nsAS-think-PT idea nsAS-think-PT
They concluded the matter.
तिनीहरुले निर्णय गरी दिए।

574
आल्ल साम्माङ् चि?लिङ्गे युप्पा चि?लिङ्गे

| allo | sammjay | $t^{\text {siPlinge }}$ | juppa | $t^{\text {siPlinge }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| allo | sammjan | $t^{\text {siPlinge }}$ | juppa | $t^{\text {siPlinge }}$ |
| now | gold | sieve | silver | sieve |

Now in the golden and silver winnow.
अव सुनचाँदीको चाल्नी(तराजु)मा
575
मिनु मेधाङु थाङ् नि मेधाङु

| Minu | m ¢ $\mathrm{d}^{\text {haju }}$ | $t^{\text {hay }}$ | ni | $\mathrm{m} \varepsilon \mathrm{d}^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| minu | $\mathrm{m} \varepsilon$ - $\mathrm{d}^{\text {han }}$-u | $t^{\text {hay }}$ | ni | me- | $\mathrm{d}^{\text {hay }}$ | -u | -lle |
| APP | nsAS-filter-3P | weigh | CTR | nsAS | filter | -3P | -SUB |

As they filtereded the siblings through the sieve made of gold and silver.
जव तिनीहरुले ती वच्चाहरुलाई सुनचाँदीको चाल्नीमा दाँजे/चाले।
576
ये--पेगिफाङ्साम्हा थो मेयागे रो।। सावा येहाङ्हा यो मेलन्दे रो
je....pegi-phay- sam-ha? tho mejage ro sawa-jethay-ha? jo melond $\varepsilon$ ro
 VOC ninth-nine soul-p up nsAS-remain-PT ASS all-eight-leader-p below nsAS-come out-PT ASS Pegi phang-sam remained on the winnowing basket and sawa jehang dropped down.
पेगिफाङ्सामहरु चाल्निमाथिनै रहे भने सावा येहाङ्हरु मुनि खसे।
577
ये थिक्थाङ्ङिङ् ग कुहुक्ओ हेप्तुमु बे
je.... thik thapin go kuhuk?o heptumube
je thik thay -in go ku -huk -?o hept -u -mu -be
GF one person-ABS then his/her-hand-LOC be left/rested-3P-REP-EMPH
But one happened to remain in his hand.
तर एउटा चाहिं उस्को हातमा अल्झिन पुग्यो।

578
छुच्छुलुसुहाम्फेबाले आसाने आलक् लो भाङ्
$t^{\text {sh }} \mathbf{u}$-ts ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ulube-n-le asa? ro alok lo $b^{\text {hay }}$
$t^{\text {sh }} \mathbf{u}$-tshulube-n -le $\quad$-sa? ro $\quad a-l o k ~ l o ~ b^{\text {th }}$ ay
Chuchuluba-ABS-ERG 1-baby ASS 1-share ASS SUB
Chuchuluben claimed as his part or son.
छुच्छुलुसुहामफेबाले मेरो छोरो मेरो भाग भनी दावि गर्यो।
579
तेत्ला लाहादङ्नाले आसाने आलक् लो भाङ्
tetla-lahadoŋnale asa?ne alok lo $b^{\text {hay }}$
tetla-lahadonna -le a-sa? -ne a-lok lo $\quad b^{\text {han }}$
Tetla-lahadangna -ERG 1-baby -be 1-share ASS SUB
Tetla Lahadongna too claimed as her part or son.
तेत्ला लाहादङ्नाले पनि मेरो छोरो पो भनी दावि गरिन्।

580
हुक्सो मेउखुल्ले ताङे मेउखुल्ले।
Hukso mquk ${ }^{\text {hulle }}$ taje mquk ${ }^{\text {hulle }}$
huk -so me- uk ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{u}-1 l \mathrm{l}$ taje me- $\mathrm{uk}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{u}-1 l e$
hand -BAL nsAS- pull-3P-SUB arms nsAS-pull-3P-SUB
Having pulled the body from the two different sides,
दुई विपरीत दिशा तर्फ ताना-तान गर्दा

## 581

नासिङ् तेक्से रो कुधक् तेक्से रो
nasiy tekse ro ku-d ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ok}$ teks $\varepsilon$ ro
nasiy teks - $\varepsilon$ ro ku-d ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ok}$ teks- $\varepsilon$ ro mortal body tear-PT ASS his/her-body ear-PT ASS
His body was torn apart.
उस्को शरीर तानिएर टुक्रिन पुग्यो।
582
सिक्या पोक्से रो माक्या पोक्से रो
si:kja poks $\varepsilon$ ro ma:kja poks $\varepsilon$ ro
si:kja poks - $\varepsilon$ ro ma:kja poks- $\varepsilon$ ro
witch become -PT ASS huntress become -PT ASS

That became an evil spirit.
त्यो बोक्सी बन्यो।

583
मो केहोवाहा सावा येहाङ्हा

| mo | kehobenha? sawa jet hay-ha? |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| mo | ke-ho-be-n-ha? | sawa | jet hay-ha? |

under AP-fall-AP-ABS-p all eight leader-p

All dropped down were sawa Yehangs (eight leaders)
तल खसेकाहरु चाहिं सावा येहाङ्हरु हुन्।

584
ये--- तान्दिक् मेन्छाम् मेदाल्ले याप्मि मेदाल्ले
je.... ta:ndik mentsham medalle japmi medalle
je ta:ndik mentsham ma- da-lle japmi me-da-lle
VOC later on human nsAS-come-SUB man nsAS-come-SUB
For the coming generations
भावी पुस्ता आउँदा खेरि
585
सावा येहाङ् सेमा मुन्धुम् साम्जिक् नि प?त् लो।
sawa jethay sema? mund ${ }^{\text {h }}$ um samdzik ni popl lo
sawa jet hay se -ma? mund ${ }^{\text {hum }}$ samd $^{\text {zik }}$ ni popl lo all eight leader spread -INF myth stor CTR be(is/am/are)ASS There is the story of expansion of Yehang descendents
सावा येहाङ्को सन्तान फैलिएको कथा कैरन छ।
586
साम्जिक् नि वारो मुन्धुम् नि वारो।
samd ${ }^{\text {zik ni }}$ wa ro mund ${ }^{\text {h }}$ um ni wa ro
samd $^{\text {zik ni }}$ wa ro mund ${ }^{\text {hum }}$ ni wa ro
story CTR be ASS myth CTR be ASS
There is thus mythical story.
पूरा कथा वा आख्यान छ है।

587
ये----- मेन्छाम् आसेरे याप्मि आसेरे।
je... ment ${ }^{\text {sham }}$ aser $\varepsilon$ japmi aser $\varepsilon$ je ment ${ }^{\text {sham }}$ a-ser $-\varepsilon$ japmi a-ser $-\varepsilon$
VOChuman 1 -spread-PT man 1-spread-PT
We human beings spread in this way.
यसरी मानव जाति फैलिऔं।

588
येहाङ् आसेरे, सावा आसेरे रो।
jehay asere sawa asere ro
jehan $\quad$ a-ser $-\varepsilon \quad$ sawa a-ser $-\varepsilon \quad$ ro
Yehang 1 -spread-PT all 1 -spread-PT ASS
Yehang's descendents scattered far and wide.
येहाङ्का सन्ततिहरु यत्रतत्र फैलिऔं है।
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## APPENDIX B: GLOSSARY


ets ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ um int.pro how ettshum int how epp ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ int. pro what e:kp ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ int. bush
-o sfx. -VOC
omet $v$. look
omep $v$. look
omlen adj. yellow
ko PCLE TOP
kon dem this
kott $v$. have
kott $v$. possess
kors con. but
kai:k n. relation
kapsa adj. twin
kabe $n$ fitness
kabe $n$ appearance
karaywa? $n$ crane(karyang kurung)
kapi:t adv fast
ka?p adj. couple
kidhi-dəŋna $n$ marten like
kirik $n$ seed
ku- $p f x$ his/her its 3
kunt ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ Эyba $n$ his/her brother
kund $^{\text {handi } n}$ his/her colleague
kund $^{\text {he }} n$. how
kupu $n$. fowl
kubuy $n$. root/bottom
kube $n$. model sample
kubesay $a d v$. near by
kuma $v$. bear/carry
kula: $n$. moon
kulind ${ }^{\text {ho }} n$ prosperity
kuse $n$. fruit/kernel
kuhim $n$ wariness
ku:son adj. new
kek ${ }^{\text {h }}$ oba $n$. worshipper
ket $v$. pay
$\operatorname{ked}^{\mathrm{z}} \boldsymbol{\eta} n$ storm
koi $n$ hill
koy dem.pron. this
kotso $n$ dog
konya?k adv. up to this
k $\varepsilon$ - $p f x 2$ AP
kekjokna $n$ wild turmeric
kett $v$ reach
kepmo $n$ trend
kemme adj long(f)
kzjama adj as-big
ker $v$ reach attain get
ker $v$. get
keruy $n$ sadness
kesin $n$ male parent
kezoy $n$ wind
$k^{\text {ha }} n$ method

| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {bayla }} \mathrm{n}$. Khangla | to?i $n$ depth |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {happu }} \mathrm{n}$ ashes | topi $n$ east |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {ham }} n$. soil | ta $v$. come |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {ham }} n$ turf | tak $n$ friend |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hamt }}{ }^{\text {sh }} \mathrm{i} n$ aborigine | takonde $n$. wandering/roaming |
| $k^{\text {hamambe:k } n \text {. earth }}$ | taga $n$ garment |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hambonba } n}$ indigenous | tagara $n$ almighty |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{as}^{\text {en }} n$ justice | tagarama $n$. almighty |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ asem $n$ discussion | tagaram $\varepsilon n$ almighty |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hapnam }} n$ rope | tagera adj. omnipotent |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hinga }} n$ evil word | tay $n$. horn |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hibo }} n$. cotton thread | taje $n$ arms |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {himen }} v$ cast | taysay $n$. sky |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hija }} n$. hound | taysep $n$ well being |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ uy $n$. brow | taye adj naer by badly nicely cruelly |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ uni pron. they | tatarame n. Almighty God |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ unt ${ }^{\text {sh }} \mathrm{p}$ pro. they (d) | ta-da $v$ till come |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ undula $n$ Khundula | tadik $n$ vision |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ une pros/he | $\operatorname{tad}^{2} \varepsilon$ y $n$ matter |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ ekt $v$ tie | tantshin broom stick |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ eks $v$ put | tant ${ }^{\text {th }} \mathrm{l} \mathrm{n}$ morning |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ en $v$ tie up | tabukusin comp. $n$. forest plants |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hejognama } n \text { Kheyongnama }}$ | tamaba $n$. success |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hejogname }} \mathrm{n}$ Kheyongnama | tamdukk ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ¢ $n$ weeping brush |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ : kwa $n$. water cock(sim kukhura) | tar $v$. fetch |
| $k^{\text {h }}$ ckwa $n$ Khekwa | tar $v$ come |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{y}$ dem that | tar $v$. bring |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ dum $n$. flour | tasaibu $n$ friendship |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ¢ni pro you ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | ta:ndi $a d v$ in the future |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ¢n $\varepsilon$ pro you(s) | ta:ndik adv. later on |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {he }}$ epokwa $n$. creator | tikwa $n$. partridge(kalij) |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ cbok $n$ evil sprit | tijdin $n$ thorn like envy |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ ¢ $\mathrm{m} v$. suit | tinnam $n$ cane |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \mathrm{m} v$. match listen | tigma $n$ plant(Ne.allo) |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ emd $v$. suit | tims $v$ cover |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ sse $n$ star | tuk-toy adv six years |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ : $\mathrm{k} v$ v. break/split | tuk-la adv. six month |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon$ ? $\mathrm{na} a d v$ there | tuk-him $n$ six- house |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon$ ?jo $a d v$ up there | tunguli $n$ female |
| go PCLE TOP | tum $v$. meet |
| gott $v$ have | tum- $v$. ripe |
| gopp ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ adv. round | tumba $n$. great uncle |
| gors PCLE if | tumba $n$. earliest(m) |
| get $v$. put | tumbun $n$. pond |
| gett $v$. insert | tumyay $n$. learned man |
| gen adv. being | tujegba $n$. complaint |
| gotso $n$. dog | tengo $n$ feet |
| -gen sfx. LNK | tengo sin $n$. tall and soft tree esp. for plywood |
| ger $v$. get | tetlarama $n$ Tetlarama |
| $\mathrm{g} \ell$ Pr $v$ achieve | tetla-lahadonna $n$ Tetla-lahadangna |
| ghekt $v$ put | ten/den $n$. place |
| $\mathrm{g}^{\text {he }}$ eks $v$ put | tero adj. elated |
| $\mathrm{g}^{\text {h}}$ ¢md $v$. suit | tes $v$. spend |
| -y sfx 1sA ABS | tes $v$ brood |
| yala adv five month | tes $v$ send |
| ya: $n$. fish | tes $v$ give up |
| tokt $v$. hold up | te: $\mathrm{y} n$ friend |
| toy adv year | te: y -ha? $n$ mate-P |
| toroy $n$ paradise | te? $v$. become |
| tohe $n$ west | teP- $\varepsilon v$ become-PT |

te?- $\varepsilon$-ro $v$ become-PT-ASS
tê- $\varepsilon$-ro $v$ become-PT-ASS
te? $n$. cloth
to $s f x$ Balc
toka:t n. sceptre (stick)
tol $n$. arrow
tog $n$. arrow
toy $n$ arrow
toy $n$ stick
togbe $n$. year
tonsin adj. harmony
todo $n$. wild buffalo
torəy $n$. bridge
torəndiy
torondin adj. lengthened
tos $v$. feel experience
tya-ay $v$. be positive
tekke-doŋna $n$ pet like
teks $v$. tear
tzks $v$ tear
teygo adv. gently
teygo-ni $n$ counsel-CTR
teybu $n$ pair bird
ten $n$. place
ten $n$. place
tengo $n$ step
tendi $a d v$ in the future tend ${ }^{\text {ham }} n$ marital relation tem $v$. catch teme:n $n$. southern part teme:n $n$ low southern plain tembe $n$ low land tembe $n$. plain tes $v$. think t\&?t $n$. clothes tع?le adj loving
$t^{\text {h }}$ okt $v$. describe
$t^{\text {h }}$ okt $v$ describe
$t^{\text {th }}$ k-payk ${ }^{\text {h }} v$ get prepared
$t^{\text {h }}$ oklin $n$ physique
$t^{\text {th }}$ okluy $n$ body structure
$\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ okle $n$ human body
$\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ oksa $n$ animal
$t^{\text {tha }} v$ depart fall
$t^{\text {th }} \mathbf{a}-v$. deport
$t^{\text {hak }} n$. generation
thakpa $n$ bone
$t^{\text {th }}$ a $v$. weigh
$t^{\text {han }} v$ develop come up
$t^{\text {thay }} v$ achieve meet
$t^{\text {thay }} v$ achieve
$t^{\text {than }} n$ person thayben adj young $^{\text {th }}$
$t^{\text {thapsay }} a d v$. suddenly
$t^{\text {thabu }} n$. forest
${ }^{\text {thabe }} n$ male parent
$t^{\text {tham }} v$. knock down
$t^{\text {thame }} n$ female parent $t^{\text {tham }} \boldsymbol{n}$ parent(f) residence $t^{\text {hamen }} n$. female parent
$t^{\text {th }}$ as $v$ bestow upon
$\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{k}$ adj one
$t^{\text {hik }}$ ik $a d v$. one
$\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ikla} a d v$ one month
thik-sse $n$ one hundred
$t^{\text {thinda }} n$ baby
$t^{\text {thinday }} n$ baby
$t^{\text {h }}$ ibon-don $a d v$ ten years
$t^{\text {hibiboyla }} n$ ten month
$t^{\text {h }}$ ukt $v$. spit
$t^{\text {th}}$ uywa $n$ drinking
$t^{\text {th}} u t t^{\text {h }} u$ adv ONOM
$t^{\text {thum }}$ adj laborious
$t^{\text {h }}$ umbo $n$ knee
$t^{\mathrm{h}} u: \varepsilon$ adv. ONOM
$t^{\text {th }}$ o $a d v$. up above since
$t^{\text {th }}$ adv. above
$t^{\text {h }}$ oksap $n$. body
$t^{\text {thobun }} n$ growth
$t^{\text {th }} \varepsilon v$ fall upon/PT fall down/PT come down/PT
$t^{\text {th}} \varepsilon g e k n$. head
$t^{\text {h }}$ gnajom $v$ minimize
$t^{5}$. $\mathrm{k} v$. join
tsokt $v$. add
tsokt $v$. join
trok-tsoke $n$ Chak Chakke
$t^{5} 5 k-t^{5} 9 k \varepsilon n$. Chakchake
tsokpe? adj a little bit
$\mathrm{t}^{\text {tron }}$ adj top
tson $^{\text {s. }} p f x$. BAL
${ }^{\text {ts }} \mathrm{op} v$ dry up
${ }^{\text {tro }}{ }^{\text {b }}$ t $n$ water
tsomjok $a d v$ unhindered
${ }^{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{\text {ºm }}$ mlekey adj medium height

tsomleyba adj. weighty (M)
${ }^{\text {ts }}$ ssap $n$ form
tso? $v$. join
tsa $v$ eat
tsak adj. hard
tsakt $v$. put on
$t^{\text {san }}$ a $v$. put on dress
tsaygi $n$ development
tsaywa $n$ wearing clothes
tsa: n. corn
${ }^{\text {tsi }}$ adj some
${ }^{\text {trip }}{ }^{\text {h }}{ }^{\text {jojon }} n$ basket makers
$t^{\text {sim }}{ }^{\text {im }}{ }^{\text {zimwa }}$ adj marshy
$t^{\text {tsimb }}{ }^{\text {hin }} n$ herbal plant
${ }^{t}$ sircp $n$ inferior feeling
tsilli $n$ charm
tsiplinge $n$ sieve
tsukmu $n$ liveliness
tsuyck $n$ toad
tsupsay $n$ right (side)
tsuhek $n$ knitters
${ }^{\text {tsu}}$ ? kwa adj. left
$-t^{s} \mathrm{o} s f x$ BAL
$t^{\text {sok }} v$. have get make
tsok $v$ make
trok $v$. do
${ }^{\text {tsog }} v$ make
tsosap $n$. destiny
tsosapluy $n$ image-making
tsosapluy-may $n$ form making god

tso? $v$. make
$t^{\mathrm{s}} \varepsilon \mathrm{k} v$ interact
$t^{\text {s }} \varepsilon$ ?ja $n$ back biting
$t^{\text {th }}$ クŋba $n$ brother
$t^{\text {tha }}$ a adj. holy
-tshi $s f x$. dPS nsA dADH

- -shiy $s f x$. REF
$-t^{\text {sh }}$ in $s f x$ REF
-tshiri sfx RHM
$\mathrm{t}^{\text {sh }} \mathbf{u}$-t $\mathrm{t}^{\text {sh }}$ ulube $n$ Chuchuluba
$t^{\text {th }}$ ut ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ uluma $n$ Chuchuluma $t^{\text {sh }}{ }^{\prime}$ hamp $^{\text {he }}$ ba $n$ Chuhampheba
${ }^{\text {th }}$ uhampheba $n$ Chuhampheba
-tsh $\varepsilon f x$ SUP PUR
don adv decade
da $v$. come
dak $n$ kiths
dayma $n$ goddess
dar $v$. fetch
$\operatorname{dim} v$. fill up
$\operatorname{dim} v$ be full fill up get full
dup $v$. burn
dum $v$. meet
de $v$. come
den $n$. sphere/domain/world
des $v$. think
des $v$ send
dok $v$. strick stumble
dok $v$ stumble
$\mathrm{d} \varepsilon v$. come
d $\varepsilon$ n $n$. place
d $\varepsilon s$ s. beg dispatch
$\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}}$ כk $n$ body
$\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{jk} v$. weld
$d^{\text {ha }} v$. depart fall drop
$\mathrm{d}^{\text {ha }}$ a $v$ weigh filter
$\mathrm{d}^{\text {hans }} v$ filter weigh
$\mathrm{d}^{\text {hand }} v$ heed
$\mathrm{d}^{\text {has }} v$ fall
$\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ik}$ adj. one single
$\mathrm{d}^{\text {h }}$ ukt $v$ spit
$\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{a}\right) v$. eat
-dziri sfx RHM
-d ${ }^{\text {zum }} s f x$ BAL
$\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}}$ ok $v$. make
$\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{og} v$. do make
$\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{z}} \varepsilon \mathrm{n} n$. price
-n $s f x$. ABS nsAS NEG
nollek $n$ small lizard
-na sfx. PP EMPH
nakt $v$ ask for
na-don $a d v$ five years
napmi $n$. human
naps $v$. regain sense feel
nam $n$. sun day
namget $n$. east
nam-g\&?t $n$ sun-rise
nam-d ${ }^{\text {ha }} n$ sun setting
namd ${ }^{\text {happuma }} n$ ashes
nambe $n$. son
nammett $v$ tie strap
namjok $n$ lizard
namsageŋba $a d j$. smart(m)
nara $n$ appearance
nali $n$ wage young
nawa $n$ face
nawagen $n$. creation
nawama $n$ pleasant
nawaluy $n$ face-making
nawaluymay $n$. face making god
nasagey $n$ worthy of believe
nasageyba $a d j$. bright(m)
nasin $n$ mortal body
nahen $n$ jealousy
nazom $v$. honor
na:k $v$ beg
na:/nara $n$. face
ni PCLE CTR EMPH
ni $v$. see look for
niywa $n$. mind
nigwa $n$ idea
nigwap ${ }^{\text {h }} u n$ god father
ninwap ${ }^{\text {h }}$ uma $n$ god father
niywap ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} u \mathrm{~m} \varepsilon N$ god father
ni-soe adj. two-hundred million
ni:tay adj. near
ni:la adv two month
-nu $s f x$. COM
nur $v$. be all right
nurik $a d v$. well
nula $n$ seven month
nusa: $n$. junior brother /sister
nusi adj. seven
nu: n. milk
nu:ma $n$. beauty
nu:ma adj better
nu:me adj nice
nepmay pron. both
noks $v$ return
nomaba $n$. happiness
n $\varepsilon v$. be lie
n $\varepsilon v$. be
$-n \varepsilon s f x 1 \rightarrow 2$ be
$\mathrm{n} \varepsilon \mathrm{d} 9 \mathrm{y}$ adv two years
nen $v$ lie be left
nen $v$ exist lie be
-nen sfx NEG
$n \varepsilon s v$. put be remain
nes $v$ lie
nesik $n$ brain
ne:n $n$. senior sister
-n $\varepsilon$ ? $s f x$. IMP
pokmikla $n$ a kind of reed(Nep. Nigalo)
pokla $n$ neck
pokwa $n$. bowl like hollow
pokwa $n$. bowl
pok ${ }^{\text {hem }}$ adj very
poghem adv. nicely
$p^{2}{ }^{\text {h }} \varepsilon m$ adv perfectly
poy $v$ become
pot $v$. remain
potna $v$ herb containing
$p 0^{\text {th }} v$. be
podok $n$ frog
pod ${ }^{\text {h }} u m$ adv more
podªk adj much
$p^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{z}}$ a:k $a d v$ terrifically
pojon $n$ pile
pola?k adj sharply
po?na $n$ herbal plant
po?na $n$. medicinal plant
popl $v$ be(is/am/are)
pa $n$. father
-pa sfx IPF AP NOM
pangendik adj. clean
panp ${ }^{\text {he }} n$ village
paybu $n$. woolen thread
paywa $v$. play
pat $v$ speak
pad $^{\text {h }}$ uy $n$ creature
pan $n$. speech
pan $n$ gossip
panza $n$ suggestion
pamp ${ }^{\text {h }}$ u?na $n$ Pamphuna
par $v$ talk
paluygen $n$. father
pasiy paday $n$. elderly respectable
pa:nd ${ }^{\text {za }} n$ suggestion
pi $v$. give
piks $v$ dislocate
piba $n$ direction
pimba $n$ rib
pir $v$ give
pir $v$ give/PT
pisay prep. Towards
pi2thum $n$ unwilling
pu $n$ bird
pusa $n$. birds
pe $v$ go/PT
pe hekt $v$ go begin
pek $v$ go
peg $v$ go-IMP
pegi $n$. ninth
pegilla adj. APP
pengo $n$. hand cup
pembeluy $n$. Pembelung
peli $n$ speech
pehi $n$ flight
pok $v$ rise
pokmena $n$ fiber
poks $v$. become
pok $^{\text {h }} v$. happen
pogu $n$. corn
pon $A U X$ EXIG be
pon $v$ become
poti $n$ oil lamp
por $v$. grow
pora $a d j$. grown
porama $n$ female
porokmi $n$. poromi
poromi $n$. poromi
po-hekt co-v grow-begin
-pe sfx AP pfG NOM
pembu $n$ bird's couple
pembelunma $n$ Pembelungma
pembetme adj closely
peruy $n$ security
peli adj. request
pehi $n$. circle
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ok $v$ break
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ oktayluy $n$. Phaktanglung
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ oktayluyma $n$ Phoktanglungma
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ oktum $n$ lap
$\mathrm{p}^{\text {hoks }} v$ break
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ogija adj white
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ כ ydura $n$ grass(Ne.kansa)
$p^{\text {h }}$ ondarima $n$ Phandarima
$p^{\text {h }}$ jo $n$ swinging
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ orey adj whiteness
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ oreywame adj white
$p^{\text {hak }} v$. fold
$p^{\text {hakt }} v$. offer fold
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ay}$ adj. nine
$p^{h}{ }^{h} \mathrm{~g}^{z} \mathfrak{y} \eta n$ on top of mountain
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$-jen $n$ nine days
$p^{\text {ha }}$ ay-la $n$ nine month
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ a:tley $n$ cleaned land by setting fire
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ik}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ik}$ PCLE ONOM
$\mathrm{p}^{\text {hindu }} n$ deity
$p^{\text {himbrikwa } n}$ soap nut
$p^{\mathrm{h}}$ ijakluymen $n$. Phiyaklungma
$\mathrm{p}^{\text {hijamlunma } n}$ Phiyamlungma
$p^{\text {h }}$ uy $n$. flower
$p^{\text {h unp }}{ }^{\text {hak }} n$ flower petal
$\mathrm{p}^{\text {h }}$ unjay $n$ mate
$p^{\text {h }}$ ugwa $n$ flower
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ekanama $n$ hanging rope
$p^{\text {henia }} n$ loin cloth wearer
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ::ni?kum $n$ loin cloth wearer
$\mathrm{p}^{\text {h }}$ oks $v$ perform naming rite
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon \mathrm{kt} v$. bloom
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon k w a n$ leaf
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ हtt $v$ bring
$p^{\text {h }} \varepsilon n n$ iron
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ हnd $v$ take off
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \varepsilon$ nduti $a d v$. iron blending
$p^{\text {h }} \varepsilon n^{z} \varepsilon \varepsilon n$ iron
$\mathrm{p}^{\text {h }}$ हr $v$. come
bora-donna $n$ wolf like
ba $n$ paternal
-ba sfx AP NOM IPF (M)
bank ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} v$ perform
bays $v$ send weigh
ban $n$. word matter complain discuss

| ban $v$ argue | mukkum $n$. fire |
| :---: | :---: |
| ban $v$ discuss | mukkumluyme $n$ Mukkumlungma |
| bar $v$. speak | mukkum-luyme $n$ Mukkum lungma |
| bar $v$. speak | mukt $v$ blow |
| $\operatorname{bid}^{\text {zo }} \cap$ head dress | mutt $v$ announce |
| bir $v$ give | muden $n$ Bhot |
| buy $n$. root | mud $^{\text {hin }} n$ gems |
| buyma $n$. plant | mudhuy $n$ complain heaven |
| -be sfx e INQ EMPH | mudzignama $n$. Muzingnama |
| -be sfx INQ -e | mudzinname $n$ Muzingnama |
| bek $v$. go | mund $v$ move |
| bek $v$. happen to go | mund ${ }^{\text {h um }} n$ myth |
| boks $v$. become | musam $n$. wisdom/intelligence |
| bon $v$. become | mupiy $n$ good news |
| bon $v$ be right | mekkam $n$. marriage ritual |
| -be sfx. AP NOM | men $n$. entrance |
| ben PRF | men $a d v$. NOT |
| $\mathrm{b}^{\text {b }}$ tt ${ }^{\text {sh }} v$. install | menne $a d v$. without |
| $\mathrm{b}^{\text {ha }}$, PCLE SUB | meriy $v$. lament |
| -b ${ }^{\text {h }}$ k $s f x$ BAL | melingen $n$. birth-giving |
| $\mathrm{b}^{\text {hoks }} v$ perform lift | me:kk ${ }^{\text {him }} n$ marriage |
| $b^{\text {hot }} v$. mislead | me:t $n$. wife |
| $\mathrm{b}^{\text {hot }} v$ mix | mo adv under |
| $b^{\text {hojay }} n$. exchange | -mna sfx. PP |
| $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ¢k $v$ spoil commit sin | mba $n$. father |
| $\mathrm{b}^{\text {helle conj SUB }}$ | mma $n$ mother |
| $\mathrm{m}-p f x$. NEG nsAS | $m \varepsilon-p f x . \mathrm{nsAS}$ NEG |
| -m sfx pADH pA | -me sfx INF NOM AP |
| moti $n$ pearls | met $v$. say to |
| $\operatorname{moj} v$ lose utter be in confusion | met $v$ wish say to |
| mav. lose | $\mathrm{m} \varepsilon$ tt $v$ do |
| ma $n$. mother | $m \mathrm{mtt} v$ say to |
| -ma sfx. NOM INF AP | $m e t t v$ afflict scold kick apply say to |
| magija adj black | $m \varepsilon n-a d v$. NEG NOT npG |
| may $n$. god | $m \varepsilon n^{\text {tha }} n$ grand children |
| maygena $n$ brother | $m e n n^{\text {th }}$ am $n$. human |
| mayhay $n$. godking | ment ${ }^{\text {th }}$ in adj matured girl |
| madi $n$ cane of marshy land | mem- $p f \times \mathrm{npG}$ |
| mabe?k $v$. lose | mejakk ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ adj. wet |
| maluygen $n$. mother | mejak ${ }^{\text {hama }} n$ marshy land |
| masiy adv. dead | meriy adj consanguine |
| ma: $n$. mother | meriyla adj distressful period |
| ma:kja $n$ enchantress | m $¢ 1-p f x$ NEG npG |
| -map sfx INF | jo adv there |
| maPju-donna $n$ bear like | joknam $n$ dislocation |
| min. fire | joks $v$ shake |
| -mi sfx. INF | jogu $n$ finish |
| mikn. eye | jonjon $n$ light heap |
| mik $n$. view | jonjonge $n$ Yongyongge |
| mik $n$. jealousy eye | joysoy $n$. ghost |
| mikki $n$. life | jomba adj. great |
| mikk ${ }^{\text {h }}$ u adj asleep | jomme adj great(f) |
| min $n$ name | joPi $n$ image |
| midh $^{\text {h }}$ un $n$ brightness | ja $v$ fit |
| minu $n$. APP | -ja sfx. BAL |
| mi?kwa $n$. tear | jak $v$. look good |
| -mu sfx REP INF | jak $v$ be suitable |
| mui $n$ wishes for longevity | jak $v$ remain |
| muiy $n$ good news | jakku $n$ kin |
| muk $n$. power | jakt v. put |


| jaksun $n$ slope jag $v$ ．stay remain |
| :---: |
| jay $v$ carry |
| jay $v$ take |
| jaytshin $n$ sibling |
| jayday $n$ baptismal rite |
| jaydayma $n$ cradle |
| jaynっn adv instantly |
| jayba adj same |
| jayben adj arm |
| jayma $n$ ．grain |
| jaymen $n$ container |
| jaysa $n$ what（fig） |
| jatt $v$ be good |
| jan $v$ succeedjand $v$ ．be able |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |
| jammu adv againjar $v$ be worthy |
|  |  |
|  |
| jukt v．put forward |
| jukp ${ }^{\text {h }}$ uy $n$ ．hunting |
| jukp ${ }^{\text {h }}$ uy kembe $n$ ．Yukphung Kemba |
| jukp ${ }^{\text {h }}$ up－kembe $n$ Yukphung－kemba |
| juk－mira $n$ ．forest fire |
| juy $v$ be situated |
| jug $v$ ．sit be situatedjun $v$ live |
|  |  |
|  |
| jutt $v$ hint at |
| juncho $n$ ．evening |
| jund ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ，$n$ strike |
| jund ${ }^{\text {h }}$ or $v$ hate |
| juppa $n$ silver |
| jur $v$ bring down |
| je PCLE VOC |
| jo adv below |
| jo－pfx BAL |
| －jo sfx BAL |
| －jo $n$ delivery |
| join $v$ hurl |
| jokma $n$ ．partner |
| jom $v$ ．melt weaken |
| joPin $n$ damage |
| jet adj．eight |
| jıt hay $n$ eight leader |
| jet－jen $n$ eight days |
| jetla $n$ eight month |
| jen $n$ ．day |
| jep v．stand |
| jeb $v$ ．stand |
| jem v．stand |
| jehay $n$ Yehang |
| j $\varepsilon$ ？nam adv eight days |
| －ro sfx pfG OPT |
| rok PCLE FOC |
| －re sfx ERG GEN SUB INS－ren prep．withro PCLE ASS |
|  |  |
|  |  |

－r $s f x$ ．GEN INS SUB ERG
lo $v$ ．to be seem look
lo $v$ seem
－lo $s f x$ prG OPT
lok $n$ share
lok－l $n$ emotional pattering
lok－l $\varepsilon$－lok $n$ incoherent discourse
loghe：k n．dress
lot $v$ ．take out
lot $v$ draw
loda $n$ ．commencement
loden adv．quickly
loden $n$ ．expulsion
lond $v$ ．come out
lor $v$ ．desire
lor $v$ ．feel
lor $v$ seem
lor $v$ ．say do
lor $v$ ．look
laikay $n$ masculine
laikkay $n$ masculine
laikkum $n$ male
laitsane $n$ ．moon＇s son
laipkay $n$ male
laks $v$ ．ask
lak ${ }^{\text {ha }} n$ hundred thousand
lag $^{\text {hem }} n$ sun rays
lay $n$ ．leg
lay $n$ ．firm step
laygen $n p$ deep down
laygek $v$ walk
laybet $n$ ．south
lanma $n \operatorname{leg}(f)$
lad ${ }^{\text {happpu } n}$ ashes
ladhappuma $n$ ．ashes
－lam sfx．MED
lamdhe？pa $n$ ．door
lamlo adv．ahead／leading
laje $n$ ．land／country
lar $v$ ．receive
lar $v$ have
laray $n$ ．lightning
lalaweyba $n$ Lalawengba
lalaweyba－go $n$ Lalawengba－then
lalawe引ba－lle $n$ Lalawengba－ERG
lalaweybe $n$ Lalawengba
lalawe引be $n$ ．Lalawengba
lala／wei wei adj．different
lahadonna $n$ Lahaangna
lahadonna $n$ Lahadangna
lahadonna $n$ Lahadangna
lahadonna $n$ Lahadangna
la：$n$ ．moon
la：otiba adj lunar beam like（m）
la：k adj smart
la：k－lo adj smart
la：y $n$ leg
la：y $v$ ．dance
la：be $n$ ．moon
li $n$ ．four
$\operatorname{lig} v$. enter
linday-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ kwwa $n$ Lingdang khekwa
lipbitme adj restful
linmay $n$ ghost
linjok $n$ origin
lins $v$. grow
li-don $n$ four year
li-jen $n$ fourth-day
lila adv four month
lilim $n$ desire for sweet
lisaibu $n$ comradely relation
lisi adj. four
lip n. bow
liigg ${ }^{\text {hek } n} n$ bow string
liPnam $n$ bow strip
luy $n$. stone
luyghak $n$ salt
lunt ${ }^{\text {th }} \mathrm{i} n$ ancient tribe
luydum $n$ stone
lund ${ }^{\text {hun }} n$ state
lund ${ }^{h} u y v$ enjoy
lund ${ }^{\text {h }} u \eta n a n$. state condition
lund ${ }^{\text {h }} u m$ enjoy play
luyboyba $n$ primitive
lugma $n$ beloved dreary
lunmay $n$ creating god
luy-may $n$ creating god
lunme $n$. sweet heart
lund ${ }^{\text {hobe } n}$ Lundhobe
lu:ybe $n$. rock/stone
-le $s f x$ ERG GEN INS
leka $n$. mud
lek ${ }^{\text {ha: }}$ y prep. towards
letna $n$. fish
lendik $n$. day
le:?na $n$ fish
lo PCLE EMPH ASS
los $v$. perform
-lle $s f x$ SUB GEN ERG INS
le $v$ know
-le sfx GEN
leks $v$. fell
leks $v$ make
leks $v$ clear
lep n. clod
lep $v$. cast
lep $v$. throw
ler $v$ set leave
lesup $n$ tongue
lع?wa adv time
wodzzik adj. wet land
woja $n$ APP
worrk n. lake
worok $n$ sea lake
wosa $n$. APP
wa $v$. be stay
-wa sfx. NOM BAL
wand $v$ baptize
wand $v$ shake
waptsk $n$ steel
wab ${ }^{\text {hopluy } n \text {. white stone }}$ wami $n$. thirst warept ${ }^{\text {h }} u m n$ a deep sigh wa:hit $n$. rainfall
wa? $n$ hen
weydura $n$ youth
soe $n$ hundred
sokon $n$ crystal
sokma: $n$. life force
sokwa $n$ message
sor $v$. mix
sopr $v$. mix
sa $n$. meat saknam $n$ dawn sakp ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ok} n$ defamed sakp $^{\text {hak }} n$ harassed sakmura $n$ curse
-say PCLE CONJ
sayday-khekwa $n$ Sangdang Khekwa
saymen $n$ flinging
saduy $n$ pregnancy
saduy $n$ pregnancy pain
$\operatorname{sad}^{z}$ эŋ $n$ Sazong
sadz$^{2}$ ara $n$ Sazara
sannoy adv immediately
sannon $a d v$ return
sapri $n$. a box like thing made by bamboo
strips
sapla $n$. book
sam $n$. soul
sam $n$. identity
sama $n$ material
sama $n$ bitch
samday-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ kwa $n$ Samdang khekwa
samdaywa? $n$ impeyan pheasant(Danphe)
samd ${ }^{2}$ ik $n$. story
sammay $n$ deity
sammjay $n$ gold
sammet $n$ breeze
samjo $n$ path of piety
same $n$. bitch
sarik adv. very/hardly
saruy $n$ pregnancy
sawa adj. prey all
sawa kembe n Sawa-kemba
sawa-kembe $n$. Sawa-Kemba
saway $n$. forest
sasi $n$. offspring
sa:ygu $n$ vitality
sa:ngu $n$. fatigue
sa? $n$. baby offspring
si $v$. die
-si $s f x$ dPS nsP
sikkum $n$. thought
sikt $v$ put
sikt $v$ enter
sikt $v$ insert
siktum $n$. neck
siktsa adj disinterested
sikley $v$ abhor
sikwa adj wet
sigi $n$ spirit
$\operatorname{siy} n$. plant
$\sin n$ wood
$-\sin s f x$. REF
sindum $n$ tree trunk
sigbe $n$. wood
sinmay $n$ figure
sijmayluymay $n$. creator god
sigla $n$. plant
siywa $n$ protection
siy-sig jek-jek adj. careful/sound minded
sida $n$. medicine
sidi $n$ a high altitude cane
sido adv immediate
sinjuk $n$ north
simajom $v$ weaken
simikla $n$. a kind of cane(Nep.Malingo)
simbona $n$ sari wearer
simboma $n$ sari wearing
simbolima $n$ sari(Mekkli) wearing
sijakk ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ adj damp
sijak ${ }^{\text {hama }} n$ dampened land
sisa adj young
sisarima $n$ Sisarima
si: $n$. wheat
si:kja $n$ witch
si? n. louse
-su sfx BAL
sukula $n$ pal sugut $n$. female's ill spirit(female ghost)
sundzi $n$ testy
sum $v$. collect
sum-don adv three years
sum-ma? $v$. collect-INF
sumla adv three month
sura $n$ sura
sura-inben $n$ Sura Ingben
surabe $n$ Surabe
surit $n$ breeze
surit kejog $n$. wind (lit.)
susarima $n$ Susarima susum $n$ desire for sour susurube $n$. Susuruba susuwe引ba $n$ Susuwengba susuweŋbe $n$. Susuwengba suhamp ${ }^{\text {h }} \varepsilon$ be $n$. Suhamphemba
suhen $n$ optical aids
suhen $n$ observation
se $v$. spread
-se sfx VOC PUR
sendi $n$ separation
sendik $n$. night
-seba suff. pp. marker
ser $v$. spread
ser $v$. scatter
seri $n$. thunder bolt
sellap $v$ inquire
sewa $n$ solicitation
sesera $n$. light
se:ndo $a d v$. ask
se:lap $v$ inquire
-so sfx. BAL
son adj. new
sod ${ }^{\text {hok }} n$. kinsman
sod ${ }^{\text {hok }} n$ blood relation
sobu saiba $n$. kinship
soma $n$. liver
sorik adv. together
solak $n$ palm full space
soluy adj unpleasant
so?t $n$. sin
sctlum $n$ after mid night
setlo $n$ bed
sed ${ }^{z} \mathrm{O}$ adj round
seniy $n$. chicken
senijwa $n$ chicken
send $v$. separate
senday $n$ night master
sepmay $n$ dream
semi $n$ sparkle
s mik $n$ sparkle
s $\varepsilon$ Pluy $n$ hard white stone
ho $v$ drop
ho $v$ fall
hong ${ }^{\text {b }} \boldsymbol{y} n$ rivulet
hondigek $n$ red headed lizard
ha PCLE VOC
hay $n$. leader king
hayamen $n$ remaining part
hayga $n$ rest
haygen $n$ irritation
haywa $n$. blessing
hadzum $n$ teeth
habe $n$ jaw
halele adj. auspicious
halla $n$ curse
-ha? $s f x$ p
hi $n$. shit
hinmu adj alive
hidup $n$ guts
hid $^{2} a n$ shit having
hip $v$. beat
hipt $v$. beat
him $v$. experience/ house
himen $n$ hurling
hilli adj diamond
hi? $v$. turn
huk $n$. hand
hukp ${ }^{\text {ho }} n$ elbow
hukma $n$ hand(F)
hukwa $n$. gift/souvenir
huksot adj. mixture(charu)
hu-hu PCLE ONOM
he PCLE VOC
hekt $v$ begin
hepmu adv. after that
hey PCLE VOC
hopt $v$ not to be
hndigek $n$. lizard
hekjay adv. after that hen dem.pron. that hept $v$ be left/rested h $\varepsilon$ ?na dem. pro there $-\varepsilon s f x$ PT - $\varepsilon$ s $s f x$. -IMP
-Pe $s f x$. npG
-?o sfx. LOC
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